

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1465 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. JatureApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that even after directions given on 12.12.2023 that the 'Transfer Orders' dated 30.09.2022 and 20.10.2022 of 'Additional Director General of Police & Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' be implemented; yet there is no averment about it in 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' filed on 08.12.2023 by 'Deputy Commissioner of Police, Police Communication & Information Technology, South Zone, Mumbai'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant thereafter states that by subsequent 'Transfer Order' dated 02.06.2023 of 'Additional Director General of Police' 'Police Head Constable - Wireless Operator' atleast 13 'Police Head Constables - Wireless Operator' were transferred to Mumbai and 63 'Police Head Constables - Wireless Operators' serving in Mumbai but due for transfers were given extension of tenures and permitted to continue under 'Deputy Commissioner of Police, Police Communication & Information Technology, South Zone, Mumbai'. However, it appears that so far only few of these 13 'Police Head Constable - Wireless Operator' have been relieved to join under 'Deputy Commissioner of Police, Police Communication</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>& Information Technology, South Zone, Mumbai'. Therefore it appears that overall there has been tardy implementation of 'Transfer Orders' dated 30.09.2022, 22.10.2022 and 02.06.2023 issued by the 'Additional Director General of Police' Additional Director General of Police & Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune'.</p> <p>4. The Additional Director General of Police & Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' to thus ensure synergized implementation of all 'Transfer Orders' dated 30.09.2022, 22.10.2022 and 02.06.2023; so that fair balance of incoming and outgoing 'Police Head Constable - Wireless Operator' is maintained at the level of 'Deputy Commissioner of Police, Police Communication & Information Technology, South Zone, Mumbai'; with a view to ensure that there are no adverse effects on working of 'Main Control Room' and 'Regional Control Rooms' in the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.</p> <p>5. The Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai is also informed to make temporary arrangements as may be possible; so as to assist 'Additional Director General of Police & Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' complete implementation of 'Transfer Orders' dated 30.09.2022, 22.10.2022 and 02.06.2023.</p> <p>6. The Additional Director General of Police & Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' is accordingly directed to make concerted efforts to ensure implementation by 31.01.2024 of 'Transfer Orders' of at least those incoming and outgoing 'Police Head Constables - Wireless Operators' at the level of 'Deputy Commissioner of Police, Police Communication & Information Technology, South Zone, Mumbai'.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 05.02.2024.</p> <p>8. Hamdast Granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1130 of 2023</p> <p>S.G. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Akash Pandey, learned Advocate holding Shri S. Jagtap, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri. Akash Pandey, holding for learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 presents copy of Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay's Order dated 14.12.2023 in Writ Petition No.15453/2023 wherein directions have been given that Order be passed by the Tribunal with reasons either rejecting or granting 'Interim Relief' to Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 was heard at length on 04.12.2023 and on earlier occasions on 01.11.2023, 08.11.2023 and 21.11.2023 when detailed reasons were recorded in respect of the prayers for vacation of 'Interim Relief' which was granted on 31.08.2023 to Applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 was last heard at length on 04.12.2023 and prayer for vacation of 'Interim Relief' granted on 31.08.2023 to Applicant were not accepted for reasons in 'Para 3' & 'Para 4' of order dated on 04.12.2023 which are as follows:-</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>3. The 'Ministry of Housing and Urban Development Department, Government of India' will take some time to convey their decision to the 'Urban Development Department, Government of Maharashtra' about to <i>ex-post facto</i> approval to appointment of Respondent No.2 to the post of 'C.E.O., Smart City Development Corporation Limited (SCDCL), Nasik'.</p> <p>4. The Respondent No.2 who has been appointed to the post of 'C.E.O., Smart City Development Corporation Limited (SCDCL), Nasik' in place of the Applicant vide 'Revenue and Forest Department' order dated 29.08.2023. However, given the facts and circumstances of the case. 'Interim Relief' granted to Applicant is required to be continued till 'Next Date' as the post of 'C.E.O., Smart City Development Corporation Limited (SCDCL), Nasik' cannot be left vacant and Respondent No.2 can be permitted to join only if <i>ex-post facto</i> approval is received for his appointment from 'Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs, Government of India'."</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. states that the proposal for appointment of Respondent No.2 to post of 'C.E.O., Smart City Development Corporation Limited (SCDCL), Nashik' has been submitted by 'Urban Development Department' for due consideration of 'Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs, Government of India'. However, no response has yet been received from the 'Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs, Government of India' granting 'ex-post facto' approval to the proposal for appointment of Respondent No.2 on the post of 'C.E.O., Smart City Development Corporation Limited (SCDCL), Nashik'. Hence, in compliance of the order passed by Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay on 14.12.2023 in Writ Petition No.15453/2023; it is again directed that 'Interim Relief' granted on 31.08.2023 to Applicant is continued till 'Next Date' as the post of 'C.E.O., Smart City Development Corporation Limited (SCDCL), Nasik' cannot be left vacant and Respondent No.2 can be permitted to join only if 'ex-post facto' approval is received for his appointment from 'Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs, Government of India'.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. thereupon states that the 'Urban Development Department' has been keenly pursuing with 'Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs,</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Government of India' to grant 'ex-post facto' approval to the proposal for appointment of Respondent No.2. to the post of 'C.E.O., Smart City Development Corporation Limited (SCDCL), Nashik'.</p> <p>7. Learned Advocate for the Applicant as well as learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 concur with the proposition then made by learned P.O. against this backdrop; that O.A. No. 1130/2023 may be fixed for 'Final Hearing'. Accordingly; O.A. No. 1130/2023 is now fixed for 'Final Hearing' on 24.01.2024. However, learned P.O. to mention for earlier date of 'Final Hearing'; if 'ex post facto' approval is received earlier from 'Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs, Government of India' for appointment of Respondent No.2 to the post of 'C.E.O., Smart City Development Corporation Limited (SCDCL), Nasik'.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 24.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.01.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.03 of 2024</p> <p>S.D. LandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has been transferred on 15.12.2023 from the post of 'API' in Anti-Terrorist Squad (ATS) Mumbai' to establishment of 'Police Commissioner Railways; Mumbai'. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for grant of 'Interim Relief'. 4. Learned P.O. produces copy of 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'P.E.B.-II' dated 11.12.2023 which records instances of conduct of Applicant which are not in conformity with the sensitive role and responsibilities of 'API' working in 'Anti-Terrorist Squad (A.T.S.) Mumbai'. 5. Learned P.O. seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O. 6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.01.2024.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 29.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**MHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL NO. 5
MUMBAI**

of 20

on No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION

ce Memoranda of Ceram,
Tribunal's orders or
d Registrar's orders

O.A.1489/2023

P.T. Jagtap

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. Pursuant to order dated 14.12.2023, learned PO submits that added Respondent No.2 - MPSC has taken decision in this OA No.1489/2023 on 21.12.2023 and it was communicated to State Government on the same day. None present for Respondent-State Government. We are surprised to come across such a cavalier approach of the concerned officer from State Government while attending the Tribunal.

3. S.O. to 4th January, 2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
03.01.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
03.01.2024

(skw)

**MHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

of 20

on No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUED

Memoranda of Coram,
Tribunal's orders or
Registrar's orders

O.A.82/2023

B.M. Makwana & Ors.

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 4th January, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
03.01.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
03.01.2024

(skw)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MEMORANDUM**

Page No. _____ of 20

Sl. No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUED FROM PAGE NO. _____

See Memoranda of Cases,
Tribunal's orders or
Registrar's orders

O.A.1604/2023 with M.A.808/2023

G.K. Mandlik & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs. ... Respondents
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Puneet Fonia along with Shri Shashank Shubham, learned Advocates holding for Shri A.V. Sakolkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the Applicants submits that 3 Applicants have received appointment orders from the State Government and therefore, they wish to withdraw OA along with MA. The written communication through e-mail dated 03.01.2024 is taken on record and marked as 'Court Exhibit-1'.

3. In view of above, allowed to withdraw OA as well as MA.

Sd/- / Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Member-A / Chairperson
03.01.2024 / 03.01.2024

(skw)

**MHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

of 20

ion No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION

ee Memoranda of Ceram,
Tribunal's orders or
d Registrar's orders

O.A.1406/2023 with O.A.1450/2023,
O.A.1477/2023 and O.A.1549/2023

Dr. S.H. Darveshi & Anr. ... Applicants
Vs. ... Respondents
The State of Mah. & Ors.

1. Heard Shri Vijay Singh, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Learned CPO files reply in OA No. 1450/23, OA No.1477/23 and OA No.1549/2023
3. Learned Advocate for the Applicants wants to file Rejoinder.
4. In these matters, it is necessary to hear and decide the issue of extension of age of retirement.
5. Learned CPO has submitted that more matters are likely to be filed and the issue will remain undecided. Though the issue involved in all these matters is the same, it will be heard and decided finally.
6. S.O. to 24th January, 2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Member-A Chairperson
03.01.2024 03.01.2024

(skw)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

of 23

on No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION OF THE NO.

See Memoranda of Ceram,
Tribunal's orders or
Registrar's orders

O.A.1107/2023 with O.A.1121/2023
with O.A.761/2023 with O.A.1021/2023
with O.A.1059/2023 with
O.A.1297/2023 and O.A.1370/2023

Dr. N.P. Banage & Ors.

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Vijay Singh, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. Learned CPO submits that she is ready for argument in OA No.1021/2023. So, we separate this OA No.1021/2021 from entire group.

3. Though reply is filed in November, 2023 in OA No.1021/2021, the Applicants have not filed Rejoinder.

4. We make it clear that OA No.1021/2023 will be heard after two weeks peremptorily on 10th January, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.

5. Remaining OAs are adjourned for filing Rejoinder to 24th January, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
03.01.2024

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
03.01.2024

(skw)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Case No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET

See Memoranda of Ceram,
Tribunal's orders or
Registrar's orders

O.A.1226/2023

Dr. A.S. Chandanwale

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondent No.1 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.

2. Last chance is given to learned CPO to file Sur-Rejoinder. If Sur-Rejoinder is not filed, the matter will proceed without Sur-Rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 10th January, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
03.01.2024

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
03.01.2024

(skw)

**MHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

of 20

on No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION WITH NO

ce Memoranda of Ceram,
Tribunal's orders or
d Registrar's orders

M.A.07/2024 in O.A.1560/2023

C.A. Aher & Anr. ... Interveners
And
B.M. More & Ors. ... Applicants
V/s.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Interveners, Shri A.S. Raktade, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate has filed MA for intervention in the above OA and prayed for vacating stay granted by this Tribunal on 22.12.2023. The copy of Intervention Application is served on Applicants and Respondents yesterday.
3. The parties to file Affidavit-in-Reply, if any.
4. S.O. to 11th January, 2024.

Sd/- Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
 Member-A Chairperson
 03.01.2024 03.01.2024

(skw)

**MHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

of 20

on No.

FARAD CONTINUOUS

ce Memoranda of Ceram,
Tribunal's orders or
d Registrar's orders

M.A.644/2023 in O.A.460/2023

K.B. Ghodke & Ors.

