

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.536 of 2019</p> <p>A.R.Lembhe <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In this O.A., the Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 30.05.2019 whereby he was transferred from Satara to Dahiwadi. The Tribunal by order dated 11.06.2019, stayed implementation of impugned transfer order and the Applicant was allowed to continue at Satara.</li> <li>3. Today, when the matter was taken up for hearing, learned P.O. has tendered orders dated 29.07.2021 and 02.09.2021 which shows that Respondent No.2- Divisional Jt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies (Audit), Kolhapur Division, Kolhapur has granted one year extension to the Applicant in the present post at Satara as seen from order dated 29.07.2021. Furthermore, he has cancelled /withdrawn the impugned transfer order dated 30.05.2019 as seen from letter dated 02.09.2021 tendered before the Tribunal.</li> <li>4. Learned P.O. submits that the Applicant had completed six years tenure in general transfer of 2021 but the department has granted one year extension by order dated 29.07.2021.</li> <li>5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant in view of above submits that O.A. be disposed of.</li> <li>6. Since the Respondent No.2 has cancelled the impugned transfer order and has also granted one year extension, the grievance does not survive.</li> <li>7. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 02.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.199 of 2020</p> <p>A. L. A. Mujawar <span style="float:right">...Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No. -**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.09.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.631 of 2021 with M.A.No.301 of 2021</b></p> <p>A.S. Kadam &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.301/2021 is filed for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>6. O.A.No.631/2021 adjourned at the request of learned Advocate on 07.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>02.09.2021</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 477/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.R Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R Joshi, holding for Shri V.V Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant challenges the enquiry mainly on the ground that the applicant retired on 31.5.2012 and charge sheet was filed on 5.9.2018. However, it was served on the applicant on 22.6.2020</p> <p>3. Learned counsel further submits that the enquiry is challenged on the point of law, i.e. under Rule 27(2)(b) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply within two week specifically meeting point in respect of delay as mentioned in Rule 27(2)(b) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.</p> <p>5. S.O to 16.9.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>02.09.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 400/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.B Jadhav &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 &amp; 2 and Shri U.V Bhosle, learned counsel for Respondents no 3 to 6.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that some officer from the Department was present in the morning, but now he is not available.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O is directed to make a statement of a responsible Officer on oath as to whether the Department wants to hold meeting of the D.P.C and if so when.</p> <p>4. S.O to 8.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>02.09.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 334/2021</u></b></p> <p>Smt Vaishali B. Kale &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that she has filed affidavit in reply and copy is furnished to learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 23.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>02.09.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005 AND 1006/2019</u></b></p> <p>D.C Singnath &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard A. Gavkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the rejoinder is filed and the said rejoinder is to be taken as legal submissions and the learned counsel does not want to argue the matter as it is to be considered as legal submissions.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits a praecipe. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned C.P.O, matter is adjourned and on that day the Respondent-State may file sur-rejoinder or may file the written statement or may argue.</p> <p>5. S.O to 7.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>02.09.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 270/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.D Gaikwad &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.D Patil, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O is directed to verify within two weeks whether the applicant is similarly situated at par with the applicants in O.A 907/2018 and O.A 357/2021 as per merit list dated 25.4.2019.</p> <p>3. S.O to 23.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>02.09.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 754/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri B.S Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs Kranti S Gaikwad, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 7.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">   Sd/- </p> <p align="right"> Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b> </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>02.09.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 178/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri C.T Chaudhari &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 23.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>02.09.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 551/2021</u></b></p> <p>C.J Gudewar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S Deshpande, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance. Copy of the same is to be given to applicant or the learned counsel for the applicant. In case affidavit in reply is not filed then the matter will proceed.</p> <p>3. S.O to 7.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>(Medha Gadgil)</i> <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</i> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>02.09.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 18/2021 in O.A 154/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri H.G Holmukhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that there is compliance of order of this Tribunal dated 13.10.2020 in O.A 154/2020.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, nothing remains in the contempt application and the same stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>02.09.2021</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>C.A <sup>19</sup> 18/2021 in O.A 242 with 243/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri H.G Holmukhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that as per the order of this Tribunal dated 30.10.2020 in O.A 243/2020, though the order of updating the service book of the applicant is substantially complied with, only one point is required to be mentioned that the applicant is exempted from passing or clearing the examination in Hindi, Marathi and departmental exam.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O seeks time to find out the factual position.</p> <p>4. S.O to 16.9.2021. <span style="float: right;">m . . . H</span></p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> </div> </div>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>02.09.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 733/2019</u></b></p> <p>M.R Rathod ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yogesh Admane holding for Shri Sushant Valimbe, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents and Shri S.S Dere, learned counsel for Respondents no 8 &amp; 9.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to affidavit in reply. Time granted.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Mr Dere submits that he has given unaffirmed copy of reply to the learned C.P.O and will give copy to the learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O to 16.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>02.09.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 12/2021 in O.A 365/2014</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.A Wanve ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. GAikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today affidavit in reply of Dr G.M Gaikwd, Deputy Director in the office of Deputy Director of Health Services, State Bureau of Health, Intelligence and Vital Statistics, Pune dated 2.9.2021 is taken on record.</p> <p>3. We have gone through the affidavit in reply wherein it is mentioned specifically that he received letter and G.R dated 11.2.2014 from G.A.D regarding taking action against persons who are occupying the posts on the basis of Certificate of Freedom Fighter and action to be taken on the basis of report submitted by Palkar Committee.</p> <p>4. The Respondent, Deputy Director, Health Services, Pune at that time was not aware of the order dated 22.4.2014 passed by this Tribunal in O.A 365/2014. So he terminated the services of the applicant by order dated 5.3.2021. Thereafter, he received representation of the applicant dated 7.6.2021. Then he verified the documents and consulted his higher authority and after realizing his mistake, he immediately issued the order on 24.6.2021 reinstating the applicant in service and the applicant is given the consequential service benefits from the date his service was terminated.</p> <p>5. In view of the contentions mentioned in the affidavit in reply, we accept the explanation and hold that the mistake is bonafide and therefore, we put an end to this matter.</p> <p>6. Thus, as the order is complied with, nothing remains in the contempt application and the same stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.09.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.637 of 2021</b></p> <p>R.M. Pardeshi .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. A query is made to the learned Advocate for the Applicant as on which date the applicant was relieved.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the applicant was relieved on 11.08.2021.</p> <p>4. The learned P.O. submits that he wants time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>5. The applicant to join the transferred post i.e. at Circle Officer, Hiswal, Office of Tahsildar, Nandgoan without prejudice.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 16.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(Mridūla Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date : 02.09.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.756 of 2020</b></p> <p>M.R. Sawant .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. submits that though the order was passed on 24.08.2021, it was uploaded on 27.08.2021 after second half (afternoon) and therefore the Government could not get time to file affidavit-in-reply before 01.09.2021.</p> <p>3. For the reasons mentioned in the praecipe which is effectively correct, it is necessary to give three days more time to take instructions and file affidavit-in-reply. The copy of affidavit-in-reply be served upon learned Advocate.</p> <p>4. Praecipe dated 02.09.2021 is hereby allowed. Matter is adjourned to 14.09.2021 at 2.30 p.m.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.649 of 2021</u></p> <p>Varsha P. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is challenging the seniority list dated 16.3.2021.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.10.