

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.506 of 2023</p> <p>N.K. MujawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 was filed today by learned PO and thereafter this O.A. No.506/2023 is taken up for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. Heard at length both learned Advocate for the Applicant as well as learned P.O. The Applicant was served with 'Suspension Order' on 13.10.2021 which was subsequently quashed and set aside by Judgment dated 03.12.2021 in O.A. No.852/2021. Thereafter, the Applicant came to be reinstated in service and was posted as Talathi, Ule, Taluka South Solapur, Solapur District by order dated 08.12.2021 of 'Assistant Collector, Solapur No.2, District Solapur'. The Applicant challenged his posting as Talathi, Ule, Taluka South Solapur, Solapur District by filing O.A. No.176/2022. The posting order dated 08.12.2021 was quashed and set aside by order dated 28.03.2022 in OA No.176/2022. Thereupon by order dated 01.04.2022 of the 'Assistant Collector, Solapur No.2, Solapur District', the Applicant was posted back as 'Talathi Akkalkot, Taluka Akkalkot, Solapur District'.</p> <p>4. The Applicant was again served with 'Suspension Order' on 19.05.2022 by 'Assistant Collector, Solapur No.2, Solapur District'. Subsequently, 'Departmental Enquiry' came to be conducted against the Applicant.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Enquiry Report dated 10.01.2023 was submitted by the 'Enquiry Officer' to the 'Assistant Collector, Solapur No.2, Solapur District'. The 'Assistant Collector, Solapur No.2, Solapur District' being 'Disciplinary Authority' has passed order in the 'Departmental Enquiry' of imposing 'Minor Punishment' on the Applicant. The Applicant has since challenged the order of 'Assistant Collector, Solapur No.2, Solapur District' imposing Minor Penalty in 'Departmental Enquiry' before the 'District Collector, Solapur' who is 'Appellate Authority'.</p> <p>5. The main ground for challenging the impugned order dated 22.09.2022 of SDO, Solapur No.2, Solapur District' by which the Applicant has been posted as Talathi, Satanddhuni, Tahsil Akkalkot, Solapur District is that the second Suspension Order dated 19.05.2022 was passed by 'Assistant Collector, Solapur No.2, Solapur District' was to effect the transfer of Applicant out of the post of 'Talathi Akkalkot, Taluka Akkalkot, Solapur District' after the earlier Transfer Order and Suspension Order were set aside respectively in O.A. No.852/2021 and O.A. No.176/2022. Hence it was argued on behalf of the Applicant that such 'Suspension Orders' may become an instrument to circumvent the provisions of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'. However, fact remains that Assistant Collector, Solapur No.2 Solapur District who issued the Suspension Order of 19.05.2022 was also the 'Competent Authority' having inherent powers to transfer the Applicant. Therefore, such arguments merit no consideration what so ever; when any 'Disciplinary Authority' exercises inherent powers under Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979. Furthermore, the fact remains that there was no challenge to the 'Suspension Order' dated 19.05.2022. Subsequently SDO, Solapur No.2, District Solapur by order dated 22.09.2022 had revoked the 'Suspension Order' and posted the Applicant as Talathi, Satanddhuni, Taluka Akalkot, Solapur District' as per guidelines given in G.R. of GAD GR dated 20.04.2013. Thus, the order passed by SDO, Solapur No.2, District Solapur on 22.09.2022 to the post Applicant as Talathi, Satanddhuni, Taluka Akalkot, Solapur District' is as per guidelines of G.A.D. Circular dated 20.04.2013. Hence, there is no merit in the case of Applicant and no relief is required to be granted to the Applicant in this</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>O.A.No.506 of 2023. Nonetheless, as the Applicant had succeeded on earlier occasion in O.A. No.852/2021 and O.A. No.176/2022, it would suffice to observe that if the Applicant were to eventually succeed before the 'Appellate Authority' and stand exonerated in 'Departmental Enquiry', then the 'District Collector, Solapur' as per Revenue & Forest Department GR dated 17.09.2023 which deals with establishment subjects of 'Talathi Cadre' will be at liberty to review the order of 'SDO, Solapur No.2, Solapur District' dated 22.09.2022 and pass appropriate order as deemed fit subject to relevant provisions of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'.</p> <p>6. The Original Application is thus disposed off and 'No order as to costs'.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.818 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. D.A. ShengalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The 'Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development & Fisheries Department' has submitted letter dated 02.11.2023 addressed to learned CPO in which the following opinion has been given to them by the G.A.D. with reference to the directions given in this OA No.818 of 2023 on 28.08.2023, 14.09.2023, 05.10.2023 & 19.10.2023 :-</p> <p>“महाराष्ट्र शासकीय कर्मचा-यांचे बदल्यांचे विनियमन आणि शासकीय कर्तव्य पार पाडताना होणा-या विलंबास प्रतिबंध अधिनियम २००५ हा दि. ०१.०७.२००६ रोजी लागू झाल्याने सदर अधिनियम अस्तित्वात येण्यापूर्वी बदली करण्यासंदर्भातील साप्रवीचे सर्व आदेश अधिक्रमित करण्यात आले असल्याचे साप्रवी, शासन परिपत्रक दि.०७.०६. २००६ मध्ये नमूद केले आहे. त्यानुसार आदिवासी क्षेत्रात काम केलेल्या अधिकारी/ कर्मचारी यांना पसंतीच्या ठिकाणी बदली देणेबाबतचे सामान्य प्रशासन विभागाचे दि. ११. ०७.२००० चे शासन परिपत्रक अधिक्रमित झाले असून दि.०६.०८.२००२ च्या शासन निर्णयातील तरतुदीनुसार पसंतीच्या ठिकाणी बदली करण्याबाबतची तरतूदही अधिक्रमित झाली आहे”.