## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1104 OF 2024 (S.B.) (corrected as per order dated 10.09.2024)

R.D. Akrupe	Applicant	
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondent	

Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None appeared for Private Respondent No.3.

#### CORAM : Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)

DATE

: 02.09.2024

#### ORDER

1. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to hold the transfer order of the Applicant transferring him from Thane-2 to Headquarter-6 and transfer order of Respondent No.3 transferring him from Thane-23 to Thane-2, in place of Applicant are illegal and void ab initio in view of the contravention of provision of office memorandum dated 08.10.2018 issued under the Rights of Person with Disabilities Act, 2016 and the provision of the G.R. dated 09.04.2018 and issued under the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties, Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred as 'ROTA 2005' for brevity). Applicant further prays that Respondents be directed to hold the transfer order of Respondent No.4 transferring him from Thane-8 to Thane-14 in place on which the Applicant has given his second preference is illegal and void ab initio in view of the contravention of the provision of the G.R. dated 09.04.2018 and issued under ROTA 2005. Applicant prays that order be passed by the Tribunal either to exempt the applicant from routine transfer by cancelling the impugned transfer order dated O.A 1104/2024

31.08.2024 of Applicant and Respondent No.3 in view of office memorandum dated 08.10.2018 or to post the Applicant on Thane-14 by cancelling the order of Respondent No.4 in view of the provision of G.R. dated 09.04.2018 in terms of the order of priorities.

Learned Advocate submits that Applicant has not been relived 3. from Thane-2 and Respondent No.3 has joined in place of Applicant.

Learned Advocate for Applicant further submits that nobody has 4. been posted in place of Respondent No.3 i.e. at F.S.O., Thane-23 and therefore there are not compelling circumstances to relive Respondent No.3 for joining at Thane-2. In addition, he submitted that many posts at Thane district lying vacant.

Learned P.O. on query submits that no specific reasons are 5. stated in the CSB minutes for not continuing the Applicant at Thane-2 or for not considering his option at Thane-14, Thane-04 and Thane-35 which are lying vacant and requested to grant time for filing reply.

In such circumstances, it is directed to maintain status quo. 6.

The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to 7. be paid, if not already paid.

Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.09.2024. 8.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

2

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

13. Adjourned to 18.09.2024. Status quo, if any, to be maintained till next date.

Sd/-(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

prk

D:\D Drive\PRK\2024\9 Sep\O.A.1104-24 corrected order.doc

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>O.A. No.1098 of 2</u>	<u>024</u>	
	S.R. Shinde Vs.	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents	
	Shri M.A. Jadhav, learned Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwaa Officer for the Respondents are presen	d, learned Presenting	
	2. The applicant who aspires to clause 7 at Sr. No.9 of the advertiseme educational qualification and experien as it is inconsistent with the qualific mentioned in GR dated 28.9.2012. La advertisement of 2023 is not on the bas on the basis of Recruitment Rules date	ent dated 1.11.2023 i.e nce mentioned therein ration and equivalence d. PO submits that this asis of GR of 2012 bu	
	3. The office objections, if any, a court fees to be paid, if not already pai	are to be removed and d.	
	4. Issue notice before admission r The respondents are directed to file re be served. Ld. Advocate prays for stay	ply. Copy of OA is to	
	5. Applicant is authorized and Respondents intimation/notice of d authenticated by Registry, along with of O.A. Private service is allowed. H notice that the case may be taken up for stage of admission hearing.	ate of hearing duly complete paper book Respondents are put to	
	6. This intimation/notice is order the Maharashtra Administrative Tribur 1988, and the questions such as lin remedy are kept open.	nal (Procedure) Rules,	
	7. By Hand delivery, speed post served and acknowledgement be ob		

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Misc. Applications shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 2.9.2024 (sgj) Sd/-(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2024

2

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 02.09.2024	
	O.A. No.154 of 2024	
	H.S. PatilApplicant	
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned	
	Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.	
	2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.	
	3. S.O. to 05.09.2024.	
	Sd/-	
	(Debashish Chakiabarty)	
	Member (A)	
	AGR	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Date : 02.09.2024

#### O.A.No.957/2024

P. Suryawanshi Vs. ....Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. A.V. Sakolkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference), Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for Respondent no.3 and for the Caveator in Caveat No.30/2024

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 23.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

prk

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<b>Tribunal's orders</b> <u>O.A. No.1101 of 2024</u>	
	R.D. Shirsath Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for th Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presentir Officer for the Respondents are present.	
	2. The applicant who is working as Warden (Male) is challenging the order dated 27.8.2024 by which applicant is likely to be reverted to the post of Superintendent (Male) Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant is working as Warden since 8.7.2013. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for stay.	
	3. S.O. to 3.9.2024, till then the respondents to hold the hands from taking any coercive action against the application on the basis of order dated 27.8.2024.	
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 2.9.2024 (sgj)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2024

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.293 of 2022	
	<ul> <li>Advocate for the Applican Chief Presenting Officer for</li> <li>2. Ld. Advocate for application dated 12.7.20</li> </ul>	ng for Shri A.A. Gharte, learned t and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned or the Respondents are present. the applicant on the basis of 24 received from the applicant
	<ul><li>seeks leave to withdraw the above OA.</li><li>3. Leave granted. OA is allowed to be withdraw and disposed off as such.</li></ul>	
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 2.9.2024 (sgj)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2024

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>CA No.42 of 2021 in OAs.678, 679 &amp; 680 of 2020</u>	
	S.S. Mujawar & 2 OrsApplicants Vs.	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate fo the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time.	
	3. S.O. to 28.10.2024.	
	Sd/-Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty)(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)Member (A)Chairperson2.9.20242.9.2024(sgj)	

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	e, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders	
	S.G. Parab Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadel the Applicant and Shri A.J. Choug Officer for the Respondents.	kar, learned Advocate for gule., learned Presenting
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applica	nt seeks time.
	3. S.O. to 14.10.2024.	
	Member (A) C	Sd/- ula Bhatkar, J.) hairperson 2.9.2024

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	C.A. No.52 of 2022 in O.A. No.52 of 2021	
	A.D. NaganeApplican Vs.	t
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsResponde	ents
	Heard Shri R.K. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Prese Officer for the Respondents.	or the enting
	2. Issue contempt notice to the respondents return on 23.9.2024.	mable
	Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 2.9.2024 2.9.2024 (sgj)	

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

· · ·

## IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	C.A. No.102 of 2023 in O.A. No.347 of 2019	
	P.A. GangardeApplicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Issue contempt notice to the respondents returnable on 23.9.2024.	
	Sd/-Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty)(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)Member (A)Chairperson2.9.20242.9.2024(sgj)(sgj)	

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>C.A. No.47 of 2024 in O.A. No.1395 of 2023</u> <u>C.A. No.57 of 2024 in O.A. No.1395 of 2023</u>	
	<ul> <li>S.B. Chavan &amp; Ors.</li> <li>D.M. Bhoir &amp; Ors.</li> <li>Vs.</li> <li>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</li> <li>Heard Shri K.S. Jadhav h/f Shri R.G. Pancha learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>The matter is pending in the Hon'ble High Court.</li> <li>S.O. to 30.9.2024.</li> </ul>	
	Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 2.9.2024 2.9.2024 (sgj)	

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	ibunal's orders or Tribunal's orders	
	S.M. Kadam & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra &	& OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri S.S. Applicants and Ms. S Presenting Officer for the I	Dere, learned Advocate for th .P. Manchekar, learned Chie Respondents.
	2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.	
	3. S.O. to 4.9.2024.	
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	2.9.2024 (sgj)	2.9.2024

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

+

.

Original Application No.

IN

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	ibunal's orders or Tribunal's orders	
	G.R. Kale Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra &	& OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri B.A. Ba the Applicant and Smt. A Officer for the Respondent	andiwadekar, learned Advocate for Archana B.K., learned Presenting s.
	2. Ld. PO is filing rep	ly.
	3. S.O. to 23.9.2024.	
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 2.9.2024 (sgj)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2024

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<b>Tribunal's orders</b> <u>C.A. No.65 of 2024 in O.A. No.465 of 2022</u>		
	P.B. SonawaneApplicant Vs.		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents		
	Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presentine Officer for the Respondents are present.		
	2. Ld. CPO states that interested is calculated by the department and the file is sent to the Accountant General for verification.		
	3. S.O. to 19.9.2024.		
	Sd/-Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty)(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)Member (A)Chairperson2.9.20242.9.2024(sgj)		

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.1361 of 2023</u>		
	R.N. GaikwadApplic Vs.	ant	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespon	ndents	
	Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Pr Officer for the Respondents.	for the resenting	
	2. Ld. Advocate seeks time file rejoinder		
	3. S.O. to 23.9.2024.		
	Sd/-Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty)(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)Member (A)Chairperson2.9.20242.9.2024(sgj)(sgj)		

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	O.A. No.1527 of 2024	
	D.D. Devle & OrsApplicants Vs.	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	Shri S.S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.	
	2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.	
	3. S.O. to 30.9.2024.	
	Sd/-Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty)(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)Member (A)Chairperson2.9.20242.9.2024(sgj)	

### ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal'	s orders	
	<u>O.A. No.25 of 2024</u>		
	Dr. A.R. Madkar Vs.	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra &	OrsRespondents	
		rned Advocate for the Applicant learned Chief Presenting Officer sent.	
	2. Ld. CPO seeks time	to file reply of MPSC.	
	3. If the reply is not a proceed without reply of M	filed by the MPSC, the matter to PSC.	
	4. S.O. to 7.10.2024.		
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 2.9.2024 (sgj)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2024	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

## (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000–4-2019) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>O.A. No.26 of 2024</u>		
	K.D. KaleApplicantApplicant		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents		
	Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.		
	2. The respondents are directed to take steps as per clause 9 of GR dated 15.12.2017.		
	3. S.O. to 30.9.2024.		
	Sd/-Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty)(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)Member (A)Chairperson2.9.20242.9.2024		
	(sgj)		
	•		

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>O.A. No.78 of 2024</u>		
	R.R. GaikwadApplicant Vs.		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents		
	Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presentin Officer for the Respondents are present.		
	2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.		
	3. S.O. to 30.9.2024.		
	Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 2.9.2024 2.9.2024 (sgj)		

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<b>Tribunal's orders</b> <u>O.A. No.192 of 2024</u>		
	V.M. BhedurkarApplicantApplicant		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents		
	Shri A.K. Rewate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.		
	2. Admit.		
	3. S.O. to 7.10.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.		
	Sd/-Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty)(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)Member (A)Chairperson2.9.20242.9.2024		
	(sgj)		

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	<u>O.A. No.214 of 2024</u>			
	R.B. SankheApplicant Vs.			
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents			
	Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.			
	2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.			
	3. S.O. to 20.9.2024.			
	Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 2.9.2024 2.9.2024 (sgj)			

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders		
			1.19.04	
	O.A. No.303 of 2024			
	S.M	. Wagh & Ors.		Applicants
	The	Vs. State of Maharashtra &	& Ors.	Respondents
	and for t	Shri D.B. Khaire, le Ms. S.P. Manchekar, he Respondents are pre	learned Chief	te for the Applicat Presenting Office
	2.	Ld. PO seeks time t	to file reply.	
	3.	S.O. to 30.9.2024 b	y way of last cl	hance.
	(Deb (sgj)	Sd/- pashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 2.9.2024	Sd (Mridula Bh Chairpe 2.9.20	atkar, J.) rson

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.320 of 2024</u>
	C.D. PanemApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO files reply.
	3. Admit.
	4. S.O. to 20.9.2024 with liberty to file rejoinder.
	Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 2.9.2024 2.9.2024 (sgj)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>O.A. No.322 of 2024</u>		
	<ul> <li>Dr. D.B. OwhalApplicant Vs.</li> <li>The State of Maharashtra &amp; OrsRespondents</li> <li>Heard Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Ld. CPO seeks files reply.</li> </ul>		
	<ol> <li>Admit.</li> <li>S.O. to 20.9.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</li> </ol>		
	Sd/-Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty)(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)Member (A)Chairperson2.9.20242.9.2024(sgj)(sgj)		

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>O.A. No.418 of 2024</u>		
	N.N. MukhyadalApplicant Vs.		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents		
	Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applican and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Office for the Respondents are present.		
	2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.		
	3. S.O. to 30.9.2024.		
	Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 2.9.2024 2.9.2024 (sgj)		

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal	l's orders
	<u>O.A. No.586 of 2024</u>	
	N.S. Dobhal Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra &	COrsRespondents
	Shri Vikram Undre and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, for the Respondents are pre	, Ld. Advocate for the applicant learned Chief Presenting Officer sent.
	<ol> <li>Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that he has served the respondents and will file affidavit of service.</li> <li>S.O. to 19.9.2024.</li> </ol>	
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 2.9.2024	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2024
	(sgj)	2.9.2024

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal'	s orders
	<u>O.A. No.600 of 2024</u>	
	Dr. A.S. Kulkarni Vs. The State of Maharashtra &	Applicant
	Heard Shri S.D. F Applicant and Ms. S.P. Officer for the Responder	Patil, learned Advocate for th Manchekar, learned Presenting nts, Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned 0.2 and Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar
	2. Joint Commissioner, Animal Husbandry (Adm Pune is directed to remain present.	
	3. S.O. to 20.9.2024.	
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 2.9.2024 (sgj)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2024

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal	's orders
	<u>O.A. No.642 of 2024</u>	
	A.P. Yamgar Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra &	COrsRespondents
	Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applica and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Offic for the Respondents are present.	
	2. Ld. CPO seeks time	to file reply.
	3. S.O. to 12.9.2024.	
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 2.9.2024 (sgj)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2024

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

Original Application No.

IN

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.684 of 2024</u>	
	H.J. YelgatteApplicant Vs.	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for th	
	Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.	
	2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.	
	3. S.O. to 30.9.2024.	
	Sd/-Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty)(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)Member (A)Chairperson2.9.20242.9.2024(sgj)	

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.756 of 2024</u>	
	N.P. KadamApplicantApplicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	Heard Shri A.A. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.	
	3. S.O. to 19.9.2024.	
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 2.9.2024 2.9.2024 (sgj)	

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.820 of 2024	
	S.D. NagargojeApplicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.	
	2. Ld. PO seeks time file reply.	
	3. S.O. to 23.9.2024.	
	Sd/-Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty)(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)Member (A)Chairperson2.9.20242.9.2024(sgj)	

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.920 of 2024	
	G.S. KulkarniApplicantApplicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.	
	2. Ld. Advocate seeks time to file rejoinder.	
	3. S.O. to 9.9.2024.	
	Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 2.9.2024 2.9.2024 (sgj)	

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.938 of 2024	
	S.A. BharmalApplicantApplicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	Shri Y.R. Waje, learned Advocate for the applica and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for th Respondents are present.	
	2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.	
	3. S.O. to 20.9.2024.	
	Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 2.9.2024 2.9.2024 (sgj)	
	All and the second s	

#### (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribuna	l's orders	
	A.V. Mo	<u>U.A. P</u>	No.966 of 2024	Annligant
		s.		Applicant
	and the second sec	e of Maharashtra &	& Ors.	Respondents
	Applican	hri B.A. Bandiwa at and Smt. K.S. or the Respondent	S. Gaikwad, le	Advocate for th earned Presenting
	2. L	d. PO seeks time t	to file reply.	
	3. S	.O. to 19.9.2024.		
		0.1/		
	Debachi	Sd/-	Sd/	
		sh Chakrabarty) ember (A)	(Mridula Bha	atkar, J.)
		.9.2024	Chairper	
	(sgj)	.7.2024	2.9.202	.4
	(54)			
	1.2			
	-1-1-1-1-1			
	1.000			
	a second			
	1.53			
	1150000			
	1.			
	and the second			
	1.			
	all a start of			

#### (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

2

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	02.09.2024
	M.A 539/2024 in O.A 209/2024 with M.A 386/2024 in O.A 209/2024
	K.W Waghmare Applicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Ms S.A Thombre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned counsel submits that the present M.A is filed seeking to amend the Original Application by adding Pravin Sunil Thool, Chest No. 40311 as private Respondent in the array of the Respondent.
	3. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out within one week and Pravin Sunil Thool, be added as Party Respondent No. 7 in the array of the Original Application and copy be served on all the Respondents.
	4. Misc Application No. 539/2024 stands disposed of as withdrawn.
	5. Learned counsel submits that prayer made in the Misc Application No. 386/2024 is wrong and she wants to withdraw the said Misc Application.
	6. Accordingly, M.A 386/2024 stands disposed of as withdrawn.
	7. O.A 209/2024 be placed on Board on 19.9.2024.
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Akn Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

#### [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

1.4

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	02.09.2024	
	M.A 538/2024 in O.A 1000/2024	
	A.B Raundal Applicant/ Intervenor Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents	
	And	
	<ul> <li>S.B Bankar &amp; OrsOri Applicants.</li> <li>1. Heard Shri Amey Tawde h/f Ms V. Pradeep, learned advocate for the applicant-Intervenor, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents and Shri S.S Dere holding for Shri V.S Talkute and S.R Ghanavat, learned counsel for the Original Applicants.</li> </ul>	
	2. The present Misc Application is filed by the Applicant-intervenor to be added as Respondent in the array of the Original Application.	
	3. Learned counsel submits that he has no objection to implead the Applicant-intervenor as Party Respondent.	
	4. In view of the Misc Application is allowed and the learned counsel for the Applicants is directed to carry out the amendment within one week by adding the name of the applicant-intervenor as Party Respondent in the array of the Original Application and copy be served on him.	
	5. O.A No. 1000/2024 to be placed on Board on 23.9.2024.	
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	
	Akn	

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### The a

Original Application No.

IN

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	02.09.2024
	M.A 522/2024 in O.A 670/2021
	Dr S.V Ghorpade Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents And
	A.S ChandanwaleOri Applicant
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. Heard Shri A.D Hon, learned advocate for the applicant-Intervenor, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents and none present for the Original Applicant.
	2. Learned counsel is directed to serve copy of the Application to the Original Applicant and the Respondents.
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.9.2024.
	4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
	5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	7. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
	8. S.O to 19.9.2024.
	Sd/-Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty)(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)Member (A)Chairperson
	[PTO.

### [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Constant State	
*	02.09.2024	
	<u>M.A 409/2024 in</u>	n O.A 558/2024
	P.R Ghorpade	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra &	
	1. Heard Shri K.R Upas applicant and Smt K.S Gail Respondents.	sni, learned advocate for the kwad, learned P.O for the
	2. Learned counsel for he has filed affidavit in rejoin	the Applicant submits that ider.
	3. Learned P.O seeks tin	ne,
	4. S.O to 19.9.2024.	
	and the second	
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Debashish Chakrabarty)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
	Member (A)	Chairperson
	and the second	
	and the second	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
and the second		

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### IN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	D-4 02 00 0004
	Date : 02.09.2024
	O.A.No.1103/2024
	H.B. VisputeApplicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
de la composition de	1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
	2. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to stay the impugned transfer order dated 31.08.2024 of Respondent no.2, which is issued by Respondent No.1, qua the Respondent No.2. Applicant further prays that Respondent No.1 be directed to transfer the Applicant on the post of Deputy Director (Finance & Accounts), Maharashtra Prathamik Shikshan Parishad, Charni Road, Mumbai.
	<ul> <li>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has submitted that by promotion order dated 20.04.2022 Applicant was promoted on the post of Deputy Director at Tribal Development Commissionarate Nashik in Nashik Revenue Division. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant's mother is suffering from major ailment of depression which amount to mental disorder. Learned Counsel has further submitted that Applicant is physically challenged and he is suffering from 70% disability. Learned Counsel has further submitted that Applicant on 08.07.2024 which was inwarded on 22.07.2024 and thereafter he made second application on 26.08.2024 requesting to transfer him on the post of Deputy Director (Finance &amp; Accounts), Maharashtra Prathamik Shikshan Parishad, Charni Road, Mumbai. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant can be transferred to any of the following two posts <ul> <li>(i) зизащета лаша дала вашая, влагие циавная влаго дала вашая.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has submitted that applicant's transfer is mid-tenure and is passed in violation of Clause 12 of the G.A.D. Notification dated 14.07.2021. Learned Counsel has further submitted private Respondent No.2 was promoted along with the Applicant by order dated 20.04.2022 and was allotted Konkan 2, Revenue Division and was posted on the post of Deputy Director (System Analyst), State Record Keeping Agency, New Administrative Building, Mantralaya. Thus Respondent No.2 though has not completed

Tribunal's orders

his normal tenure despite he has been transferred on the post of Deputy Director, Maharashtra Prathamik Shikshan Parishad Charni Road, Mumbai by order dated 31.08.2024 i.e. on the same post for which the Applicant has requested.

5. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant's request for transfer to Mumbai can be considered by the Respondent to any place in Mumbai as he has to take treatment at AIIPMR, Institute Mahalaxmi, Mumbai.

6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.09.2024.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

12. Learned P.O. is directed to file affidavit-inreply and also produce minutes of CSB meeting on the next date.

13. Adjourned to 18.09.2024.

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

-

Original Application No.

IN

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 02.09.2024
	O.A.No.1104/2024
	R.D. AkrupeApplicant Vs.
A STATE OF THE STA	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	<b>1</b> . Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Counse for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
	2. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to hold the transfer order of the Applicant transferring him from Thane-2 to Headquarter-6 and transfer order of Respondent No.3 transferring him from Thane-23 to Thane-2, in place of Applicant are illegal and void ab initio in view of the contravention of provision of office memorandum
	dated 08.10.2018 issued under the Rights of Person with Disabilities Act, 2016 and the provision of the G.R. dated 09.04.2018 and issued under the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of
	Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties, Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred as ROTA 2005' for brevity). Applicant further prays that Respondents be directed to hold the transfe order of Respondent No.4 transferring him from
	Thane-8 to Thane-14 in place on which th Applicant has given his second preference is illega and void ab initio in view of the contravention of th provision of the G.R. dated 09.04.2018 and issued under ROTA 2005. Applicant prays that order b passed by the Tribunal either to exempt th
	applicant from routine transfer by cancelling th impugned transfer order dated 31.08.2024 of Applicant and Respondent No.3 in view of offic memorandum dated 08.10.2018 or to post th Applicant on Thane-14 by cancelling the order of Respondent No.4 in view of the provision of G.R dated 09.04.2018 in terms of the order of priorities.
	3. The office objections, if any, are to b removed and court fees to be paid, if not alread paid.
	4. Issue notice before admission returnable or 18.09.2024.
	5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	[ <i>P.T.O.</i>
and the second second second second second	[1.1.0.

PTO.

#### Tribunal's orders

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9 Adjourned to 18.09.2024.

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A.385/2022

S.K. Hankare & Ors.

Vs.

...Applicants

The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicants, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.4 to 9.

2. It is necessary to get information as to from Year 2019 onwards, 40% of 'Group-D' posts are to be reserved for 'Kotwal' by promotion and thus we want exact figures and this 40% quota in that cadre and how further in the Years 2020-2021 & 2021-2022, this quota was maintained, filled-up or diluted.

3. S.O. to 3<sup>rd</sup> September, 2024. Interim Relief to continue till next date.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakraberty) /(Mridula Bhatkar, J) Member-A Chairperson 02.09.2024 02.09.2024

(skw)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 02.09.2024
	O.A.No.1245 of 2024
	Dr. V. B. PatilApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra &OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan holding for Shri S.
	Tilak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt.
	Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule,
	learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
	2. "Interim Relief' to continue till next date.
	3. S.O. to 03.09.2024.
Citize .	Λ
	Sd/-
	( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)
vsr	n

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate	)	
	versus	
	The State of Maharashtra an	nd others
		Respondent/s
(Prosonting Officer		<b>`</b>

3

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 02.09.2024
	O.A.No.1590 of 2023
	Dr. S. R. DodeApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra &OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned
	Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad,
	learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. "Interim Relief' to continue till next date.
	3. S.O. to 22.10.2024.
	A
	∩ Sd/-
	(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)
vsn	n

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 02.09.2024
	O.A.No.1285 of 2023
	Dr. S. B. ChavanApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra &OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri Tushar Wankhede holding for Shr
	S. B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting
	Officer for the Respondents.
	2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.
	3. S.O. to 18.09.2024.
	A
	Sd/-
	( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)
vsn	n