... Applicants

V/s.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Gaikwar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 9th January, 2024 as 'Part Heard'.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(~~Debashish Chakrabarty~~) /
Member-A
03.01.2024

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
03.01.2024

(skw)

**MHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

of 20

ion No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUED

Memoranda of Ceram,
Tribunal's orders or
d Registrar's orders

M.A.644/2023 in O.A.460/2023

K.B. Ghodke & Ors. ... Applicants
V/s. ... Respondents
The State of Mah. & Ors.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Gaikwar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. S.O. to 9th January, 2024 as 'Part Heard'.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
03.01.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
03.01.2024

(skw)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

of 20

on No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION

ce Memoranda of Ceram,
Tribunal's orders or
d Registrar's orders

M.A.07/2024 in O.A.1560/2023

C.A. Aher & Anr. ... Interveners
And
B.M. More & Ors. ... Applicants
V/s.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Interveners, Shri A.S. Raktade, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate has filed MA for intervention in the above OA and prayed for vacating stay granted by this Tribunal on 22.12.2023. The copy of Intervention Application is served on Applicants and Respondents yesterday.

3. The parties to file Affidavit-in-Reply, if any.

4. S.O. to 11th January, 2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
03.01.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
03.01.2024

(skw)

**MHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

of 20

on No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION

Memoranda of Ceram,
Tribunal's orders or
Registrar's orders

O.A.1226/2023

Dr. A.S. Chandanwale ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondent No.1 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.
2. Last chance is given to learned CPO to file Sur-Rejoinder. If Sur-Rejoinder is not filed, the matter will proceed without Sur-Rejoinder.
3. S.O. to 10th January, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
03.01.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
03.01.2024

(skw)

**MHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

of 20

on No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUED

Memoranda of Claim,
Tribunal's orders or
Registrar's orders

O.A.1107/2023 with O.A.1121/2023
with O.A.761/2023 with O.A.1021/2023
with O.A.1059/2023 with
O.A.1297/2023 and O.A.1370/2023

Dr. N.P. Banage & Ors.

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Vijay Singh, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Learned CPO submits that she is ready for argument in OA No.1021/2023. So, we separate this OA No.1021/2021 from entire group.
3. Though reply is filed in November, 2023 in OA No.1021/2021, the Applicants have not filed Rejoinder.
4. We make it clear that OA No.1021/2023 will be heard after two weeks peremptorily on 10th January, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.
5. Remaining OAs are adjourned for filing Rejoinder to 24th January, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
03.01.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
03.01.2024

(skw)

**MHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

of 20

No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION OF THE NO.

Memoranda of Ceram,
Tribunal's orders or
Registrar's orders

O.A.1406/2023 with O.A.1450/2023,
O.A.1477/2023 and O.A.1549/2023

Dr. S.H. Darveshi & Anr. ... Applicants
Vs. ... Respondents
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Vijay Singh, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Learned CPO files reply in OA No. 1450/23, OA No.1477/23 and OA No.1549/2023
3. Learned Advocate for the Applicants wants to file Rejoinder.
4. In these matters, it is necessary to hear and decide the issue of extension of age of retirement.
5. Learned CPO has submitted that more matters are likely to be filed and the issue will remain undecided. Though the issue involved in all these matters is the same, it will be heard and decided finally.
6. S.O. to 24th January, 2024.

sf/
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
03.01.2024

sf/
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
03.01.2024

(skw)

**MHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

of 20

No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION PAGE NO. 04

Memoranda of Decree,
Tribunal's orders or
Registrar's orders

O.A.1604/2023 with M.A.808/2023

G.K. Mandlik & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs. ... Respondents
The State of Mah. & Ors.

