

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.713 OF 2017

DISTRICT: PUNE

D.R. Chavan & Ors.

.. Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.. Respondents

- Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants.
- Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 02.08.2017

**ORDER**

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Heard both sides.
3. At this stage, Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants prays for leave to correct the nomenclature of Respondent no.3.
4. Leave to amend for correction is granted.
5. Respondent No.3, Maharashtra Public Service Commission (M.P.S.C.) has tendered short affidavit-in-reply, furtherance to the query posed by this Tribunal during the hearing on 01.08.2017. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.
6. Heard both sides on admission as well as on Interim relief.
7. Original Application is admitted.



8. Applicants' grievance in nutshell is as follows :-

- (a) The State Government and M.P.S.C. have adopted the practice of conducting the screening tests for selection of candidates for final written examination, merit ranking wherein would be considered as merit ranking for appointment for the post of Assistant Motor Vehicle Inspector.
- (b) The actual eligibility of candidates based on recruitment rules would be verified by the State Government after M.P.S.C sends the list of 833 candidates who may be selected.
- (c) After the list of selected candidates would be sent by M.P.S.C., certain number of candidates in whose case it would be found that they are lacking in basic eligibility, as prescribed under the recruitment rules are bound to be eliminated.
- (d) As per Rule 23 of the Maharashtra Public Service Commission Rules of Procedure, 2014, M.P.S.C. has to limit the number of candidates for final selection which has to be 8 times the number of candidates to be selected and approximately 10 times the number of each category of reservation in the vacancies.
- (e) Considering that after selection large number of candidates would be possibly eliminated due to their failure to comply with the eligibility prescribed by the rules, number of candidates selected by M.P.S.C. would be far less in number than the number of candidates to be invited for main examination by observing the preposition of 1:8 in totality and 1:10 in relation to each category.

9. Therefore, according to Applicants, it is necessary that selection process be interfered and the applicants be allowed to appear for the main examination.

10. When case was heard yesterday, the figure of total number of candidates being called was not clearly available. At that time, it was, prima facie, noticed that if the ratio of 1:8 and 1:10 etc. as against the candidates to be appointed is not followed, it still result in breach of Rule 8(ii) of the Maharashtra Public Service Commission Rules of Procedure, 2014 and at the same time it would result in denial of opportunity to candidates who could otherwise enter the field of consideration but had to remain outside, because they were not selected for admission to main examination.

11. Therefore, this Tribunal has orally directed the M.P.S.C. to furnish the figures and / or ratio of candidates called for main examination, enable this Tribunal to know as to whether the candidates now being called are proportionately more in number than the 1:8 or 1:10 ratio as the case be.

12. In the affidavit-in-reply which is filed today and from oral submissions, the figures which have surfaced are as follows :-

- |   |         |
|---|---------|
| (a) Number of posts to be filed.  | : 833   |
| (b) Number of candidates who are selected for main examination  | : 9870  |
| (c) Statutory proportion which is required.   | : 1:8   |
| (d) Statutory proportion which is required category-wise.   | : 1:10  |
| (e) Number of candidates required to be called considering 833 vacancies by observing the ratio 1:8 comes to. | : 6664  |
| (f) Number of candidates who are called for main examination  | : 9870  |
| (g) Ratio   | : 11.84 |

13. Yesterday during the hearing, this Tribunal had also asked M.P.S.C. to calculate the number of candidates who may have to be called, if the bench-mark is varied / reduced by 1 mark.

14. Today learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered in the sealed cover which contains the letter received from the agency from whom certain work of examination is outsourced. This Tribunal has opened the said envelope. The letter contained therein states that if the bench mark is reduced by 1 mark (excluding the categories where bench mark which is fixed is only one mark), number of qualifying candidates for main examination would increase to 11240.



18. Thus Applicants have not made out the case worth grant of interim relief, hence, prays for interim reliefs is rejected.

19. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both sides.



Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)  
Chairman

prk

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI****ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.241 OF 2017****S.T. Borate & Ors.****....Applicants.****Versus****The State of Maharashtra****.....Respondent.****Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicants****● Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.****CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman****DATE : 02.08.2017****ORDER**

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
2. This Tribunal had ordered notice to Respondent by order dated 17.03.2017.
3. Record shows that applicants did not collect notices.
4. Applicants did not apply for enlargement of returnable date and for issue of fresh notice.
5. Hence O.A. deserves to be dismissed, however, learned Advocate for the Applicants prays for issue of notice with extended returnable date.
6. Issue fresh notice as usual returnable on 20.09.2017.
7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notices are not collected within one week, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to the Tribunal.

12. S.O. to 20.09.2017.



Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.J.)  
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 2/8/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. S.B. Gaikwad Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 12/9/2017</p> <p style="text-align: right;">BTK</p>	<p><u>02.08.2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 704/2017</u></p> <p>Dr SulekhaD. Hiwase &amp; Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Sunil B. Gaikwad, learned advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 12.9.2017.</li><li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li><li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li><li>5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li><li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li><li>7. Appointments shall not be made without express leave of this Tribunal.</li><li>8. S.O to 12.9.2017.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>21/9/2017</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>S.B. Gaikwad</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>12/9/2017.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.794 of 2016</u></p> <p>Dr. S.R. Chinchkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The officer who has filed the affidavit Shri Shashank Madhav Sathe, Deputy Secretary is present. He orally tenders apology for not being diligent while filing the affidavit. Considering that the affidavit is filed in a reckless manner, it is struck off from the record. Rejoinder is also struck off. The documents at page 48A onwards be removed from Part-I and be preserved in Part-II.</p> <p>3. The respondent-State shall have liberty to file fresh affidavit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.9.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 2.8.2017</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 21/8/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt.: A.R. Joshi Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: S. SURYAWANSHI C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>To: 22/8/2017.</p> <p><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 02.08.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.504 of 2017 with M.A.No.236 of 2017</p> <p>R.B. Mondke ....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri A.R. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he would carry out the substitution within two days.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.08.2017. <i>Q</i></p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 21/8/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble J. C. A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble J. C. Anantshikumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>Adj. To:</u> 23/8/2017</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 02.08.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.350 of 2017</p> <p>B.A. Bandkar .....Applicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents has tendered the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. The said affidavit is affirmed by Shri Shree Kant Singh, Principal Secretary (Appeal) and Security), Home Department, Mantralaya. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 23.08.2017. 0</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>29/8/2017</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>ADVOCATE FOR THE APPLICANT:</b> Shri <u>M. B. Kadam</u></p> <p><b>ADVOCATE FOR THE RESPONDENT/S:</b> Shri <u>S. SURYAWANSHI</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>29/8/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.H.E.</i></p>	<p><b><u>02.08.2017</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 177/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri Suresh M. Naik ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the Applicants and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that Applicant wants to withdraw the Original Application and would prefer to face the D.E. He prays that the enquiry be expedited.</li> <li>3. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to take instructions from the appointing authority the time frame within which the D.E would be completed and final orders would be passed, failing which the charge sheet shall lapse and applicant would be deemed to be exonerated.</li> <li>4. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order and secure the reply.</li> <li>5. Hamdast and Steno copy allowed.</li> <li>6. S.O to 23.8.2017.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A. H Joshi, ) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**02.08.2017**

**O.A No 107/2017**

Shri S.A Nalawade ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Amendment is necessitated in view of the objections raised in the affidavit in reply. Therefore, written Misc Application is dispensed with and the request of the Applicant to allow to implead Additional C.P, Special Branch, CID, Mumbai is allowed. He be impleaded as Respondent no. 4.

Amendment to be carried out within a period of one week from today. A consolidated copy of the amended O.A be filed and copy be furnished to the learned P.O.

Original Application stands adjourned for additional affidavit in reply, if any to 18.8.2017.

DATE: 2/8/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)~~

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. R.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O to 18/8/17.

Sd/-

(R.B Malik)  
Member (J)

Akn

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A. No.708 of 2017**

**Shri C.R. Waydande ... Applicant**

V/s.

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule holding for Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 31.08.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 31.08.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 2-8-17  
Member (J)  
02.08.2017

(vsm)

DATE: 2/8/17

CORAM:

~~Mr. Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~

(Vice-Chairman)

Mr. Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. J. N. Kamble

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A. J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

holding for S. Suryawanshi

Adj. To 50 to 31/8/17

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A. No.709 of 2017**

**Shri S.S. Sonawane ... Applicant**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 31.08.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 31.08.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
02.08.2017

*lc*  
2-8-17

**DATE:** 2/8/17

**CORAM:**

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~

~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. J.N. Kamble

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

Adj. To S.O. to 31/8/17.

*[Signature]*

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A. No.715 of 2017**

**Smt. R.R. Patil** ... Applicant

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & ors.** ... Respondents

Heard Ms Dipti Nikhade holding for Shri D.V. Sutar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 16.08.2017.


Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 16.08.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-   
 (R.B. Malik) 2-8-17  
 Member (J)  
 02.08.2017

**DATE:** 2/8/17

**CORAM:**  
 Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
 (Vice-Chairman)  
 Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

**APPEARANCE:**  
 Shri/Smt. Dipti Nikhade  
 holding for D.V. Sutar  
 Advocate for the Applicant  
 Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule  
 C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents  
 holding for N.K. Rajpurohit

**Adj. To:**  
 S.O. to 16/8/17

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A. No.721 of 2017**

**Smt. M.M. Pawar** ... Applicant  
V/s.

**The State of Mah. & ors.** ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms S.S. Suryawanshi holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents 1 & 2 and Respondent No.3 in person.

Respondent No.3 is served yesterday only. The Respondent Nos.1 & 2 through the learned P.O. have come prepared with the file.

Hearing rival submissions, I direct that during the course of the day, the inspection of the file should be given to the Applicant through her Advocate.

Issue notice returnable on 07.08.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 07.08.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 2-8-17  
Member (J)  
02.08.2017

**DATE:** 2/8/17

**CORAM:**

~~Shri. Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~  
(Vice-Chairman)

~~Shri. Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

**APPEARANCE:**

~~Shri. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri / Smt. S. Suryawanshi

~~P.O. P.O. for the Respondents~~

holding for K.S. Gaikwad

for P.No. 122.

~~Att. To~~

R.No. 3 in person.

S.O. to 7/8/17



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A. No.1106 of 2016**

**Shri S.S. Vardam ... Applicant**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard the Applicant in person and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

Although the written arguments are taken record at the moment, the OA has not been admitted and on Applicant's request adjourned for rejoinder on 16.08.2017.

S.O. to 16.08.2017.

**DATE:** 2/8/17

**QUEST:**

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)~~

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J~~

**APPEARANCE:**

~~Shri Smt. Applicant in person.~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

holding for N.K. Rajpurohit

~~Adj. To S.O. to 16/8/17.~~

written argument filed by Applicant.

S.O. to 16/8/17.

(vsm)

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
02.08.2017

2-8-17

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A. No.402 of 2017**

**Shri T.H. Dhekale ... Applicant**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule holding for Smt Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder.

Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 10.08.2017 along with other matters.

**DATE:** 2/8/17

**CORAM:**

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~

~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

Adj. To O.A. is admitted.

S.O. to 10/8/17.

*F4*  
*[Signature]*

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
02.08.2017

2 8 17

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 2/8/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u>  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del>  (Vice-Chairman)  Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u>  Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u>  Advocate for the Applicant  Shri/Smt. <u>A.T. Chougale</u>  C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>O.A. is disposed of Hamdast.</u></p>	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A. No.679 of 2017</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri A.R. Sodanavar ... Applicant</b></p> <p align="center"><b>V/s.</b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougale, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>A copy of the order dated 01.08.2017 of transfer of the Applicant is taken on record and in view thereof the OA is worked itself out. The OA is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>The Respondents to allow the Applicant to join at I.T.I., Warwand, Tal. Daund, Dist. Pune on or before 05.08.2017. Hamdast.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (R.B. Malik)  Member (J)  02.08.2017</p> <p align="left">(vsm)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>2/8/17</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b>  <del>Shri. Mr. Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del>  (Vice-Chairman)  <del>Shri. Mr. Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</del></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b>  <del>Shri. Mr. M.D. Lonkar</del></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant  Shri. Mr. <u>A.J. Chougule</u>  C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents  <u>Reply filed by R. Naz.</u></p> <p>Adj. To  <u>O.A. is admitted.</u>  <u>S.O. to 28/8/17.</u></p> <p><u>FH</u>  <u>[Signature]</u> (vsm)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.405 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>Shri D.R. Rajmane</b> ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>V/s.</b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 28.08.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>[Signature]</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(R.B. Malik) <u>2.8.17</u>  Member (J)  02.08.2017</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A. No.34 of 2017**

**Shri V.S. Nawle ... Applicant**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri A. Jadhav holding for Shri S.K. Hande, the learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

Shri A. Jadhav, the learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4 submits that the Affidavit-in-Reply is ready and it has been sent for affirmation and, therefore, though the last chance was granted, it is finally adjourned for reply to 09.08.2017.

S.O. to 09.08.2017.

**DATE:** 2/8/17

**CORAM:**

~~Mem'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~  
(Vice-Chairman)

Mem'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

**APPEARANCE:**

~~Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents Nos. 1 & 2.

A. Jadhav for

N.O. 324. holding for

Ad. to S.K. Hande

S.O. to 9/8/17

*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Handwritten signature]*

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
02.08.2017

02.08.17

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./B.A./C.A. No. 321 of 2017

IN

Original Application No. 704 of 2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/8/2017</u></p> <p>Justices: <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> <u>Shri M. Ramashikumar (Member)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>S. B. Gaikwad</u> for the Applicant <u>K. B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>M.A. is allowed</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>AKN</i></p>	<p><b><u>02.08.2017</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No 321/2017 with O.A 704/2017</u></b></p> <p>Dr SulekhaD. Hiwase &amp; Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Sunil B. Gaikwad, learned advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for leave to amend the prayer. Leave is granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.</li> <li>3. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</li> <li>4. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</li> <li>5. In this view of the matter, the present Misc Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</li> <li>6. M.A is allowed.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 2/8/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>2/8/2017.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>Date : 02.08.2017.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1132 of 2016</p> <p>A.M. Atram .....Applicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra .....Respondent.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states that the purpose of the O.A. is accomplished, since the Government has granted the Applicant promotion and deemed date.</p> <p>3. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for a day's time to consider whether any grievance survives.</p> <p>4. Time granted as prayed.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 07.08.2017.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>