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">2.9.2021 <span style="float: right;">2.9.2021</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No. \_\_\_\_\_**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.09.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.653 of 2021</b></p> <p>P.K. Shelar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate along with learned Advocate Mr. Y.P. Deshmukh and Mr. V.P. Sangvikar are present and they submit that they want to file intervention in this matter. However they are unable to move intervention application due to paucity of time. They submit that the matter appeared on board first on 30.08.2021 and they received instructions yesterday i.e. on 01.09.2021.</p> <p>3. Interveners may stake steps as per their convenience.</p> <p>4. The learned Advocate Ms. Punam Mahajan has submitted that the post of the applicant is Group-A. Applicant's transfer is mid-term and mid-tenure therefore the approval of the Hon'ble the Chief Minister is required.</p> <p>5. On the previous date it was specifically informed to the Respondent-State to produce the record of the transfer of the applicant, however, it is not produced today till now.</p> <p>6. Mr. D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate submits that he relies on the two judgments of the Bombay High Court in Writ Petition No.3301/2010, (Shri Ramesh Pandurang Shivdas Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.) dated 11.10.2020 and Writ P.T.O.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Petition No.7754/2013 &amp; Ors. (Pradip B. Lonandkar Versus State of Maharashtra and others.) dated 22.11.2013 and one judgment of this Tribunal in O.A.No.396/2015 with O.A.No.397/2015 (Shri Avinash P. Bhanushali &amp; Anr. Versus The State of Maharashtra) dated 03.08.2015.</p> <p>7. The judgments cited by learned Advocate Mr. Khaire will be considered when the record is produced by the Government for the perusal of the Tribunal.</p> <p>8. Pertinent query is put to the Respondents whether the compliance of Section 4(4) and Section 4(5) the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred as ROT Act, 2005 for brevity) can be done away when there are number of complaints against the Government servants.</p> <p>9. The learned Advocate Mr. D.B. Khaire has not yet filed vakalatnama. The learned Advocate Mr. Y.P. Deshmukh appearing for intervention produces the copy of G.R. dated 10.05.2021. This G.R. does not come in the way of Section 4(4) and Section 4(5) the ROT Act, 2005.</p> <p>10. In view of this the applicant to continue as Sub Divisional Officer, Mutha Canal Sub Division, Pune which is his present posting, till next date when the Respondents produce their record.</p> <p>11. Adjourned to 14.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.585 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.S. Dapke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Status quo granted by order dated 20.8.2021 to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.9.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) 2.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.449 of 2021</p> <p>P.R. Khedekar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. The Applicant stands retired on 30.11.2019 but his Gratuity and Leave Encashment is withheld, provisional Pension was paid upto December 2020. The Applicant therefore filed the present O.A. for grant of these retrial benefits with interest.</p> <p>3. The issue is whether on the date of retirement Departmental Enquiry (D.E.) was initiated against the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that till date there is no service of charge-sheet in D.E., and therefore, Gratuity and Leave Encashment cannot be withheld.</p> <p>5. Whereas, Respondents have filed Affidavit-in-Reply stating that D.E. has been already initiated before the retirement of the Applicant. Respondents have not produced services of charge-sheet. Respondents have not produced any record of service of charge-sheet upon the Applicant showing the particular date of the service of charge-sheet. What is on record is undated draft charges which does not show as to whether really charge-sheet has been served upon the Applicant.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
	<p>6. Learned P.O. submits that except Affidavit-in-Reply and documents along with she has no other instruction to find out whether D.E. was really initiated and the date of initiation of D.E.</p> <p>7. In view of above, Respondent No.2 – Executive Engineer is directed to file Affidavit to explain whether charge-sheet in D.E. has been served along with details of the date of charge-sheet as well as its services on the Applicant.</p> <p>8. Executive Engineer should further explain why provisional Pension is not paid from December 2020.</p> <p>9. One week time is granted to file Affidavit.</p> <p>10. Steno copy is granted.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 07.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.213 of 2020</p> <p>S.P. Khamkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Abhijeet Kandarkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed challenging recovery of Rs.2,66,247/- towards excess payment by order dated 27.10.2016. The Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2016. This O.A. is filed on 12.03.2020 without Application for Condonation of Delay.</p> <p>3. Indeed, no such defence is raised by the Respondents in their Reply on the point of limitation.</p> <p>4. When the issue of limitation is brought to the notice of learned Advocate by Tribunal, he sought time to file M.A. for Condonation of Delay.</p> <p>5. One week time is granted to take necessary steps.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 08.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.810 of 2016</p> <p>N. P. Koli <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In this O.A., the basic claim of the Applicant is to consider her for promotion to the post of Office Superintendent and to grant of other consequential benefits.</li> <li>3. During the pendency of O.A., the Applicant stands retired.</li> <li>4. Now M.A. is filed for amendment and the Applicant has also claimed deemed date of promotion to the post of Office Superintend comparing her case with one Shri M. M. Jadhav (Jagtap).</li> <li>5. Thus, O.A. is basically for promotion on the post of Office Superintendent. Admittedly, she retired from the post of Assistant Superintendent.</li> <li>6. The subject of promotion pertains to Division Bench.</li> <li>7. The Registrar is, therefore, directed to place the matter before Division Bench.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 02.09.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.155 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>M.K. Atkar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission to delete prayer clause 9 [c] of O.A. stating that infact there is no such order dated 17.09.2018 about reduction of pension permanently. He further submits that impugned order dated 17.09.2018 is about recovery of Rs.8,55,998/- from pension only. According to him clause [c] is typed inadvertently in the impression that there would be another order of 50% permanently reduction of pension.</p> <p>3. In view of submission advanced by learned Advocate the Applicant that there is no such impugned order in respect of which prayer is made in clause [c], it be deleted immediately so that matter can proceed further.</p> <p>4. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant short time is granted for final arguments since matter pertains to 2019.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 06.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b>  <i>[PTO.]</i></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 02.09.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.311 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>V.N. Jadhav</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant short time is granted for Final Hearing and no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 02.09.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.01 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>P.G. Patil</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent and Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate who is in-charge of the matter is unwell, and therefore, requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 02.09.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.961 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>R.P. Adisare</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit in compliance with order passed by the Tribunal on 20.08.2021.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 02.09.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.608 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>Dr. M.V. Pande</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that matter is being handled by Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate who is unwell, and therefore, requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.09.2021 for Part Heard.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 02.09.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.600 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>S.G. Dhanawade</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant as well as learned P.O. one week time is granted for Part Heard.</p> <p>3. Respondent No.1 is at liberty to file Affidavit as directed in order dated 13.08.2021 on next date with clear instructions that no further time will be given for filing Affidavit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.742 of 2020</p> <p>S.K. Darekar ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, the matter be kept for final hearing with liberty to Applicant to file Rejoinder, if any in the meantime.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.09.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.33 of 2021</b></p> <p>A. S. Jagtap <span style="float:right">...Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. B. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.347 of 2021</p> <p>S. S. Jadhav .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply is under preparation and the same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record. Copy be served to the other side.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 405 of 2021</p> <p>A. P. Andhere <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.407 of 2021</p> <p>R. M. Dhangare <span style="float:right">...Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.473 of 2021</p> <p>S. S. Pawar ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.404 of 2020</p> <p>Ms A. C. More <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.520 of 2021</p> <p>S. K. Joshi <span style="float: right;">...Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P.Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.Nos.596, 597 with 598 of 2021</p> <p>S. J. Pinjan &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">....Applicants</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply by way of last chance since the issue involved in this O.A. is very small and limited interim relief is only granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.09.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.654 of 2021</b></p> <p>S.R. Shete .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Speaking to minutes as per the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant in order dated 30.08.2021, at paragraph 4, line 2 and at paragraph 10, line 1 word 'not' is to be removed. The learned P.O. has not objection. Hence, it read corrected accordingly.