

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|--------------------------|

02.09.2022

O.A 826/2022

Shri A.H Deotale ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri N.M Pujari, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O has raised the issue of territorial jurisdiction and submitted that the applicant is from Gadchiroli District and hence the Original Application should have been filed before the Aurangabad Bench.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that that the applicant's examination centre was Ahmednagar and the appointing authority is Pune.
4. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to move the Original Application before M.A.T, Aurangabad Bench.
5. The record and proceedings be handed over to the learned counsel for the applicant.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 02.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.101 of 2022</p> <p>S. T. GhawaliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. No further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S. O. to 23.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 02.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1047 of 2021 with O.A. 1048 of 2021 with O.A.1049 of 2021 with O.A.187 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. R. V. Chougule & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicants and their Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit in Reply in all these Original Applications those are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since the pleading is complete, the Original Applications be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 02.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.980 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. Usha YadavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and her Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and her Counsel are not attending the Tribunal regularly and absent on so many dates.</p> <p>3. At one point of time, O.A. was dismissed in default but again restored. However, thereafter also the Applicant and Advocate are absent.</p> <p>4. Thus, the Applicant and his Counsel seems not interested in the matter, the O.A. is dismissed in default with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date : 02.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.957 of 2021</p> <p>V. G. MekaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that the matter is being handled by Smt. Archana B.K. but she is not available today and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to file copy of deposition of witnesses along with the Affidavit by next date without fail.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 02.09.2022

O.A.No.354 of 2022

S. G. Gole

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. G. Kudle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The matter pertains to inter division transfer from S.D.O. Dahanu to S.D.O. Wada. The Applicant is serving as Talathi on the establishment of S.D.O. Dahanu and seeking transfer on the establishment of S.D.O. Wada.
3. Perusal of record reveals that initially S.D.O. Dahanu by his letter dated 20.02.2018 (page 40 of PB) informed that there are vacancies of open category. Whereas, later by same authority by letter dated 07.03.2022 rejected the claim of the Applicant stating that Applicant's appointment is from OBC category.
4. The Applicant has tendered appointment letter which shows that appointment is from open category though he belongs to OBC category. This being the position, the Applicant has to be accommodated against the vacancy of open category since the period of more than four years from communication dated 20.02.2018 is over, ^{HOWEVER} ~~Now~~ ^{Now} it is necessary to know what is the present vacancy position on the establishment of S.D.O. Wada.
5. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to file reply of S.D.O. Wada to show the present vacancy position to the post of Talathi and is there any difficulty to absorb the Applicant on his establishment.
6. Seven days' time is granted to file reply.
7. S.O. to 08.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p style="text-align: center;">Date: 02.09.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.490 of 2020</p> <p>S.R. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is a Part Heard matter in which on previous date matter was adjourned giving one opportunity to the Applicant to file Additional Affidavit along with documents. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he has already filed Additional Affidavit on 26.08.2022, however it is not forthcoming on record. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has also shown acknowledgement given by the Office.</p> <p>3. The Tribunal has come across several instances where the Affidavit-in-Reply is filed in the Office but it is not tagged to the matters which delay hearing of the matter.</p> <p>4. I/C. Registrar is therefore directed to look into the matter and take explanation of the concerned person for the same. It be searched and place along with O.A. at 02.30 p.m.</p> <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="background-color: white; border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;">Sd/-</div> (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </div> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p> |

[P.T.O.]

