

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.06 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. L. Dhone holding for Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.3 and 4. However, no separate reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 though enough time is granted. 3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. Liberty is granted to learned P.O. to file reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 on or before next date. 5. S.O. to 16.07.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhakar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.77 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents two weeks time is lastly granted for filing reply.3. S.O. to 16.07.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of '20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.159 of 2018</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents,2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, two weeks time is lastly granted for filing reply.3. S.O. to 23.07.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.188 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.<li>2. The Applicant stands retired on 31.03.2019 but till date no regular pension is granted on the ground of proposed D.E. Indeed, at the time of retirement, the certificate was issued by the Government that no enquiry is proposed or pending against the Applicant. However, later the retirement benefits are withheld on the ground that enquiry is in contemplation.<li>3. As the retiral benefits of the Applicant has been withheld for long time, the matter was expedited by the Tribunal. However, till date no reply is filed on behalf of the Respondents. Only snort Affidavit is filed, explaining issuance of earlier No Enquiry Certificate, there is no reply on merit.<li>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that even if Departmental Enquiry (D.E.) is proposed after retirement, his retiral benefits cannot be withheld. He, therefore, submits that Original Application be heard and decided finally so that Applicant should get legitimate dues.<li>5. Whereas, learned P.O. for the Respondents requested for two weeks time to file reply.<li>6. As the Applicant is already retired and his retiral benefits are withheld, O.A. deserves to be decided expeditiously. Therefore, the matter be kept for final hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to learned P.O. to file reply, if any.<li>7. S.O. to 16.07.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.266 of 2017</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri R. M. Kolge , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that on account of lockdown, Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel is unable to attend the matter and requested for adjournment. 3. S.O. to 16.07.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.309 of 2019</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that on account of lockdown, Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel is unable to attend the matter and requested for adjournment. 3. S.O. to 16.07.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.923 of 2019</p> <p>1. Learned Counsel Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar holding for Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that on account of lockdown, Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel is unable to attend the matter and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 06.08.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.719 of 2019</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. 3. S.O. to 06.08.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.290 OF 2020

Mr. Kiran Shashikant Meher

)

... Applicant

Versus

1) The Commissioner of Police,
Navi Mumbai, having office at Belapur
CBD, Navi Mumbai.

)

)

)

2) The Joint Commissioner of Police,
Navi Mumbai, having office at Belapur
CBD, Navi Mumbai.

)

)

)

3) The Director General of Police,
Shahid Bhagatsingh Marg, Near Regal Cinema,
Colaba, Mumbai.

)

)

)

..Respondents

Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Smt. Justice Mridula R. Bhatkar (Chairperson).
Shri P.N Dixit (Vice-Chairman) (A)

DATE : 02.07.2020.

PER : Smt. Justice Mridula R. Bhatkar (Chairperson)

ORDER

1) Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

2) The Applicant is working as Assistant Police Inspector and posted at Rabale, Police Station under establishment of Navi Mumbai; police. Applicant prays to quash and set aside the order of his Preliminary Enquiry (P.E.), dated 17.04.2020 issued by Respondent No.1, Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai. The Applicant when working at control room at Navi Mumbai, was punished for not following the protocol of giving some serious information to his immediate superior i.e. Joint Commissioner of Police.

W

His one year increment is stopped. Against the said minor penalty he has filed appeal to the Government which is pending before Government. The said order is not the subject matter of this O.A.

3) It is the case of the Applicant that his immediate superior for his fault of not reporting to him about the serious information, abused him in front of many police personnel and humiliated him. Being aggrieved with the said insult, he himself made station dairy entry at control room stating that his superior has committed offence and therefore action be taken against his immediate superior. Thereafter, he submitted an Application at C.B.D. Police station on 08.02.2020 with similar details, so that offence can be registered against the superior officer. This act of the Applicant has invited displeasure of his superior that action of making such station dairy entry and giving the Application is against the discipline and the protocol of Police department.

4) The Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai, therefore on 17.04.2020 issued order of P.E. of the Applicant for misconduct and irresponsible behavior. The Applicant has therefore approached the Tribunal against said order of conducting of P.E., and prayed to stay the same.

5) We heard both the counsel for the Applicant and Respondent. We are of the opinion that the Applicant has been given the minor penalty for not following the protocol. *Prime-facie* the Applicant should not have made such entry in the station dairy of the control room against his senior. Since serious information involving V.V.I.P. was involved, the senior officer used abusive language which was uncalled for. It is improper to humiliate the subordinate Police personnel. The things could have been settled by admonishing the Applicant by adhering to the propriety.

6) Had Applicant tendered regrets for writing such entries the matter could have been doused there and then. We therefore, asked learned counsel appearing for the Applicant to take instructions telephonically whether the Applicant is ready to admit his mistake of writing station dairy and this statement is to be made before the Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai, That station dairy entry will be withdrawn by him. So also on the other hand, concerned senior officer also to withdraw abusive words he has used at that time. This is to be said & done before the Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai. On obtaining instructions from the applicant telephonically the learned Counsel submits that he is ready to make this statement without prejudice subject to the outcome and the decision of the Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai and subject to closure of P.E. against him.

7) We are of the opinion that the officer who was immediate superior at the relevant time also to take note and the matter should not be stretched further as the service of each Police personnel is valuable, especially during the situation of COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, we request Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai, to settle the matter so that time of the Police department and Tribunal can be utilized for other

urgent services. This order is passed with a view that matter can be settled at the level of the Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai. If not then whatever statements made before the Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai, by the applicant & other are treated without prejudice, and hence cannot be used against any party in further proceedings.

8) Till then P.E. not to proceed against the Applicant and Applicant to join the service by 03.07.2020 at Rabale, Police Station.

9) Parties to act on authenticated copy.

10) S.O. to 30.07.2020.


Sd/-

1/2/2020
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

1/2/2020
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

nmn



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.07.2020</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 294 of 2020</p> <p>M.A. KuteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant prays that he be declared as entitled for the post of Police Sub-Inspector, Group 'B', from Other Backward Class, Sports category in the examination conducted by Respondent No.1 in pursuance of advertisements bearing No.14/2018 and 33/2018 published on 28.02.2018 and 13.07.2018 respectively. He also prayed to be selected for the post which is fallen vacant on account of selection of Shri Anil Narayan Sawale. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.08.2020. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. Parties to take note that the matter may be decided finally at the stage of admission.</p> <p>9. The Applicant is permitted to pay court fees till 15 August 2020. Concession is given as the court fees (Postal Order) are not available in the Post office due to Covid-19 Pandemic.</p> <p>10. S.O. to for Reply on 06.08.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vice-Chairman Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____


I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.07.2020</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 229 of 2019 with O.A. 1121 of 2019</p> <p>G.A. Kiture & Ors. S.K. BhitadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter was partly heard. However, it would be heard again due to change in coram. We are informed by the learned counsel for the Applicant the file is pending before the Hon'ble Chief Minister. He submits that the future of 57 young male and female candidates is facing question mark and therefore it is to be expedited.</p> <p>3. In view of the submission made by the counsel for the Applicant, the Respondents, Home Department, to pursue the file as the matter is expedited for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.08.2020.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman </p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

NMN



(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20


IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.07.2020</p> <p>O. A. No. 291 of 2020</p> <p>S.R. SutarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri. M.L. Dhone, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 30.07.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.07.2020</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 293 of 2020</p> <p>A.R. LoharApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant seeks declaration that he is entitled for the post of Police Sub-Inspector Group 'B' from Nomadic Tribe (B), sports category in the examination conducted by Respondent No.1 in pursuance of advertisements bearing No.14/2018 and 33/2018 published on 28.02.2018 and 13.07.2018 respectively. Respondent No.3 is a third party who is going to be affected adversely if relief granted against the Applicant. Address of the Respondent No.3 who is selected candidate for the said post, is not available to the Applicant hence, Respondents No. 1&2 to provide the address of Respondent No.3 to the Applicant till 07.07.2020. Applicant to amend the Application accordingly and the notice to be served to Respondent No.3 immediately and so also Respondent.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.08.2020.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents Intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. Parties to take note that the matter may be decided finally at the stage of admission.</p> <p>9. The Applicant is permitted to pay court fees till 15 August 2020. Concession is given as the court fees (Postal Order) are not available in the Post office due to Covid-19 Pandemic.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 06.08.2020.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.07.2020</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 288 of 2020</p> <p>Smt. V.U. KumbharApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is Nurse who could not attend her duty, initial duty due to her abortion problem and also further due to her delivery, when she went to join she was not allowed. Her matter was referred to medical board, medical board issued certificate after her medical examination that she has poor vision and permanently color blind.</p> <p>3. It is suggested that while preparing reply, Respondent to consider possibility to accommodate her by giving equivalent/suitable post.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.08.2020.</p> <p>5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. Parties to take note that the matter may be decided finally at the stage of admission.</p> <p>10. The Applicant is permitted to pay court fees till 15 August 2020. Concession is given as the court fees (Postal Order) are not available in the Post office due to Covid-19 Pandemic.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 13.08.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.07.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O. A. No. 297 of 2020</p> <p>P.R. SingarwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1to4 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.S.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.07.2020.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at the stage of admission and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents Intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. Parties to take note that the matter may be decided finally at the stage of admission.</p> <p>9. The Applicant is permitted to pay court fees till 15 August 2020. Concession is given as the court fees (Postal Order) are not available in the Post office due to Covid-19 Pandemic.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 09.07.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.07.2020</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 292 of 2020</p> <p>Sudhir JoshiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant who is working as Deputy Collector (EGS), Pune, is aggrieved by the orders dated 30.01.2020 and 30.04.2020, wherein the officers junior to him are promoted and he is superseded. The candidate challenged the seniority list dated 08.01.2004 published on 03.03.2018 by the Revenue Department. 3. Today, is first date hence learned C.P.O. seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply, she submits that one enquiry was pending against the Applicant and it was closed in 31.12.2018. Therefore now the case is under consideration. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.07.2020. 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

✓

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. Parties to take note that the matter may be decided finally at the stage of admission.</p> <p>10. The Applicant is permitted to pay court fees till 15 August 2020. Concession is given as the court fees (Postal Order) are not available in the Post office due to Covid-19 Pandemic.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 30.07.2020.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit)</p> <p>Vice-Chairman</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>21 <i>MR</i></p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.07.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.14 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam holding for Shri C.T.Chandratra, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Enough time is granted for filing Rejoinder to the Applicant but the same is not filed.3. Adjourned for final hearing on 06.08.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders