

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.826/2021</u></p> <p>Shri T.M. Rathod ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Speaking to Minutes</u></p> <p>1. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. has tendered the order dated 16.12.2021 passed by Respondent No.2 – Director General of Police whereby promotion given to the Applicant by order dated 28.04.2021 has been cancelled.</p> <p>3. In O.A, the issue raised by the Applicant is about non-relieving him by Commissioner of Police, Nashik for joining promotional post in view of promotion order issued by Director General of Police on 28.04.2021. It appears that since Applicant was undergoing punishment of withholding next increment for one year, his promotion was later cancelled. Be that as it may, since promotion order itself is cancelled, the question of direction to C.P, Nashik to relieve him does not survive.</p> <p>4. Shri Chougule, learned P.O. fairly submits that now the issue of promotion of the Applicant will be considered in DPC.</p> <p>5. In view of above, O.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 02.08.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.347 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.590 of 2021</p> <p>P.B. Kale & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.350 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S.A. TrimbakeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Additional Reply to the Additional Affidavit filed by the Applicant on previous date. However, no Additional Reply is filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 02.08.2022

O.A. No.67 of 2022

S.R. KasarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate instructed by Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. requested for adjournment on the ground that matter is handled by Smt. K.S. Gaikwad who is unable to remain present due to her personal difficulties.
3. S.O. to 03.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.110 of 2020</p> <p>A.S.A.S. NadeemApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing which is already proceeded without Reply, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought one day time to produce necessary documents along with Affidavit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 02.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1110 of 2019</p> <p>B.R. SangleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant one week time is granted to file correct chart showing his date of amount due, date of actual payment, period for which it is delayed and amount of interest claimed.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is also directed to submit above details so that there could be no dispute about factual aspects.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.433 of 2022 in O.A. No.857 of 2017</p> <p>B.R. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for amendment to challenge order dated 15.11.2017 which has been passed during the pendency of O.A. M.A. is filed for absorption and during the pendency of O.A. Applicant made representation for absorption in Group 'C' instead of Group 'D' which is rejected.</p> <p>3. It being subsequent event, amendment is allowed.</p> <p>4. Amendment be carried out within three days and copy of amended O.A. be served upon the Respondents.</p> <p>5. Two weeks time is granted to file Reply to amendment made in O.A.</p> <p>6. O.A. is adjourned to 17.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.754 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. S.L. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 02.06.2022 passed by Respondent No.2 – District Health Officer, Zilla Parishad, Thane whereby he has withdrawn the charge given to the Applicant by the Government on his reinstatement in service. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prayed for interim relief <i>inter-alia</i> contending that Respondent No.2 is not authorized to withdraw the charge as specifically provided in G.R. dated 28.03.2012. Since, the Applicant approached the Tribunal after about two months there seems no urgency. Hence, at this stage, I am not inclined to grant interim relief. 4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 5. Issue notice in M.A. before admission returnable on 17.08.2022. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

10. S.O. to 17.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 02.08.2022

O.A.No.230 of 2020 with O.A.No.231 of 2020
with O.A.No.232 of 2020

Dr. J.S. Jagtap (O.A.230/2020)
Dr. R.D. More (O.A.231/2020)
Dr. S.S. Thakur (O.A.232/2020)Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. All the three matters appeared on 27.05.2020 for the first time before the Single Bench. Notices were issued. In these matters arguments were heard on 23.06.2022 on interim relief. Interim relief was refused.

3. Learned P.O. submits that Applicant Dr. S.S. Thakur in O.A.No.232/2020 retired on 30.06.2020 after attaining age of superannuation i.e. 58 years.

3. Learned Advocate seeks time as he has not come with the brief in O.A.No.230/2020.

4. We keep this matter tomorrow for hearing. We find that nothing remains in all these matters. All the three Doctors have prayed for extension of age of retirement. We are informed by learned C.P.O. that the Applicants have retired on superannuation of the age of 58 years and as on today they all have crossed the age of 60 years. As the interim relief is refused and the applicants have not challenged that order in the Writ, nothing remains. However in all fairness as learned Advocate wants to argue and wants to show locus of the applicants, matter is fixed tomorrow