P.T.O.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

LATER ON

5. In view of order passed by the Tribunal in the morning session, Office has placed on record Additional Affidavit of the Applicant.
6. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for Final Hearing.
7. This O.A. be kept along with O.A. No.458/2021 on next date.
8. S.O. to 08.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 02.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.838 of 2022</p> <p>C.M. SanhalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As observed by the Tribunal in order dated 24.08.2022 there is sheer negligence and inaction on the part of Respondent No.4 – Divisional Commissioner for not passing appropriate order of suspension period from 29.02.2000 to 31.07.2000. The Applicant stands retired on 30.09.2017. In one D.E. he was subjected to punishment of recovery of Rs.53,107/- (Rupees Fifty Three Thousand One Hundred and Seven Only.) in ten installment and also imposed punishment of stoppage of increment for one year is also imposed by order dated 05.11.2011. The appeal was dismissed on 11.09.2014. In second D.E. which was initiated by issuance of chargesheet dated 08.09.2003 Government belatedly by order dated 06.10.2021 exonerated the Applicant from the charges, this order is passed after four years from the date of retirement.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that after the Tribunal passed order on 24.08.2022, Respondent No.4 - Divisional Commissioner, Amravati had issued notice dated 25.07.2022 to the Applicant calling his explanation about treatment of suspension period and the Applicant has already submitted his Reply. Thus, it is only after passing of eye opening order, Respondent No.4 woke-up. Therefore, claim of interest on delayed payment also needs to be considered seriously in view of apathy and negligence on the part of Respondents. ^[P.T.O.]</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. Learned P.O. submits that today she has no instruction from Respondent No.4. She further submits that order dated 24.08.2022 is already communicated to Divisional Commissioner, Amravati by email dated 25.08.2022. Thus, despite communication by learned P.O., Respondent No.4 did not make any correspondence nor gave any instructions to learned P.O., ^{which} ~~this~~ again shown totally causal approach of Respondent No.4.

5. ^{HOWEVER} ~~Further~~, since Show Cause Notice is already issued, it would be appropriate to wait for some time so that after appropriate order is passed and further order could be passed in O.A. regarding claim of Gratuity, regular Pension an interest.

6. In view of above, again one week time is granted to learned P.O. to take instruction from the Respondents and to file Affidavit-in-Reply, if any, by next date and also to appraise the Tribunal about the order about treatment of suspension period to be passed by Respondent No.4 - Divisional Commissioner, Amravati.

7. S.O. to 08.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date: 02.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.782 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. P.N. ChaudhariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant was appointed as Medical Officer. He was suspended on 05.02.1996 in view of registration of crime under the provision of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. Later he was convicted by Special Court on 05.03.2003. Consequent to it he was dismissed from service on 28.01.2005. Being aggrieved by conviction he filed criminal appeal before Hon'ble High Court. In the mean time, he stands retired on 31.01.2015 on attaining age of superannuation, thereafter Government by order dated 10.08.2021 (Page 108 to 111 P.B.) treated his out of service period i.e. from 28.01.2005 to 31.01.2015 only for pension purpose and declined pay and allowance for the said period. Being aggrieved by it, the Applicant has filed the present O.A. and claim pay and allowance for out of service period.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is bedridden and requested to expedite the hearing of O.A.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> |

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.09.2022.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
10. S.O. to 16.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 02.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.738 of 2018</p> <p>C.V. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is noticed that Original Applicant died on 18.08.2022, therefore his heirs are required to be brought on record.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to bring his heirs on record.</p> <p>4. Two weeks time is also granted to learned P.O. to file Affidavit-in-Reply to the amendment made in O.A. on previous date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 13.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 02.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.654 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. SheteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. In view of leave note, adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. S.O. to 30.09.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date: 02.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.583 of 2021</p> <p>R.T. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| <p>Date : 02.09.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1144 of 2018</p> <p>P. P. PednekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that the matter is being handled by Smt. Archana B.K. but she is not available today and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">vsm</p> | <div style="text-align: center; border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 30px; margin: 0 auto; background-color: white;">Sd/-</div> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 02.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.357 of 2022</p> <p>R. V.SolankheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter needs to be adjourned in view of leave note of the Advocate but it is necessary to observe that the Tribunal has received a letter written by the Applicant dated 04.08.2022 requesting for expeditious disposal of her O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant should take note of it and shall remain present on next date for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.09.2022.</p> <p align="right"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 30px; margin: 0 auto; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">Sd/-</div> (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>ysm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 02.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.106 of 2022</p> <p>B. M. BichkuleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that on previous date also the Applicant and his Advocate were absent but the matter was adjourned to give one opportunity of hearing. However, today they are absent. Thus, they appear not interested in the matter.</p> <p>3. In view of above, Original Application is dismissed in default.</p> <p>4. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date: 02.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.655 of 2021</p> <p>J.M. KumbharApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 is present. In view of leave note, adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. S.O. to 30.09.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date: 02.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.124 of 2022</p> <p>S.B. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that this O.A. is kept with connected O.As. in which P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. is appearing but she is not available today, and therefore requested for short time.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 22.09.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.325 of 2020 in O.A. No.61 of 2020</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Applicant (Org. Resp.)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>N.D. Bhosale Respondent (Org. Appl.)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Org. Resp.) and Shri K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Appl.)</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered copy of Application dated 24.08.2022 written by the Applicant to Government thereby withdrawing review application filed by him on 01.06.2019.</p> <p>3. Thus, Applicant has withdrawn the review which was pending before the Government therefore this O.A. deserves to be decided on its own merit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 02.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.658 of 2022</p> <p>D.R. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. 3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. Respondents have already filed Affidavit-in-Reply but no Rejoinder is filed. 5. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission. 6. S.O. to 30.09.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 02.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.647 of 2022</p> <p>A.G. SangarApplicant VersusRespondents. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that instructions are received and requested three days time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 02.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.624 of 2022</p> <p>V.R. DateApplicant VersusRespondents. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record. 3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. 4. S.O. to 12.09.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date: 02.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.604 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. NalavadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that Rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since, pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 02.09.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.370 of 2022 in O.A. No.1174 of 2019</p> <p>V.V. PanseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Adjourned for hearing of M.A. 4. S.O. to 30.09.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 02.09.2022

M.A. No.190 of 2022 in O.A. No.880 of 2021 with
M.A. No.191 of 2022 in O.A. No.881 of 2021 with
M.A. No.197 of 2022 in O.A. No.882 of 2021 with
O.A. No.883 of 2021 with
M.A. No.192 of 2022 in O.A. No.884 of 2021 with
M.A. No.195 of 2022 in O.A. No.885 of 2021 with
M.A. No.194 of 2022 in O.A. No.886 of 2021 with
O.A. No.887 of 2021 with
M.A. No.196 of 2022 in O.A. No.888 of 2021 with
O.A. No.889 of 2021 with
M.A. No.193 of 2022 in O.A. No.917 of 2021

D.B. Mane
H.R. Shegar
H.G. Kulkarni
P.H. Damodar
V.P. Jagtap
G.R. Brahmapukar
S.K. Darekar
R.K. Ghadge
B.T. Bhamre
B.B. Ingale
K.N. GhadgeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.
3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. by way of last chance.
5. S.O. to 16.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 02.09.2022

O.A. No.835 of 2022

N.A. Tittal-ChavanApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.09.2022.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 30.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 02.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.824 of 2022</p> <p>R.K. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.19 of 2022 in O.A. No.776 of 2015</u></p> <p>P.G. Sondkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that W.P. (St.) No.2396 of 2017 is filed by the State challenging the order dated 29.8.2016 passed in above OA.</p> <p>3. On query, Ld. PO on instructions from Ms. Mumtaz Pathan, Administrative Officer, DMER, informs that the Hon'ble High Court has not granted stay in the matter and it is still pending.</p> <p>4. Under these circumstances, as the stay is not granted by the Hon'ble High Court, it is necessary to give directions to the respondents to comply with the order dated 29.8.2016 within four weeks and report of compliance is to be filed before this Tribunal without fail. There should not be any excuse and the compliance is to be made fully within four weeks.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.9.2022.</p> |
| (sgj) | <div style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2022</p> </div> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p align="center"><u>C.A. No.22 of 2022 in O.A. No.200 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.S. Bagde & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that out of 3 applicants only case of one applicant i.e. Shri S.R. Nalavade has remained pending for compliance. Pursuant to order dated 18.8.2022, Ld. PO submits Shri S.R. Nalavade had conference with Shri Pable, Head Clerk from the office of respondent and Shri Nalavade has submitted the requisite papers online and also by hand. Now the matter is pending with the office of Accountant General.</p> <p>3. Concerned respondents are directed to follow the matter with the office of AG regularly.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.9.2022 for informing compliance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p align="center"><u>C.A. No.48 of 2022 in O.A. No.110 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.H. Pathan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant informs that the order of the Tribunal is partly complied with in respect of granting promotion. However, the issue of granting deemed date of promotion is still pending.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that matter be kept on 16.9.2022.</p> <p>4. The respondents to tell the Court about the deemed date.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p align="center"><u>C.A. No.44 of 2022 in O.A. No.879 of 2018</u></p> <p>T.R. Apte & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Akash Kotecha holding for Shri Balasaheb Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files affidavit of Shri Jayant Chancharkar – Respondent No.1, Assistant Commissioner, Social Welfare, Sangli, in response to the notice of contempt. Ld. PO submits that Hon'ble High Court has granted circulation on 14.9.2022 and in the affidavit all the steps taken by the respondents are mentioned datewise. Copy is furnished to the Ld. Advocate for the applicants.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.9.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|
|---|-------------------|

C.A. No.53 of 2021 in O.A. No.1091 of 2017

R.A. Shaikh & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO submits that the Hon'ble High Court has directed on 4.8.2022 not to proceed in the matter.
3. Hence, adjourned to 4.11.2022.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
2.9.2022

(sgj)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|
|---|-------------------|

O.A. No.870 of 2022

Dr. A.S. Patil
Vs.

..Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri S.R. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.9.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
2.9.2022

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p align="center"><u>O.A. No.620 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.C. Bachhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.9.2022 by way of last chance otherwise the matter will be proceeded without reply.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p align="center"><u>O.A. No.625 of 2021</u></p> <p>K.D. Binner & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.9.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.833 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.S. Joshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.10.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.775 to 779 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.R. Sarole S.K. Rathod K.N. Damre S.B. Survase S.L. Takle Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent-State, Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 in OA No.775/2022 and Respondent No.4 in OA No.776/2022, Ms. Amita Chaware, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 in OA No.777/2022 and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 in OA. No.778/2022 and OA No.779/2022. Neither Applicants nor their Advocate are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that they are going to file reply on behalf of the Respondent-State in OAs. No.776, 777, 778 & 779/2022.</p> <p>3. Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, Ld. Advocate submits that copy of OA No.776/2022 is not served though it was directed to the Ld. Advocate for the Applicants. Applicant is directed to serve the Applicant or his advocate in OA No.776/2022.</p> <p>4. Ms. Amita Chaware, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 in OA No.777/2022 submits that she has instructions to file Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent no.4 and she seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>5. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate seeks time to file reply and submits that similar matters viz. OAs. No.790 & 791/2022 are fixed on 12.9.2022.</p> <p>6. Hence, all these matters adjourned to 12.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2022</p> <p>(sgi)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p align="center"><u>O.A. No.672 of 2022</u></p> <p>F.H. Shaikh & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 2. None for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that this OA be tagged along with OA No.909 of 2021 (A.M. Chandanhiv Vs. State) and OA No.141 of 2022 (V.S. Tengale Vs. State) as these matters are of selection and appointment. It may be heard finally depending upon the availability of time on the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.9.2022 for final hearing. High on Board.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p align="center"><u>O.A. No.383 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.G. Tainak & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Smt. K.S. Gaiwkad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.3 to 35.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that he will file the rejoinder today.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to consider file sur-rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for Respondents No.3 to 35 submits that there is urgency as the entire process of promotion of Assistant Conservator of Forest is stalled because of the interim order granted by this Tribunal.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 14.9.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |