

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.824/2018  
(Shivaji Ramrao Tidke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 02.07.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Today the case is not on board. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed an application for speaking to minutes praying for correction of typographic error in order dated 26-06-2019 to the extent of correction in paragraph 6(D) as "Rs.5400/-" instead of "Rs.15400/-".

3. Hence, figure "Rs.15400/-" in paragraph no.6(D) of order dated 26-06-2019 be corrected and read as "Rs.5400/-".

4. Registry is directed to carry out the correction as above and issue certified copy to the parties as per procedure.

**CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 567 OF 2019  
(Shri Vinod K. Dhandge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Mohit R. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has been transferred to Government Ashram School, Jamb, Dist. Osmanabad from Government Ashram School Ekghari, Dist. Nanded, by the order dated 31.05.2019 without considering the options given by him. It is his contention that his wife is serving at Nanded and as per the policy of Couple Convenience, his case has to be considered by the respondents while making his transfer. But the respondents had not considered the said aspect and therefore, he has challenged the said order of transfer. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the impugned order is against the guidelines given by the Government in the G.R. dated 09.04.2018 and therefore, he prayed to grant interim relief to the applicant.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the transfer of the applicant has been made as the applicant was due for transfer. His transfer is made on

the administrative ground as per the administrative convenience and there is no illegality in the impugned order. Therefore, he prayed to reject the interim relief as prayed by the applicant.

4. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the applicant has completed his normal tenure of posting at Government Ashram School, Ekghari Dist. Nanded. He has completed more than 6 years tenure at Nanded district. He was due for transfer at the time of General Transfers of the year 2019 and therefore, he has been transferred on administrative ground at Government Ashram School, Jamb, Dist. Osmanabad, considering the administrative convenience. Prima-facie no illegality or irregularity is found in the impugned order. Hence, in my view, there is no just ground to grant interim relief to the applicant.

5. In view thereof, the request of the applicant to grant interim relief is hereby rejected.

6. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 09.08.2019.

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper

book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open

10. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

12. S.O. to 09.08.2019.

13. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 698 OF 2017  
(Shri Jalamsing D. Valvi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 06.08.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 984 OF 2018  
(Shri Jaiprakash L. Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Anita A. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 5 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 6.

2. Learned Presenting Officer as well as learned Advocate for respondent No. 6 seeks time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 31.07.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 53 OF 2019  
(Shri Dilip R. Pande & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 07.08.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 71 OF 2019  
(Shri Anant N. Ballal & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 8 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 9.

2. Learned Presenting Officer, as well as, learned Advocate for respondent No. 9 seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 07.08.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 396 OF 2019  
(Shri Mohan K. Medhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 06.08.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 487 OF 2018  
(Shri Ramchandra L. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 09.08.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 828 OF 2018  
(Shri Subhash D. Langade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.R. Langhe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.07.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 328 OF 2018  
(Shri Mahadeo P. Ghuge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 09.07.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 539 OF 2019  
(Shri Amol P. Awchar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 09.08.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 09.08.2019.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 551 OF 2019  
(Shri Vithal T. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 09.08.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 09.08.2019.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 569 OF 2019  
(Shri Bapusaheb B. Sase V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 07.08.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
8. The point of interim relief is kept open.
9. S.O. to 07.08.2019.
10. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 174 OF 2017  
(Dr. Madhav F. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that in view of the contentions raised by the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 in their additional affidavit in reply, which is filed on 04.10.2018, the applicant intends to amend his pleadings and therefore, he seeks leave of this Tribunal to amend the O.A.

3. Leave as prayed for is granted. The applicant shall amend the O.A. on or before the next date.

4. S.O. to 19.07.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 178 OF 2018**

(Shri Mohammad Ratisoddin Mohammad Fayajoddin Ansari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the proposal regarding regularization of the employees, as well as, the applicant appointed on ad-hoc basis is pending before the respondent No. 1. She has submitted that the respondent No. 1 will take the decision in due course. She has further submitted that she requires some time to take instructions from the respondents as to whether the name of the applicant is included in the said list and his proposal has been decided or not. Therefore, she sought two weeks' time.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 24.07.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 210 OF 2018  
(Shri Mir Firsat Mir Mohammed Ali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Gahte, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, S.O. to 25.07.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 574 OF 2018  
(Sau Seema A. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Gajanan Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 & 6, Shri M.R. Bhokarikar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 7 and Shri Parag Shahane, learned Advocate for respondent No. 8. None appeared for respondent Nos. 4 & 5.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 07.08.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 823 OF 2018  
(Shri Shubham H. Myadarwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ganesh Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri G.J. Karne, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. On perusal of the record, it reveals that already ample opportunities were given to the respondents for filing affidavit in reply. But they have failed to file affidavit in reply in time. There is no just ground to grant further time. However, in the interest of justice short time is granted to the respondents for filing affidavit in reply subject to payment of costs of Rs. 5,000/- (Rs. Five Thousand Only). The amount of costs shall be deposited in the registry of this Tribunal.

4. S.O. to 23.07.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 857 OF 2018  
(Shri Shashishekhar L. Shelgaonkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has retired w.e.f. 30.06.2019 and therefore, the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the present O.A. Therefore, he prayed to dispose of the O.A.

3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has prayed to pass necessary order.

4. Since the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A., the same is disposed of with no order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 979 OF 2018  
(Shri Baburao C. Mahire V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Y.H. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of communication dated 28.06.2019 along with the order dated 29.06.2019 regarding sanction of arrears of pay as claimed by the applicant. Same are taken on record and marked as document 'X' collectively for the purposes of identification. She has submitted that the respondents have passed the order granting arrears of pay to the applicant and therefore, purpose of filing of the present O.A. is served and hence, she prayed to dispose of the O.A.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the purpose of filing of the present O.A. is served in view of the order dated 29.06.2019, the applicant does not want to proceed with the present

O.A. and therefore, he also prayed to dispose of the same.

4. In view of the submissions advanced by the learned Advocate for the applicant and learned Presenting Officer, the O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 639 OF 2018  
(Shri Maroti J. Sonkamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri G.S. Shembole, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. On perusal of the record, it reveals that on 15.03.2019, learned Presenting Officer sought time to produce on record original record regarding transfer case of the applicant, but thereafter, the respondent No. 1 has failed to produce it till today. On 26.03.2019, this Tribunal directed the respondent No. 1 to produce entire record/papers along with his personal affidavit. But the respondent No. 1 has not obeyed the said directions and flouted the orders of this Tribunal. Ample opportunities were given to the res. no. 1 to produce the original record and to file his personal affidavit, but he avoided to obey the directions given by the Tribunal. Hence, it is just and proper to take appropriate action against the res. no. 1 for flouting the orders of this Tribunal.

3. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to furnish the name of res. no. 1.

4. Learned Presenting Officer has supplied the name of res. no. 1 as under :-

Shri Ajoy Mehta, Secretary, School Education and Sports Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai.

5. In view thereof, issue show cause notice to the res. no. 1 i.e. Shri Ajoy Mehta, Secretary, School Education and Sports Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai as to why action should not be taken against him for flouting the orders of this Tribunal.

6. S.O. to 25.07.2019.

7. Steno copy / hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 570 OF 2019  
(Shri Harshad Laxman Ravikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Mohit R. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The applicant has challenged the transfer orders of respondent Nos. 7 and 8 respectively by filing the present Original Application.

3. It is contention of the applicant that he has is serving at Mohapur Dist. Nanded since 11.08.2014. He was due for transfer at the time of General Transfers of the year 2019, but the Government had not made his transfer in view of the guidelines given in the G.R. dated 09.04.2018. Therefore, he approached this Tribunal by filing the present Original Application.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant is due for transfer in view of the G.R. dated 09.04.2018, but the respondents have not effected his transfer and therefore, he approached this Tribunal. He has submitted that the applicant has challenged the transfers of the respondent Nos. 7 and 8, as the same had not been effected in accordance with the G.R. dated 09.04.2018.

5. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has submitted that the applicant is not due for transfer, as the applicant is working in Group-C category and as per the provisions of Section 3 (1) of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (in short “the Transfer Act 2005”), he can be transferred on completion of his two tenures on the present posting. He has submitted that the applicant has not completed his normal tenure of six years at Government Secondary Ashram School at Mohapur and he was not due for transfer and therefore, his transfer was not considered by the respondents. The applicant has challenged the transfer orders of respondent Nos. 7 and 8, though he is not aggrieved by those orders. He has submitted that applicant is not aggrieved by any order and therefore, the O.A. cannot be entertained in view of Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 and therefore, he has prayed to reject the present O.A.

6. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the applicant is not aggrieved by any order and therefore, the present O.A. challenging the transfer orders of respondent Nos. 7 and 8 is not maintainable in view of the provisions of Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act 1985. Not only this, but the applicant has not completed his normal tenure of posting on the present posting in view of the provisions of Section 3(1) of the Transfer Act 2005. Therefore, the present O.A. is not maintainable.

//3//

O.A. No. 570/2019

7. Hence, the O.A. is rejected with no order as to costs.

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 573 OF 2019  
(Dr. Eknath Dhondiba Male V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 31.08.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open



6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. Heard on the point of interim relief.

9. Appointment if made, candidate who may be appointed be informed that his appointment shall be subject to outcome of the present Original Application.

10. S.O. to 31.08.2019.

11. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 134 OF 2019  
(Shri Angad Shrirang Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shinde, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Shri Rajeev Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 (**absent**).

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has produced the copy of the order dated 14.06.2019 issued by the Director, Medical Education and Research, Mumbai and copy of joining order dated 20.06.2019 issued by the Dean, Government Medical College & Hospital, Latur. Copies of the same are taken on record and marked as document 'X' collectively for the purposes of identification.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that in view of the aforesaid orders dated 14.06.2019 & 20.06.2019 issued by the respondents, the purpose of filing of the present O.A. of the applicant has been served. Therefore, she submits that the applicant does not want to proceed with the present O.A. and prayed to dispose of the same.

**:: - 2 - ::**

**O.A. NO. 134 OF 2019**

4. Learned Presenting Officer has also prayed to dispose of the present Original Application.

5. In view of the aforesaid submissions made on behalf of both the parties, the O.A. is disposed of without any order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 165/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO. 606/2018  
(Smt. Shital D/o Satish Banale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. It appears from the record that on 03.04.2019 nobody appeared for the applicant. However, on 04.06.2019 Shri S.S. Bhendekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant was present.

3. In view thereof, applicant is not interested in prosecuting the present case. Hence, the M.A. stands dismissed in default. Consequently, the O.A. is rejected. There shall be no order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**LATER ON**

Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant has appeared in afternoon session and submitted that in the morning session he has

instructed to learned Advocate Shri S.S. Bhendekar to appear on his behalf.

4. When the matter was called in the morning session nobody appeared on behalf of the applicant and, therefore, order came to be passed thereby dismissing the M.A. & O.A. He has submitted that in view of inadvertence on the part of the learned Advocate Shri Bhendekar, the order of dismissal came to be passed and, therefore, he prayed to recall the order of dismissal and to restore the M.A. & O.A. to its original number.

5. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that he has no objection.

6. In view of the submissions made on behalf of the applicant and reason mentioned by him, the order of dismissal in the M.A. & O.A. are recalled. M.A. & O.A. are restored to their original number.

7. The present case be listed for hearing on 09.07.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 563 OF 2018  
(Shri Pandurang Dadulal Lahoti Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Gaurav L. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present case is heard at length and reserved for orders.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NOS. 862 TO 882 ALL OF 2017 & Group  
(Shri Tulshidas K. Patil & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Milind K. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that he wants to file on record original Government Resolution and argue the matter and for that purpose he seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 16.07.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 228 OF 2019  
(Shri Eknath Narsing Gaykwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Nishant Mansingh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Pramod A. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, the present Original Application has been taken up for final disposal.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has produced a copy of communication dated 11<sup>th</sup> June, 2019 issued by Additional Inspector General of Police to the Director General of Police, by which the name of the present applicant has been included in the list of the candidates, who have passed the examination held for the promotional post of RSI.

4. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has also produced a copy of communication dated 18/24.06.2019 received to him from Special Inspector General of Police (Establishment), M.S. Mumbai. On perusal of the said communication dated



**O.A. NO. 228 OF 2019**

18/24.06.2019 it reveals that the name of the applicant has been included in the list of the candidates, who have passed the examination held for the promotional post of RSI. The copies of the same are taken on record and marked as document 'X' Collectively for the purpose of identification.

5. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that in view of the aforesaid communications dated 11.06.2019 & 18/24.06.2019, the purpose of filing of present O.A. of the applicant has been served. Therefore, he prayed to dispose of the same.

6. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has also prayed to dispose the present O.A.

7. In view of the submissions made on behalf of both the sides, the present Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 100 OF 2019  
(Shri Madhukar Kashinath Kasbe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.V. Balkhare, learned Advocate holding for Shri G.J. Pahilwan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that in view of the communication dated 22.02.2019, Annexure "R-2", page-19 of the O.A., the purpose of filing of the O.A. of the applicant has been served. Therefore, he prayed to dispose of the present O.A.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has also prayed to dispose the present O.A.

4. In view of the submissions made on behalf of both the sides, the present Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 223 OF 2018  
(Shri Madhav V. Kale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.N. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5. None appears for respondent Nos. 4 & 6.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 has filed affidavit in reply on his behalf and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

3. S.O. to 07.08.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 94/2019 IN O.A.NO. 95/2017  
(Shri Balu J. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.V. Balkhande, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash K. Shejwal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. On perusal of the record it reveals that learned Advocate Shri Avinash K. Shejwal has not filed **VAKALATNAMA** on behalf of the applicant. The present M.A. has been filed without authorization.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26.07.2019 for filing **VAKALATNAMA** on behalf of applicant and also for arguing the present M.A.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 566 OF 2019  
(Shri Shaikh Aslam Hasan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.R. Kedar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 08.08.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in

**O.A. NO. 566 OF 2019**

the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. Heard learned Advocate for the applicant on the point of interim relief.

9. By filing the present Original Application the applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 31.05.2019, Annexure 'E', page-32, issued by Joint Secretary, I.T.I., Nashik; thereby transferring him from Ahmednagar to Jamkhed District Ahmedngar.

10. The applicant is serving in ITI Ahmednagar as Craft Instructor (A.O.C.P.) since 1999. The applicant was due for transfer and, therefore, he has been transferred from Ahmednagar to Jamkhed District Ahmedngar by the impugned transfer order dated 31.5.2019. In my opinion, *prima facie* there is no illegality in the impugned transfer order dated 31.5.2019. Therefore, there is no just ground to grant interim relief as claimed by the applicant. Hence, the request made by the applicant for grant of interim relief is hereby rejected.

11. S.O. to 08.08.2019.

**:: - 3 - ::**

**O.A. NO. 566 OF 2019**

12. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019-HDD





**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 286/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1233/2019  
(Dr. Sachin D. Shekde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDER 02.07.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A.ST.NO. 1233/2019**

**(Dr. Sachin D. Shekde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 02.07.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants has submitted that the Government has issued Government Resolution on yesterday i.e. on 01.07.2019 and, therefore, the applicants want to make pleadings and prayers. Therefore, he sought leave of this Tribunal to amend the Original Application.

3. Leave as prayed for by the learned Advocate for the applicants, is granted.

4. The applicants shall amend the Original Application forthwith.

5. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 02.08.2019.

**O.A.ST.NO. 1233/2019**

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

**11. The point of interim relief is kept open.**

12. S.O. to 02.08.2019.

13. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.185 OF 2019  
(Dr. Sanjay A. Joshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Jayant S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 & 6 and Shri V.M. Vibhute, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.4 & 5.

2. Learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.4 & 5 on instructions received from Dr. Vivek Khatgaonkar, District Health Officer, Jalna states that the Respondent No.5 will send special messenger to the office of Accountant General (A.G.), Nagpur for getting bill sanction and disbursing the amount to the Applicant. Therefore, he prayed for one week's time.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 10.07.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.195 OF 2019  
(Atul U. Raut V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant is **absent**.

Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. None appeared for the Applicant. It seems that the Applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, keep the matter for passing dismissal order on 10.07.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.186 OF 2019  
(Dr. Subhash B. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Jayant S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 & 6 and Shri M.S. Taur, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.4 & 5.

2. Learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.4 & 5 on instructions received from Dr. Vivek Khatgaonkar, District Health Officer, Jalna states that the Respondent No.5 will send special messenger to the office of Accountant General (A.G.), Nagpur for getting bill sanction and disbursing the amount to the Applicant. Therefore, he prayed for one week's time.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 10.07.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.109 OF 2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.408 OF 2018  
WITH  
M.A.NO.110 OF 2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.410 OF 2018  
WITH  
M.A.NO.111 OF 2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.412 OF 2018  
WITH  
M.A.NO.269 OF 2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.1036 OF 2018  
WITH  
M.A.NO.270 OF 2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.1034 OF 2018  
(Punjaram N. Wathore & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN  
DATE : 2.7.2019  
ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicants in all these cases and Shri B.S. Deokar, Shri V.R. Bhumkar and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents in respective cases.

4. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicants, S.O. to 7.8.2019 as a last chance.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.509 OF 2018 IN O.A.NO.939 OF 2018  
(Yadav T. Suryawanshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. By consent adjourned to 29.07.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019 bpp



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.510 OF 2018 IN O.A.NO.940 OF 2018  
(Namdeo M. Wakude V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. By consent adjourned to 29.07.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.278 OF 2019 IN O.A.NO.29 OF 2017**  
**(Shankar D. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Anant D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1,2 & 4. Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 is **absent**.

2. This Misc. Application has been filed by the Applicant to amend the Original Application.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has no objection to grant leave to Applicant to amend the O.A.

4. The Applicant wants to amend the prayer clause suitably.

5. For the reasons mentioned in the application, Misc. Application is allowed.

6. The Applicant shall amend the O.A. within a week.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.29 OF 2017  
(Shankar D. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Anant D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1,2 & 4. Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 is **absent**.

2. In view of the order passed in M.A.No.278 of 2019, Original Application is adjourned to 9.07.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.131 OF 2016  
WITH  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.157 OF 2016  
WITH  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.218 OF 2016  
(Narayan M. Jadhav & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avishkar S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the Applicants in all these O.A. and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in all these O.A.

2. Heard both sides. Reserved for order.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.51 OF 2017**

**(Deelip M. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 23.07.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.580 OF 2017  
(Subhmangal S. Bhakt V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Mahendra B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the Applicant is **absent**.

Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant and his Advocate both are remained absent. The matter is pretty old. It is fixed for final hearing. It seems that the Applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, Original Application stands dismissed in default.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.667 OF 2017  
(Sandip K. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.A. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 03.07.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019 bpp





**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 260/2019 IN M.A. 500/2018 IN O.A. ST. 2040/2018  
(Valu W. Deshmukh & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Bayas, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 23.7.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 700/2012  
(Shri Atharoddin A. Siddiqui V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 1.8.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 701/2018  
(Dr. Santosh N. Kotule & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Mohit Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicants, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2, Shri V.V. Ingle, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 5, 8, 19 & 22 and Smt. S.L. Puri, learned Advocate holding for Shri U.P. Giri, learned Advocate for respondent no. 12. None appears for other respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer & other learned Advocates for respondents, S.O. to 6.8.2019 for filing affidavit in replies of respective respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 423/2018  
(Shri Rameshwar S. Gopal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Rebekah Daniel, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 26.7.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 790/2018  
(Smt. Vaishali M. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.N. Nagargoje, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Thoke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 22.7.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 & 2,

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 113/2019  
(Shri Raghunath S. Dole & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 2.8.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 135/2019  
(Shri Prabhakar M. Kathar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 to 5. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 1.8.2019 for filing rejoinder, if any.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 142/2019  
(Dulbaj B. Ghatmal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 1.8.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 307/2019  
(Shri Rahul D. Sathe & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 1.8.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 138/2019 IN O.A. 805/2017  
(Dr. Vanita N. Puri V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vishnu Dhoble, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 (**present**).

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.7.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 250/2019 IN O.A. 36/2018  
(Shrishailya B. Nigudge & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)  
WITH**

**M.A. 251/2019 IN O.A. 37/2018  
(Shrishailya B. Nigudge & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)  
WITH**

**M.A. 252/2019 IN O.A. 47/2018  
(Shrishailya B. Nigudge & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

**M.A. 250/2019 IN O.A. 36/2018**

Heard Shri Mohit Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicants in M.A. / proposed intervenors, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officers for the respondent nos. 2 to 4 and Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for respondent no. 1 in M.A. / applicant in O.A.

**M.A. 251/2019 IN O.A. 37/2018**

Heard Shri Mohit Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicants in M.A. / proposed intervenors, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officers for the respondent nos. 2 to 4 and Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for respondent no. 1 in M.A. / applicant in O.A.

**M.A. 252/2019 IN O.A. 47/2018**

Heard Shri Mohit Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicants in M.A. / proposed intervenors, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officers for the respondent nos. 2 to 6 and Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for respondent no. 1 in M.A. / applicant in O.A. and Smt. S.L. Puri, learned Advocate holding for Shri U.P. Gire, learned Advocate for respondent no. 7 in M.A. / respondent no. 6 in O.A.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 12.7.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 93/2017 WITH M.A. 409/2014 IN O.A. ST. 1452/2014  
(Smt. Manjushree B. Deshpande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 5.8.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 192/2016  
(Shri Maroti S. Koli V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sayed Zahed Ali, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 26.7.2019 for reporting compliance of the order dated 27.2.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 114/2019  
(Smt. Savita S. Girbide V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 2.8.2019 for filing rejoinder, if any.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 618/2018  
(Shri Sharad D. Raut V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for  
the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O.  
to 24.7.2019 for filing affidavit in sur-rejoinder.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 629 AND 630 ALL OF 2018  
(Dr. Rahul C. Dadas & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the cases and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the cases.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, as a last chance S.O. to 16.7.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 27/2019 IN O.A. 260/2017  
(Shri Bhagwat D. Bedke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 31.8.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 31.8.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 12/2019 IN O.A. 415/2017  
(Shri Babasaheb E. Jakate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.M. Maney, learned Advocate for the  
applicant (**absent**). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned  
Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicant and his learned  
Advocate, S.O. to 16.4.2020.

**CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. ST. 394/2019 IN O.A. 200/2016  
(Shri Shaikh Rahim Shaikh Chand V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for  
the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 30.4.2020.

**CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 2/2016 IN O.A. 344/2014**

**(The Association of the Technical Assistant through its President Shri Tukaram  
D. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply of respondent. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 9.8.2019 for filing rejoinder, if any.

**CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 13/2018 IN O.A. 633/2014  
(Dr. Ashok R. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. Shri Santosh Pulkundwar, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 4 & 5 (**absent**).

2. With consent of both the sides, S.O. to 20.4.2020.

**CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 17/2018 IN O.A. 191/2015  
(Smt. Surekha C. Hazari & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Rebekah Daniel, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 23.8.2019.

**CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 55/2018 IN O.A. 635/2013 WITH O.A. 443/2017  
(Dr. Ashok R. Dhaktode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned  
Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav,  
learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to  
20.4.2020.

**CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 70/2018 IN O.A. 740/2015  
(Shri Subhash M. Tandale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicant & his learned Advocate, S.O. to 21.8.2019.

**CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 10/2019 IN O.A. 721/2015**  
(Shri Karim D. Maniyar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)  
AND

**C.P. 11/2019 IN O.A. 340/2016**  
(Shri Satish V. Kondekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)  
AND

**C.P. 13/2019 IN O.A. 722/2015**  
(Shri Mahadeo S. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)  
AND

**C.P. 14/2019 IN O.A. 744/2015**  
(Shri D.T. Chemte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.P. Telgote, learned Advocate holding for Shri Anant Devkate, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases, S/shri M.S. Mahajan, N.U. Yadav, V.R. Bhujmkar & S.K. Shirse, learned Chief Presenting Officer & learned Presenting Officers for the respondents in respective cases.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 21.8.2019.

**CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 17/2019 IN O.A. 735/2017  
(Smt. Rita P. Metrewar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 2.7.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Adinath B. Jagtap, learned Advocate  
for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief  
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer,  
S.O. to 30.7.2019 for reporting compliance of the order  
passed by the Tribunal in O.A.

**CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 2.7.2019