1. Heard Shri Puneet Fonia along with Shri Shashank Shubham, learned Advocates holding for Shri A.V. Sakolkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned Advocate for the Applicants submits that 3 Applicants have received appointment orders from the State Government and therefore, they wish to withdraw OA along with MA. The written communication through e-mail dated 03.01.2024 is taken on record and marked as 'Court Exhibit-1'.
3. In view of above, allowed to withdraw OA as well as MA.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
03.01.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
03.01.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1037 of 2019 & 9 Ors.</u></p> <p>1. Dr. G.B. Taware (OA.1037/2019) 2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath (OA.1039/2019) 3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar (OA.1040/2019) 4. Dr. N.B. Masaram (OA.1041/2019) 5. Dr. V.P. Doijad (OA.1042/2019) 6. C.G. Patil (OA.1237/2019) 7. Dr. M.M. Pawar (OA.1238/2019) 8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal (OA.1239/2019) 9. Dr. P.N. Rathi (OA.1240/2019) 10. Dr. A.B. Pandav (OA.1241/2019)</p> <p align="right">..Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants in Sr. No.1 to 5, Smt. Savita Suryavanshi holding for Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for Applicants in Sr. No.6 to 10 and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The issue in these matters relates to regularization and pay fixation as per GR dated 22.10.2016 issued by the Medical Education & Drugs Department. Since I was working as Additional Chief Secretary of Medical Education Department during 2015 to 2017, I recuse myself from this matter.</p> <p>3. Registry is directed to place the above matters before the Hon'ble Chairperson for assigning the matter to appropriate Bench at the earliest.</p> <p>4. Interim relief granted by order dated 24.10.2019 to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadhgil) Member (A) 3.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.825 of 2023</p> <p>S.M. Sarangdhar & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. states that she will confirm with Respondent Nos.2 & 3 whether they want to file separate 'Affidavits-in-Reply'. 3. Learned P.O. accordingly seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavits-in-Reply' if any; on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 & 3. 4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder'. 5. S.O. to 24.01.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.01.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.11 of 2024 in O.A. No.1319 of 2023</p> <p>V.A. Lokare,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3. is absent.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed M.A. No.11/2024 in O.A. No.1319/2023 for 'Amendment' in O.A. No.1319/2023. Leave to amend as prayed for in M.A. No.11/2024 is allowed. Accordingly, M.A. No.11/2024 in O.A. No.1319/2023 is disposed off with 'No Order as to Costs'.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1319 of 2023</p> <p>V.A. Lokare,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate is not present on behalf of Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.939 of 2023</p> <p>G.D. KaradApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 02.01.2024 on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. However this 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 02.01.2024 is silent on the information sought as per 'Para 3' and 'Para 4' of Order dated 20.12.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to file 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' specifically with respect to information sought in 'Para 3' and 'Para 4' of Order dated 20.12.2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (<u>Debashish Chakrabarty</u>) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 309/2017</u></p> <p>P.B Wankhede ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O to 10.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.743 & 744 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. K.S. Madar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 5.2.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1424 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.D. Ombase & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO is directed to file reply of respondents no.1 & 3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1365 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.D. Pujari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.1.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1312 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. R.S. Kelkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.1.2024 for final hearing. HOB. The respondents are directed to take steps so that applicant is given provisional pension.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1308 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.A. Sonawane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. PO files reply dated 11.12.2023 of respondent no.1 to 3.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 7.2.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.01.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1207 of 2023</p> <p>R.B. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Applicant. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.01.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1255 of 2023</p> <p>B.A. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Applicant. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1111/2023</u></p> <p>S.M Rasal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri S.M Rasal, applicant in person absent. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the matter is to be heard on the point of jurisdiction.</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1111/2023</u></p> <p>S.M Rasal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri S.M Rasal, applicant in person absent. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the matter is to be heard on the point of jurisdiction.</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1395/2023</u></p> <p>D.M Bhoir & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.S Jadhav i/b Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any. Rejoinder to be filed one day earlier before the next date.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 12.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>Sd/-</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <small>Akn</small> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 217/2023</u></p> <p>B.M Belbandare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that they have received para wise instructions in the matter and prays for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted to the Respondents to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O to 31.1.2024.</p> <p><i>sd/-</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p><i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 231/2023</u></p> <p>V.U Lokhande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant request for time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.1.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>Sd/-</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <small>Akn</small> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 249/2023</u></p> <p>A.A Kale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that affidavit in reply is filed today.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, matter adjourned to 17.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sd/-</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 390/2023</u></p> <p>P.M Mire & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 17.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1001/2023</u></p> <p>S.D Davbhat & Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 31.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1104/2023</u></p> <p>K.M Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Archana Joglekar i/b Shri S.R Page, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 28.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1252/2023</u></p> <p>M.R Batule ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 17.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1257/2023</u></p> <p>S.S Kadav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 31.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sd/-</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1288/2023</u></p> <p>L.G Gavande & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.1.2024.</p> <p><i>sdt</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p><i>sdt</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.01.2024

C.A.No.1/2024 in O.A.No.1268/2022

B.D. Kamble & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.
3. Time granted. Adjourned to 18.01.2024.

SD/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

SD/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.01.2024

C.A.No.101/2023 in O.A.No.997/2017

A.K. GharatApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant was not present when the matter was called out.

3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that all the monetary benefits have been granted to the applicant. However, the proposal for regular pension has been sanctioned by the office of Accountant General yesterday 02.01.2024.

4. At the request of learned C.P.O. for filing reply and further compliance adjourned to 07.02.2024.

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

SD/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.01.2024

C.A.No.88/2023 in O.A.No.110/2020

A.S. Azami @ S. NadeemApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Ms. R. Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.
3. Learned P.O. has submitted that the service of the Applicant is regularized vide order dated 09.11.2023. Learned P.O. has further submitted that order of gratuity and provisional pension will be issued today itself. Moreover, she has further submitted that the proposal for pension is being sent to the office of Accountant General today.
4. Adjourned to 24.01.2024 for further compliance.

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

SD/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.01.2024</p> <p>C.A.No.112/2023 in O.A.No.214/2022 with O.A.No.215/2022 with O.A.No.216/2022</p> <p>S.T. Motkari & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant was not present when the matter was called out.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. seeks two weeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 17.01.2024.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.01.2024

C.A.No.102/2023 in O.A.No.347/2019

P.A. GangardeApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Hon'ble High Court has placed the matter for final disposal on 23.01.2024.

3. In view of above, adjourned to 30.01.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.01.2024

**C.A.No.116/2023 in O.A.No.1226/2022 with
C.A.No.2/2024 in O.A.No.1226/2022**

R.N. Khune & Ors. ...Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.B. Chandan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In view that the order of the Tribunal passed in O.A. is challenged before the Hon'ble High Court and the same placed on board on 11.01.2024, learned C.P.O. seeks time. Learned C.P.O. for seeks time to file reply.

3. Learned Counsel has submitted that in all these two fresh C.As all the 14 applicants are from reserved category but however they have not taken favour of reservation at any stage for promotion.

4. Adjourned to 18.01.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.01.2024</p> <p>C.A.No.113/2023 in O.A.No.700/2022</p> <p>R.R. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant was not present when the matter was called out.3. Learned C.P.O. seeks two weeks time to file reply.4. Adjourned to 17.01.2024. <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.01.2024

C.A.No.40/2022 in O.A.No.733/2021

S.P. KolteApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed leave note. Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 was not present when the matter was called out.

3. Learned P.O. Ms. Archana has submitted that the Private Respondent No.2 has obtained stay from the Hon'ble High Court vide Writ Petition (Stamp) NO.12819/2022.

4. Adjourned to 28.02.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Case No.

FARAD CONTINUATION PAGE NO.

See Memoranda of Cases,
Tribunal's orders and
Registrar's orders

O.A.1191/2023

U.B. Sankpal ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. In this matter, we found that enquiry initiated against the Applicant is for the loss of revenue of Rs.650 Crores. The charge-sheet is served on the Applicant on 07.03.2014. The Enquiry Report was given on 15.06.2021. Thereafter, decision was taken after four and half month and the same was communicated to the Applicant on 26.12.2022.

3. The record shows that Enquiry Report was served on the Applicant on 13.12.2022 under Panchanama which is marked as 'Exb. R-2'. We failed to understand why this Enquiry Report was not served earlier and on that point, we direct the concerned person of concerned Department who was responsible and authorized to take decision even after receiving the reply to remain present on tomorrow i.e. on 04th January, 2024 at 2.20 PM.

