Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

MUMBAI			
Original Application No.	of 20	Dı	STRICT
			Applicant
(Advocate)		
	ve	rsus	
The State	e of Mah	arashtra and others	
			Respondent
Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's o	orders
	i	M.A.348/2021in O.	A.653/2021
	Shri	Yogesh Bhandalkar	Applicant
		Vs.	Intervener
	The S	tate of Maharashtra & And	Ors Respondents
	Shri I	P.K. Shelar	Ori. Applican
	Preser	Heard Shri D.B. khaird ant/Intervener, Mrs. ting Officer for Respond an, learned Advocate for Or	A.B. Kololgi, learne lents and Mrs. Punar
	transf from Pathba Engine post.	This M.A. is filed in per n Applicant Shri P.K. Sher order dated 21.08.2021 the post of Sub-Divisional andhare Vibhag, Pune for er, Water Resources Depa During the pendency of the ation is filed.	nelar has challenged hi whereby he is transferre al Officer, Mutha Cana to the Office of Chie artment, Pune on vacan
	ground Applic letter likely	Shri D.B. Khaire, lear ant sought permission to I that the additional charg ant has been given to hir lated 27.08.2021. He furt o be posted in place of App ssary party to the O.A.	intervene in O.A. on the of the post held by the by the Department by ther submits that he wa
	4. O.A. si	Whereas, the learned Adv Ibmits that Intervener has	
	5. pa s s a	The learned P.O. submits	s that the Tribunal ma
	by the materi order Applica Canal has to positio has be come t transfe	As such, M.A.No.348/202 on the ground of additional Applicant by order data at to note that the Tribunal dated 02.09.2021 grante unt to continue as Sub-D Irrigation, Sub Division, P continue on the same n, the order of additional of come totally insignificant at o an end. Apart this bein r, it is in between Go ment. Intervener who wa	al charge of the post held ted 27.08.2021. Here 1 in O.A.No.653/2021 by d interim relief to the Divisional Officer, Mutha- une meaning thereby he post. This being the charge dated 27.08.2021 and its effect, if any, has ng the matter relating to overnment servant and

.

.

.

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

charge before interim relief granted by the Tribunal has no locus.

Tribunal's orders

7. M.A. is, thus, totally misconceived and hereby rejected. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 02.12.2021

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

DISTRICT of 20 Original Application No. Applicant/s (Advocate) versus The State of Maharashtra and others Respondent/s (Presenting Officer.....) Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders O.A.663/2021 with M.A.407/2021 in M.A.425/2021 Shri K.S. Adhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents 1 Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents, Ms. Rekha Musale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 as well as learned Advocate 2/12/21 Shri A.B. Tajane in M.A.No.407 & Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate in M.A.425/2021 (Interveners). Testiber (1) O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission. O.A. is expedited in view of interim relief granted by the Tribunal. prencen Mahayay Interim relief to continue till next date. Sd/-12. S. Gaikeesa etha Mersale Poz R. No.2 (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 02.12.2021 A.B. Tay cere in MAH07 2 (**\$**kw) 5.5. Dere in MH425/21 (for Intervenous). Ady. to 14/12/2

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

Tribunal's orders
Date: 02.12.2021 O.A. No.717 of 2021
S.A. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
 Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and if possible it will be filed during the course of the day or latest by tomorrow. It be taken on record.
3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage o admission.
4. S.O. to 23.12.2021.
Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
NMN

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 02.12.2021	
	O.A. No.733 of 2021	
	S.P. KolteApplicant Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	 Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate fo the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply or 	
	behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.	
	3. Respondent No.2 is served but absent.	
	4. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.	
	5. S.O. to 16.12.2021.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	
	NMN	

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 02.12.2021	
	O.A. No.775 of 20	21
	S.S. Fawade Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	 Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadek for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Presenting Officer for the Responde 	Chougule, learned
	2. On request of learned P.O granted for filing Affidavit-in-Rep chances.	
	3. S.O. to 09.12.2021.	
		Sd/-
		Kurhekar) mber (J)
	NMN	
		[PTO.

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 02.12.2021	
	O.A. No.820 of 20	021
	S.D. Arjun Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, the Applicant and ShateK.S. Gaikwa Officer for the Respondents.	learned Advocate for
	2. On request of learned P.C granted for filing Affidavit-in-Re chance.	
	3. S.O. to 16.12.2021.	
		Sd/-
		Kurhekar) ember (J)
	NMN	
		[<i>P.T.O.</i>

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Versus	Tribunal's orders		Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	
O.A. No.825 of 2021 G.S. Karanje App Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondent 1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned A holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocthe Applicant and Sent-K.S. Gaikwad, learned Pro Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. 3. S.O. to 17.12.2021.				
O.A. No.825 of 2021 G.S. Karanje App Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondent 1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned A holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocthe Applicant and Sent-K.S. Gaikwad, learned Pro Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. 3. S.O. to 17.12.2021.				
G.S. Karanje App Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondent 1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advection of the Applicant and SenterK.S. Gaikwad, learned Advection of the Respondents. 2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. 3. S.O. to 17.12.2021. Sd/- Kurhekar) Member (I)		Date: 02.12.2021		
Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondent 1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advoct holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advoct the Applicant and Sint-K.S. Gaikwad, learned Pro- Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. 3. S.O. to 17.12.2021. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	2021	O.A. No.825 of		
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondent 1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned A holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advoct the Applicant and Sent-K.S. Gaikwad, learned ProOfficer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. 3. S.O. to 17.12.2021. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	Applicant	-		
holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advo the Applicant and Sint-K.S. Gaikwad, learned Pro Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. 3. S.O. to 17.12.2021. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	Respondents			
granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. 3. S.O. to 17.12.2021. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	n, learned Advocate fo	holding for Smt. Punam Mahaja the Applicant and Sent-K.S. Gaikv		
Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)				
(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)		3. S.O. to 17.12.2021.		
(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	Sd/-			
NMN	P. Kurhekar)			
		NMN		
	[<i>P.T.O</i> .			

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's o	rders
	Date: 02.12.2021	
	O.A. No.	864 of 2021
	J.R. Sonkawade Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra	& OrsRespondents
	holding for Smt. Punam M	ri Ghate, learned Advocate ahajan, learned Advocate fo hana B.K., learned Presenting s.
		has filed Affidavit-in-Reply or 1 & 3. It is taken on record.
		earned Advocate for the s granted for filing Rejoinder.
	4. S.O. to 17.12.2021.	
		Sd/-
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN	
		[<i>P.T.O.</i>

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's	orders	
	Date: 02.12.2021		
	0.A. No	5.921 of 2021	
	R.P. Mhaskar Versus The State of Maharashtra	Applie	
	 Heard Shri A.V. Ba for the Applicant and Presenting Officer for the 		
	2. On request of Applicant one week time is maintainable without f	1	
	3. S.O. to 09.12.2022	1.	
		Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	
	NMN		
		[J	P.T.O.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 02.12.2021	
	O.A. No.206 of 2	019
	S.C. Shinde Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	 Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadel for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougul Officer for the Respondents and learned Advocate for the Responde 	e, learned Presenting d Shri M.D. Lonkar,
	2. Today Shri M.D. Lonkar, lo the Respondent No.3 has filed behalf of Respondent No.3. It is ta	Affidavit-in-Reply on
	3. O.A. be kept for hearin admission.	g at the stage of
	4. S.O. to 14.12.2021.	
		1
		Sd/-
		Kurhekar) mber (J)
	NMN	
		[<i>P.T.O</i> .

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 02.12.2021	
	O.A. No.272 of 2020	
		pplicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRe	spondents.
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learne for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougu Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. During the course of hearing learner tendered order dated 27.07.2019 writt Applicant which is also referred in impu dated 14.10.2020. He submits that inadve order was not Annexed with the Reply and r take it on record.	en by the gned order ertently said
	3. Learned Advocate for the Applican that his client is author of letter dated 27.07 is tendered today.	
	4. It be taken on record and marked placed at page 65 of P.B.	by letter 'X'
	5. S.O. to 07.12.2021.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurheka Member (J)	r)
	NMN	
		[<i>P.T.O</i> .

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orde	ers
	Date: 02.12.2021	
	O.A. No.707 of 2021	
	S.K. Pawar	Applicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & C	
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiv for the Applicant and Shri Presenting Officer for the Resp	
	2. Today learned P.O. Rejoinder on behalf of the Re record.	has filed Affidavit-in-Su espondent. It is taken or
	3. S.O. to 14.12.2021.	
		Sd/-
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN	
		[<i>P.T.O.</i>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders Date: 02.12.2021		Tribunal's orders 21	
	O.A. No.94	5 of 2021	
	S.B. Mulani Versus	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & O	OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Ms. Asawari holding for Smt. Punam Mah the Applicant and Shri A.J. Ch Officer for the Respondents.		
	 The Applicant has challenged suspension dated 30.05.2020 whereby he was suspended registration of crime against him. The Applicant grievance is that he is subject prolong suspension for period of 1 ½ years but to no review is taken. 		
	4. Thus Applicant seems suspension of 1 ½ years wi review the suspension. In lar obligation to take review of period of 90 days was over. suspension is not sustainable	w Respondents were under of suspension after initial Thus prima-facie prolong	
	5. Learned P.O. is therefore direct instructions as to why review is not take judgment of Hon'ble Suprme Court in (20 (Ajay Kumar Choudhary Vs. Union of Ind to appraise the Tribunal tomorrow so the disposed of with suitable direction.		
	6. S.O. to 03.12.2021.		
		24/	
		Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	
	NMN	[<i>P.T.O.</i>	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 02.12.2021 M.A. No.543 of 2021 in O.A. No.900 of 2021
	B.R. GirmeApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri R.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. This M.A. is filed for restoration of O.A. No.900/2021 which is dismissed in default for failure to collect notices from the Office within seven days and to submit service report of Affidavit three days before returnable date.
	3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that due to inadvertent notices could not be collected. He undertakes to collect the notices and to serve upon Respondent.
	4. In view of above, M.A. is allowed and O.A. is resorted.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 02.12.2021 O.A. No.510 of 2021
	S.J. Pendharkar @ A d/o. K. DoijadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Smt. Shraddha Vavhal, learned Advocate holding for Ms. P.V. Bodke Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Enough chances are granted to file Rejoinder, however on request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted as most last chance.
	3. S.O. to 17.12.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN
	[P.T.O.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 02.12.2021		
	O.A. No.471 of 2021		
	A.E. Salunkhe Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents.	
	 Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwade for the Applicant and Smt. A Presenting Officer for the Respon 	Archana B.K., learned	
	 Today learned Advocate filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on bel is taken on record. 		
	3. Adjourned for hearing at t	he stage of admission.	
	4. S.O. to 06.01.2022.		
		Sd/-	
	•	P. Kurhekar) Aember (J)	
	NMN		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orde	ers	
	Date: 02.12.2021		
	O.A. No.470 of 2021		
	N.A. Ashtekar Versus The State of Maharashtra & (Applicant OrsRespondents.	
	 Heard Shri Gaurav V. Bandiwadekar, learn Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.2. 		
	3. On request of lea Applicant two weeks time is g	arned Advocate for the granted for filing Rejoinder.	
	4. S.O. to 16.12.2021.		
		Sd/-	
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	
	NMN		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 02.12.2021	
	O.A. No.662 o	f 2021
	M.B. Patil Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors	ApplicantRespondents.
	 Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwae for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. M Presenting Officer for the Respo Today matter is for fillin of the Applicant but the same is 	dekar, learned Advocate lanchekar, learned Chief ndents. ng Affidavit-in-Rejoinder not filed.
	 Since pleading is com Hearing. 	plete, admit for Final
	4. S.O. to 06.01.2022.	
		Sd/-
		.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN	
		[P.T.O.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 02.12.2021		
	O.A. No.580 of 2021		
	V.S. MaliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondent		
	 Heard Smt. Shraddha Vavhal, learned Advocat holding for Shri S.R. Ghanavat, learned Advocate for th Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presentir Officer for the Respondents. Today matter is for filling Affidavit-in-Rejoinder 		
	of the Applicant but the same is not filed. 3. O.A. is kept for hearing at the stage admission.		
	4. S.O. to 07.01.2022.		
	Sd/-		
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)		
	NMN		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 02.12.2021 M.A. No.536 of 2021 in O.	A. No.25 of 2021	
	W.B. Pawar Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant	
	 Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate f the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenti Officer for the Respondents. 		
	2. This Application is to being legal heirs of deceased Original Applicant on record but appli for Condonation of delay is not filed. Office has a raised objection on the point of delay.		
	3. Shri C.T. Chandratre, lean Applicant submits that the applic of delay will be filed and thereaft	cation for Condonation	
	4. One week time is grante to file application for Condonati filing application to bring heirs of servant on record. If application days as directed above, in that before Tribunal on immediately n	ion of delay caused in deceased Government is made within seven event matter he listed	
	5. If, M.A. is not filed within above all these proceeding wind default without reference to the the term.	ll stand dismissed in	
		Sd/-	
	-	P. Kurhekar) 1ember (J)	
	NMN	[<i>P.T.O</i> .	

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000-2-2015)) (50,000-2-2015)	(Λ)	2260	J	$(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{P}, \cdot)$
-------------------------------------	-------------------	-------------	------	---	---

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>0.A.273/2020</u>		
	Shri M.N. Thombre Vs.	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra	& Ors Respondents	
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Badiw the Applicant and Shri A.J. C Officer for Respondents.	adekar, learned Advocate for hougule, learned Presenting	
	2. Today, the learned P.C rejoinder on behalf of Respor record.). has filed Affidavit-in-Sur- ident No.2. It is taken on	
	3. Adjourned for final heat	ring to 16.12.2021.	
	(a	Sd/- A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 02.12.2021	
	•		

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

	MUMBAI		
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRIC	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
The Stat	te of Maharashtra and	lothers	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's order:	5
		<u>0.A.329/202</u>	20
	Shri M.H. Mhald Vs.	lar	Applicant
		harashtra & Ors	Respondents
	1. Heard Ms. A Mahajan, learned A Chougule, learned	NUVOCATE for the A	lding for Mrs. Punam pplicant and Shri A.J. for Respondents.
	mail she wants to	amend the () A	e Applicant submits for challenging the id sought two weeks'
	3. Two weeks' t	ime is granted. S	.O. to 16.12.2021.
		c	Sd/-
	(skw)	(A.P. Ku Mem	ırhekar) ber-J 2.2021
	SRWJ		

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

• • • •

Original Application No.	of 20	Distr	ст Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
The Stat	e of Maharasht	ra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	•••••)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orde	rs
		<u>0.A.610/202</u>	21
	Shri P.B. In Vs.	gale	Applicant
		f Maharashtra & Or	s Respondents
	for the App Presenting Of 2. Today, on behalf of R 3. At th Applicant, two		. Chougule, learned filed Affidavit-in-reply on record. d Advocate for the to file Rejoinder.
		Mer	Curhekar) nber-J 2.2021
	(skw)		

· · ·

•

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate		.)		·····
		versus		
The Sta	ate of Ma	harashtra and other	rs	
				Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coran Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	n,	Tribu	unal's orders	
		<u>0.A.663/2021 w</u> <u>M.A.425/2021</u>	<u>vith M.A.407</u>	/2021 in
	Shr	i K.S. Adhe Vs.		. Applicant
	The	State of Maharash	ntra & Ors	Respondents
	Advo Shri Advo 2. 3.	Heard Smt. Punar Applicant, Mrs. K.S er for Respondents cate for Respondent I A.B. Tajane in M.A.N cate in M.A.425/202 O.A. be kept for he O.A. is expedited is e Tribunal. Interim relief to cor	5. Gaikwad, le 5, Ms. Rekha No.2 as well as No.407 & Shri S 1 (Interveners). earing at the sta in view of inter	arned Presenting Musale, learned learned Advocate S.S. Dere, learned age of admission. rim relief granted
			Sd/-	
	(\$kw)		(A.P. Kurhe Member 02.12.20	-J

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribuna	l's orders		
		<u>M.A. No.450 of 202</u>	21 in O.A. No.78	<u>30 of 2020</u>	
	S.M. I	Bhagwat		Applicant	
	The S	Vs. tate of Maharashtra &	COrs.	Respondents	
		Heard Shri M.D. d Advocate for the A d Chief Presenting Of	pplicant and Ms	. S.P. Mancheka	
		Ld. CPO files affid Madhav V. Veer, Joi tment, Mantralaya, M l.	int Secretary, R	evenue & Fore	est
	Sudee	Ld. CPO is directenents mentioned in le p Jain, Deputy Electi Secretary, Govt. of M	tter dated 4.11.2	2020 sent by Sh her, New Delhi	nri to
	4.	S.O. to 3.12.2021.			
		Sd/-	Sd/-		2
	(sgj)	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.12.2021	(Mridula Bha Chairper 2.12.202	son	
					ſ
				[P.T.O.	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 02.12.2021 O.A.No.325 of 2018 with O.A.No.13 of 2020
	A.M. JadhaoApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.2 and Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3. in O.A.No.325/2018. Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1-M.P.S.C. and Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.
	2. Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1 is absent.
	3. The said Original Applications are remanded by the Hon'ble High Court by order dated 26.10.2021 passed in Writ Petition No.1652 of 2021, A.M. Jadhao along with Writ Petition Stamp No.5931 of 2021, Mr. R.S. Pawar Versus Maharashtra Public Service Commission & Ors. whereby the Tribunal's order dated 25.01.2021 is set aside with directions to the Tribunal to consider the O.A.No.325 of 2018 with O.A.No.13 of 2020 and decide the same in accordance with law, without being influenced by its earlier findings. The Hon'ble High Court has directed that the Tribunal shall look into any documentary evidence that is not made part of the affidavits filed by the parties and brought on record in a manner known to law, and if any party wishes to rely on any additional evidence, the procedure mandated by law shall be followed.
\checkmark	[P.T.O.

Office Notes, C	Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearan	ce, Tribunal's orders or
directions	and Registrar's orders

4. Matter taken on board on motion. Today the matter appeared on board. Learned C.P.O. is made aware that as per the observations made in the judgment the M.P.S.C. may file its affidavit in respect of production of any record. The learned C.P.O. may file any documents as observed especially which is mentioned in paragraph 4 of the judgment of Hon'ble High Court. The learned C.P.O. and also all the learned Counsel who are representing the parties in these two Original Applications shall file documents if any they want by way of additional evidence on affidavit. The learned CPO and learned advocate Mr. Chandratre who is present submits that they needs two weeks time.

5. Time granted. If additional documents is filed on affidavit then the other parties will be given time to file their reply if they want.

6 Adjourned to 15.12.2021.

Sd/-(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 02.12.2021 O.A. No.777 of 2021 with O.A with O.A. No.793 of	
	Y.B. Akolkar S.R. Patil P.B. Bhangare Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents.
	1. Heard Shri J.P. Gaikwad, the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Mar Presenting Officer for the Respon	nchekar, learned Chief
	2. All these O.As are filed punishment of withholding inclusion with cumulative effect.	
	3. Issue notice before adı 06.01.2022.	mission returnable on
	 Tribunal may take the ca this stage and separate notice fo be issued. 	ase for final disposal at r final disposal shall not
	5. Applicant is authorized a Respondents intimation/notice authenticated by Registry, alon book of Original Application. R notice that the case would be ta at the stage of admission hearing	of date of hearing duly g with complete paper espondents are put to ken up for final disposal
·	6 This intimation/notice is of the Maharashtra Administrat Rules, 1988, and the questions alternate remedy are kept open	ive Tribunal (Procedure) such as limitation and

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
	8. In case notice is not collected within Seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
	9. S.O. to 06.01.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	ΝΜΝ

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 02.12.2021 M.A. No.532 of 2021 in O.A. I	No.945 of 2021
	J.G. Shinde Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents.
	 Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougul Officer for the Respondents. This M.A. is filed for Con- three years caused in filing Application on compactionate ground 	learned Advocate for e, learned Presenting donation of delay of
	on compassionate ground. 3. Issue notice before adm 06.01.2022.	ission returnable on
	 Tribunal may take the cas this stage and separate notice for be issued. 	e for final disposal at final disposal shall not
	5. Applicant is authorized and Respondents intimation/notice of authenticated by Registry, along book of Original Application. Re- notice that the case would be take at the stage of admission hearing.	⁴ date of hearing duly with complete paper spondents are put to
	6 This intimation/notice is constructed of the Maharashtra Administrative Rules, 1988, and the questions so alternate remedy are kept open.	e Tribunal (Procedure)
		[<i>P.T.O</i> .

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
	8. In case notice is not collected within Seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
	9. S.O. to 06.01.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 02.12.2021 O.A. No.955 of 2021
	G.H. BhusareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 03.08.2021 whereby he is suspended in view of detention in custody for more than 48 hours i.e. deemed suspension under provision of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979.
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.12.2021.
	4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
	5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposa- at the stage of admission hearing.
	6 This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	[P.T.O.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders
	and Regi	The service may be done by Hand delivery of post, courier and acknowledgement be obtaine produced along with affidavit of compliance in th stry within one week. Applicant is directed to fil lavit of compliance and notice.
	retu dism	In case notice is not collected within Seven day ervice report on affidavit is not filed 7 days befor rnable date, Original Application shall stan hissed without reference to Tribunal and papers b higned to record.
	9.	S.O. to 16.12.2021.
		Sd/-
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 02.12.2021
	O.A. No.956 of 2021
	V.A. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The Applicant has filed this O.A. for grant of arrears of pay and allowances for the period from 19.04.2019 to 08.03.2021 <i>inter-alia</i> contending that he was deliberately kept out of service for the said period though his punishment of compulsory retirement was modified into minor punishment.
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.01.2022.
	4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
	5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	6 This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	[P.T.O.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within Seven day
	or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
	9. S.O. to 06.01.2022.
	Sd/-
	ہت (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 02.12.2021		
	O.A. No.918 of 2021		
	P.B. ZendeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
	1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
	2. This O.A. is filed challenging communication dated 04.06.2019 whereby the Applicant requested for grant of retiral benefits which has been rejected on the ground of pendency of appeal against the acquittal.		
	3. In 2012 Applicant was serving as Police Constable but came to be suspended by order dated 16.08.2012 due to the registration of criminal case under the provision of Prevention of Corruption Act against him. Later in 2016 he was reinstated in service by order dated 15.02.2016. In criminal case No.03/2013 he was acquitted by learned Session Judge on 14.12.2016. Thereafter the Applicant was promoted as A.S.I. and came to be retired on 31.05.2017. However State preferred appeal before Hon'ble High Court against the judgment of acquittal which is subjudice. It is on this background the Applicant made representation to the Respondent for retiral benefits. However it came to be rejected on the ground of pendency of appeal which is <i>prima-facie</i> not sustainable in law.		
	4. Once the Applicant is acquitted in criminal case, in law judicial proceeding comes to an end and the Applicant retiral benefits cannot be withheld on the ground of filing of appeal.		
	[<i>P.T.O.</i> 5. In view of above O.A. is expedited.		

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	6. Issue notice before admission returnable c 16.12.2021.
	7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal a this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall no be issued.
	8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve o Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dul authenticated by Registry, along with complete pape book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposa at the stage of admission hearing.
	9 This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 13 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
	11. In case notice is not collected within Seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
	12. S.O. to 16.12.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 02.12.2021	
	O.A. No.961 of 2021	
	G.M. Kankdande Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents.
	1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Ba Advocate for the Applicant and learned Presenting Officer for the F	Smt. Archana B.K.,
	2. The Applicant is primary te transfer order dated 02.08.2021.	AL
	3. Issue notice before admi 23.12.2021.	ssion returnable on
	 Tribunal may take the case this stage and separate notice for be issued. 	
	5. Applicant is authorized and Respondents intimation/notice of authenticated by Registry, along book of Original Application. Res notice that the case would be take at the stage of admission hearing.	date of hearing duly with complete paper spondents are put to
	6 This intimation/notice is o of the Maharashtra Administrative Rules, 1988, and the questions s alternate remedy are kept open.	e Tribunal (Procedure)
	7. The service may be don speed post, courier and acknowle and produced along with affidavin Registry within one week. Applic Affidavit of compliance and notice	dgement be obtained t of compliance in the cant is directed to file
		[<i>P.T.O</i> .

l

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	 8. In case notice is not collected within Seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 23.12.2021. 		
	5. 5.0. (0 25.12.2021.		
	Sd/-		
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)		
	ΝΜΝ		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 02.12.2021 O.A. No.900 of 20	021	
	B.R. GirmeApplicant		
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.	
	1. Heard Shri R.S. Kadam, lear Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., Officer for the Respondents.		
	2. This O.A. was dismisse noncompliance of condition order it is restored today. In view of c No.543/2021 fresh notices are requ	dated 16.11.2021 but order passed in M.A.	
	3. Issue notice before admi 06.01.2022.	ission returnable on	
	4. Tribunal may take the case this stage and separate notice for the be issued.		
	5. Applicant is authorized and Respondents intimation/notice of authenticated by Registry, along book of Original Application. Res notice that the case would be take at the stage of admission hearing.	date of hearing duly with complete paper spondents are put to	
	6 This intimation/notice is o of the Maharashtra Administrative Rules, 1988, and the questions s alternate remedy are kept open.	e Tribunal (Procedure)	
	7. The service may be dor speed post, courier and acknowle and produced along with affidavi Registry within one week. Applic Affidavit of compliance and notice	edgement be obtained t of compliance in the cant is directed to file	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	8. In case notice is not collected within Seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.		
	9. S.O. to 06.01.2022.		
	Sd/-		
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)		
	NMN		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 02.12.2021
	O.A. No.964 of 2021 D.P. VarpeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	 Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. This O.A. is filed for direction to the Respondent to release his retiral benefits which are withheld due to noncompliance of D.E. initiated against him in 2018.
	3. The Applicant retired on 31.05.2018 as Agricultural Development Officer. Before one month of his retirement he was served with charge-sheet issued under the Provision of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 which was continued after retirement. Inquiry officer was appointed. The Applicant has submitted Reply to the charge-sheet but thereafter no expeditious steps were taken to complete D.E.
	4. Now period of more than three years from retirement is over but the Applicant is deprived of D.E. due to pendency of D.E. which indeed ought to have been completed within six months in terms of circular dated 07.04.2008.
	5. Learned P.O. submits that inquiry officer Smt. Sable has already completed inquiry but report is awaited.
	[<i>P.T.O.</i>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	6. Indeed, it was responsibility of the Respondent/ disciplinary authority to ensure that inquiry officer has completed inquiry expeditiously in terms of circular dated 07.04.2008.
	7. Any way, now since inquiry seems to have been completed and report is awaited this O.A. deserved to be disposed of without waste of time by suitable direction.
	8. O.A. is disposed of with direction to th Respondent to ensure passing of final order in D.E within two months from today in accordance to Rule and it should be communicated to the Applicant withi two weeks thereafter.
	9. Respondent is further directed to see wh inquiry officer has taken three years period fo completion of D.E. and should take necessary actic against inquiry officer for noncompliance of circul dated 07.04.2008.
	10. Compliance report in above terms be forwarde to the Registrar of this Tribunal without fail.
	11. No order as to costs.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

٠

•

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>M.A.349/2021in</u> <u>O.A.653/2021</u>	
	Shri Dnyaneshwar Arote & 3 Ors Applicants/ Interveners Vs.	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents And	
	Shri P.K. Shelar Ori. Applicant	
	1. Heard Shri Yeshideep Deshmukh holding for V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for Applicants/Interveners, Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Mrs. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate or Ori. Applicant.	
	2. This intervention application is filed by 4 Applicants jointly for implementing them as Interveners in O.A. to oppose the O.A.	
	3. O.A.653/2021 is filed by Applicant viz. P.K. Shelar, Sub-Divisional Officer, Mutha Canal Division challenging the order of transfer dated 24.08.2021.	
	4. The learned Advocate for Applicants sought to contend that the complaints of harassment and ill treatment at the hands of Mr. P.K. Shelar was the reason for his transfer, which is challenged by him in O.A. He submits that these Applicants/Interveners as well as other employees working under Mr. Shelar made complaints about the harassment meted out to them from Mr. Shelar, If was enquired into and in view of preliminary report, his transfer was found necessitated for smooth administration of Office. On this line of submission, he submits that the Applicants are aggrieved because of harassment at the hands of Mr. Shelar, and therefore, they have locus to oppose the O.A. and are necessary parties.	
	5. Per contra, the learned Advocate for the original Applicant opposed the application stating that these complainants have no locus to intervention in O.A. since it is in between Applicant and Government.	
	6. Whereas, the learned P.O. submits that the transfer was made because of complaints against Mr. Shelar. According to her, it is after compliance of the requisite provision under 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005', the transfer order has been issued.	

7. In view of above, the question arises whether Applicants are necessary parties to be implemented in the present O.A. and the answer is in negative.

8. Only because Government servant is transferred on the ground of complaints by his subordinates that ipso-facto would not vests any right in the complainants to intervene in the O.A, which is subject matter in between Government servant and the Government. Otherwise, it would assume the character of Public Interest Litigation. The State is there to take care of the allegations or complaints and to justify the impugned transfer order.

9. In view of above, I find no substance in the submission advanced by the learned Advocate for the Applicants that the complainants are necessary parties to the O.A. The M.A. is, therefore, dismissed. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 02.12.2021

(skw)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 02.12.2021		
	O.A. No.963 of 2021		
	S.H. Jadhav Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents.	
	1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Ba Advocate for the Applicant and learned Presenting Officer for the	d Smt. K.S. Gaikwad,	
	2. The Applicant has filed direction to the Respondent to r which is withheld on the ground order in D.E. for long time.	elease retiral benefits	
	3. The Applicant was due 28.02.2019 from the post of Agri Officer. Few days before his 22.02.2019 he was served with ch misconduct committed in the ye continued after retirement. Afte Respondent by order dated 05.1 Cause Notice to the Applicant as t reduction of 6% pension per mont not be imposed upon him unde (Pension) Rules, 1982. The Applic Reply stating that proposed pun further stated that he is acceptin compelling situation. As such proposed punishment.	icultural Development is retirement i.e. on arge-sheet for alleged ear 2011. D.E. was er completion of D.E. 06.2020 issued Show to why punishment of th for one year should er Rule 27 of M.C.S. cant has submitted his ishment is harsh but	
	4. However despite the Rep dated 01.07.2020, till date no fin Respondent which ought to have earlier. It clearly spells laxity in the	al order is passed by e been passed much	

Tribunal's orders

5. All that learned P.O. submits that file is under process and necessary orders will be passed, which is nothing but lame excuse.

6. It is on the above background the Applicant has filed present O.A. along with interest contending that he has been deprived of retrial benefits for long time due to sheer negligence and laxity on the part of the Respondent for not deciding D.E. expeditiously.

7. Respondent No.1 is directed to explain why no final order is passed despite Reply of the Applicant dated 01.07.2020 to the Show Cause Notice and it is kept pending for 1 ½ years.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.12.2021.

9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

13. In case notice is not collected within Seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

14. S.O. to 16.12.2021.

۱، ۴.

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

Sd/-

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.965 OF 2021

Shri Suresh C. Garud

).. Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra

) ..Respondent

Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : SHRI A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 02.12.2021.

ORDER

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is 2nd round of litigation since retired Government servant is again compelled to knock door of the Tribunal for release of his retiral benefits which has been withheld due to sheer apathy and negligence on the part of concerned which is indeed contempt of the orders passed by this Tribunal.

3. The Applicant stands retired as Technical Officer from the Office of Deputy Commissioner (Agriculture Census), Pune on 31.05.2017. Before his retirement charge-sheet was served upon him under the provision of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979. However no steps were taken for conclusion of D.E., and therefore, the Applicant had filed O.A. No.1221/2019. Having noticed that there was inordinate delay in completing of D.E. O.A. was disposed of by order dated 18.12.2019. and direction were given to ensure completion of D.E. within four months from date of order and passing of final order within a month thereafter and then to release of retiral benefits subject to outcome of D.E.

4. Thereafter, Respondent filed M.A. No.23/2021 and M.A. No.24/2021 for extension of time for completion of D.E. which was

IN L

strongly opposed by the Applicant while deciding M.As. The Tribunal has observed that there was no such genuine ground for extension of time. However, one month time was granted from the date of order to pass final order in D.E. with specific direction that no further time will be granted and accordingly M.A. were disposed of on 21.01.2021.

5. Despite aforesaid position Respondent No.1 did not pass final order in D.E. within time granted by the Tribunal. It is on 04.06.2021 only belatedly Government had issued Show Cause Notice to the Applicant as to why punishment of reduction of 6% pension per month for one year should not be imposed upon him. The Applicant has submitted his Reply by letter dated 23.06.2021 thereby accepting punishment with earnest request to release his retiral benefits.

6. However, till date though period of more than five months is over no final order is passed in D.E. which clearly smells total lethargy and negligence on the part of concerned rather total disregard to the order passed by the Tribunal.

7. Learned P.O. all that tried to contend that file is under process which is nothing but lame excuse.

8. Now, this O.A. is filed for direction to Respondents to release his retiral benefits with interest since he is accepting the proposed punishment.

9. Thus it is appalling to note that despite specific direction given by the Tribunal final order in D.E. is not passed within period given by the Tribunal and serious cognizance of the same needs to be taken. Respondent No.1 seems to have no regard to the order passed by the Tribunal. *Prima-facie* Respondent has committed Contempt of Court for which necessary action needs to be initiated.

10. In view of above, Issue Notice to the Additional Chief Secretary, Agricultural Department as to why Suo-Motu cognizance of the Contempt of Court should not be taken and as to why Contempt proceeding should not be initiated against him returnable on 16.12.2021.

11. Simultaneously Additional Chief Secretary is directed to file Affidavit to explain why even after Reply of the Applicant to the Show Cause Notice it took more than five months for passing final order in D.E.

12. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.12.2021.

13. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

14. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

15. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

16. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

17. In case notice is not collected within Seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

18. S.O. to 16.12.2021.

19. Steno Copy granted.

Sd/-

(A.P. KURHEKAR) MEMBER (J)

Place: Mumbai Date: 02.12.2021 Dictation taken by: N.M. Naik.

E:\PRK\Naik\2021\02-Order\12-December-2021\03.12.2021\0.A.965 of 2021 02.12.2021.doc

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	<u>C.A. No.26 of 2020 in O.A. No.1125 of 2013</u>			
	P.S. Sakhare & OrsApplicants Vs.			
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents			
	Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.			
	2. Ld. CPO produces letter dated 1.12.2021 and states that applicants have been given temporary appointments for a period of six months from 1.12.2021 in compliance of order dated 30.6.2015 passed in the above OA.			
	3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that order dated 30.6.2015 has not been complied with.			
	4. Ld. CPO is directed to file affidavit regarding compliance of the said order within one week.			
	5. S.O. to 9.12.2021.			
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgi) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 2.12.2021 2.12.2021 (sgj)			

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	M.A. No.226 of 2020 in O.A. No.495 of 2020			95 of 2020
	S.A. 5	Shaikh & Ors. Vs.		Applicants
	The S	tate of Maharashtra δ	Respondents	
	Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Ld CPO files reply in MA and the same is taken on record.			
	3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time.			ts time.
	4.	S.O. to 13.12.2021.		. KA 11
		Sd/-	Sd/-	
		(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.12.2021	(Mridula Bha Chairpe 2.12.20	rson
	(sgj)			
				,
				[P.T.O.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>C.A. No.32 of 2021 in O.A. No.433 of 2020</u>			
			133 of 2020	
	M.R.	Kasane Vs.		Applicant
	The S	tate of Maharashtra	& Ors.	Respondents
		Heard Shri B.A. E pplicant and Shri M espondent.	Bandiwadekar, la A.D. Lonkar, le	earned Advocate for arned Advocate for
	2. Shri Lonkar seeks time to file reply.		ly.	
	3.	S.O. to 12.12.202	1.	
				- 1
		Sd/-	, ,	
		(Medha Gadgil) Member (Å) 2.12.2021	(Mridula B Chairj 2.12.1	person
	(sgj)			

.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Tribunal's orders M.A. No.224 of 2021 in O.A. No.423 of 2021			
			<u>23 of 2021</u>
V.S. Mehta			Applicant
The S		& Ors.	Respondents
	, learned Advocate	for the Applicat	nt and Smt. K.S.
2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time.			s time.
3. S.O. to 13.1.2022.			
(sgj)	Sd/- (MedHa Gadgil) Member (A) 2.12.2021	Sd/	tkar, J.) rson
	The S Joshi Gaiky 2. 3.	M.A. No.224 of 20 V.S. Mehta Vs. The State of Maharashtra Heard Ms. Savita S Joshi, learned Advocate Gaikwad, learned Presenti 2. Ld. Advocate for th 3. S.O. to 13.1.2022. Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.12.2021	M.A. No.224 of 2021 in O.A. No.4 V.S. Mehta Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi holo Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applican Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the 2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seek 3. S.O. to 13.1.2022. Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) '(Mridula Bha Member (A) Chairper 2.12.2021 2.12.202

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribun	al's orders	<u> </u>
	M.A. No.254 of 2021 in O.A. No.476 of 2021			
	D.D.	Brande		Applicant
	The S	Vs. State of Maharashtra &	& Ors.	Respondents
		Heard Ms. Savita S learned Advocate vad, learned Presentin	for the Applicant	and Smt. K.S.
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time.			ime.
	3.	S.O. to 13.1.2022.		
				•
		Sd/-	Sd/-	
	(sgj)	(Medha Gaɗgil) Member (A) 2.12.2021	(Mridula Bhatk Chairperso 2.12.2021	on

•

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal	's orders
	Date : 02.12.2021	
	O.A.No.	.1073 of 2019
		Applicant tra & OrsRespondents. Punam Mahajan, learned
		Applicant and Ms. S.P. hief Presenting Officer for the
		P.O. seeks two weeks time to rector General of Police who s matter.
	applicant's case base Hon'ble Supreme Cour through its General	eneral of Police to consider d on the judgment of the t in the case of Lok Prahari Secretary Versus Election & Ors. reported in (2018)
	4. Adjourned to 16	.12.2021 (F.O.B)
	Sd/- (Medha Gaɗgil) Member(A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 02.12.2021
	O.A.No.794 of 2020
	N.N. Chavan & OrsApplicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The learned Advocate Mr. A.S. Pawar along with Mr. S.D. Patil for the Applicant are absent.
	3. This matter was wrongly admitted after the filing of affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No.2 and 3. Affidavit of Respondents No. 1, 4 and 5 is not filed yet.
	4. Adjourned to 17.01.2022.
	Sd/- / (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson
	prk