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. submits that the applicant has completed 3 years and therefore the options were called and he had given 10 options and at serial No.7 of his sequential preference i.e. at Sajja, Bhosgaon is shown and therefore the Respondents have transferred him to Sajja, Bhosgaon.</p> <p>4. The learned P.O. submits that the case of the applicant was placed before Civil Services Board which was held on 02.08.2021. The learned P.O. wants time to inform more about the compliance.</p> <p>5. Time granted. The learned P.O. is directed to take specific instructions about the head of the Department who is the competent transferring authority.</p> <p>6. The learned Counsel for the Applicant has made statement that nobody is transferred at Sajja Ghot.</p> <p>7. In view of the statement made by learned Advocate as nobody is transferred at Sajja, Ghot Applicant to continue to work at Sajja, Ghot till affidavit-in-reply is filed by learned P.O.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 23.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.09.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.663 of 2021</b></p> <p>K.S. Adhe ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant working as Assistant Commissioner, Social Welfare, Solapur challenges the order dated 30.08.2021 issued by Respondent No.1 transferring Respondent No.2, on his request, on the place of the Applicant i.e. Assistant Commissioner, Social Welfare, Solapur.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel for the Applicant submits the Respondent No.2 is served through email. She further submits that the applicant is not transferred, neither he is due for transfer. She submits that the Applicant took charge of the present posting on 26.06.2019, so he has completed only two years, whereas for Group-A post the normal tenure is of three years.</p> <p>4. The learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>5. Time granted. Applicant to continue at the place where he is at present working and Respondent No.2 is directed not to join his transferred post.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 16.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.09.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.605 of 2021</b></p> <p>P.R. Shelke .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.10.2021.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. Adjourned to 08.10.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.09.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.610 of 2021</b></p> <p>P.B. Ingale .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 08.10.2021</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.09.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.548 of 2021</b></p> <p>I.G. Chappalwar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 23.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date : 02.09.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.570 of 2021</b></p> <p>D.B. Ahire .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. D.P. Chavan, Respondent No.3 in person absent.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that his grievance is redressed.</p> <p>4. In view that nothing remains in the matter, O.A. is disposed off.</p> <p align="right">   Sd/-   <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b> </p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.09.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.661 of 2021</b></p> <p>S.G. Raut .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Applicant retired on 31.07.2021 Tahasildar prayers for release of his pensionary dues.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.10.2021.</li> <li>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. Adjourned to 08.10.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.266 of 2021 in O.A. No.515 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. S.R. Burute ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. According to Ld. Advocate for the Applicant the cause of action arose on 19.10.2019. He states that there is a delay of one month and thirteen days in filing the above OA.</p> <p>3. Delay is condoned in view of orders dated 23.3.2020, 6.5.2020, 10.7.2020 &amp; 8.3.2021 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo Moto Writ Petition (C) No.3 of 2020 and order dated 27.4.2021 in MA No.665/2021 in SMW(C) No.3/2020.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO has no objection in view of the directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court and she submits to the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. Delay is condoned and MA is allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.9.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2021</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.267 of 2021 in O.A. No.516 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. S.S. Desai ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. According to Ld. Advocate for the Applicant the cause of action arose on 19.10.2019. He states that there is a delay of one month and thirteen days in filing the above OA.</p> <p>3. Delay is condoned in view of orders dated 23.3.2020, 6.5.2020, 10.7.2020 &amp; 8.3.2021 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo Moto Writ Petition (C) No.3 of 2020 and order dated 27.4.2021 in MA No.665/2021 in SMW(C) No.3/2020.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO has no objection in view of the directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court and she submits to the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. Delay is condoned and MA is allowed.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(Medha Gadgil)</td> <td align="center">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Member (A)</td> <td align="center">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">2.9.2021</td> <td align="center">2.9.2021</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)	Member (A)	Chairperson	2.9.2021	2.9.2021
