

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

District _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearances, Tribunal's orders and
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.864 of 2017

S.B. Sarmukaddam
Vs.

..Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time.
3. S.O. to 23.8.2018.

DATE 2/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
2.8.2018

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.B. Kadam

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

Presenting Officer for the Respondent/s

Adi./S.O. to 23/8/18

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. 4 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>RA No. 11/2018 in OA No. 693/2016</u>
---	---

S.S. Pawale Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

1. Id. PO files reply in RA and the same is taken on record.
2. Id. Advocate for the Applicant requests for time.
3. S.O. to 24.8.2018.

DATE 2/8/18
CORAM
Honble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:
For Applicant J.N. Kamble

Advocate for the Applicant
Archana B.K.
for the Respondent/s
Rest filed by P.N. D. Dixit
S.O. to 24/8/18

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
2.8.2018

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 2018 DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

Advocate

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

MA No.2/2018 in OA No.7/2018

1. P.R. Bhusal ..Applicant

2. Vs. ..Respondents

3. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for
the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting
Officer for the Respondents.

4. Ld. PO files reply in MA and the same is taken on
record.

5. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant seeks time.

6. S.O. to 23.8.2018.

DATE 2/8/18

COFAM

For Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
2.8.2018

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

(sgj)

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. S.O. to 23/8/18

Reply filed by [Signature]
A.No. 1.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

MA No. 543/2017 in OA No. 1162/2017

J.V. Bhandare

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Ms. M.A. Golawani, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO files reply in MA and the same is taken on record.

DATE 2/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
2.8.2018

(sgj)

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri M.A. Golawani~~ |

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri Ms. N.G. Gohad~~ |

Ld. P.O. for the Respondent/s

~~ad/s.o. to P.O. files reply~~ |
~~in M.A. and same is~~ |
~~taken on record.~~ |

(Signature)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

MA No.393/2018 in MA No.543/2017 in OA No.1162/2017

I.V. BhandareApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

Heard Ms. M.A. Golawani, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The above MA No.543 of 2017 is dismissed for non compliance of the conditional order dated 14.6.2018 passed in the above MA.

3. The Applicant has filed the above MA No.393 of 2018 for restoration of the above MA No.543 of 2017.

4. For the reasons stated therein, MA No.393 of 2018 is allowed and the MA No.543 of 2017 is restored to file along with OA.

DATE 2/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri Smt.~~ Ms. M.A. Golawani

Advocate for the Applicant

(sgl)

~~Shri Smt.~~ Ms. N.G. Gohad

PROPO. for the Respondent/s

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
2.8.2018

~~MA No. 393/18~~ is
allowed and the MA 543/17
is restored to file along
with O.A.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.1013 of 2017</u>

P.S. Gaikwad ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO clarifies that there is no need to file reply on behalf of respondent no.2.

3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.

4. S.O. to 23.8.2018.

DATE 2/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B. A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit (sgl)
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 23/8/18

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
2.8.2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.883 of 2017</u>
---	---

P.D. Nagpurkar ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO requests time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 23.8.2018.

DATE 2/8/18
CORAM
Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

Sd/- :
(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
2.8.2018

(sgj)

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 23/8/18

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.876 of 2017</u>
---	---

J.R. SonkavadeApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding
for Shri A.V. Sakolkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant
and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the
Respondents.

2. I.d. PO files reply and the same is taken on record.
3. I.d. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.
4. S.O. to 23.8.2018.

DATE 2/8/18

CORAM
Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member A)

APPEARANCE
Shri M.B. Kadam
holding P.O. A.V. Sakolkar (sgl)
Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad
Presenting Officer for the Respondent's
Reply filed by P.No.1.
Adv.S.O. to 23/8/18

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
2.8.2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No. 1069 of 2017

A.M. Sonar Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant places letter dated 31.7.2018 addressed to him by the applicant requesting to withdraw the above OA. The same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.

3. In view of the above Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for withdrawal of the above OA.

4. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.

DATE 2/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

(sgj)

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
2.8.2018

Adj. S.O. to O.A. is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed of as such.

[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.427 of 2018

R.J. SawantApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 16.8.2018.

DATE 2/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

(sgl)

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
2.8.2018

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.B. Kadam,
holding for S.S. Dere
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 16/8/18

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.388 of 2018 in O.A. No.447 of 2018

B.M. Turankar

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri V.U. Sherkhane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The above OA is dismissed for non compliance of the conditional order dated 17.7.2018 passed in the above OA.

3. The Applicant has filed the above MA for restoration of the above OA.

4. For the reasons stated therein, MA is allowed and the OA is restored to file.

DATE 2/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt V.U. Sherkhane

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./O. for the Respondent/s

(sgl)

[Signature]
Sd/- 1

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
2.8.2018

Adj. So. to M.A. is allowed.

O.A. is restored to file.

[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ at 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>U.A. No.486 of 2018</u>
---	---

F.N. Patil ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.


2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondent no.1 and the same is taken on record. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply on behalf of respondent no.2.

3. S.O. to 13.8.2018.

DATE 2/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

Sd/- 
(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
2.8.2018

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar
(sgl)

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s~~
~~Reply filed by No.1.~~
Adj./S.O. to 13/8/18



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.312 of 2018

Shri Thomas David Navgire ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.A. Tambe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Ld. Advocate for the Applicant states that the applicant married his wife in 2006. She was appointed as Nurse on 29.4.2008 at Solapur in the institute of Respondent No.1. On 26.4.2010 the wife of the Applicant committed suicide. The Applicant was charged under Section 306, 323 etc of IPC. He was acquitted of the offences on 6.10.2012.

3. The Ld. Advocate for the Applicant contends that the Applicant did not request for compassionate appointment upto 24.4.2013. The reasons furnished by him are not satisfactory and convincing. The impugned order issued on 9.10.2013 relies on GR dated 22.8.2005. It states that application should be made within one year from the death of the employee.

4. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant relies on the judgment dated 19.12.2011 of the Hon'ble Madras High Court in W.P. No.10490 of 2009. The facts mentioned therein are not relevant in the present case.

5. I have gone through the impugned orders at page 62-63 of OA. The order is clear and speaking. The delay mentioned has not been explained by the Applicant.

6. Hence, I see no reason to interfere in the same and the OA is therefore dismissed.

DATE 2/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A. A. Tambe

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. N. G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. S.O. to P.A. is dismissed.

(Signature)

(sgj)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)

Member (A)

2.8.2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.292 of 2018</u>
---	---

Dr. G.A. Kamble ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.
- 3. S.O. to 13.8.2018.

DATE 2/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

Sd/- 1
(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
2.8.2018

APPEARANCE:
Shri Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar (sgl)

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. A.J. Chougule
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 13/8/18
[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.1151 of 2017

D.R. Sawant ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.


2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder. In the meanwhile he proposes to file MA.
3. S.O. to 23.8.2018.

DATE 2/8/18
CORAM
Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

Sd/- _____
(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
2.8.2018

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar (sgj)

Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.
C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 23/8/18


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 02.08.2018.

O.A.No.980 of 2016

S.P. Yadav & Ors.Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants request to withdraw with liberty.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S. Gaikwad states that such liberty should not be granted and applicants may be asked to submit the applications if they want to withdraw the same.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that she needs two weeks time for the same.

5. Adjourned to 23.08.2018.

DATE 2/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan~~

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad~~

~~G.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s~~

Adj./S.O. to 23/8/18.

[Signature]

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 02.08.2018.

O.A.No.438 of 2017

K.D. EbhateApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Applicant, learned Advocate for the Applicant proposes to withdraw the O.A..

3. Accordingly permission is granted and O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn.

DATE 2/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

As per O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn.

[Signature]

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No. 962 of 2017

S.B. Patil Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- Ld. Advocate for the Applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record.
- Place the above matter for final hearing on 23.8.2018.

DATE 2/8/18

CORAM

Justice Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE

Shri ~~Sm.~~ B.A. Bandiwadkar (sgj)

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri~~ A.J. Chougule

~~P.P.O.~~ for the Respondent's Rejoinder filed by Petitioner.

dt/18 O. n. 23/8/18

Sd/- 7

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
2.8.2018

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

District

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 02.08.2018.

O.A.No.1009 of 2017 with O.A.No.1011 of 2017

C.D. Shahane (O.A.1009/2017)

L.P. Patil & Ors. (O.A.1011/2017)

....Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S. Gaikwad states that copies of communication from Respondent No.1 addressed to Respondent No.2 stating that proposal regarding prioritization should be made and submitted at the earliest. The same is marked as 'X' and taken on record. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks four week's for the same.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentions that she has objection for the same.

4. Adjourned to 04.09.2018 as a last chance.

DATE 2/8/18

CORAM

Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

For Applicant: Punam Mahajan

For Respondent:

K.B. Bhise

G.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 4/9/18

as a last chance.

Sd/- P.N. Dixit

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 02.08.2018.

O.A.No.923 of 2017

R.Z. Zople

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S. Gaikwad states that copies of communication from Respondent No.1 addressed to Respondent No.2 stating that proposal regarding prioritization should be made and submitted at the earliest. The same is marked as 'X' and taken on record. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks four week's for the same.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentions that she has objection for the same.

4. Adjourned to 04.09.2018 as a last chance.

DATE 2/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member)A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 4/9/18
as a last chance.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No. 267 of 2018

Dr. M.K. Barve ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.


2. Ld. PO mentions that she has instructions that salary will be disbursed shortly. The respondents should report progress by the next date.

3. S.O. to 7.8.2018.

DATE 2/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

Sd/- 

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
2.8.2018

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar (sg)

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 7/8/18



IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 02.08.2018.

O.A.No.151 of 2017

R.C. KadamApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Smt. Punam Mahajan has submitted her written submission.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. Archana B.K. proposes to give her written submission on the legal impediment in taking decision about the contention and she requires two weeks for the same.

4. Adjourned to 23.08.2018 as Part Heard.

DATE 2/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Smt/Smt. Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. Archana B.K.

G.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 23/8/18 as
Part heard. PH

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 02.08.2018.

O.A.No.477 of 2018

A.G. PatilApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Applicant, learned Advocate for the Applicant request for withdrawal of O.A. as the impugned order has been cancelled by order dated 31.07.2018.

3. O.A. is disposed as withdrawn.

DATE 2/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : P. Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. S.O. to O.A. is disposed as withdrawn.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 02.08.2018.

O.A.No.1051 of 2016

P.G. KadamApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Tanvi Sangle, the learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Pathak, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri Raviv A. Sahe, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.

2. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 seeks two week's time to file his reply.

3. Adjourned to 24.08.2018.

DATE 2/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/smt. Ms. Tanvi Sangle
holding for P.S. Pathak
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/smt. N.K. Rajpurohit
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s No. 1 to 3
Raviv A. Sahe for A.No.4. prk

Adv./S.O. to 24/8/18

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>2/8/18</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Heard Applicant in person.</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>6/8/2018.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>PTC</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.269/2018</u></p> <p>H.R. Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Detailed order was dictated. The learned P.O. was orally directed to produce entire record of the note-sheets of Home Department and orders thereon. Learned C.P.O. had tendered only three papers. It has transpired that this deficiency has happened due to communication gap.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has tendered entire set of 37 pages. On perusal of note-sheets, it is felt necessary to rehear the matter. The Desk Officer Ms. S. Thinge, Deputy Secretary Shri Karad and Joint Secretary Shri Pawar are directed to remain present and assist the learned P.O. and this Tribunal in reading the office notes and background of various recitals contained therein at the time of hearing.</p> <p>4. It is proposed to rehear the case on the due date i.e. on 6th August, 2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (A.H. JOSHI, J.) Chairman 02.08.2018 </p> <p>(skw)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>PTO.</i></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>2/8/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s No. 1 & 2. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> for A.3. Adj./S.O. to <u>23/8/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 02.08.2018.</p> <p>O.A.No.639 of 2016</p> <p>H.J. NazirkarApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 and 2 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Smt. Punam Mahajan request for adjourned for preparing written submissions.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri N.K. Rajpurohit mentions that on 23.08.2018 the matter should proceed even if written submission are not ready as requested by learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 23.08.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p>

prk