

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**02.12.2016**

**M.A 298/2016 in O.A No 778/2016**

Shri R.D Kamble

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Amol Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2 Issue notice before admission made returnable on 19.12.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O 19.12.2016.

  
(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Akn

[P.T.O.]

2/12/16


Coram - Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarwal (V/C)

Adv. Amol Joshi for the appl.

Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, P.O.

for the Resps.

Aty to 19/12/16.



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Court, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

### O.A.639/2016

**Shri H.J. Nazirkar** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors.** ... Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar who had filed the Vakalatnama at the inception, prused the letter of his client to him dated 29.11.2016. He is permitted to withdraw appearance herefrom and he is relieved and released herefrom.

DATE: 2/12/16

CO: \_\_\_\_\_

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri. S. S. Surjani~~  
None for the applicant.  
Advocate for the Applicant.

~~Shri. S. S. Surjani~~

F.P.O. for the Respondents  
M.D. Lonkar withdrew  
his appearance.

6/12/16  
Adj. To \_\_\_\_\_

FH  
*[Signature]*

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
02.12.2016

(skw)

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice - Chairman  
02.12.2016

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**C.A.91/2016 in O.A.311/2016**

**Shri A.D. Sawant ... Applicant**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned PO is being instructed by Mr. Mangesh Karande, A.O, Commissioner of Police, Mumbai. There is no reason why a very serious view should not be taken in this matter. The order was made by this Tribunal on 11.4.2016 and three months time was given for compliance. Nothing has really been done so far. However, the matter stands adjourned to 9<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

**DATE:** 2/12/16

**CHAIRMAN:**  
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri R. R. MALIK (Member) ✓

**APPEARANCE:**  
Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar  
Advocate for the Applicant  
Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. P.O. 9/12/16.

Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 02.12.2016 (skw)	Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice - Chairman 02.12.2016
---	--



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.203/2016 with OAs.223 to 225/2016**

**Shri P.R. Hanpude & Ors. ... Applicants**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Applicants and Advocate absent. Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer with Mr. S.B. Munde, the learned Counsel for the Respondents.

See the order dated 29.11.2016 and the orders before that. The OAs are, therefore, dismissed with no order as to costs.

**DATE:** 2/12/16

**CORAM:**

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIVAGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

**APPEARANCE:**

~~Shri/Smt. Applicant and Advocate~~ (skw)

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~  
with S.B. Munde, counsel

~~Adj. To~~ O.As. are dismissed.

*(Signature)*

Sd/- *(Signature)*  
2.16

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
02.12.2016

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.137/2014**

**Smt. (Dr.) Sunanda G. Rodge ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Applicant and Advocate absent. Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

See the orders of 3.10.2016 and 11.11.2016. Despite notice, the Applicant is not present. The OA is formally admitted and placed before the 2<sup>nd</sup> Division Bench for hearing/dismissal.

S.O. to 16<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

Sd/-  
(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
02.12.2016

Σ-16

**DATE : 2/12/16**

**CORAM :**

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

**APPEARANCE :**

~~Shri/Smt. Applicant and Advocate  
absent~~

~~Advocate for the Applicant~~

Shri/Smt. A. J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

(skw)

~~Adj. To~~ S.O. to 16/12/16.

*End*

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.818/2016**

**Shri S.S. Khadke ... Applicant**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi holding for Mr. N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri Dere submits that the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder and requests that looking to the urgency of the matter, a fixed date be given for hearing before the Division Bench. The OA is admitted and is appointed for final hearing on 4<sup>th</sup> January, 2017.

S.O. to 4<sup>th</sup> January, 2017.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
02.12.2016

(skw)

**DATE:** 2/12/16

**CORAM:**

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIVAGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Mon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant S. Suryawanshi

holding for Mr. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To O.A. is admitted.

S.O. to 4/1/17.

FH  
@12/16

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.416/2016**

**Shri G.S. Pawar** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors.** ... Respondents

Applicant with Mr. S.S. Dere holding for Mr. M. Sahu. Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The Applicant seeks permission to withdraw this OA with leave to file a fresh one on the same cause of action. Mr. Chougule, the learned PO leaves the matter to the Bench. In so far as grant of leave is concerned, I am of the opinion that since the OA has filed may not be exactly accurate in depicting the factual edifice. Such a leave is to be granted in the interest of justice. The OA is accordingly allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh one on the same cause of action. No order as to costs.

**DATE:** 2/12/16

**CORAM:**

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: O.A. is withdrawn.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 02-12-16  
Member (J)  
02.12.2016

(skw)



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

### M.A.448/2016 in R.A.33/2016 in O.A.1253/2009

**Shri A.A. Tikar & Ors. ... Applicants**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

This is a MA for condonation of delay in bringing the Review Application, which delay is 20 days.

I have perused and record and proceedings and heard Ms. S.P.Mancheekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned PO has filed the Affidavit-in-reply and strongly opposed the application. I, however, find that there is no material to suggest that the conduct of the Applicants have been contumacious. It seems that a move in the form of Speaking to Minutes was also earlier pending, and therefore, in the totality of the circumstances, I am so disposed as to condone the delay which is accordingly condoned and the Review Application be placed for hearing. The RA shall be heard on 16<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
02.12.2016

(skw)

**DATE:** 2/12/16

**CORAM:**

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)~~

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

**APPEARANCE:**

~~Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Mancheekar~~

**Advocate for the Applicant**

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

Reply filed by P.No. 122.

MA & R.A.

~~Adj. to M.A. is Allocated.~~

R.A. Adj. to 16/12/16.



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.306/2016**

**Shri S.B. Pardeshi ... Applicant**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Mr. Upadhyay holding for Mr. S.S. Sharma, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Shri Sharma filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. Taken on record. Admit. Liberty to mention granted.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**DATE:** 2/12/16

**CORAM:**

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~  
~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Members)

**APPEARANCE:**

~~Shri/Smt. Mr. Upadhyay holding~~  
~~for S.S. Sharma~~  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.A.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Rejoinder filed by Appli.

Adj. to O.A. is Admit.

Liberty to mention granted.

*(Signature)* (skw)

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
02.12.2016

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**02.12.2016****O.A No 1127/2016**

Shri N.D Bhosale

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Amol Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2 Issue notice before admission made returnable on 5.12.2016.


3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O 5.12.2016.

  
(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Akn


[P.T.O.]

2/12/16

Coram - Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarwal (V/C)

Adv. Amol Joshi for the app.  
Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, P.O.  
for the Resps

Adj to 5/12/16.



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 02.12.2016.

M.A. No. 518 of 2016 in O.A. No. 666 of 2016 (N'pur)

D.P. Antapurkar .....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.M. Sudame, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.


2. This is an application for transfer of O.A. from Nagpur Bench to Principal Seat at Mumbai. O.A. is of the year 2016.

3. In view that Division Bench is not available at M.A.T. Bench Nagpur, O.A. can be transferred to Principal Seat at Mumbai.

4. Hence, in view of the foregoing following order is passed :-

- (a) O.A. No. 666/2016 is transferred to Principal Seat at Mumbai for hearing and disposal according to law.
- (b) Applicant should apply and collect the original papers by hand delivery and to deposit the same within 15 days.
- (c) Applicant shall serve intimation of transfer in the office of C.P.O. and all Respondents.
- (d) Furnish additional sets and paper book to the Respondents.
- (e) No notice of transfer would be issued to the Applicant.

5. M.A. is accordingly allowed.

  
(A.H. Joshi, J)  
Chairman

DATE : 2/12/2016

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A-

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. M.M. Sudame

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. MA is accordingly allowed.

nmn




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s  
(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others  
..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>2/12/16</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. : <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>9/12/2016</u></p>	<p>Date : 02.12.2016.</p> <p>O.A. No. 326 of 2016</p> <p>Dr. Y.M. Kokadwar .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. prays for time to prepare and address.</p> <p>3. In view of the request of learned P.O., adjourned to 09.12.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">             (A.H. Joshi, J.)            Chairman         </p>

BTE

nmn

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**

CONTEMPT APPLICATION 39 OF 2016  
IN  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 421 OF 2003

**S.N. Bawane & Ors.**

**....Applicant.**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**.....Respondents.**

Shri S.P. Palshikar, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mrs Vaishali Vaishali Sule, Under Secretary, Public Works Department with learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 02<sup>nd</sup> December, 2016.

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. Vaishali Sule, Under Secretary, Public Works Department with learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This Tribunal had asked Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Principal Secretary, Public Works Department to remain present to give explanation as regards the certain narration contained in the affidavit filed by him. Smt. Vaishali Sule, Under Secretary, Public Works Department, the officer who as argued the case today has shown that Respondents have an arguable point and case. It is seen that apparently the language contained in the affidavit is not appropriate. In this background that reasonable explanation is offered, personal appearance of Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Principal Secretary of the Public Wealth Department is exempted.

3. After hearing learned Advocate for Applicant for some time Shri S.P. Palshikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant states that it shall be appropriate if the Applicants examine the aspect as to whether their grievances falls within the ambit of cause of

action for filling representation and/or Original Application or Applicant's claims could be said to be contrary to express direction contained in the judgment of the Tribunal rendered in O.A. 421/2003.

4. Learned Advocate Mr. Palshikar therefore prays for adjournment and for listing the application for hearing on 06.01.2017.

5. S.O. to 06.01.2017

6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to Learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to Respondents.

Sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

nmn



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>2/12/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>CA is disposed of.</u></p> <p><i>MTE</i></p>	<p>Date : 02.12.2016.</p> <p>O.A. No. 611 of 2016</p> <p>Dr. Y.M. Kokadwar .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has tendered the order of transfer dated 04.06.2015, thereby transferring the applicant from Arthur Road Prison to Public Health Centre, Nandgoan, Taluka Jawhar, District Thane, is cancelled.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that in view of the cancellation of transfer, O.A has become infructuous and is accordingly disposed of.</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>nmn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 02.12.2016.

M.A. 215 of 2016 in O.A. No. 326 of 2016

Dr. Y.M. Kokadwar .....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that instructions are given by the Applicant for not proceeding with this application.

3. In view of the statement of learned Advocate M.A is disposed of.

DATE : 2/12/16

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Smt. M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To MA is disposed of.

*[Handwritten signature]*

nmn

*[Signature]*  
Sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>2/12/16</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. : <u>Lata Patne</u> Advocate for the Applicant, Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>8/12/16</u> <i>B.T.</i></p>	<p>Date : 02.12.2016.</p> <p>C.A. No. 11 of 2016 in O.A. No. 1053 of 2013</p> <p>A.R. Jadhavar .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Lata Patne, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that so far nobody has come to instruct.</p> <p>3. Yesterday when the case was on the board the statement was made by the P.O. that Government decision shall be communicated to the Director General of Police.</p> <p>4. Today respondents were expected to report actions as may be completed by the Home Department.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. had requested for passing on the case, however, in view that Tribunal will be busy in dictating judgment in other cases, hearing of the case is adjourned to 08.12.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>nmn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. .... of 20 ..... DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 02.12.2016.

C.A. No. 156 of 2014 in O.A. No. 818 of 2011

A.V. Ghume .....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. None for the Applicant.

3. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad states as follows:-

That he has received instructions from the officers that the Writ Petition filed by the State is circulated and it is to come up for hearing on 06.12.2016.

4. In view of the statement of P.O. hearing of O.A. is adjourned to 06.03.2017, with liberty to circulate before due date, only if occasion arises.

DATE: 2/12/16

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. None for the app.


Advocate for the Applicant,

Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To..... 6/3/2017.

BTE

  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

nmn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)


versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 2/12/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : R.S. Pawar in person. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : H. G. Gohad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To place before the bench presided by the Hon. V.C, Shri Rajiv Agarwal.</p>	<p>Date : 02.12.2016.</p> <p>M.A. No. 507 of 2016 in O.A. No. 1104 of 2016 (M.A. No. 17 of 2015 in O.A. St. 1287 of 2014 (A'bad))</p> <p>R.S. Pawar .....Applicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.S. Pawar, Applicant in Person and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. At request of learned P.O., adjourned to 22.12.2016.</p> <p>3. Place before the Bench presided by the Hon'ble Vice-Chairman, Shri Rajiv Agarwal.</p>

  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 2.12.2016.

O.A.No.756 of 2015 with M.A.No.127 of 2016

R.S. Pawar .....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Mah. & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.S. Pawar, the Applicant in person and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-

- (a) The file is pending before the Social Justice Department for signature of Hon'ble Minister, ~~concerned~~.
- (b) The steps would be taken to secure approval from Hon'ble Minister within five days.

3. S.O. to 7.12.2016.

DATE: 2/12/16  
CORAM:  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Justice K. M. Mankhurdar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:  
Shri/Smt. R.S. Pawar app. in person.  
Advocate for the Applicant  
Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit  
C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 7/12/2016

*BTE*

*Sd/-*  
*(A.H. Joshi, J.)*  
Chairman

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 02.12.2016.

C.A.No.52 of 2015 in O.A.No.315 of 2014

S.E. Pawar .....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents states that so far nobody has arrived to give instructions. In view of the request of learned P.O. the matter was kept back.

3. Later on the In-charge Dean and Registrar of J.J. School of Art have arrived. They have furnished oral as well as written apology for failure to contact learned P.O., and also in relation of their inability to attend Tribunal in time. Apology is taken on record.

4. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 08.12.2016.

DATE : 2/12/16

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 8/12/16.

*BTE*

Sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi J.)  
Chairman

prk