

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

I.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.52 of 2022</p> <p>D.S. BandalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Dr. Nitin N. Kareer – Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department in terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 24.02.2022.</p> <p>3. Perusal of Affidavit reveals that the final order is not passed in D.E. for want of communication from M.P.S.C. though proposal was sent to M.P.S.C. in respect of proposed punishment, as such, obviously delay is on the part of M.P.S.C. Show Cause Notice was issued on 12.03.2019 as to why 10% pension should not be deducted for 2 years but till date no final order is passed.</p> <p>4. In view of above, M.P.S.C. is necessary party. The Applicant is allowed to make necessary amendment and to join M.P.S.C. as Respondent No.5. Amendment be carried out within 3 days.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 08.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.03.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 220/2020 with M.A 247/2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES</u></p> <p>D.M Taware & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Application for speaking to the minutes was moved by the learned counsel for the applicant. In the order dated 1.3.2022, in para 5 the operative portion of the order is remained to be mentioned due to oversight.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. In the order dated 1.3.2022, para 6 is to be added as follows:-</p> <p>“6. The Original Application is allowed. The seniority list of Supply Inspecting Officer, Grade-B (Gazetted) dated 27.1.2020 and 12.2.2020 prepared by the Respondents is quashed and set aside.”</p> <p>5. Ordered accordingly.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.03.2022 O. A. No. 939 of 2021</p> <p>N. S. Bhosale & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants in present O.A. are nine Police Constables serving on the establishment of Respondent No.1 and seeking inter-district transfer to various districts in State of Maharashtra on the basis of Regulation dated 26.10.2017 issued by the Home Department which <i>inter alia</i> provides for inter-district transfer where the Constables have completed eight years service or on completion of three years service, where transfer is sought for living together with spouse. In the present case, the Applicants have sought inter-district transfer on this ground.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. has shown communication dated 17.02.2022 made by the office of CP, Mumbai to SP/CP of the concerned districts where the Applicants are seeking transfers.</p> <p>4. Perusal of letters reveal that the CP, Mumbai has no objection to relieve the Applicants and it all depends upon the acceptance of inter-district transfer by his counterpart which is always depending upon the vacancies etc.</p> <p>5. Thus, the grievance of the Applicants for inter-district transfer is likely to be redressed in view of the steps taken by the CP, Mumbai.</p> <p>6. O.A. is, therefore, disposed of with direction to CP, Mumbai to take further steps as and when necessary communication is received from his counterparts and to relieve the Applicants, if the proposal is accepted by his counterpart.</p> <p>7. If the proposal of inter-district transfer is rejected, it be communicated to the Applicants who will be at liberty to challenge the same as may be permissible in law.</p> <p>9. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.202 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. V. S. LahadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 04.02.2022 passed by Respondent No.3 – Director, Public Health Department on the basis of which Respondent No.5 – The Civil Surgeon, Civil Hospital, Nashik has passed another order dated 04.02.2022 thereby directing the Applicant to handover the charge to Dr. Chetan Thombare, Medical Officer, Rural Hospital, Sinnar.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought stay <i>inter-alia</i> contending that the order of handling over the charge to subordinate is punishment and humiliation.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. opposes the grant of interim relief <i>inter-alia</i> contending that in view of serious complaints, the Applicant was directed to handover the charge of the post of Medical Superintendent, Rural Hospital, Sinnar and further steps at the level of department is underway.</p> <p>5. In view of above, at this stage, I am not inclined to grant stay.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