</p> <p>3. The G.A.D. while giving its advice to the 'Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development & Fisheries Department' has done it without diligently examining the status of compliance of G.A.D. Circular dated 11.07.2000 and G.A.D. GR dated 06.08.2002 after issue of GAD Circular dated 07.06.2006 and whether or not these have been implemented by all Administrative Departments from 01.07.2006 after coming into effect of the 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'. Not only the 'Agriculture, Animal</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Husbandry, Dairy Development & Fisheries Department' but several other 'Administrative Departments' since issue of G.A.D. Circular dated 07.06.2006 and even in the recent past have issued several Transfer Orders by giving preferential consideration to options given by Government Servants who have worked in Tribal and Remote Areas after invoking provisions by G.A.D. Circular dated 11.07.2000 & G.A.D. GR dated 06.08.2002.</p> <p>4. The advice of G.A.D. as per directions given on 28.08.2023, 14.09.2023, 05.10.2023 & 19.10.2023 in this OA No.818 of 2023 was sought by 'Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development & Fisheries Department'. The advice accordingly given by G.A.D. to the 'Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development & Fisheries Department' is based on contents of G.A.D. Circular dated 07.06.2006 and by extrapolation states that even GAD Circular dated 11.07.2000 & G.A.D. GR dated 06.08.2002 stood rescinded with effect from 01.07.2006 following implementation of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'. Therefore, it becomes imperative to mention that G.A.D. Circular dated 07.06.2006 does not mention whether it was issued under any specific provisions of the 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'. Nor does it mention about the G.A.D. Circular dated 11.07.2000 & G.A.D. GR dated 06.08.2002 in array of several other G.A.D. GRs & G.A.D. Circulars which are enlisted under the caption of referred documents which stood rescinded with effect from 01.07.2006. Therefore, the advice of G.A.D. given to 'Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development & Fisheries Department' is required to be reviewed as it is <i>prima facie</i> 'Intra-Contradictory'.</p> <p>5. The 'Principal Secretary, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development & Fisheries Department' is directed to resubmit the file to 'Additional Chief Secretary (Services), G.A.D.' along with the copy of this order for reconsideration of the advice given to them; as it is quite evident that G.A.D. Circular dated 11.07.2000 & G.A.D. GR dated 06.08.2002 do not appear to have been rescinded by G.A.D. Circular of 07.06.2006.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. The GAD Circular dated 11.07.2000 & GAD GR dated 06.08.2002 were issued primarily to encourage Government Servants to work in 'Tribal and Remote Areas' and if these stood rescinded with effect from 01.07.2006 as it is sought to be conveyed by way of advice if G.A.D. to the 'Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development & Fisheries Department', then it assumes importance enough to be looked into forthwith by 'Chief Secretary, Government of Maharashtra', so that appropriate stand of the State Government is brought on record in this OA No.818 of 2023. Further if the G.A.D. Circular dated 11.07.2000 & G.A.D. GR dated 06.08.2002 indeed stood rescinded with effect from 01.07.2006 on issue of G.A.D. Circular dated 07.06.2006, then whether it had been explicitly communicated to all 'Administrative Departments' as many of them still continue to implement these guidelines so as to encourage Government Servants to serve in 'Tribal and Remote Areas'. In fact, as there are several other 'Service Benefits' such as 'One Step Promotion', Special Allowances, etc. which are also being provided to 'Government Servants' working in 'Tribal and Remote Areas'; so whether all dimensions of this important issue including its long term impact on delivery of 'Citizen Services' and efficacy of 'Public Administration' were duly considered by G.A.D before advice was given to the 'Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development & Fisheries Department' may also be looked into by the 'Chief Secretary, Government of Maharashtra'.</p> <p>7. The 'Principal Secretary, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development & Fisheries Department'; therefore would be at liberty to resubmit the file to 'Additional Chief Secretary (Services), G.A.D.' after these observations recorded in OA No.818 of 2023 on 02.11.2023 are perused by 'Chief Secretary, Government of Maharashtra'.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 21.11.2023 for 'Final Hearing'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.11.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1407 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. L.G. BhalshankarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant was working as 'Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre, Talegaon, Tahsil Dindori, District Nashik' and came to be transferred on the post of 'Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre, Nanashi, Tahsil Dindori, District Nashik' by 'Transfer Order' dated 10.06.2023 of the 'Commissioner of Health Services'. The 'District Health Officer, Zilla Parishad, Nashik' had issued Certificate on 17.05.2023 on the request of the Applicant stating therein that she has done commendable work in 'Tribal and Remote Area' and therefore she can be considered for exemption from General Transfer. However, this Certificate of 17.05.2023 was issued at the request of the Applicant. Subsequently, after the Transfer Order was issued on 10.06.2023 the Applicant made representation to (i) Hon'ble Minister of Public Health Department on 16.06.2023 and (ii) Principal Secretary of Public Health Department on 15.06.2023 which were not considered as is evident from the orders issued by the 'Deputy Director of Health Services, Nashik Circle, Nashik' dated 01.09.2023 which was issued subsequent to order of the 'Public Health Department' dated 29.08.2023 by which the request of 53 'Medical Officers' came to be considered and few 'Transfer Orders' which were modified pertain to 'Nashik District'. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also states that she has been working</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>in Tribal & Remote Area for last 25 years. Further, learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant stands relieved from the post of 'Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre, Talegaon, Tahsil Dindori, District Nashik' and is yet to join as 'Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre, Nanashi, Tahsil Dindori, District Nashik'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that the Applicants has filed this O.A. No.1407/2023 belatedly as her Transfer Order were issued on 10.06.2023 and seek time to take instruction from the 'Public Health Department'.</p> <p>4. The State Government gives due consideration to preferences of 'Government Servants' who have worked in 'Tribal and Remote Areas' at the time of finalizing their next posting as per G.A.D. Circular dated 11.07.2000 & G.A.D. G.R. dated 06.08.2002. The G.A.D. Circular dated 11.07.2000 & G.A.D. G.R. dated 06.08.2002 have been issued primary to encourage all 'Government Servants' to serve in 'Tribal and Remote Areas'. Applicant has been working all along in 'Tribal and Remote Area' for more than 25 years as stated by learned Advocate of Applicant and her request is to be posted back in 'Primary Health Centre, Talegaon, Tahsil Dindori, District Nashik' so that she can carry on with providing health services in 'Tribal & Remote Areas'. Hence, the request of the Applicant merits due consideration because she is not seeking transfer on any preferential consideration as per G.A.D. Circular dated 11.07.2000 & G.A.D. G.R. dated 06.08.2002 and wanting to be posted outside 'Tribal and Remote Areas'.</p> <p>5. The 'Public Health Department' should have been rather considerate about the case of the Applicant which is exceptional as she is not in any way leveraging her long tenure of more than 25 years in 'Tribal & Remote Areas' to seek any particular posting as is due to her as per G.A.D. Circular dated 11.07.2000 & G.A.D. G.R. dated 06.08.2002 but is merely wanting to continue to serve in 'Primary Health Centre, Talegaon, Tahsil Dindori, District Nashik' for another 'One Year'. The 'Public Health Department' should have considered any other 'Medical Officer' who has never been worked in any 'Tribal and Remote Areas' for posting to 'Primary</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Health Centre, Nanashi, Tahsil Dindori, District Nashik'. The 'Public Health Department' has not posted any other Medical Officer in place of Applicant to 'Primary Health Centre, Talegaon, Tahsil Dindori, District Nashik'. Therefore this is deserving case to be granted 'Interim Relief'. The Applicant is accordingly permitted to join back on the post held earlier by her as 'Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre, Talegaon, Tahsil Dindori, District Nashik'.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. to therefore seek instructions from the 'Public Health Department' with regard to the representation made by the Applicant to (i) Hon'ble Minister of Public Health Department on 16.06.2023 and (ii) Principal Secretary of Public Health Department on 15.06.2023 requesting that she be continued for 'One Year' on the post of 'Medical officer, Primary Health Centre, Talegaon, Tahsil Dindori, District Nashik'.</p> <p>7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.12.2023.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 06.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.633 of 2023 in O.A. No.273 of 2023</u></p> <p>Bhimraj R. Ghadge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files short affidavit dated 2.11.2023 of Shekhar B. Dombé, ACP (Admn.), office of Commissioner of Police, Thane and opposes the MA for adding prayer clause d(i) in the OA by way of amendment. Ld. PO submits that by way of amendment the applicant wants to challenge the suspension order dated 23.8.2015 after undergoing suspension which was already revoked on 28.11.2018.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he does not want to press the MA for amendment.</p> <p>4. Hence, the MA is disposed off as such.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 2.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 133/2023</u></p> <p>Shri D.S Patil Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.R Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 14.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Sd/- (Medhā Gaḍgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>02.11.2023</u>
	<u>O.A 1139/2023 with M.A 533/2023 in O.A 570/2023</u>
	Dr S.K Phule Ms R.H Metkar ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri A.A Desai, and Shri U.V Bhosle, learned counsel for the applicant in respective O.A and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Matters were already adjourned to 20.11.2023. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, matter taken on Board.
	3. We are unable to take the matters for want of time. The matters are fixed on the first day of opening as the issue involved is challenge to clause 5 of the G.R 4.5.2023.
	4. We have already passed order that if any appointment is made by the Respondents, that will be subject to the outcome of these Original Applications. We direct the Respondents to take note of this order.
	5. S.O to 20.11.2023.
	Sd/- 
Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
Akn	

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.651 of 2023

Dr. V. P. Wahane

)..... Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors.

)...Respondents

Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, Counsel for the Applicant

Ms S. P. Manchekar, Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Justice Mridula Bhatkar, (Chairperson)
Shri Debashish Chakarbarty, Member (A)

DATE : 02.11.2023

PER : Justice Mridula Bhatkar, (Chairperson)

ORDER

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In this matter, the Applicant, Dr. V. P. Wahane working as Assistant Director (Archaeology), Ratnagiri wants promotion to the post of 'Deputy Director (Group-A) within the Directorate of Archaeology and Museums as per M.P.S.C. Advertisement No.029/2023 dated 19.05.2023.
3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant was promoted to the post of 'Assistant Director Archaeology, Class-III vide order dated 20.08.2019.
4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he completed requisite three years' experience on 20.08.2019. Learned Counsel further submits that vide order dated 22.01.2019 issued by the Respondent No.1, that one Shri B.V. Kulkarni, the then Assistant Director Archaeology and Museum (Group-B) to be promoted as 'Deputy Director Archaeology and Museum (Group A). Based on the said order, the Respondent No.2 passed an order dated 23.01.2019 thereby relieving Shri B.V. Kulkarni from the post of 'Assistant Director' Archaeology and Museum (Group B) and issued his posting order as Deputy Director Archaeology and Museum (Group A). Accordingly, since then till

30.09.2022, Shri B.V. Kulkarni worked on the said post till the date of his retirement. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Applicant was eligible and available candidate for the said post.

5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant further submits that as per Circular dated 26.03.1970, the posts are to be filled up with the ration of 50:50. Learned Counsel points out that in Affidavit in Reply filed by Smt. Geeta Kulkarni, Deputy Secretary, GAD, Mantralaya, dated 07.07.2023, the Government has taken stand that this being a only one post of 'Deputy Director Archeology and Museum which is isolated post. The ratio of 50:50 for promotion and nomination cannot be made applicable to the post of Deputy Director, Archaeology because the sanctioned number of post of Deputy Director, Archaeology is only one (isolated post). If 50:50 ratio to this single post is to be applied, then 05 post would be required to be filled in by promotion and 0.5 post by nomination, which is practically impossible. Therefore, it should preferable be filled in by promotion from the persons belonging to the feeder cadre of Assistant Director, Archaeology.

6. Learned Counsel for the Applicant states that this admission of G.A.D. makes the case of the Applicant stronger. The Applicant has every legitimate claim on this post by way of promotion. Learned Counsel further submits that despite in Affidavit in Reply reference is made of G.R. dated 09.09.2021 regarding model specimen of Recruitment Rules and as per the said Rules again it is reiterated that in case of single post as person by promotion appointed by feeder cadre in absence of ratio. The Respondents made requisition to M.P.S.C. on 05.12.2022 for the post of 'Deputy Director Archaeology and Museum (Group-A). Accordingly, the M.P.S.C. issued advertisement on 19.05.2023. Thus, the Respondent is going to fill up the post by nomination. He submits that the decision of not appointing the Applicant by promotion to this post, is illegal. At present, Shri B. V. Kulkarni who as Deputy Director Archaeology and Museum (Group A) has given appointment on contract basis for the period of one year dated 28.10.2022. Learned Counsel submits that specific experience is required to work on this post regarding Archaeology and Museum. It needs special knowledge and Applicant is holding a Doctorate Degree in Archaeology.

7. Learned C.P.O. relies on Affidavit in Reply of Shri Vilas Thorat, Deputy Secretary, in the office of Principal Secretary, Tourism and Cultural Affairs Department, Mantralaya, dated 20.07.2023.

8. We gone through the Affidavit in Reply of Respondent No.1 and Respondent No.2. The Recruitment Rules dated 14th July, 1967 is annexed with Affidavit in Reply. As per this Rules, we would like to reproduce the relevant paragraph of Rules 14.07.1967 :-

“उपसंचालक पुरातत्व या पदासाठी सेवाप्रवेश नियम

या पदावरील नेमणूक खालीलपैकी कोणत्याही एका पध्दतीने करावी.

अ. पुरातत्व विभागातील योग्य अशा राजपत्रित अधिका-याच्या पदोन्नतीने किंवा

ब. खालील अर्हता धारण करणा-या व महाराष्ट्र शासनाच्या सेवेत नसल्यास. त्यांचे वया ४० वर्षांपेक्षा जास्त नाही अशा उमेदवारांच्या नामनिर्देशनाने

१) अ. भारतीय संस्कृती (Indology) या विषयातील मान्यताप्राप्त विद्यापीठाची मास्टरची पदवी किंवा

ब. प्राचीन किंवा मध्ययुगीन भारतीय इतिहास, किंवा प्राचीन अगर मध्ययुगीन भारतीय संस्कृती ह्या विषयावरील एक किंवा एका पेक्षा जास्त पेपर देऊन मान्य विद्यापीठाची इतिहास विषयातील मास्टरची पदवी.
किंवा

क. इतिहास विषयातील किंवा इतिहास विषयासह स्नातक पदवी उत्तीर्ण झाल्यानंतर घेतलेली, प्राचीन किंवा मध्ययुगीन भारतीय इतिहास किंवा प्राचीन अगर मध्ययुगीन भारतीय संस्कृती ह्या विषयातील, मान्य विद्यापीठाची संशोधनोत्तर मास्टरची पदवी.
किंवा

ड. मान्य विद्यापीठाची भारतीय इतिहास ह्या विषयातील “डॉक्टरेट”

इ. मान्यताप्राप्त विख्यात विदेशी विद्यापीठाची इतिहास विषयातील “डॉक्टरेट” भारतीय इतिहास या विषयातील एक किंवा एकापेक्षा जास्त पेपर देऊन घेतलेली “डॉक्टरेट” असल्यास अधिक पसंती देण्यात येईल.

ई. भारतीय इतिहास या विषयातील एक किंवा एकापेक्षा जास्त पेपर देऊन घेतलेल्या स्नातक किंवा मास्टरच्या पदवीनंतर मिळालेली मान्य विद्यापीठाची पुराणवस्तु शास्त्रातील (Archaeology) “डॉक्टरेट”.

9. Thus, it appears that there are two channels available for filling up the post of Deputy Director Archaeology and Museum (Group-A), one is through Promotion and the other by Nomination. We are of the view that there is no issue of ratio involved in this case as the Rules are very clear.

10. In view of above, we call upon the Respondents to furnish the details whether from the publication of Recruitment Rules dated 14th July, 1967 till today, the post of Deputy Director Archaeology and Museum (Group-A) on which occasions it was filled by ‘Promotion’ or by ‘Nomination’.

11. We note that when the Applicant had not completed Three Years in December, 2019. The Director of Archeology and Museum had sent proposals on 08.08.2019 and 02.12.2019 to the Secretary Tourism and Cultural Affairs Department to fill up the post of Deputy Director Archaeology and Museum (Group-A). The Applicant completed Three Years on 26.08.2022. Surprisingly even though the proposal was sent on 08.08.2019 and 02.12.2019 by Director of Archaeology and Museum, the requisition to MP.S.C. was sent only on 05.12.2022 i.e. Three Years after proposal was received by Secretary, Tourism and Cultural Affairs Department. While sending requisition to M.P.S.C. on 05.12.2022 why the Secretary, Tourism and Cultural Affairs Department did not take into account the Applicant’s eligibility as he was eligible on completion of Three Years for appointment to the post of ‘Deputy Director Archaeology and Museum (Group A) by ‘Promotion’ as per the Recruitment Rules, dated 14th July, 1967.

12. The Respondents are, therefore, directed to give instructions on the above mentioned points to learned C.P.O.

13. S.O. to 06.11.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 02.11.2023

O.A. No.1250 of 2023

S.M. ChavanApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. was informed on 04.10.2023 to take instructions from Respondent Nos.3 & 4 as to whether the Applicant was permitted to join back on the post of 'Talathi' after having being found fit by 'Standing Medical Board' of 'Sassoon General Hospital, Pune' on 22.08.2019 and if so the Applicant had joined on which post of 'Talathi'. Subsequently, when was it known that he has been absent from work since August 2020 for which 'Show Cause Notice' was issued to Applicant on 30.11.2022.

3. Learned P.O. states that some more time is required to take instructions in this regard from Respondent Nos.3 to 4.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant thereupon states that the Applicant is being unnecessarily been kept waiting even after he was found fit by the 'Standing Medical Board' of 'Sassoon General Hospital, Pune' on 22.08.2019. Subsequently even 'Tahsildar Haveli, District Pune' on 07.09.2019 had informed the 'S.D.O. Haveli, District Pune' to take appropriate decision about the Applicant. However, no decision was taken promptly as expected by 'S.D.O. Haveli, District Pune'.

5. The 'District Collectors' have been entrusted with the subject of establishment of 'Talathi Cadre' by 'Revenue and Forest Department' by G.R. dated 17.09.2023. The Applicant has long history of not being paid 'Salary & Allowances' as mentioned in the detailed letter was submitted by then Advocate Shri V.V. Joshi on 24.04.2022 to District Collector, Pune.' Therefore, against this background 'District Collector, Pune' is informed to personally examine the case of the Applicant and take decision without further delay to permit Applicant to join back on the post of Talathi.

6. S.O. to 22.11.2023.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

/R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1159 of 2023 (Speaking to Minutes)</p> <p>R. K. KawaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. T. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on Board. Mentioned and taken on Board.</p> <p>3. In order dated 30.10.2023, in paragraph no.2 at line 4, the date of Advertisement was wrongly typed as "03.11.2023" whereas it should be read as dated "03.11.2022".</p> <p>4. Again, in Paragraph No.2 at line 4 "The Applicant cleared the Written Examination", the word "Written Examination" is wrongly typed whereas it should be read as "The Applicant cleared the interview".</p> <p>5. The learned C.P.O. has no objection.</p> <p>6. The above mentioned corrections be corrected accordingly.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1256 of 2023</p> <p>R.M. MujawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Advocate holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that as per direction given on 09.10.2023 to the 'Superintendent of Police, Sangli'; the Applicant has been permitted to retain till 19.11.2023 the 'Police Quarter' which he was occupying when posted in the office of 'SDPO, Vita, District Sangli'. Learned Advocate for the Applicant further states that in this regard 'Superintendent of Police, Sangli' has issued office order dated 26.10.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.673 of 2023 in O.A. No.1229 of 2023

Ramchandra B. Jadhav

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Ms. Mahenoor Khan holding for Ms. Vrushali Maindad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 7.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA & OA. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

