ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 25/2021 (Shankar P. Dange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Akshay Malviya, learned Counsel holding for Shri R.J. Nirmal, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for respondent no. 4, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.3.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 183/2021 (Satyajeet M. Ambhore Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Vishal P. Bakal, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 12.4.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 445/2021 (Gautam C. Deolalikar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE : 2.3.2022** 

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 13.4.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 600/2021 (Subhash G. Dhuture Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Counsel holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 13.4.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. 579/2019 IN O.A. 871/2018 (State of Maharashtra & Ors. Vs. Dr. Chandrakant S. Shete)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the applicants in the M.A. / respondents in O.A. and Shri Swaraj Tandale, learned Counsel holding for Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Counsel for respondents in the M.A. / applicants in O.A., are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 5.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. 40/2021 IN O.A. ST. 30/2021 (Ashok M. Prakashkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri D.A. Mane, learned counsel for the applicant (absent). Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present. Shri S.P. Pandit, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 1, 4, 5 & 6 (absent).

2. In view of absence of learned Counsel for the applicant & learned Counsel for respondent nos. 1, 4, 5 & 6, S.O. to 6.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. 398/2021 IN O.A. ST. 1666/2021 (Sadashiv S. Shingare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 1.4.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 556/2014 (Sanjay R. Patange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 7.4.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 575/2014 (Deepak B. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 7.4.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

T.A. 7/2016 (W.P. 1533/2015) (Chandrakala Kacharu Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Sasim R. Shaikh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 3 to 6 (absent).

2. S.O. to 8.4.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 845/2016 (D.B. Bagul Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 8.4.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 899/2017 (Dr. Vandana S. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri G.N. Khanzode, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri Gunratna Sadavarte / Ramdas Gaikwad, learned Counsel for respondent no. 4 (absent).

2. S.O. to 12.4.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 57/2018 (Uttam T. Dabhade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE : 2.3.2022** 

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 12.4.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 213/2018 (Balaji N. Sontakke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 13.4.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 297/2018 (Ajay R. Umale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri V.V. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 13.4.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 374/2018 (Girish B. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Counsel holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 18.4.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 569/2018 (Prashant A. Bonge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri S.S. Ware, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 18.4.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 833/2018 (Prashant A. Falke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Naseem R. Shaikh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 8.4.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 937/2018 (Pradip S. Dahale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Counsel holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.4.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 537/2019 (Narendra R. Thakur Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.4.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 369/2020 (Uttam G. Salve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Counsel holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 20.4.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P.NO. 6/2022 IN O.A.NO. 700/2018 (Vasan S. Bhykate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) WITH C.P.NO. 7/2022 IN O.A.NO. 699/2019 (Madan B. Rode Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 2.3.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned counsel for the applicants in both these cases and S/Shri V.R. Bhumkar & N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respective respondents in respective cases.

2. When the present contempt petitions are taken up for consideration today after having heard the learned counsel for the applicants and learned Presenting Officers, it appears to us that the contempt petitions would not lie for the reason that as per the direction as was issued by this Tribunal vide order dated 30.8.2019 passed in both OAs, the representation of the applicant in O.A. No. 699/2019 has been decided by the authority concerned. If at all the said applicant is aggrieved with the said order the remedy is not to file contempt petition. Contempt Petition, therefore, deserves to be disposed of. It would be open for the applicant to avail the appropriate remedy.

3. It is brought to our notice that in C.P. No. 6/2022 the representation has not been decided. It is a matter of

:: - 2 - :: **C.P. NOS. 6 & 7 BOTH OF 2022** 

record that O.A. No. 700/2018 and O.A. No. 699/2019 were decided by a common order since the issue raised in both the OAs was common. In the circumstances, the representation of the applicant in O.A. No. 700/2018 from which the contempt petition No. 6/2022 has arisen be deemed to have decided on the similar lines on which the representation of the applicant in O.A. No. 699/2019 has been decided. Thus, it would be open for the applicant in the said application also to avail the appropriate remedy.

4. With the above observations both the contempt petitions stand disposed of without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

### O.A.NOS. 904 TO 906 ALL OF 2019 (Vaishali N. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 2.3.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri A.T. Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicant in these cases (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Since none appears for the applicant, S.O. to 20.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 190 OF 2022 (Devendra S. Gunday Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

2.3.2022 DATE

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 19.4.2022.
- Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 19.4.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 144 OF 2022 (Dr. Dayanand P. Jagtap Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 2.3.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 19.4.2022.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 19.4.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

#### MEMBER (A)

M.A.NO. 426/2021 IN O.A.NO 112/2020 (Shital B. Tarate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 21.3.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P.NO. 41/2019 IN O.A.NO. 25/2018 (Sachin R. Salve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant, on instructions, submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

3. In view of the above, list the present case for hearing on 11.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 256 OF 2018 (Avinash P. Chandra & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. Learned counsel for the applicants has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 11.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 271 OF 2019 (Avinash R. Kamble & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri H.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 11.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 792 OF 2019 (Dattaprasad H. Galphade Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Prashant D. Suryawanshi, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for respondent No. 3, are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 12.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 166 OF 2020 (Shashikant P. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 145 OF 2021 (Jagdish N. Saindane Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Ms. Archana Bhange, learned counsel holding for Shri A.S. Bayas, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 7 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned counsel for the applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 13.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 478 OF 2021 (Syed Mujajed Syed Qutbuddin Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE : 2.3.2022** 

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned counsel for the applicant has filed leave note. Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 28.3.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 511 OF 2021 (Dr. Sujitkumar S. Randive Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri Vinod M. Vibhute, learned counsel for respondent No. 5, are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 18.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 564 OF 2021 (Suhas S. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 18.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 634 OF 2021 (Shankar S. Wagmare Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri V.S. Panpatte, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 19.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 28 OF 2022 (Girish A. Bibave & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 29.3.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO. 67/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 268/2021 (Chikkahayakanahalli D. Krishnaiah Setty Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 2.3.2022

## **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. Await service for respondent Nos. 1 to 3.
- 3. S.O. to 6.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO. 109/2020 IN O.A.NO. 927/2019 (Sunil S. Kuvar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 6.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO. 202/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO. 443/2020 (Rama L. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 7.4.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A.NOS. 698/2021, 140/2022 & 13/2022 (Jitesh P. Wagh & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

S/Shri S.D. Munde & S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the respective applicants in respective cases and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases, are present.

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 in O.A. No. 698/2021 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 4.3.2022. High on board.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A. NOS. 717/2019, 773/2019, 766/2019, 794/2019, 120/2019, 66/2020, 809/ 2019, 195/2020, 705/2018, 234/2020, 301/2020, 22/2020, 253/2020, 254/2020, 303/2019, 492/2019, 571/2021, O.A.ST.NO.1185/2019, (Rajendra A. Shirsath & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 2.3.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

S/shri Amit Savale, A.S. Deshmukh, D.T. Devane, P.B. Rakhunde, K.G. Salunke, A.B. Rajkar, learned counsel holding for K.B.Jadhav, & S.G.Kulkarni learned Counsel holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicants in all the respective cases and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases, are present.

2. S.O. to 17.3.2022 for further consideration.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P. 1/22 IN M.A.1/22 IN T.A.2/21 (W.P.2612/21) WITH M.A.337/21 & M.A. 309/21 (Smt. Samiksha Chandrakar & Anr. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 2.3.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicants, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri U.S. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant in M.A.No. 337/201, are present.

- 2. Learned counsel for the applicant has tendered across the bar rejoinder affidavit in M.A. No. 1/2022 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 23.3.2022.

MEMBER (A)

T.A.NO. 1/21 (W.P.NO. 4908/2021) (Shivaji T. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 2.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2, Shri U.S. Patil, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 to 5 and Shri A.S. Mirajgaonkar, learned counsel holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 6 to 8, are present.

- 2. Learned counsel for the applicant has tendered across the bar rejoinder affidavit and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 23.3.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 855 OF 2019 (Shobha R. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Shritej Surve, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3 before Covid-19 pandemic situation.

3. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.4.

4. S.O. to 21.03.2022.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 993 OF 2019 (Dileep R. Joshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note**. Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 21.03.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 994 OF 2019

(Dileep K. Thorat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note**. Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the

respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the

respondents.

3. S.O. to 21.03.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 536 OF 2020

(Ravi U. Vanjare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Sri G.J. Karne, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 01.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 107 OF 2021 (Sukhdeo T. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri P.B. Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. As per the Circular No. MAT/MUM/ESTT/732/2021, dated 25/28.05.2021 issued by the Hon'ble Chairperson of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai, the matters regarding time bound promotion and ACPS are to be dealt with by the Division Bench. The Original Application is pertaining to Time Bound Promotion.
- 3. In view of the same, the present matter be placed before the Division Bench for further hearing.
- 4. S.O. to 06.04.2022.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 178 OF 2021 (Nandkishor C. Ramdin Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the respondents submits that he would file affidavit-in-reply positively on the next date.

3. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 06.04.2022.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 201 OF 2021

(Vasant G. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file affidavit-inrejoinder.

3. S.O. to 22.03.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 306 OF 2021

(Suwarna S. Beder Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Onkar Gholap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf

of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 07.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 316 OF 2021

(Manikarani N. Rankhamb @ Monikarani S. Kanke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The order is not complied with inspite of various dates.

3. At the request of the learned P.O., S.O. to 03.03.2022 for making statement.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 394 OF 2021 (Rekha R. Mohite & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Shritej Surve, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicants do not wish to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 06.04.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 411 OF 2021

(Bharat L. Bhillare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 02.03.2022

ORAL ORDER:

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Smt. Deepali S Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3.

3. Affidavit-in-reply today filed on behalf of the respondent No.4 is taken on record.

4. Learned P.O. for the respondents has deposited extra copy of affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent No.4 for the applicant.

5. S.O.to 04.04.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 431 OF 2021 (Sabirabi H. Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri Sartaj H. Pathan/ Patel Syed Farhan, learned Advocates for the respondent Nos.3 to 6 are **absent**. Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 and 2.

- 2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 2.
- 3. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant and learned Advocates for the respondent Nos.3 to 6, S.O. to 15.03.2022.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 457 OF 2021

(Sahil A. Kankal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Smt. Pratibha R. Jamdhade, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Maya R. Jamdhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 4. Nobody is present on behalf of the respondent No.5, though she is duly served.

2. Learned P.O. for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 submits that the para-wise remarks are approved and therefore, he seeks short time for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. In view of above, one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1to 4.

4. S.O. to 15.03.2022.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 458 OF 2021 (Mahendra K. Yangade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Smt. Pratibha R. Jamdhade, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Maya R. Jamdhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. for the respondents submits that the para-wise remarks are approved and therefore, she seeks short time for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.
- 3. In view of above, one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.
- 4. S.O. to 15.03.2022.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 466 OF 2021 (Dr. Yashwant M. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice on the respondent No.1.
- 3. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.04.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 470 OF 2021 (Nanda K. Kshirsagar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note**. Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the respondents submits that the reply is approved and seeks short time for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. In view of above, most last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

4. S.O. to 14.03.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 514 OF 2021 (Gautam G. Dhule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 and 3 is taken on record.

3. Learned P.O. has deposited extra copy of said affidavit-in-reply for the applicant.

4. S.O. to 04.04.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 515 OF 2021 (Mansab G. Khan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

# **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note**. Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

1

- 2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 21.03.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 524 OF 2021 (Hanumant R. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 3 (wrongly mentioned as respondent Nos.1 to 3).

3. Learned P.O. today filed affidavits-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 and 4 separately. The same are taken on record.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant has received the copies of the said reply.

5. S.O. to 08.04.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 533 OF 2021

(Diwakar M. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri C.V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 15.03.2022 for admission. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 541 OF 2021 (Dr. Mangesh M. Ghodke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Jayant S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3. Nobody present on behalf of the respondent No.4, though duly served.

- 2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3.
- 3. S.O. to 29.03.2022.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 583 OF 2021 (Jitendra M. Panje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Swaraj S. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 14.03.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 585 OF 2021

(Latabai D. Avhad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 08.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 591 OF 2021 (Vaishnavi S. Landage Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri R.O. Awasarmal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sushant B. Chaudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 2 is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has received the copy of said reply.

4. S.O. to 07.04.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 602 OF 2021

(Pravin N. Nemade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Amol Bhagat, learned Advocate holding for Shri H.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of all the respondents is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has received the copy of said reply.

4. S.O. to 08.04.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 666 OF 2021 (Bhagwan R. Shewale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., S.O. to 04.03.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 714 OF 2021

(Rahul G. Malsamindar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 11.04.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.417 OF 2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.1785 OF 2018

(Dangal S. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note**. Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the

respondents.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the

applicant, S.O. to 07.04.2022 for filing affidavit-in-

rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.18 OF 2020 IN O.A.NO.81 OF 2018 (Sayyed Wali Abdul Khadar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri L.S. Shaikh, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.A. Bide, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 11.03.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.43 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.72 OF 2021 (Khan Mohammed Arbaz Mohammed Kazimkhan Vs. State of

Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shaikh Wajeed Ahmed, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned

Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., one more last

chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf

of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 06.04.2022

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 680 OF 2019 (Harunbhai Hussaibhai Sayyed Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 02.03.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Abasaheb D. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 170 OF 2022 (Rajaram R. Zende Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10.03.2022.

MEMBER (J)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 194 OF 2022 (Alkesh B. Naglot Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 06.04.2022
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 06.04.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.89 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.340 OF 2022 (Prakash N. Thorat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks permission to make amendment in the Misc. Application stating delay of 17 years instead of 5 years.
- 3. Permission as prayed for is granted.
- 4. The applicant to carry out the amendment forthwith and to serve the copy of amended M.A. on the other side.
- 5. Issue notice to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 11.04.2022
- 6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

- 7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 10. S.O. to 11.04.2022.
- 11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 200 OF 2018

(Dinkar G. Shahane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Onkar Gholap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 520 OF 2020 (Mutazabaddin Abdul Waheb Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.04.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 484 OF 2021 (Shrimant M. Ubale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter be treated as part heard.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10.03.2022 for filing short affidavit.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 506 OF 2021

(Ranjana A. Barde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter be treated as part heard.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10.03.2022 for filing short affidavit.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 172 OF 2021 (Baliram S. Pandule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 31.03.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 647 OF 2021 (Vinod V. Gundamwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4.

- 2. During the course of arguments it transpires that in letter dated 30.09.2021 (Annex. 'A-3') addressed by the Collector, Nanded to the Principal Secretary cum Chief Election Officer, Maharashtra State, there is reference to the guidelines of Election Commission of India incorporated in letters dated 16.01.2019 and 16.08.2014.
- 3. Record shows that in affidavit-in-reply reference letter dated 16.08.2014 is produced by the respondent Nos.1 to 3 at page no.49 of Paper Book. However, another letter dated 16.01.2019 of the Election Commissioner of India is not produced on record. In order to address the controversy raised, the said letter would be necessary.

//2// O.A.No.647/2021

- 4. In view of the same, the learned P.O. to produce the said letter on record to facilitate further hearing of the matter.
- Today, learned P.O. has placed on record the 5. photo copy of the original record in respect of impugned transfer order of the applicant. The same is taken on record at page no.67 onwards of the Paper Book and the copies of the same are supplied to learned Advocate for the applicant and learned Advocate for the respondent No.4.
- The present matter be treated as part heard. 6.
- 7. S.O. to 08.03.2022.

M.A.ST.NO.2404/19 WITH M.A.ST.NO.2224/2019 IN O.A.NO.277/2017

(Shaikh Mehboob Abudl Kareem (Deceased) through his L.Rs. Salimabee Mehboob Shaikh & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The Original Application No.277 of 2017 was filed by original applicant i.e. Shaikh Mehbood Abdul Kareem for payment of pensionary benefits which were withheld. During pendency of the said Original Application, the said original applicant died on 30.05.2019.
- 3. In view of the same, the present applicants who are claiming to be heirs and legal representatives of the original applicant made M.A.St.No.2223/2019 for bringing their names on record as heirs and legal representatives of the original applicant. As there was delay in making the said application, they also separately moved M.A.St.No.2224/2019 for delay condonation. They, also, moved separate application bearing M.A.St.No.2409/2019 for setting

aside abatement order and bringing their names on record by condoning the delay of 4 months and 13 days.

- In view of the same, all these applications may be disposed of by this common order. It is a fact that of during pendency the Original **Application** No.277/2017, the original applicant died 30.05.2019. No application for bringing on record heirs and legal representatives of the original applicant was made in prescribed period of limitation. proceedings said to have been abated. There is delay of about 4 months and 13 days in making the application for bringing heirs and legal representatives on record. It is stated that the applicants were not aware about the proceedings.
- 5. Considering the prayer in the Original Application, right to sue would survive in these applicants. In the circumstances, in my considered opinion, this is a fit case to set aside abatement and to allow the applicants to be brought on record as heirs and legal representatives of the original applicant by condoning the delay. Hence I proceed to pass the following order:-

### //3//

#### ORDER

- (a) M.A.St.No.2404/2019 and M.A.St.No.2224/2019 are allowed.
- (b) The applicants are allowed to be brought on record as heirs and legal representatives of the original applicant by setting aside the abatement and by condoning the delay.
- (c) The amendment be carried out within two weeks and to serve the amended copy on the other side.
- (d) Accordingly, the Misc. Applications are disposed of with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.277 OF 2017 (Shaikh Mehboob Abudl Kareem (Deceased) through his L.Rs. Salimabee Mehboob Shaikh & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 21.03.2022.

MEMBER (J)

C.P.NO.35/2019 IN O.A.NO.59/2017 WITH O.A.NO.273/2017 (Vishwanath Baswante & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri V.P.Golewar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. Learned P.O. files sur-rejoinder on behalf of respondent no.5. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 07-04-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P.NO.17/2021 IN O.A.NO.127/2017 (Trimbak Tompe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri V.P.Golewar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned P.O. files sur-rejoinder on behalf of respondent no.5. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 07-04-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.650/2018 (Shivaji Phalgaonkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri S.D.Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 08-04-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.667/2018 (Gajanan Khot & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 08-04-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.669/2019 (Raju Rasede Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Ku. Archana Bhange learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Bayas, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 11-04-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1025/2019 (Dr. Sangeeta Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 11-04-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.58/2020 (Ritesh Kaware & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 02.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri R.K.Ashtekar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for respondent no.4, are present.

2. S.O. to 09-03-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.214/2022

(Babasaheb Asaram Awdhut V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

## Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai

- 1. Shri S.D.Munde ld. Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande ld. PO for respondents, are present.
- 2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 29.03.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **29.03.2022**.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.175/2022

(Dr. Asha Prabhakar Kathar V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### <u>Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,</u> M.A.T., Mumbai

- 1. Shri J.S.Deshmukh ld. Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude ld. PO for respondents, are present.
- 2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 05.04.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **05.04.2022**.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.176/2022

(Dr. Arun Ankushrao Ghuge V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### <u>Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,</u> M.A.T., Mumbai

- 1. Shri J.S.Deshmukh ld. Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse ld. PO for respondents, are present.
- 2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 05.04.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **05.04.2022**.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.177/2022**

(Dr. Pravin Karbhari Dhakne V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### <u>Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,</u> M.A.T., Mumbai

- 1. Shri J.S.Deshmukh ld. Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan ld. CPO for respondents, are present.
- 2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 05.04.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **05.04.2022**.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.