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
		Applicant/s	•

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 02.09.2024
	0.4.11. 770 - ( 2002
	O.A.No.722 of 2023
	P. T. MoreApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra &OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri Upasani, learned Advocate for the
	Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned
	Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	resenting officer for the Respondents.
	2. Today, learned PO has filed 'Affidavit in Sur-
	Rejoinder' on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.
	3. S.O. to 24.10.2024.
	3. 5.0. to 24.10.2024.
	•
	Sd/-
	(A. N. Karmarkar)
	Member (J)
vsn	n

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 02.09.2024
	O.A.No.656 of 2023
	D. S. ShelarApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra &OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for
	the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned
	Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Today, learned PO has filed 'Affidavit in Reply'
	on behalf of Respondent Nos.4 & 5. It is taken on record.
	3. S.O. to 24.10.2024.
	Sd/-
	( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)
vsr	n

Tribunal's orders

HARAKEAHAW SHT / Y

#### Date : 02.09.2024

#### O.A.No.1016/2024

N.K. Khamgal ....Applicant Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel 1. for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 12.09.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

prk

2

#### Date : 02.09.2024

#### O.A.No.1015/2024

K.K. Bhalekar ....Applicant Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.
1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 14.09.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

prk

.

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Driginal Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
		Applicar	t/s
		A	pplican

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 02.09.2024
	O.A.No.210 of 2024
	G. D. MeshramApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra &OrsRespondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate submits that he is filing 'Vakalatnama' on behalf of Applicant today.</li> <li>S. O. to 27.09.2024.</li> <li>Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</li> </ol>
vsm	

#### Tribunal's orders

#### Date : 02.09.2024

O.A.No.730/2024 with O.A.No.846/2024 S.V. Hitare .....Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents. 1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. Archana B.K. & Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents in O.A.No.730/2024 and O.A.No.846/2024 respectively and Mr. U.P. Warunjikar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4 (through video conference).

2. In O.A.No.730/2024 Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the impugned transfer order dated 10.05.2024, by which the Applicant has been transferred from Deputy Registrar Co-operative Societies, Dombivali to Assistant Registrar Co-operative Societies, Ulhasnagar, Dist. Thane

3. Learned Counsel Mr. Dere has submitted that O.A.No.730/2024 has become infructuous as by order dated 09.07.2024 which is challenged by the Applicant in O.A.No.846/2024, the Applicant is transferred to the District Deputy Registrar Office at Thane.

4. In view of above, O.A.No.730/2024 stands disposed of as infructuous.

5. In O.A.No.846/2024, the Joint Secretary, Co-operative Societies is directed to remain present with necessary information and to make statement with responsibility that whether the Applicant and the Respondent no.4 can be accommodated at the same work place as there is word against word, as learned Counsel Mr. Dere has submitted that a Peon and typist share one cubical with the applicant.

6. Adjourned to 03.09.2024 at 10.10 a.m. FOB. PH.

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

2 N THE MARABASH CR Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	and the second
	and the second
	and a second
and the second sec	

#### Date : 02.09.2024

prk

#### O.A.No.873/2024

M.A. Gohil .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respo

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Mr.D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 23.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

2

Tribunal's orders

#### Date : 02.09.2024

prk

#### O.A.No.871/2024

V.U. Vengurlekar ....Applicant Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Mr.

D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 23.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

2

#### Tribunal's orders

#### Date : 02.09.2024

#### O.A.No.794/2024

P.B. Marulkar ....Applicant Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for 1. the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents .

D.G. is directed to inform the date on which 2. the P.E.B. meeting is scheduled as the Applicant's case will be considered in the said P.E.B meeting.

)

3. Adjourned to 09.09.2024.

> ~ Sd/-

1

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

prk

ŝ

Tribunal's orders

#### Date : 02.09.2024

prk

#### O.A.No.708/2024

O.R. Divate ....Applicant Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents and Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that affidavit-inreply is already filed.

3. Learned Counsel Mr. Dere has submitted that he wish to adopt the affidavit-in-reply filed by Respondent-Department.

4. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.

5. Adjourned to 09.09.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

#### (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

2

#### Tribunal's orders

#### Date : 02.09.2024

#### O.A.No.1020/2024

R.R. Suryawanshi .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents and Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.5.

2. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar has submitted that in place of Respondent No.5 one Mr. Bodke has already joined and as on today Respondent No.5 is without any posting since 23.08.2024.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file short affidavit-in-reply.

4. Learned P.O. is directed to file affidavit on the point of competency of the transferring authority and produce documents on which the State is relying in respect of Govt. Gazette dated 29.08.2024. Copy of affidavit to be served to all the concern.

Adjourned to 04.09.2024.

5.

prk

Sd/-

1

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

	Date : 02.09.2024 O.A.No.807 of 2024 T. M. GargeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ore - Beenendents
	T. M. GargeApplicant Versus
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & Ore Desmandants
	The State of Maharashtra &OrsRespondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</li> <li>Learned Advocate for Applicant has insisted</li> </ol>
	for 'Interim Relief' as there is only one post of
	Director of Archelogy and Museum. (M.S.),
	Mumbai.
	3. I have pursued the earlier order dated
	16.08.2024. It appears that amendment is carried
	out after order dated 20.08.2024. It is submitted by
	learned Advocate for Applicant that suspension
	order is illegal.
	4. In order to decide this aspect, it is necessary to have Affidavit in Reply to decide the matter
	effectually.
	5. Learned CPO has prayed for a week's time to
	file reply by way of last chance.
	6. Time granted in the interest of justice.
	7. S.O. to 09.09.2024
	Sd/-
VSI	( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)
,	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
•	Date : 02.09.2024
	O.A.No.326 of 2024
	A. A. Ranake & AnrApplicants
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra &OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri K. R. Upasani, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned
	Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The matter is admitted and kept for final
	hearing. Sd/- 3. S.O. to 18.11.2024.
	5. 5.0. to 18.11.2024.
	(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)
Vsr	n

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

### Vsm

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 02.09.2024
	O.A.No.1600 of 2023
	P. B. IngleApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra &OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
	2. The learned PO seeks time to file reply. The
	matter is filed in December, 2023. Earlier last
	chance was already granted.
	3. Considering the submission of learned PO,
	time granted. On failure to file reply till next date,
	necessary order will be passed and then
	Respondents will have to face consequences.
	4. S.O. to 30.09.2024.
	Sd/-
	( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)
vsr	n

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 02.09.2024
	O.A.No.116 of 2024
	P. S. JoshiApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra &OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned
	Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K.,
	learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. At request of learned Advocate for Applicant,
	two days' time is granted to take instructions from
	his client. Time granted.
	3. S.O. to 04.09.2024.
	Sd/-
	( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)
vsn	n

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 02.09.2024
	O.A.No.501 of 2024
	P. S. MadaneApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra &OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A. S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant through video conference and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned PO seeks time to file reply by way of
	last chance. Time granted.
	3. S.O. to 24.09.2024.
	Sd/-
	( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)
vsn	n

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 02.09.2024
	R. A. No.07 of 2024 in O.A. No.412 of 2020 with O.A.No.413 of 2020
	V. V. TamhankarApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra &OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.
	3. S.O. to 18.09.2024.
	Sd/-
	( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)
vsm	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
:	
	Date : 02.09.2024
	M. A. No.441 of 2024 In O.A.No.755 of 2024
	S. N. Summer
	S. N. SurvaseApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra &OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel
	for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned
	Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in
	Reply.
	3. S.O. to 23.09.2024.
	Sd/-
	(A. N. Karmarkar)
	Member (J)
vsm	
V311	

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	and the second sec
	Date : 02.09.2024
	and the second
	O.A.No.825 of 202
	S. M. Sarangdhar & Ore Applicants
	S. M. Sarangdhar & OrsApplicants
	Versus
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra &OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned
	Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.
	K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
	2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.
	4. S.O. to 12.09.2024.
	Sd/-
	( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)
vsm	

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 02.09.2024
	O.A.No.342 of 2024
	S. S. ShaikhApplicants
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra &OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A. A. Deshpande, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1.
	2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.
	3. S.O. to 12 3.09.2024.
	Sd/-
	( A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)
VSI	n .