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)								
Member (A)	Chairperson								
2.9.2021	2.9.2021								



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.288 of 2021 in O.A. No.565 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. R.S. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. According to Ld. Advocate for the Applicant the cause of action arose on 5.1.2020. He states that there is a delay of one month and thirteen days in filing the above OA.</p> <p>3. Delay is condoned in view of orders dated 23.3.2020, 6.5.2020, 10.7.2020 &amp; 8.3.2021 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo Moto Writ Petition'(C) No.3 of 2020 and order dated 27.4.2021 in MA No.665/2021 in SMW(C) No.3/2020.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO has no objection in view of the directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court and she submits to the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. Delay is condoned and MA is allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.9.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.565 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. R.R. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.10.2021.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.9.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.566 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. P.A. Patil ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.10.2021.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  2.9.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  2.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.289 of 2021 in O.A. No.566 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. P.A. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. According to Ld. Advocate for the Applicant the cause of action arose on 5.12.2019. He states that there is a delay of one month and thirteen days in filing the above OA.</p> <p>3. Delay is condoned in view of orders dated 23.3.2020, 6.5.2020, 10.7.2020 &amp; 8.3.2021 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo Moto Writ Petition,(C) No.3 of 2020 and order dated 27.4.2021 in MA No.665/2021 in SMW(C) No.3/2020.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO has no objection in view of the directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court and she submits to the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. Delay is condoned and MA is allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.9.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.9.2021</p> <p>(sgi)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.09.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.500 of 2021 with M.A.No.263 of 2021</b></p> <p>H.G. Kulkarni &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.263/2021 is filed for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>6. O.A.No.500/2021 is filed by 26 applicants who are the retired employees in the office of Public Health Department prayer for their increments in view of the judgment dated 15.09.2017 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature of Madras in Writ Petition No.15732/2017 in the case of <b>P. Ayyamperumal Versus Registrar, CAT, Chennai &amp; Ors.</b> and so also judgments of M.A.T. Bench Nagpur dated 28.09.2020 in O.A.Nos.976, 977 &amp; 1054/2019 &amp; judgment dated 25.01.2019 in O.A.No.134/2018.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.10.2021.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>9. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. Adjourned to 08.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: center;">✓</p>	<p><b>Date : 02.09.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>M.A.No.319 of 2021 in O.A.No.628 of 2021</b></p> <p>Dr. S.N. Pradhan (M.A.No.319/2021) R.B. Chavan (O.A.628/2021) ...Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A.No.319/2021, Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.628/2021, Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in M.A.No.319/2021 and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A.No.628/2021.</p> <p>2. Applicant in O.A.No.628/2021 working as Professor, Skin and VD Medical Education at B.J. Government Medical College, Pune.</p> <p>3. M.A.No.319/2021 is filed by Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate as intervention application on behalf of Dr. S.N. Pradhan requesting that he be added as Respondent No.2 in the array of Respondents in O.A.No.628/2021 in view that if the application is allowed then it is going to affect adversely on the intervener.</p> <p>4. The learned Advocate Ms. Punam Mahajan and learned P.O. has no objection hence intervention application (M.A.No.319/2021) is allowed. In view of this M.A.No.319/2021 is disposed off.</p> <p>5. The learned Advocate Ms. Punam Mahajan is hereby directed to add Intervener as Party Respondent No.2.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. The learned Advocate Ms. Punam Mahajan submits that on 23.08.2021 itself the applicant was relieved from B.J. Medical College and the proposed Respondent came from Miraj and he took charge from him in the Department of Dermatology in B.J. Medical College and so as on today the proposed Respondent is working on the post of Applicant since 23.08.2021. She submits that when the matter was taken up on 23.08.2021 in the Tribunal at the same time applicant was relieved. She submits that the joining report discloses the date as 22.08.2021 which was Sunday but it was actually taken on 23.08.2021.</p> <p>7. Considered the submissions and the present scenario in view of this the order of the Tribunal dated 23.08.2021 allowing the applicant to continue on the present posting is vacated. Applicant to join his new post i.e. at Government Medical College, Baramati without prejudice.</p> <p>8. At the request of learned P.O. Adjourned to 16.09.2021 for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>