4. S.O. to 4th January, 2024 at 2.20 PM.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(**Debashish Chakrabarty**) / (**Mridula Bhatkar, J.**)
Member-A Chairperson
03.01.2024 03.01.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1158 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.J. Chiprikar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 9.11.2023 of the respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.1.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1126 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.A.R. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.1.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1115 of 2023</u></p> <p>G.B. Durande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 31.1.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.980 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.G. Jagtap ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO is filing reply dated 22.12.2023 of respondent no.3.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.1.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file reply and rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.736 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.S. Nalawade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.W. Sawant holding for Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO is filing reply dated 7.12.2023 of respondent no.3 and seeks time to file reply of respondents no.1 & 2.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.1.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.542 of 2023</u></p> <p>B.A. Koli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.2.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.809 of 2023 in O.A. No.1605 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.K. Badve ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 30.1.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA & O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1042 of 2023</u></p> <p>E.D. Mahadik ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Responsible officer from the office of Respondent no.1 is directed to remain present along with information as to why pension is not given to the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.1.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.555 of 2020</u></p> <p>D.N. Lokhare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Shubham Gurav holding for Shri V.V. Ugale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant wants to file short affidavit pursuant to the queries raised by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.1.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.1.2024 (sgj) </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.1.2024 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.386 of 2022 with O.A. No.552 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.P. Satpute A.P. Shete ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA No.386/2022 & for Respondent No.4 in OA No.552/2023, Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant in OA No.552/2023 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri P.R. Koparde, Desk Officer, Public Works Department is present in the Court. Ld. PO on instructions seeks time to file affidavit of Additional Chief Secretary or Principal Secretary.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.1.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.1.2024 (sg) </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.1.2024 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.180 of 2017</u></p> <p>Ravindra A. Konapure ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and his advocate both are absent.</p> <p>2. In this matter the applicant challenges his dismissal order dated 20.10.2014 passed by Collector, Solapur and the appellate order dated 13.10.2015 and he further prays that his suspension period of 7 years be treated as duty period and he be reinstated and he be given consequential pecuniary service benefits.</p> <p>3. This is a matter of 2017. The matter was on board on 21.11.2023 and adjourned to 5.12.2023. It appeared on 5.12.2023 however noting of the said date is not available in the record. So we check the board of 5.12.2023 and we found that Sheristedar has given the date of 6.2.2024. Hence, the matter will be heard on 6.2.2024.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 6.2.2024. HOB.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.1.2024 3.1.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.285 of 2017</u></p> <p>R.K. Padmane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter appeared on 6.12.2023 and on that date Shri C.T. Chandratre, Ld. Advocate for the applicant sought time to file affidavit. Shri K.R. Jagdale, Ld. Advocate submits that Shri Chandratre, Ld. Advocate has asked for one weeks time to file affidavit.</p> <p>3. Time granted. S.O. to 10.1.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.1.2024 (sgj) </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.1.2024 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.397 of 2017</u></p> <p>A.N. Shirsat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri S.S. Dere, Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed Leave Note.</p> <p>3. In this OA the applicant has worked for 13 days as replacement of Teacher and he prays that his case is to be considered as per GR dated 2.3.1991 and 1.12.1994 and he be taken into service.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8.1.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.1.2024 (sgj) </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.1.2024 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.69 of 2022 in O.A. No.339 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.V. Mohite ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that pursuant to order dated 22.9.2023 the respondents have published the seniority list on 29.12.2023. Ld. PO submits that final order will be issued before 16.1.2024 about the deemed date.</p> <p>3. In view of the above CA disposed off.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.1.2024 (sgj) </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.1.2024 </p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>RA.15/2023 in MA.780/2023 in OA.414/2021</u></p> <p>Dr. N.S. Padmapani ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Bhoomika Vyas holding for Shri Sangram Chinnappa, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent S.O. to 5.1.2024 at 10.00 a.m. through Videoconferencing.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.1.2024 </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.1.2024 </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>