12. S.O. to 17.03.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.03.2022

O.A.No.1031 of 2021

K. A. Tare

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. While the Applicant was serving in the cadre of Sub Inspector, State Excise, he was due for promotion to the post of Inspector, State Excise Department. In terms of Maharashtra Government Allotment of Revenue Division for appointment by nomination and promotion to the post in Group 'A' and 'B' Rules 2021 he had given option of Kokan-II. He claims that in accordance to seniority, he was entitled for posting in Konkan-II Division. However, he was given Nagpur Division and posted at Wardha. Therefore, he has filed the present O.A.
3. Initially, on the statement made by Applicant that he is ready to work on present post, interim relief was granted by order dated 21.12.2021 allowing the Applicant to continue as Sub Inspector, State Excise, Mumbai Suburban where he was working before promotion.
4. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant on instructions submits that since the Applicant is deprived of promotional post due to contravention of Rules 2021, the Applicant is ready to join the promotional post at Wardha, Revenue Division, Nagpur without prejudice to the contentions and rights raised in this O.A.
5. In view of above, interim relief granted by the Tribunal allowing him to continue at Mumbai on the post of Sub Inspector, State Excise is vacated. He is at liberty to join the post of State Excise Inspector, Wardha Division Nagpur without prejudice to his contentions and grounds raised in this O.A.
6. Learned Counsel for the Applicant prayed for interim relief for keeping one post in Kokan II Division vacant which is opposed by learned P.O. Since the promotional post cannot be kept vacant, filling of promotional post in Kokan II Division which is now vacant would be subject to outcome of this O.A. If someone is appointed in the said post, in promotional order, it be clarified that the said post is being filled in subject to outcome of this O.A. so that the concerned person is put on notice.
7. O.A. be kept for final hearing.
8. S.O. to 22.03.2022.

Sd/-

v v v v

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Member(J)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.196 of 2022</p> <p>G. V. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has raised grievance for non deciding his appeal dated 07.06.2021 by the Respondents since the period of more than eight months is over. 3. Learned P.O. submits that necessary information is being called and appeal will be decided within two months. 4. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondents to decide the Appeal within two months from today. The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant. 5. O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.35 of 2022</p> <p>B. S. DhembareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record. 3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 25.03.2022. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">vv</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.03.2022 O.A.No.112 of 2020</p> <p>D. W. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. W. Mane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder on Affidavit. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.03.2022