5. Adjourned to 03.08.2022.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 02.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.745 of 2022</p> <p>A.A. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is heard, it is transpired that the Applicant is transferred in view of the decision of P.E.B. The perusal of minutes of P.E.B. reveals that transfer was on default report. However, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought to contend that all those defaults shown in P.E.B. no more survives, ^{SINCE} Since, these were closed long back, he therefore sought time to produce documents to that effect.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 08.08.2022.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. since appeared, issuance of notices is dispensed with. She is allowed to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 08.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.161 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. KoliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Yesterday, Tribunal directed the Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai to depute responsible officer to remain present in the Tribunal to appraise the Tribunal to appraise as to why Gratuity, Leave Encashment & family pension are withheld. However, nobody is present from the Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai. 3. Learned P.O submits that she had taken instruction from the office of the Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai. According to her since the Applicant was appointed on supernumerary post by order dated 21.01.2020 issue of entitlement to Pension, Gratuity etc. is in consideration of the Government and so far as no decision is taken. 4. Whereas, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that even if the Applicant was appointed on supernumerary post in validation of caste certificate, Gratuity and other retiral benefits cannot be withheld. 5. It appears that the Applicant has also challenged order dated 21.01.2020 before Division Bench and that O.A. is subjudice, now it is fixed on 04.08.2022 before Division Bench. 6. In so far as this O.A. is concerned it is for grant of retiral benefits, and therefore it needs to be decided expeditiously. 7. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing. 8. S.O. to 11.08.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.753 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. N.K. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant is posted at Kalwan which is Tribunal area, and therefore claimed benefit of advancement in pay, in terms of G.R. dated 06.08.2002. She made representation on 20.09.2021 & 05.05.2022 to Respondent No.2 – District Health Officer, Zilla Parishad, Nashik which is not responded, and therefore filed this O.A. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice in M.A. before admission returnable on 17.08.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 17.08.2022.</p> <p>10. Learned P.O. is directed to take instruction and appraise the Tribunal about the decision on representation dated 20.09.2021 & 05.05.2022 so that O.A. could be disposed of with suitable direction.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.631 of 2022 with O.A. No.451 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.V. Topale H.R. Gangurde ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Person from department to remain present on next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / Member (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) 2.8.2022 Chairperson 2.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.819 of 2017</u></p> <p>V.P.V. Valson ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that her brief is missing and not traceable. Ld. CPO is allowed to take photocopy of OA with annexures and pleadings from the office record and reconstruct their own brief.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 2.8.2022 2.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.416 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.S. Jage ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant has received letter of rejection of his representation wherein he has expressed that he would like to deposit one time recovery amount of Rs.1,48,000/- approximately saddled on him. Ld. Advocate submits that his co-delinquent Shri U.S. Rathod has also given similar representation and the said representation was accepted by the respondents by allowing him to deposit the entire amount of penalty at one time by letter dated 26.3.2021.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO to verify the position and the facts stated by the Ld. Advocate for the applicant. If these are found correct then we call upon the concerned person to remain present before us on the next date.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO to find out is it mandatory that recovery should commence only in July i.e. after getting increment. In the present case recovery has commenced after one and half year i.e. March, 2022 though the order of punishment is issued on 20.11.2020.</p> <p>5. Ld. CPO has informed that whatever orders were passed and leniency shown in respect of Shri Rathod is itself illegal and illegal orders were passed by the concerned authority.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 10.8.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 2.8.2022 2.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>01.08.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 344/2017</u></p> <p>Smt C.G Naik & Ors ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.Y Mirashi, applicant no. 5 in person and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants seek declaration that the impugned seniority list dated 2.11.2016 issued by the Respondent no. 6 is illegal and bad in law and the same be quashed and set aside with all consequential service benefits.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O produces copy of revised seniority list dated 21.3.2018 which is taken on record and marked as Exh. 'A'.</p> <p>4. In view of the above nothing remains in the Original Application and the same stands disposed of. If the applicants have any further grievance, that will be separate cause of action and they will be at liberty to file fresh Original Application. So also the Applicants are at liberty to file representation to the concerned Department and if any sub representation is made the same should be decided within two months.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.572 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.S. More ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that this matter can be worked out with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 29.1.2021 made by the applicant to the respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 2.8.2022 2.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.183 of 2022</u></p> <p>J.G. Tatkare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.R. Asawa, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.9.2022 by way of last chance. However, if the reply is not filed the matter will be proceeded without reply.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 2.8.2022 2.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.376 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.S. Dhere ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.9.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.8.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.8.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.597 of 2020</u></p> <p>R.D. Ghane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.9.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 2.8.2022 2.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.08.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1039/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.T Valekar.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the submissions made by the learned C.P.O and so also the application dated 28.6.2022 submitted by the applicant, wherein the applicant informs that he is not interested in contesting with the matter, Original Application stands dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>ank</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.226 of 2022 in O.A. No.354 of 2020</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra ..Applicant Vs. K.R. Gupta & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicant-original Respondent No.1 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent-original Applicants and Shri J.J. Carlos, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files revised affidavit and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.8.2022. Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 2.8.2022 2.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.46 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.J. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.10.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">Sd/- /</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 2.8.2022 2.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.372 of 2022</u></p> <p>Amol B. Yadav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Neither applicant nor his advocate is present. Ld. CPO submits that copy of OA is not served in the office of Ld. CPO and also the department. Under such circumstances we keep this matter under the caption of 'Dismissal'.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.8.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medhā Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 2.8.2022 2.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>CA.47/2021 in OA.280/2017 with OA.241/2019</u></p> <p>V.V. Punathil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that the proposal is being sent to the Accountant General and seeks one weeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.8.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.). Member (A) Chairperson 2.8.2022 2.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.22 of 2022 in O.A. No.200 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.R. Bagde & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits a separate affidavit on behalf of applicant no.2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.8.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.8.2022</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 02.08.2022
	<p align="center">O.A.No.932 of 2017</p> <p>N.D. BariApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Mr. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A.No.932/2017 is tagged along with O.A.No.930/2017 and O.A.No.931/2017.</p> <p>3. On 11.06.2018 matter was shown on board. At that time none was present for the Applicant and the matter was adjourned to 12.06.2018. Thereafter matter was adjourned to 19.12.2018 and then matter is not pursued. No date was given.</p> <p>4. Under such circumstances, matter is to be placed under the caption of 'For Dismissal' for want of prosecution.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 30.08.2022 along with O.A.No.930/2017 and O.A.No.931/2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.08.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.304 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. KoliApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. G.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the order dated 01.08.2022 D.C.P. Mr. Shayam Ghuge is present. We have already kept this matter at 12.30 p.m. No further explanation has come forward from the Government on our queries. We keep this matter at 12.30 p.m. We will pass the order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.08.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.398 of 2018 with M.A.No.240 of 2018</p> <p>R.S. SawantApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Mr. V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant seeks deemed date of promotion w.e.f. August, 2008 for the post of Supervisor (Offset) and refix his pay with consequential benefits.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate submits that the representation dated 28.08.2008 was not decided therefore this O.A. was filed on 26.03.2018. M.A.No.240/2018 is filed seeking condonation of delay. Learned Advocate submits that on 26.02.2018 applicant has applied under the RIT and then at that time he came to know about the rejection order.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. counters these submissions of learned Advocate and pointed out that in paragraph no.3 of M.A. the Applicant stated specifically that the order of rejection of promotion was communicated by Respondent No.4, through memo dated 10.01.2009 which was received by the Applicant on 12.01.2009. Thereafter the Applicant made application for certified copy.</p> <p>5. The delay of 7 years and 9 months is not sustainable and hence it is inordinate delay which cannot be justified.</p> <p>6. In view of above, O.A. and M.A. stands dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 02.08.2022
	<p align="center">C.A.No.51 of 2022 in O.A.No.693 of 2022</p> <p>A.R. TarkeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. informs that as per instructions given by the M.P.S.C., M.P.S.C. will open the link today before 2.30 p.m. and the applicant's application will be accepted and he will be issued hall ticket for the examination which is scheduled on 06.08.2022.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 05.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 02.08.2022</p>
	<p>O.A.No.160 of 2021</p> <p>G.V. BellaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar files vakalatnama. Pleadings are complete. Matter adjourned to 23.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.08.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.177 of 2021</p> <p>J.M. Mulani & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant or learned Advocate for the Applicant is directed to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>3. Matter fixed for hearing on 30.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.461 of 2022</p> <p>K.N. MavchiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt Geeta Gavli, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3.</p> <p>2. Today O.A. is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.328 of 2022</p> <p>M. Venkatesh & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.N. Biradar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 02.08.2022

O.A. No.221 of 2022

A.V. GandaleApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of most last chance.
4. S.O. to 22.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.125 of 2022 in O.A. No.163 of 2022 with M.A. No.204 of 2022 in O.A. No.162 of 2022</p> <p>D.Y. Ghadage & Ors. M.A. InamdarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.W. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of most last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.08.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.446 of 2021 in O.A. No.821 of 2021 with O.A. No.46 of 2020</p> <p>P.J. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.3. Adjourned for hearing.3. S.O. to 29.08.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1063 of 2021</p> <p>B.V. ChauguleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Tripathy, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.535 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. ShaikhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.604 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. NalavadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.744 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. K.S. MadarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 06.04.2022 whereby direction were issued for recovery of Rs.4,89,761/- from the pay and allowances of the Applicant which was allegedly disbursed by her to some contractual employees without there being appointment in their favour.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought interim relief <i>inter-alia</i> contending that no notice has been issued prior to issuance of recovery order.</p> <p>4. In impugned order dated 06.04.2022 there is no such reference of issuance of notice.</p> <p>5. In view of above, till next date there shall not be recovery from the pay and allowance of the Applicant under issuance of order dated 06.04.2022.</p> <p>6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.08.2022.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 22.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">A Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.452 of 2022 in O.A. No.743 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. K.S. MadarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay calculated as 10 months caused in filing O.A. No.743/2022 challenging order dated 09.09.2018 & 19.12.2019 and for refund of amount of Rs.6,36,000/- with interest.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice in M.A. before admission returnable on 22.08.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 22.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN