

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.719 of 2020</p> <p>K. S. GaikwadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is retired police personnel. He retired from the post of Assistant Police Inspector on 31.05.2016. This O.A. is filed for grant of deemed date of promotion which was given to his juniors.</p> <p>3. Respondent No.1 – State of Maharashtra and Respondent No.3 –Commissioner of Police have not filed reply.</p> <p>4. Respondent No.2 – Director General of Police, State of Maharashtra has already filed reply which is at page Nos.116 to 121.</p> <p>5. Perusal of reply filed by the Respondent No.2 reveals that the office of Respondent No.2 had already forwarded the proposal to the Respondent No.1 – Government on 08.12.2020 stating that office had examined the eligibility of the Applicant for grant of deemed date of promotion in select list of 2013-2014 and has further stated that he is entitled to deemed date of promotion w.e.f. 07.06.2014 i.e. the date on which his juniors were given promotion.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Despite the aforesaid proposal, the Respondent No.1 did not pass appropriate order on the proposal.

7. The powers of grant of deemed date are vested in Respondent No.1- Government, therefore, it is incumbent on the part of Respondent No.1 to pass appropriate orders without loss of further time.

8. In view of above, as fairly conceded by learned Counsel for the Applicant as well as learned P.O., O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions to Respondent No.1 to pass appropriate order on the proposal dated 08.12.2020 forwarded by Director General of Police, Maharashtra State.

9. Original Application is, therefore, disposed of with direction to Respondent No.1 to pass appropriate order on the proposal dated 08.12.2020 forwarded by Respondent No.2 in accordance to law within a month from today and the decision thereon, as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within a week thereafter.

10. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.159 of 2021</p> <p>H. P. KateApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. R Johsi holding for Shri V.V. Johsi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.135 of 2021</p> <p>J. M. Kawade ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel is on leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.115 of 2021</p> <p>H. C. SalokheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Ms N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- W~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.101 of 2021</p> <p>A.Y. SakpalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.341 of 2021</p> <p>S. B. RathodApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2 and 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.667 of 2019</p> <p>R. L. TayadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This is second round of litigation. Earlier the Applicant has filed O.A. 762/2017 before M.A.T. Bench Nagpur. That time admittedly, the Applicant was residing at Buldhana, therefore, O.A. was filed at Nagpur. As per the decision given by Nagpur Bench, directions were given to Respondents to decide the claim of the Applicant for appointment on compassionate ground within a month from the date of order. 3. Now, this O.A. is filed at Principal Bench Mumbai challenging the communication dated 21.07.2018 wherein it is simply stated by Respondent No.1 that the Applicant should consult Superintendent of Police, Buldhana for the compliance of the order passed by Nagpur Bench on 19.06.2018. 4. In the present O.A., the Applicant has shown resident of Pune without furnishing any documentary evidence of his residence at Pune. Whereas at the time of filing of earlier O.A. No. 762/2017, he was admittedly staying at Buldhana. 5. In view of above, the question of territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal as well as maintainability of this O.A. at Mumbai needs to be decided before going to the merits. 6. Secondly, in impugned communication dated 21.07.2018 all that Respondent No.1 asked the Applicant to contact Superintendent of Police, Buldhana and nothing else. In other words, there is no rejection of his claim for appointment on compassionate ground by the concerned authority. O.A. is therefore, appears premature. 7. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks time to furnish the documents and to argue on the point of territorial jurisdiction as well as maintainability of O.A. 8. S.O. to 03.08.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.761 of 2020</p> <p>D.R. NalwadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder of the Applicant to the reply filed by the Respondent Nos. 1 and 3.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that there is no need to file Rejoinder to the reply of Respondent Nos.1 and 3.</p> <p>4. No separate reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>5. Already enough time is granted, therefore, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>6. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 27.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1032 of 2019</p> <p>R. S. Kamble ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S. B. Rohile, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks permission to amend O.A. so as to add two more grounds as seen from the draft of proposed amendment. 3. Learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 is absent. 4. Since the proposed amendment will not change the nature of O.A., I am inclined to allow amendment. 5. Amendment be carried out within a week. 6. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply to O.A. 7. S.O. to 16.07.2021. <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.201 of 2021 in O.A. No.180 of 2020</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & OrsApplicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>R. B. Dhaktode ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents). The Respondent (Ori Applicant) and his Counsel are absent.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the department sought two months time to implement the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A. on 15.03.2021 whereby directions were given to absorb the Applicant on any of the equivalent post in Dairy Development Department, Pune within a month.</p> <p>3. The period given is already expired.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. requested to give him two weeks time to seek further instructions from the department.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 16.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 02.07.2021

O.A.No. 272 of 2021

B. S. Suryawanshi

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The challenge is to the order dated 22.02.2021 whereby rejecting the claim of the applicant for appointment on compassionate ground.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.07.2021.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 30.07.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1048 of 2019</p> <p>J.M. LihitkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.152 of 2021</p> <p>R. S. Vannam & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.Nos.782, 783, 784 & 785 of 2020</p> <p>P. B. Shind & Ors.Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Applicants and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 2. Already enough time is granted for filing reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. 3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without reply. 4. S.O. to 27.07.2021. <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>^</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>W</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p>Member(J)</p> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of ~~20~~ S.O. to 27.07.2021.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

<p align="center">Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p align="center">Tribunal's orders (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>
	<p align="center">vsm</p> <p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 765 of 2020</p> <p>T. P. RathodApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On previous date, two weeks time as a last chance ^{was} granted for filing reply but the same is not filed. Already enough time is granted to file reply but in vain.</p> <p>3. Hence, the matter be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.752 of 2020</p> <p>S. A. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted to the Respondents to file reply but the same is not filed. O.A. was filed in December 2020 till date not reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Hence, I am not inclined to grant more time for filing reply.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 29.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.277 of 2020 in O.A.No.612 of 2020</p> <p>S. V. Jadhav ...Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. To appreciate the claim of the department for damages/unauthorized retention of quarter and delay caused for filing O.A. it is necessary to have reply of the Respondents in O.A. particularly in view of Circular dated 17.02.1967 (Page 15 of PB) wherein it is clarified that the staff attached to CID, Anti Corruption and Prohibition Intelligent Bureau can continue to stay in quarter when there is no change in their headquarters. Learned P.O. is, therefore, directed to file reply to O.A. 3. Three weeks time is granted. 4. S.O. to 23.07.2021. <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </div> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 20px;">vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20


IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.267 of 2021</p> <p>G. N. TateApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.07.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No. 241 of 2021</p> <p>R. N. ManeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The challenge is to the order dated 12.02.2020 denying appointment on compassionate ground. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.07.2021. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 27.07.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1179 of 2019</p> <p>C. P. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is lastly granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.291 of 2018</p> <p>V. D. DangatApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel is on leave note. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed additional affidavit in reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.76 of 2020 in M.A. 277 of 2019 in O.A.No.465 of 2019</p> <p>S. K. Mukherjee ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Indeed, as per order passed by this Tribunal on 22.01.2021, the O.A. was required to be placed before the Division Bench since it pertains to promotion. However, it seems to have been wrongly listed before the Single Bench.</p> <p>3. The Registrar is, therefore, directed to place the matter before Division Bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.402 of 2021</p> <p>R. H. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard the Applicant in person and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 08.03.2021 whereby on promotion he was giving posting as Superintendent Engineer, Maharashtra Jeevan Pradhikaran Mandal, Nagapur. His parent department is Water Resource Department. His grievance is that on promotion he ought to have been posted in his own department on the post which are vacant. However, he is posted in Maharashtra Jeevan Pradhikaran Mandal, Nagapur. The Applicant fairly admits that in perusance of order dated 08.03.2021, he joined at Nagpur. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.07.2021. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 30.07.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.547 o 2019 in O.A.No.302 of 2016</p> <p>S.R. S. MunirApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The matter is adjourned for hearing to give one opportunity.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.42 of 2020 in O.A.No.1227 of 2019</p> <p>H. S. Khude ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 20.07.2021.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.09 of 2021 in O.A.No.25 of 2021 With M.A. No.10 of 2021 in O.A.No.26 of 2021</p> <p>W. B. Pawar S. A. Bachhav ...Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply in both Misc. Applications. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.289 of 2021</p> <p>S. R. GavandeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. K. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.8 of 2020 in O.A. No.989 of 2018 with C.A. No.9 of 2020 in O.A. No.990 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.Y. Kadam V.S. Kadam ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicants and their Advocate are absent. Heard Ms. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO on the basis of telephonic instructions submits that most of the payment is made as subject-matter of the order of this CA and some payment is due. However, she will submit in reply accordingly on the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N\ Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 2.7.2021 2.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.24 of 2021 in O.A. No.734 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.H. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO confirms that Accountant General has sanctioned pension on 15.6.2021 and the file is now sent to concerned respondents. Ld. PO submits that progress of implementation would be confirmed on 22.7.2021.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 2.7.2021 2.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.8 of 2021 in O.A. No.848 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.S. Salunkhe & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Suo moto speaking to minutes of the order dated 5.3.2021. In the order dated 5.3.2021 in line 2 of para 4 the word 'charge' is to be substituted by word 'chart'.</p> <p>3. Pursuant to the order dated 5.3.2021, Ld. Advocate for the applicant files additional affidavit dated 16.3.2021 in the Tribunal on 25.6.2021. Copy is served on Ld. CPO on 29.6.2021.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 9.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 2.7.2021 2.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.1197 of 2019</p> <p>C.R. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that she is employed in EWS, and therefore, she does not want to continue with the matter and seeks permission to withdraw this O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that in support of his submission he has filed letter dated 24.06.2021 addressing this Tribunal, letter is marked as exhibit 'A' and it is taken on record.</p> <p>4. O.A. is allowed to withdraw and accordingly disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

AD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Memoranda of Coram, Finance, Tribunal's orders or Petitions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>CA No.35/20017 in RA No.7/2016 in OA No.1235/2009</u></p> <p>S.T. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The SLP No.4767/2021 filed by the State of Maharashtra in the Hon'ble Supreme Court is dismissed on 29.6.2021. Copy of said order is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that State is bound to obey the order passed by the Tribunal as the State has lost before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP. However, budgetary arrangement is required to be made by seeking approval of the State Legislature and it will take some time and it is possible only in Winter Session of the House as Monsoon Session is going to take place in couple of days.</p> <p>4. We direct the State to file short affidavit to that effect so that the time for accommodation as prayed by the State can be granted to certain extent.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 8.7.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 2.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.7.2021</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 02.07.2021

O. A. No.253 of 2021

V.A. PatilApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. We have perused letter dated 31.03.2021 written by Mr. Apparao Gholkar, Regional Jt. Registrar, Co-operative Institute, Kokan Division, Navi Mumbai, wherein it is specifically mentioned in Writ Petition No.2920/2021 dated 18.02.2021 filed by the Applicant before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court. The Hon'ble Bombay High Court has directed the caste scrutiny committee to take decision on or before 19.03.2021 about his caste certificate. Pursuant to that, by order dated 15.03.2021, the caste scrutiny committee declared the caste certificate of the Applicant as invalid.

3. In the Show Cause Notice this fact is mentioned and pursuant to the order of the Hon'ble High Court further steps are taken by the Department calling upon the Applicant to give the explanation.

4. Learned Advocate submits that the Applicant has submitted the explanation on 03.05.2021 when the hearing was given to him.

5. On this background, we grant three weeks time to the Respondent by way of last chance to file Reply.

6. S.O. to 22.07.2021.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 02.07.2021

M.A. No.232 of 2021 in O. A. No.403 of 2021

U.J. Khot & 2 Ors.Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he is appearing on behalf of the three Applicants. However, the case of the person who is intervener is similar to three Applicants in O.A. and the learned Advocate is representing intervener and so also the three Applicants. He has made this Application for amendment and also intervener.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for the same relief and therefore he be added as Applicant No.4.

4. Learned C.P.O. submits to the orders of the Court.

5. Hence the prayer 7 a. & b. are allowed.

6. The Applicants are directed to amend the O.A. and the amendment shall be done within one week and the copy of the amendment be served on the Respondents thereafter within one week.

7. S.O. to 10.08.2021.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.39 of 2020 in O.A. No.50 of 2020</u></p> <p>B.G. Kshirsagar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has filed this CA as according to him the impugned order issued by the Tribunal on 3.2.2020 directing the respondent no.2 to decide the representation has not been complied with.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO advances the arguments on the basis of communication dated 17.3.2021 by respondent no.2. Ld. Advocate submits that the communication is not proper and has not taken into account the directions given in its true spirit as well as the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.4215 of 2002 decided on 22.7.2002.</p> <p>4. As this can be a case of separate application and not contempt application, we find that the CA is without any basis and therefore disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 2.7.2021 2.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.47 of 2009 in O.A. No.690 of 2004</u></p> <p>Smt. U.V. Bavdekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant in person is absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This CA No.49/2009 is arising on account of order dated 19.1.2009 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.690 of 2004. The applicant was working as Stenographer (Lower Grade) in GAD, Mantralaya, Mumbai. Hon'ble High Court by its order dated 12.8.2009 in W.P. No.6573 of 2009 has granted stay to the order of the Tribunal. Therefore, this CA has not come up for many years.</p> <p>3. As the Tribunal has taken up special drive to dispose of old matters, the Registry is directed to issue notice to the applicant by speed post.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dīxīt) Vice-Chairman 2.7.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.7.2021</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.429 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.R. Ghemud & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This OA is tagged along with OA. No.205 of 2021 and OA No.429 of 2021.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.7.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 2.7.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.7.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.227 of 2021 in O.A. No.429 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.R. Ghemud & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- <i>is 11</i></p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 2.7.2021 2.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.399 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.M. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has filed this OA challenging the order of removal after conducting DE regarding his unauthorized absence. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the appeal filed by the applicant is rejected and order of removal is confirmed. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that revision application submitted by the applicant was also rejected by order dated 29.10.2020.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.8.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 2.7.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.7.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.162 of 2020 in O. A. No.258 of 2020</p> <p>Ms. C.A. RaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. There is a delay of eight months and fifteen days.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file Reply. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to. 09.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.94 of 2020 in O. A. No.131 of 2020</p> <p>S.S. TawdeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kaustubh Gidhi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel holding for Shri Kranti L.C. submits that he has been instructed by Shri Kranti L.C. that he has instructions from the Applicant to withdraw the O.A. and M.A.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw the O.A. and M.A. and accordingly O.A. and M.A. are disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.68 of 2021 in M.A. No.69 of 2021 In O. A. No.112 of 2018</p> <p>J.P. MadyeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant, who is claiming Time Bound Promotion, prays that the Tribunal has dismissed the O.A. on the ground for non prosecuting by order dated 11.09.2019. The said order is challenged in M.A. No.68/2021 alongwith this Application M.A. No.69/2021 seeking condonation of delay in filing this Application.</p> <p>3. There was a delay in filing M.A. for restoring the Original Application as the Applicant has various ailments such as serous Arthritis, and he requires wheelchair for his movement. He has also undergone knee replacement therapy on 04.09.2019, and thereafter immediately his O.A. was dismissed as he could not attend the court and peruse the matter due to his physical ailments.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that this is the common reason for delay in filing restoration Application and so also for Delay in prosecuting the O.A. and therefore submits that both the Applications be allowed.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. opposes the Application for delay and Restoration.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Considering submission of the learned Advocate, and in view of the reasons mentioned in said Application for restoration of the O.A. and delay in filing Restoration Application is hereby condoned and so also restoration of O.A. is allowed.</p> <p>7. O.A. is restored to its original file.</p> <p>8. Pleadings are complete matter be adjourned for Final Hearing on 03.08.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">²⁰² M.A. No. _____ of 2021 in O.A. No. <u>32</u> of 2021</p> <p>R.D. Mundhe & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 2.7.2021 2.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.48 of 2021 in O.A. No. 82 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.D. Mundhe & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is for condonation of delay of two years.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.7.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 2.7.2021 2.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.321 of 2011</u></p> <p>S.B. Mahale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has submitted a Praciepe dated 12.4.2021 that on written instructions he be allowed to withdraw the above OA.</p> <p>3. OA No.321 of 2011 is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/-  Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 2.7.2021 2.7.2021 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

01.07.2021

O.A 874/2019

Shri R.B Shaikh ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. The applicant is aggrieved by the impugned order dated 5.9.2014 passed by the competent authority removing him from service. The applicant filed appeal before the Appellate Authority against the same and after hearing his appeal, the Appellate Authority issued the impugned order dated 11.5.2016 confirming the order issued by the competent authority removing him from service.
3. Today during hearing, learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the impugned order issued by the Appellate Authority dated 11.5.2016, Exh. K, did not provide the grounds for rejecting his prayers submitted in the appeal.
4. Learned Presenting Officer relies on the affidavit in reply filed by Shri Sudhir S. Navge, Assistant Commissioner of Police, in the office of Respondent no. 2. Learned P.O further mentions that the Respondent no. 1, i.e. State Government has not filed their affidavit in reply.
5. On examination of the affidavit, we do not see any specific reply to the pleadings made by the learned counsel for the applicant that no reasons have been given in the impugned order passed by the Appellate Authority.
6. As the orders issued by the Appellate Authority do not disclose the reasons for coming to the conclusion of confirming the orders issued by the Competent Authority, we consider it necessary to remand the matter back to the Appellate Authority. We further quash and set aside the order issued by the Appellate Authority dated 11.5.2016 for the reasons mentioned above. However, we clarify that the order issued by the competent authority stands.
7. We, therefore, direct the Appellate Authority to decide the case of the applicant made in appeal and pass necessary order within a reasonable period.
8. The Original Application stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(P.N|Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

01.07.2021

O.A 168/2017

Shri V.L Rajule ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that the wants to withdraw the Original Application.

3. Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

01.07.2021

O.A 320/2021

Smt Swati Jogdand ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri H.P Ghadigaonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant on instructions from the applicant, who is present in the Court states that the applicant wants to take other legal course and therefore, she want to withdraw the Original Application.

3. Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn.

Sd/-

**(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)**

Akn

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**