M. A. No.461 of 2021
in
O.A.No.846 of 2021

Late Mrs. K. d. Likhhar since deceased
Through her heir and L.R. Mr.Dhanaraj Likhhar
....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This M.A. is filed to condone the delay of ten months & six days caused in filing O.A. No.846/2021 challenging the order passed by the Respondent No.2 on 16.12.2019.
3. Perusal of record reveals that initially the Applicant has filed O.A. No.301/2019 which was disposed of by the Tribunal on 11.10.2019 giving direction to take decision on communication dated 29.07.2019 within two months. It is on this background, the decision was taken by the department. The Respondents by order dated 16.12.2019, rejected the claim of the Applicant for increment. Against the said order, the Applicant had filed Contempt Proceeding No.14/2020 in O.A.301/2019 which came to be disposed of by order dated 12.03.2021 recording the reasons that there is no such willful contempt. Against it, the Applicant had filed W.P.No.3839/2021 before the Hon'ble High Court which was disposed on 22.09.2021 with liberty to the Applicant to pursue her remedy before the Tribunal. Therefore, the Applicant has filed present O.A.
4. As such, it is evident that the Applicant was pursuing the remedies in contempt proceeding as well as by filing W.P. Secondly, the ground of Covid-19 pandemic situation is also raised.
5. In view of above, I am inclined to condone the delay of ten months caused in filing O.A. so as to decide the O.A. on merit.
6. M.A. is accordingly allowed and disposed of with no order as to cost.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.846 of 2021</p> <p>Late Mrs. K. d. Likhar since deceased Through her heir and L.R. Mr.Dhanaraj LikharApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In this O.A., the Applicant has challenged communication dated 16.12.2019 thereby rejecting the claim for grant of increments. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.03.2022. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 30.03.2022 <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 68 of 2022</p> <p>T. P. Vakte & OrsApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed by five Police Constables for inter-district transfer from Mumbai to Nashik on the basis of Regulation dated 26.10.2017.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. has tendered letter dated 16.02.2022 issued by the office of CP, Mumbai which shows that CP, Mumbai has sent proposal to CP, Nashik to know whether the letter ^{he} is ready to accommodate these Applicants on his establishment.</p> <p>4. It appears that the CP, Mumbai has no objection to relieve the Applicants from his establishment, if the CP, Nashik is willing to accept them. Indeed, the Applicant No.5 is already relieved in view of the acceptance of proposal by CP, Nashik.</p> <p>5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.1-CP, Mumbai and Respondent No.2- CP, Nashik to take further necessary steps for inter-district transfer of Applicant Nos.1 to 4 and shall pass necessary orders subject to vacancies and in case, the proposal is rejected, it be communicated to the Applicants so that they can avail legal remedy, if they felt aggrieved by the same.</p> <p>7. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.03.2022 O.A.No.825 of 2021</p> <p>G. S. Karanje ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Gbate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for hearing at the stage of admission as well as for filing Rejoinder. However, no rejoinder is filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. Interim relief to continue till further orders.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 24.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.03.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.123 of 2022 in O.A.No.816 of 2021</p> <p>Shri Kashinath S. BirajdarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed during the pendency of O.A. for condonation of delay since the Respondents already appeared in O.A., notices of M.A. is dispensed with.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. shall file reply to M.A. by next week.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.813 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.H. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.4.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medita Gajgil) Member (A) 3.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.3.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.876 of 2021</u></p> <p>H.A. Redekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that short issue involved in this OA is pertaining to the objection raised by respondent no.4 regarding affiliation or recognition of the University from which applicant has completed his Diploma in Agriculture. The applicant has obtained Diploma in Agriculture from National Backward Krushi Vidhyapith, Solapur. Ld. Advocate for the applicant clarifies that this University of Solapur is recognized by UGC and State Government by Gazette dated 2.3.2016. The Directorate of Education (Higher Education), Pune has published a list of 67 affiliated Universities and National Backward Krushi Vidhapith, Solapur is at Sr. No.66. Certificate of recognition issued by the University is also annexed to the OA. He has also annexed copy of receipt for payment of affiliation fees.</p> <p>3. Respondent no.4 has filed affidavit. We call upon respondent no.4 to respond to the points raised by the Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.3.2022. Administrative Officer in the office of respondent no.4 Divisional Joint Director of Agriculture, Kolhapur to remain present on the next date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- 2 (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.3.2022 3.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.297 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.B. Kemkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that in view of the decision of the Hon'ble High Court about reverting the policy of age extension, no relief can be granted in this matter.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to study the issue.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.3.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.276 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.S. Prabhavale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed on behalf of respondent no.3. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply on behalf of respondents no.1 and 2.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.3.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.3.2022 3.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.652 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.B. Borkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Junior of Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The respondents have raised preliminary objection on the maintainability of OA because of availability of appellate authority to the applicant before approaching this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. We direct the Ld. Advocate for the applicant to remain present and satisfy this point otherwise the matter will be dismissed.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.3.2022 3.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.641 of 2020</u></p> <p>G.B. Suenkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate both are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.4.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Gadgil) Member (A) 3.3.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.109 of 2022 in O.A. No.622 of 2021</u></p> <p>The Chief Conservator of Forest, Pune & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. Shri Pradip R. Koli ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Smt. Punam, Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent-original Applicant.</p> <p>2. The above MA is filed for speaking to minutes of the order dated 14.12.2021 passed in the above OA.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that in line 3 para 2 of the order dated 14.12.2021 the word 'proposal' is to be substituted by 'para wise comments to OA'.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the respondent-original applicant submits to the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. The order should be read accordingly.</p> <p>6. MA disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.3.2022 3.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.622 of 2021</u></p> <p>P.R. Koli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for interim relief.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.3.2022 for considering the prayer for interim relief.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkär, J.) Chairperson 3.3.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.03.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 129/2015 in O.A 142, 143 & 144/2015</u></p> <p>Dr S.S Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.P Nalavade, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that till now the Department has not received any information from the office of the Joint Director, Accounts and Treasury, Aurangabad. Dr Khati, Director, Ayush Division, Mumbai has written letter to the Director, Accounts & Treasuries, Mumbai on 24.2.2022.</p> <p>3. At the request of the learned counsel for the applicant, matter is adjourned to 7.3.2022 at 2.30 pm.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.531 of 2021 in O.A. No.682 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.D. Naikade & 16 Ors. ..Interveners And Dr. K.J. Kuwar & 6 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Advocate for the Interveners, Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks two weeks time to file reply to MA.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.3.2021 by way of last chance for filing reply.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.3.2022</td> <td align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.3.2022</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.3.2022	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.3.2022
Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.3.2022	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.3.2022		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.434 of 2021 in O.A. No.813 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.H. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has filed this MA No.434 of 2021 for condoning the delay of one year and six months in filing the above OA.</p> <p>3. The cause of action arose on 22.4.2019 i.e. when the GR dated 22.4.2019 was issued. The OA is filed on 11.10.2021. There is a delay of one year and six months.</p> <p>4. However, in view of order dated 23.3.2020 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo Motu Writ Petition (Civil) No.3 of 2020 the delay was condoned after 15.3.2020. Thereafter the Hon'ble Supreme Court in MA No.665/2021 in Suo Motu Writ Petition (Civil) No.3 of 2020 by order dated 23.9.2021 extended the period of limitation up to 2.10.2021.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, delay is condoned. MA is allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.3.2022 3.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.31 of 2022</u></p> <p>Y.B. Wagh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Y.B. Wagh, Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed on 24.2.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.3.2022 3.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.802/2021</u></p> <p>Shri N.B. Kolekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant filed Sur-Sir-rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10th March, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.03.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.956/2021</u></p> <p>Shri V.A. Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant filed Affidavit-in-rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23rd March, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.03.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1057 of 2021</p> <p>R. A. BhaijanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti GAikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This seems to be not the case of deemed date of promotion in strict sense since the promotion was denied due to pendency of departmental enquiry. 3. In view of above, the office is directed to verify whether the matter pertains to Single Bench or Division Bench and to place it before appropriate bench. <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.920 of 2021</p> <p>A. B. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In this O.A., the Applicant has earlier sought direction to decide the treatment to suspension period which was not taken despite his representation. 3. Today, learned P.O. has tendered order dated 08.02.2022 issued by the Government whereby his period of suspension from 20.04.2011 to 29.04.2015 has been treated as suspension as such. The order dated 08.02.2022 is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'. 4. Thus, the authority has already passed the order. If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the same, he may avail further legal remedy in accordance to law. 5. O.A. is, therefore, disposed of being infructuous. No order as to costs. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.846 of 2019</p> <p>S.P. BidarkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.S. Dhotare, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Undre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is restored in view of order passed in M.A. No.105/2022 today.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission, tomorrow. If the learned Advocate for the Applicant fails to remain present O.A. will be dismissed, and thereafter it will not be restored.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 03.03.2022

M.A. No.105 of 2022 in O.A. No.846 of 2019

S.P. BidarkarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V.S. Dhotare, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Undre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This M.A. is filed for restoration of O.A. dismissed in default on 15.02.2022. M.A. is filed within limitation.
3. The record reveals that the O.A. was initially dismissed for noncompliance of condition order of service but it was restored later. Thereafter, when the matter was taken up for hearing but that time also the Applicant and his Advocate was found absent, and therefore O.A. was dismissed in default but again restoration subject to cost of Rs.2,000/- (Two Thousand Only). After restoration also the Applicant and his Advocate were found absent on 15.02.2022, and therefore O.A. came to be dismissed. Thus, there is frequent absence on the part of the Applicant and his Counsel.
4. However, in the interest of justice M.A. is allowed so as to decide O.A. on merit and O.A. be kept for hearing tomorrow. If tomorrow learned Advocate for the Applicant fails to remain present, O.A. will be dismissed in default and will not be restored again.
5. In view of above, M.A. No.105/2022 is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.191 of 2021 with M.A. No.105 of 2021</u></p> <p>D.M. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter respondents no.2 and 3 have filed short affidavit dated 16.2.2022 of Shri Babasaheb A. Redekar, District Superintendent of Land Records, Konkan Range, Mumbai, stating that applicant is entitled to first benefit of Time Bond Promotion from 1.11.1996 and his suspension period from 26.7.2001 to 23.3.2003 is regularized by order dated 19.7.2007. Since the period of suspension has been regularized as duty period of all purposes they further state that prima facie applicant would be entitled to the second benefit of Time Bound Promotion from 1.11.2008. However, it has been clearly stated in order dated 22.12.2021 passed in the above matter that applicant restrains his claim to receive arrears up to 3 years preceding filing of OA in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Union of India & Anr. Vs. Tarsem Singh Civil Appeal No.5151 of 2008 decided on 13.8.2008 reported in 2008(7) Mh.L.J.1245 : 2008(8) SCC 648.</p> <p>3. In view of the above OA and MA are disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.3.2022 3.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>CA.23/2021 in RA.21/2019 in OA.238/2016</u></p> <p>M.A. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that the State Government has filed SLP in the Hon'ble Supreme Court.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.4.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>3.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>3.3.2022</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.170 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.K. Sali ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 31.3.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.3.2022</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.177 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.D. Vaze ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.4.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>3.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>3.3.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.51 of 2019 in O.A. No.301 of 2017</u></p> <p>J.K. Bhosle ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that writ petition is pending in the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.3.2022 3.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.11 of 2022 in O.A. No.131 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.J. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in CA returnable on 24.3.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.3.2022 (sgi)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.3.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MA No.475/2019 in OA No.925/2017</u> with <u>MA No.569/2019 in OA No.925/2017</u></p> <p>D.K. Gunjal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents-State and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.8 to 10, 16, 52 & 84(A).</p> <p>2. Smt. Alka Masal, Research Officer, working in this Tribunal is directed to submit a written report as to why copies which are asked by Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.8 to 10, 16, 52 & 84(A), are not yet provided to him, as this is informed by Ld. Advocate Shri M.D. Lonkar.</p> <p>3. Report is to be submitted on or before 7.3.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.3.2022 3.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.224 of 2021 in O.A. No.423 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.S. Mehta ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.3.2022 3.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.892 of 2017</p> <p>D.G. BasutkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Writ Petition is still pending before Hon'ble High Court and the issue is still subjudice</p> <p>3. O.A. is adjourned to 07.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ▼▼ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1023 of 2021</p> <p>A.T. IngawaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1009 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S.B. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.904 of 2021</p> <p>K. N. ShinganatheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In this O.A., the Applicant is seeking inter district transfer from Mumbai to Amravati on the establishment of Superintendent of Police, Amravati in view of Regulation dated 26.10.2017 which <i>inter-alia</i> provides for inter district transfer on completion of eight years service or three years service where transfer is claimed on the Ground Nos.1 to 3 as mentioned in Regulation dated 26.10.2017. 3. Today, learned P.O. has tendered letter dated 17.02.2022 sent by CP, Mumbai to SP, Amravati asking him about acceptance of inter district transfer of the Applicant on his establishment. The CP, Mumbai has shown his willingness to inter district transfer of the Applicant in the said letter. 4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that as per his instructions there are vacancies on the establishment of SP, Amravati. 5. As such, the fate of inter district transfer depends upon the acceptance of the proposal by SP, Amravati. 6. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that O.A. be disposed of with direction that on communication of acceptance of proposal by SP, Amravati to CP, Mumbai later should relieve the Applicant. 7. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to CP, Mumbai to take further necessary steps on receipt of communication from SP, Amravati. 8. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.03.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.26 of 2022</p> <p>A. K. Pawar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1041 of 2021</p> <p>S. D. KondeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.166 of 2022</p> <p>Shri Mayur P. ChindarkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 18.11.2021 whereby the suspension period from 07.03.2014 to 03.03.2017 is treated as suspension as such for all purposes, and further the period from 04.03.2017 to 25.12.2019 is treated as duty period but without pay and allowances.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 31.03.2022</p> <p>5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 31.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.03.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.695 of 2021</p> <p>R.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.4 and sought two weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 to 3.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought interim relief in the form of stay to the impugned order dated 15.10.2021 whereby recovery of Rs.10,74,139/- (Ten lakhs Seventy Four Thousand One Hundred Thirty Nine Only) is sought from the Gratuity and retiral benefits of the Applicant. He retired as Jr. Engineer (Group 'B' Government servant) on 30.06.2020. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has placed reliance on the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in (2015) 4 SCC 334 (State of Punjab and others Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer)).</p> <p>4. In view of decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Rafiq Masih's case (cited supra) interim relief is granted in terms of prayer clause 10(d) of O.A.</p> <p>5. Two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 17.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.03.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.983 of 2021</p> <p>P.G. KokitkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of most last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.182 of 2022</p> <p>A.E. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants have challenged communication dated 12.11.2020 whereby their request to take name of the Applicant No.2 in waiting list for appointment on compassionate ground is rejected.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.03.2022.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 30.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>