2.11.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cocum, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.590 of 2023 in O.A. No.233 of 2023</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants Vs. V.H. ShelkeRespondent</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwdekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent-original Applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO has filed this MA seeking extension of time for implementation of the order dated 13.7.2023, which was to be complied within two months i.e. on or before 12.9.2023. He seeks additional period of six weeks to implement the order.</p> <p>3. I.d. Advocate for the Respondent submits that MA has been filed on 12.9.2023 and the period six weeks is already over on 24.10.2023. Hence, no extension be granted. I.d. PO prays for two weeks to implement the order.</p> <p>4. However, in view of the facts of the case a period two weeks is granted to comply the order dated 13.7.2023. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1400 of 2023</u></p> <p>V.V. Thombre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1394 of 2023</u></p> <p>H.N. Wagela ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.11.2023</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.667 of 2023 in O.A. No.1380 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.K. Mharse & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1380 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.K. Mharse & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.11.2023</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1373 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.S. Koli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.11.2023</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1365 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.D. Pujari Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.11.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Court,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1408 of 2023

P.M. Raut

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant who was working as Assistant Commissioner, Food prays for stay to the impugned order dated 23.5.2023 cancelling the second benefit of Time Bound Promotion which was given to him by order dated 16.12.2015 w.e.f. 20.7.2013. The applicant retired in September, 2023. Ld. Advocate prays for similar orders passed in identical matters by this Tribunal on 25.7.2023 in OA No.898/2023 and on 2.8.2023 in OAs No.914, 921, 922, 947 & 956/2023.

3. Ld. PO states that applicant is Class I officer and therefore not entitled to get the same relief.

4. However, in view of the facts of the case interim relief of stay to the impugned order is granted till filing of the reply.

5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
2.11.2023

(sgl)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1130 of 2023</p> <p>S.G. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Sairuchita Chowdhary, learned Advocate holding for Shri S. Jagtap, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief to continue till 'Next Date'</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1215 of 2023</p> <p>A.G. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.11.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1293 of 2023 with O.A. No.1294 of 2023</p> <p>S.N. Kurule S.R. PhulpagarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Advocate holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>02.11.2023</u>
	<u>O.A 1368/2023</u>
	Dr Bharat Lad ... Applicant
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C holding for Shri S. Chinnappa, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned C.P.O submit sealed cover envelope. We have opened the same and it is seen that the applicant has secured 70 out of 100 marks. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the higher mark obtained by the candidate is 75 marks.
	3. In this matter the issue is whether a Doctor who has worked for 7 years on a contractual basis as Dental Surgeon, his experience is to be accepted as requisite 7 years' experience of eligibility and whether such person working on a contract basis for more years like the applicant can be considered as a Government servant for the purpose of waiver of age.
	4. As the issue requires deliberation, we direct the Respondents to keep one post of Dental Surgeon vacant till filing of the reply.
	5. S.O to 30.11.2023.
	Sd/-
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)
	/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1239/2023</u></p> <p>Shri B.D Khobargade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submitted that the last promotion was granted in the year 2014 and thereafter no promotion is given.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply before the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O to 30.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.563/2023 in O.A.No.1018/2023</p> <p>T.J. PardhiApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. A.R. Kapadia, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. In view that reply is not filed till date, matter to proceed without reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 28.11.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.587/2023 in O.A.No.625/2021</p> <p>K.D. Binner & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents in M.A.No.587/2023 in O.A.No.625/2021. Ms. S.P. Manchekar learned C.P.O. for the Applicant (Org. Respondent) in O.A.No.625/2021.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. is directed to file affidavit with regard to paragraph 8 of order dated 11.10.2023.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 02.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.594/2023 in O.A.No.574/2023</p> <p>S.I. BhosaleApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhonsle holding for Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 30.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.680/2023 in O.A.No.1169/2022 with M.A.No.681/2023 in O.A.No.483/2023 with O.A.No.716/2023 with O.A.No.726/2023 with O.A.No.894/2023 with O.A.No.895/2023 with O.A.No.896/2023</p> <p>K.A. Devkate & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.V. Shinde, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.680/2023 and M.A.No.681/2023 is filed seeking amendment in O.A.No.1169/2022 and O.A.No.483/2023 respectively.</p> <p>3. Permission granted. Amendment be carried out within two weeks. Amended copy to be served upon the concerned forthwith.</p> <p>4. O.A.No.716/2023 is already disposed of, Registry to take note of the same.</p> <p>5. Learned Counsel seeks permission for extension of time by one week to carry out amendment in O.A.No.726/2023.</p> <p>6. Permission granted. Time to carry out amendment in O.A.No.726/2023 is extended by one week.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 29.11.2023. To be tagged along with O.A.No.612/2023. Interim relief, if any, to continue. HOB. Place for Final Hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.90/2023 in O.A.No.13/2017</p> <p>N.S. NavaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.S. Kaningdhwaj, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 29.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ s/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.92/2023 in O.A.No.639/2022</p> <p>R.C. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned C.P.O. has filed reply that the order has been complied with.</p> <p>3. In view of above, C.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 901/2023</u></p> <p>Shri N.J Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that in view of communication dated 9.2.2023, issued by Respondent No. 2, the Respondents may be directed to decide the issue of the applicant for granting promotion and deemed date to the post of Dy. S.P /A.C.P.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submitted that the applicant retired from the post of Sr. Police Inspector, Armed Forces on 31.10.2014. Learned counsel submits that his name was in the select list dated 29.1.2013 for promotion to the post of Dy S.P/A.C.P. Since he was facing criminal case under the Prevention of Corruption Act, he was not considered at that time. Learned counsel submitted that the applicant was acquitted from the Criminal case on 14.5.2015 and thereafter, he made representation dated 8.3.2017, 12.4.2018 and 7.12.2020 to the Respondents to consider him for promotion to the post of Dy. S.P /A.C.P, when his juniors were promoted in January, 2013. The said representations are still pending.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, we direct Respondents No 1 & 2 to decide the representations made by the applicant within two months from the date of this order.</p> <p>5. Accordingly, Original Application stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1369/2023</u></p> <p>Dr A.M Naik & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O is directed to file reply before the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.11.2023. To be tagged along with O.A 395/2023.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 337/2023 in O.A 971/2019 with M.A 338/2023 in O.A 973/20129 and M.A 339/2023 in O.A 1022/2019</u></p> <p>R.P Gaikwad & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to the order dated 12.10.2023, no hearing was taken by the Commissioner, Tribal Development.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to comply with the order of this Tribunal before the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O to 23.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.310 of 2023 With O.A.No.311 of 2023</p> <p>N. B. Rathod A. Y. MadaleApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is adjourned to 03.11.2023 at 10.15 A.M. to see the footage.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.11.2023 at 10.15 am.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>R.A.No.3/2023 in O.A.No.280/2022</p> <p>G.B. JagtapApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant in R.A.No.3/2023 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. S.T. Yaseen, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.280/2022 is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere has submitted that in view of the pendency of the Writ Petition wherein the order of the Tribunal is challenged, matter be adjourned.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 14.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.504/2023 in O.A.No.348/2023</p> <p>N.B. BhondeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant <u>through video conferencing</u> and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has submitted Applicant has been permanently debarred from the recruitment in all the examinations conducted by the M.P.S.C. from 30.07.2023. In view that order of the M.P.S.C. dated 10.02.2023 is based on CCTV footage therefore learned Counsel prays that the said footage is to be supplied to her till 08.11.2023 so has to build up her case.</p> <p>3. Respondent is directed to provide copy of the said footage to learned Counsel by 08.11.2023.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 22.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.542/2023 in O.A.No.255/2023</p> <p>A.S. ChavanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Concerned Officers from the office of Respondents are directed to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.11.2023 at 11.30. a.m. HOB.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.52/2019</p> <p>P.V. Shelke – Patil & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. Y.P. Narvankar and Mr. A.A. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Respondents No.6 and 4 are absent.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. has stated that today during the course of the day she will file affidavit-in-day. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel Mr. Dere seeks time to enable him to go through the said reply and file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>5. Time granted. Adjourned to 07.11.2023 with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

pk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.386/2022 with O.A.No.552/2023</p> <p>R.P. Satpute & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.386/2022 <u>through video conferencing</u>, Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.552/2023 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan seeks one week time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 08.11.2023 for filing reply. Thereafter matters to be adjourned to 22.11.2023. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1163/2023 with O.A.No.1029/2023 with O.A.No.1348/2023 with O.A.No.968/2023 with O.A.No.985/2023</p> <p>P.B. Adake & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply today by 2.30 p.m. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>3. Matters be adjourned to 03.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.325/2018 with O.A.No.13/2020</p> <p>A.M. Jadhao & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Special Counsel for Respondent No.1 is absent.</p> <p>3. The Respondent-State is directed to comply the order dated 16.09.2022. Learned C.P.O. is directed to file reply on behalf of Respondent No.2, Skilled Development and Entrepreneurship Department. If reply is not file on or before next date, we will be constrained to call Respondent No.2 to remain present.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 28.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.664/2018</p> <p>A @ P.B. LoharApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Bandewadekar adjourned to 06.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.814/2021 with O.A.No.860/2021 with O.A.No.1033/2021</p> <p>A.R. Patil & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. A.S. Pawar / Mr. S.D. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicants is absent.</p> <p>3. This group belongs to 'Selection Process'. In view that learned Counsel were absent even on the previous occasions, matters to be removed from the caption of HOB.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 13.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1299/2022</p> <p>U.H. BhosaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.4/2020 in O.A.No.1119/2015</p> <p>Dr. B.M. LadApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. Talekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that Applicant is age barred.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. is directed to state the same by filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 07.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.36/2021 in O.A.No.1029/2014 with C.A.No.37/2021 in O.A.No.1030/2014 with C.A.No.38/2021 in O.A.No.1031/2014 with C.A.No.39/2021 in O.A.No.1032/2014 with C.A.No.40/2021 in O.A.No.1033/2014 with C.A.No.5/2021 in T.A.No.1/2020</p> <p>R.S. BidkarApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.G. Panchal holding for Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Special for the Respondent and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar has submitted that in view of the pendency of the Writ Petition wherein the orders of the Tribunal are challenged, matter be adjourned.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 16.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) /(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.73/2023 in O.A.No.733/2022</p> <p>R.H. ArsidhApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Khaire has submitted that in view of the pendency of the Writ Petition wherein the order of the Tribunal is challenged, matter be adjourned.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 10.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 395/2023</u></p> <p>Dr Yogita Shah & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief, if any to continue.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	02.11.2023 Tribunal's orders M.A 683/2023 in O.A 395/2023
---	---

Dr Yogita Shah & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Misc Application is filed seeking to amend the Original Application 395/2023 in terms of amendment schedule Annexure-A.

3. Permission to amend O.A is granted. Amendment to be carried out within one week and copy to be served on the other side within one week thereafter.

4. Misc Application accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(**Medha Gadgil**)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(**Mridula Bhatkar, J.**)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 67/2023 in O.A 993/2019 with C.A 68/2023 in O.A 995/2019 with C.A 85/2023 in O.A 996/2019</u></p> <p align="center"><u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>V.D Kalsait & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits copy of communication dated 1.11.2023, whereby the order of this Tribunal has been complied with and necessary orders are given to the Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department by G.A.D.</p> <p>3. The said communication dated 1.11.2023 is taken on record. We direct the learned C.P.O to serve the copy of communication dated 1.11.2023 on Whatsapp to the learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p>4. S.O to 3.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>02.11.2023</u>
	<u>M.A 688/2023 in O.A 289/2016</u>
	Shrikant Laxman Pawar ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. The Misc Application is moved for recalling the order dated 11.7.2023 in the interest of justice.
	3. In view of para 2 of the Misc Application, we are of the opinion that lenient view is required to be taken in this matter. Accordingly, we withdraw para 4 of our earlier order dated 11.7.2023, which is reproduced below:-
	“4. The affidavit/verification dated 5.5.2022 is a forged document filed in the judicial proceedings. We direct the O.S.D (Legal) to lodge a complaint with Senior Police Inspector, Cuffe Parade Police Station, Mumbai for investigation in this matter.”
	4. Hence, the O.S.D (Legal) is hereby directed to act accordingly and take necessary steps by communicating this order to the concerned Police Station.
	5. Misc Application accordingly stands disposed of.
	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)
	Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 908/2019</u></p> <p>A.K Gogavle & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 30.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 353/2023</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>Smt B.B Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent No. 1.</p> <p>3. S.O to 30.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <small>Akn</small></p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 612/2023</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Khade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.V Shinde, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O to 29.11.2023. Interim relief to continue till then.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / Member (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Aka</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>02.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 495/2023</u></p> <p>N.B Ghade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Poonam Pal holding for Shri S.K Hande, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 30.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>02.11.2023</p> <p><u>O.A 850, 851 & 876/2023</u></p> <p>J.J Gaikwad & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 24.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.82/2023 in O.A.No.44/2023</p> <p>P.B. SureApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.G. Panchal holding for Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Mr. Panchal has submitted that he has filed rejoinder on 30.10.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. seeks time till tomorrow.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 03.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.89/2023 in O.A.No.967/2022</p> <p>M.G. SatheApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. on instructions from Mr. Sunil Thorat, Warden has submitted that interest on regular pension and gratuity has been approved by the office of Accountant General and thereafter it will be sent for approval of the Government. Further it will be sent to the office of Pay and Accounts and thereafter the amount will be disbursed to the applicant.</p> <p>3. Social Welfare Department to take note that in view that the matter is under Contempt, the order of the Tribunal is to be complied with on or before next date.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Applicant has received only 1/4th of his entitlement with regard to interest on Leave Encashment.</p> <p>5. Respondent is directed to give his statement for the same.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 22.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 02.11.2023

O.A.No.988 of 2023

R. S. KanadeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Suo. moto speaking to minutes. In order dated 31.10.2023 in last para it is mentioned as :-

"The matter is fixed on 09.11.2023 but for appearance of Under Secretary, it is fixed on 02.11.2023." It should be read as :-

"The matter is fixed on 08.11.2023 at 12.30 PM but for appearance of Under Secretary, it is fixed on 02.11.2023."

3. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.867 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. B.S. NagargojeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent and so is the Applicant. Learned Presenting Officer, Shri A.J. Chougule is present on behalf of the Respondents</p> <p>2. The issue involved in this O.A. No.867/2023 relates to several Transfer Orders issued by the Medical Education and Drugs Department in respect of Professors, Associate Professor & Assistant Professors working in Government Medical Colleges and Civil Surgeons posted in Civil Hospitals and therefore is similar to those raised in O.A. No.880/2023 & Others. Hence O.A. No.867/2023 be tagged along with O.A. No.880/2023 & Others.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Date : 02.11.2023

O.A.No.1397 of 2023

D. S. Khose

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V. Gupta, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he has filed 'Affidavit of Service'.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.12.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 07.12.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023</p> <p>M. A. No.682 of 2023 in O.A.No.1399 of 2023</p> <p>Ramakant G. Chikane & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M. A. No.682 /2023 is allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Tribunal's orders

Date : 02.11.2023

O.A.No.1399 of 2023

Ramakant G. Chikane & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.12.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 14.12.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Date : 02.11.2023

O.A.No.1401 of 2023

P. P. Kamble

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Poonam Pal holding for Shri V. V. Gujar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.12.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 07.12.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Date : 02.11.2023

O.A.No.1402 of 2023

R. B. Kawale

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Poonam Pal holding for Shri V. V. Gujar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.12.2023.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 07.12.2023.

9. Learned Advocate is directed to serve the copy of O.A. to other side.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

J N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 02.11.2023

O.A.No.70 of 2023

H. D. More & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 23.11.2023.
3. S.O. to 23.11.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.11.2023 O.A.No.1340 of 2023</p> <p>K. N. TadviApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. is directed to produce the list of Open Sport Category, Group -A who had played international games.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>