

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.342 of 2024</p> <p>S.S. ShaikhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.2 to 3 and Shri A.A. Deshpande, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1. 2. Learned Advocate Shri. A.A. Deshpande, files 'Vakalatnama' on behalf of 'Respondent No. 1' who is Accountant (Class-1). Learned Advocate then seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for 'Respondent No.1'. 3. Learned PO seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of (i) 'Additional Chief Secretary, Finance Department'- 'Respondent No.3' and (ii) 'Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department'- 'Respondent No.4'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO. 4. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that Applicant has resumed on the post of 'Accountant (Class-1), Municipal Council, Satara' as per 'Interim Relief' granted on 07.03.2024. However the 'Administrator cum Chief Officer, Municipal Council, Satara' has not assigned any 'Official Work' to Applicant. 5. The 'Administrator cum Chief Officer, Municipal Council, Satara' as grossly misunderstood the meaning <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

of 'Interim Relief' granted on 07.03.2024 as recorded in 'Para 9' of Order dated 07.03.2024 where by Applicant was permitted to forthwith join back and continue working on post of 'Accountant (Class-1) in 'Municipal Council, Satara'.

6. The grant of 'Interim Relief' was not just mere formality of allowing Applicant to join back and physically occupy the chair of 'Accountant (Class -1)' but to permit Applicant to discharge all roles and responsibilities of assigned to post of 'Accountant (Class-1)'; before she was relieved 'ex-parte' by letter of 'Administrator cum Chief Officer, Municipal Council, Satara' addressed to 'Under Secretary Finance Department' dated 16.02.2024.

7. The 'Administrator cum Chief Officer, Municipal Council, Satara' would have done well to take note of the sharp observation recorded in 'Para 7' of the Order dated 07.02.2024 that his act '*prima-facie*' smacks of prejudice against Applicant.

8. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

9. S.O. to 17.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.277 of 2024 with O.A. No. 278 of 2024</p> <p>S.C. Shinde S.M. KasbeApplicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants through 'Video-Conference' and Shri A.J. Chougule, along with Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO to invariably seek status within 'One Week' about transfer of 'Police Inspectors' who were earlier working in 'C.I.D. H.Qs, Pune' in respect of whom appropriate clarification had been sought from 'Principal Secretary & Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State' by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State letter dated 26.02.2024.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date: 02.04.2024</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.382 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. S.S. ThakurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO submits that the observations in 'Para 6' of Order dated 13.03.2024 is not as per facts of the status of proposal about transfer of Applicant, because no meeting of 'C.S.B.' has yet been held by 'Principal Secretary, Medical Education & Drugs Department'.</p> <p>3. The 'Principal Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department' is therefore informed to go through the Judgment dated 30.11.2023 of Hon'ble Bombay High Court in Writ Petition No. 13930 of 2023 and Writ Petition No. 9039 of 2023 so as to understand why it is imperative to issue Transfer Order of Applicant without any further delay. Hence, 'Special Meeting' of 'C.S.B.' to be convened within 'One Week' by 'Principal Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department' and thereafter issue Transfer Order of Applicant within next 'Two Weeks'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AGR</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.408 of 2024</p> <p>M.S. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt. Ranjana Todenkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Smt. Ranjana Todankar, has filed 'Vakalatnama' on behalf of 'Respondent No. 2'. Learned Advocate for Respondent No. 2 states that Respondent No.2 be allowed to join on post of 'Education Officer (Primary), Z.P., Sangli' as per Transfer Order dated 15.03.2024 of 'School Education and Sports Department'.</p> <p>3. Learned PO seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.1 including about the 'ex-post facto' affirmation by 'School Education and Sports Department' that Applicant had been assigned work relating to 'General Elections Lok Sabha:2024' and also about observations in 'Para 5' & 'Para 6' as well as 'Para 8' and 'Para 9' of the Order dated 19.03.2024. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 17.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MAs.216 & 217 of 2024 in O.A. No.1378 of 2023</u></p> <p>Shri Sanjay N. Bhimale since deceased by L.Rs. Smt. Kalawati S. Bhimale & 3 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that original applicant Shri Sanjay Narsappa Bhimale has expired on 9.4.2024 leaving behind applicants no.1 to 4 as his heirs and legal representatives. Ld. Advocate submits that applicants have filed MA No.216/2024 for bringing the names of heirs and legal representatives on record. Ld. Advocate prays that names of applicants no.1 to 4 be brought on record as the heirs and legal representatives of deceased applicant and title of OA No.1378/2023 with MA No.665/2023 be amended accordingly.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that applicants have filed MA No.217/2024 for condoning the delay of 22 days in filing MA No.216/2024 for bringing the heirs and legal representatives on record. Ld. Advocate for the applicants prays that the delay is unintentional and the same may be condoned.</p> <p>4. In the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case the delay is condoned and MA for bringing heirs and L.Rs. on record is allowed. Ld. Advocate for the applicants is directed to carry out the amendment and serve amended copies on all the respondents. Both the Mas are disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.739 of 2023</u></p> <p>Pralhad M. Dabhade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed an application dated 2.4.2024 for speaking to minutes of the order dated 21.3.2024 passed in the above OA. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that in para 2 of the order dated 21.3.2024 the date of retirement is to be corrected as '30.4.2011' instead of '30.4.2021'.</p> <p>3. Order be corrected and read accordingly.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.184 of 2024</u></p> <p>U.M. Rane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 1.4.2024 of respondents no.1 & 2.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.4.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1451 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.D. Satpute ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 22.3.2024 of respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.4.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.1247, 1290 & 1291 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.S. Mirgal O.M. Laddha B.D. Kadam ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. Sahil Pandhire holding for Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files replies dated 1.4.2024 of respondents no.1 & 3 and respondents no.3 & 4 in OA No.1291 of 2023 and seeks time to file reply in remaining OAs.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.728 of 2023</u></p> <p>P.S. Patane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 4.4.2024.</p> <p>3. Desk Officer, Home Department is directed to remain present on the next date.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.75 of 2024 in O.A. No.844 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.S. Kulkarni ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.4.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Megha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.435 of 2023 in O.A. No.461 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.B. Asan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R. Dube, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.4.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.136 of 2020 in O.A. No.201 of 2020</u></p> <p>I.R. Shahapurkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.A. Naik, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to amend the title of MA and OA.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried and amended copy be served on all the respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.4.2023.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.302 of 2024</p> <p>B.B. Shelke & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. based on information received from 'Shri Nilesh More, D.C.P.' in office of 'Spl. I.G.P. (V.I.P. Protection), Mumbai' states that due to 'General Elections Lok Sabha: 2024' they are not able to immediately relieve present incumbents and permit those selected including Applicants to join in 'S.P.U.' under establishment of 'Spl. I.G.P. (V.I.P. Protection), Mumbai'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant insists that though Applicants were selected on 08.05.2023 to be deployed in 'S.P.U.' under establishment of 'Spl. I.G.P. (V.I.P. Protection), Mumbai'; they have not been permitted to join as present incumbents who have not only completed 'Normal Tenures' of '5 Years' but even more; continue to serve in 'S.P.U.' under establishment of 'Spl. I.G.P. (V.I.P. Protection), Mumbai' which is in contravention of provisions of law under 'Section 22N(1)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'.</p> <p>4. The undue delay in allowing those including Applicants who were selected on 08.05.2023 to join in 'S.P.U.' under establishment of 'Spl. I.G.P. (V.I.P. Protection), Mumbai' is primarily due to difference of view points between (i) 'Additional D.G.P.,</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

S.R.P.F., Maharashtra State, Mumbai' and (ii) 'Spl. I.G.P. (V.I.P. Protection), Mumbai'. Hence, it has become imperative to inform 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' as 'Respondent No.2' to intervene in this matter by calling meeting of (i) 'Additional D.G.P., S.R.P.F., Maharashtra State, Mumbai' and (ii) 'Spl. I.G.P. (V.I.P. Protection), Mumbai' so as to forthwith resolve the issue relating to deployment of 'Police Constables in S.P.U,' as continuation of the impasse is violative of provisions of 'Section 22N(1)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'.

5. The 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' though in the midst of overseeing 'Security Arrangements' relating to conduct of 'General Election Lok Sabha: 2024' can be fairly expected to find sometime in next 'Two Weeks' for holding the 'Co-ordination Meeting' as informed above; so that outcomes can be known before next hearing on 16.04.2024.

6. S.O. to 16.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.26 of 2022 with M.A. No.201 of 2022 with O.A. No.306 of 2022 with O.A. No.1089 of 2022 with O.A. No.428 of 2022 with O.A. No.696 of 2022 with O.A. No.697 of 2022 with O.A. No.698 of 2022 with O.A. No.952 of 2022 with O.A. No.1096 of 2022 with O.A. No.07 of 2023 with O.A. No.105 of 2023 with O.A. No.106 of 2023 with O.A. No.129 of 2023 with O.A. No.165 of 2023 with O.A. No.172 of 2023 with O.A. No.181 of 2023 with O.A. No.182 of 2023 with O.A. No.191 of 2023 with O.A. No.194 of 2023 with O.A. No.207 of 2023 with O.A. No.215 of 2023 with O.A. No.263 of 2023 with O.A. No.265 of 2023 with O.A. No.289 of 2023 with O.A. No.325 of 2023 with O.A. No.477 of 2023 with O.A. No.541 of 2023 with O.A. No.567 of 2023 with O.A. No.568 of 2023 with O.A. No.609 of 2023 with O.A. No.831 of 2023 with O.A. No.859 of 2023 with O.A. No.912 of 2023 with O.A. No.913 of 2023 with O.A. No.1099 of 2023 with O.A. No.1293 of 2023 with O.A. No.1294 of 2023 and Other Connected matters</p> <p>A.K. Pawar & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere through (Video-Conference), Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Shri M.D. Lonkar, Shri N.W. Sawant learned Advocates for the Applicants, and Shri A.J. Chougule, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad & Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that as per directions in 'Para 10 (ii)' of Order dated 13.02.2024, the 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' has designated 'Nodal Officer' to facilitate submission of 'Self-Certified Copy' of the 'Original Application' by those 'Police Constables' whose 'Original Copy' are now not available on 'Office Files' and provided them time of 'Two Weeks'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

3. Learned P.O. then seeks more time to obtain information relating to directions in 'Para 10(iii)' of Order dated 13.02.2024 regarding 'Time Schedule' for next round of meeting of 'P.E.B.' considering that 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' would be preoccupied with making 'Security Arrangement' and 'Deployment of Police Forces' relating to 'General Election to Lok Sabha: 2024'.

4. The learned P.O. to again draw attention of 'Joint Commissioner of Police (Adm.), Greater Mumbai' to observations in 'Para 5(v)' of Order dated 12.12.2023 and ascertain by next date of hearing as to whether it would be feasible to submit proposal to engage more than present 3000 'Security Personnel' permitted by 'Home Department' G.R. dated 24.07.2023; so that in the 'Interim Period' till such time new recruitment and deployment of 'Police Constables' gets done in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'; it would temporarily help reduce the ever growing number of vacancies of 'Police Constables'; as well as facilitate relieving 'Police Constables' including Applicants who have for long been seeking 'Inter District Transfers'.

5. S.O. to 10.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.446 of 2024</p> <p>A.S. MordeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. P.G. Kotkar along with Shri Rahul Raut, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that they want to carryout urgent amendment in O.A. No.446/2024 and in Group of O.A. No.371/2024 & others to make 'Spl. I.G.P. Kolhapur Range, Kolhapur' as Respondent.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant is granted leave to forthwith amend the array of Respondents in O.A. No.446/2024 and in Group of O.A. No.371/2024 to include 'Spl. I.G.P. Kolhapur Range, Kolhapur'.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.04.2024.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

10. At the request of learned Advocate for Applicant, O.A. No.446/2024 is tagged along with Group of O.A. No.371/2024 & others on 08.04.2024.

11. S.O. to 08.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1457 of 2023</p> <p>A.D. NikoseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. is directed to invariably ascertain about the observations made in 'Para 6' of Order dated 01.03.2024 about consideration of request of Applicant submitted on 05.04.2023 for transfer to the office of 'Public Trust Registration Office, Nagpur' during the time of 'General Transfer: 2024, on grounds of being 'Single Women' suffering from certain 'Medical Condition.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. 222/2024 in O.A.208/2024</u></p> <p>D.K. Shinde & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA No.222/2024 is filed for seeking amendment. Allowed to amend. Amendment to be carried out within 2 days and copy be served on other side.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12th April, 2024.</p> <p align="center"> <i>sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 02.04.2024 (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 02.04.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. 99/2024

Dr. S. Bhagat & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Learned CPO submits that in this matter, she has not received any instructions. Therefore, she seeks time. Time Granted.
3. S.O. to 23rd April, 2024.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
02.04.2024

(skw)

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
02.04.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. 268/2024

J.P. Chavhan ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.R. Rote, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Learned CPO submits that in this matter, instruction has been received. She seeks time. Time Granted.
3. S.O. to 10th April, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
02.04.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
02.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. 215/2024

M.S. Nalawade

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Gaurav Nankar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Raktade, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. It is suprising that in this matter where the issue of selection is involved and matter is to be heard urgently, no Department has given any instructions to learned PO.

3. S.O. to 16th April, 2024.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
02.04.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
02.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. 245/2024</u></p> <p>K.H. Bose ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Shweta Marathe, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Chandan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is suprising that in this matter where the issue of selection is involved and matter is to be heard urgently, no Department has given any instructions to learned CPO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10th April, 2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 02.04.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 02.04.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. 251/2024</u></p> <p>S.A. Ughade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is suprising that in this matter where the issue of selection is involved and matter is to be heard urgently, no Department has given any instructions to learned PO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16th April, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>S/H</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 02.04.2024 (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 02.04.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. 380/2024**V.R. Nagargoje & Ors.****... Applicants****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. It is suprising that in this matter where the issue of selection is involved and matter is to be heard urgently, no Department has given any instructions to learned CPO.

3. S.O. to 10th April, 2024.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
02.04.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
02.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.253 of 2024</p> <p>A.R. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder'. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 23.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.254 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. S.B.A. MokashiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned PO files Short 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder'. Time as prayed for is granted. 4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'. 5. S.O. to 23.04.2024. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.213 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. D.B. BhosaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder'. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 16.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.93/2024

S.K. Mahanavar & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Learned CPO submits that she has received instructions in this matter. Therefore, she seeks time. Time Granted.
3. S.O. to 12th April, 2024.

SAC
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
 Member-A
 02.04.2024

SAC
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
 Chairperson
 02.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.437/2024

Dr. Y.O. Shirshetty & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents
Repertoire

1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 4th April, 2024.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
02.04.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
02.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A.209/2024 in O.A.437/2024</u></p> <p>Dr. Y.O. Shirshetty & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents Repertoire</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 02.04.2024 Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 02.04.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.436/2024</u></p> <p>Dr. V.N. Chavan & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents Repertoire</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Copy of OA to be served.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4th April, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 02.04.2024 (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 02.04.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A.208/2024 in O.A.436/2024

Dr. V.N. Chavan & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents
Repertoire

1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
02.04.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
02.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.670/2021 with M.A.392/2021</u></p> <p>A.S. Chandanwale ... Applicant And Dr. S.D. Nanandkar ... Intervener Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents Repertoire</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Krishna Agarwal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Talekar, learned Advocate for Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents and Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Intervener.</p> <p>2. In this matter, learned Advocate for Applicant has submitted Written Notes of arguments for Applicant.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that entire selection process for post of Joint Director, DMER was challenged on the ground that MPSC has not maintained the Roster under Section 34 of 'Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act 2016'.</p> <p>4. The learned CPO submits that selection process for post of Joint Director, DMER could not proceed, as the Department has made statement on 07.09.2021 that scrutiny of applications was going on and it would take time of 3 weeks. Considering this statement, the Tribunal stated that there is no need to decide application for 'Interim Relief' at this stage.</p> <p>4. However, the selection process for some reason was stopped and therefore, by order dated 25.02.2022, we clarified and ordered MPSC to go ahead with selection process for selection and appointment to post of Joint Director, DMER and recruitment would be subject to outcome of this OA.</p>


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders


Tribunal's orders

5. Now, at the hearing of this MA for Intervention, it is informed that selection process for appointment to post of Joint Director, DMER is complete and MPSC has selected the candidate to the said post.

6. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Intervener on our query has submitted that Applicant in this MA had appeared for Interview, but was not selected.

7. Under such circumstances, we do not find any challenge given by Intervener. Hence, we disposed off this MA No.392/2021.


(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
02.04.2024


(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
02.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1618 of 2023</p> <p>D.M. Kokare,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Shrutika Tilak, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to Respondent.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date: 02.04.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1562 of 2023</p> <p>D.B. Tamhane & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Shrutika Tilak, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right; padding-right: 50px;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px 10px;">Sd/-</div> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.319 of 2024</p> <p>P.A. KshirsagarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through 'Video-Conference' and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO state that para-wise comments has been received and seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date: 02.04.2024</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.312 of 2024</p> <p>R.R. RautApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bajirao Shelke holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondents. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.279 of 2024</p> <p>R.A. KshirsagarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through 'Video-Conference' and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'DGP, Maharashtra State'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.255 of 2024</p> <p>A.R. WaghmareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned PO submits 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder'. Time as prayed for is granted. 4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'. 5. S.O. to 23.04.2024. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1130 of 2023</p> <p>S.G. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S. Jagtap, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 2.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1217 of 2023</p> <p>S.V. LonkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through 'Video-Conference' and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.371 of 2024 to O.A. No.378 of 2024</p> <p>V.V. Adagale S.S. Suryawanshi S.H. Rokade M.K. Kadam B.S. Mulla D.A. Pawar M.B. Taware H.N. KakandkiApplicant</p> <p>Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. P.G. Kotkar, learned Advocate along with Shri Rahul Raut, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, Shri A.D. Gugale, Smt. Archana B.K, Shri D.R. Patil, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A. No.371/2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>
	NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.452 of 2024</p> <p>V.R. GoudApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.04.2024. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 16.04.2024. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1466 of 2023</u></p> <p>C.S. Sutar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant who is widow of Retired Assistant Sub Inspector seeks directions to the respondents for extending the benefits of GR dated 5.2.2021 and to release the arrears of difference of commutation amount as per 7th Pay Commission. Husband of the applicant retired on 31.5.2017 and expired on 10.6.2019. He has commuted pension to the extent of 40%. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that as per FD GR dated 5.2.2021 the applicant had filed application to the respondent no.1 for getting arrears of difference on 26.4.2023. He submits that except commutation amount rest of the arrears of difference is paid to the applicant as per 7th Pay Commission. He also pointed out that this OA is not res-integra as similar issue has been decided by this Tribunal on 22.12.2022 in OA No.964/2022 (Deepali Shirsat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) which is confirmed by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in its order dated 22.6.2023 in W.P. No.7636/2023.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant pointed out that during the pendency of OA, updated GR dated 31.1.2024 has been issued by the Finance Department to give the benefit of revised commutation of pension as per 7th Pay Commission to the pensioners who retired from service between 1.1.2016 to 31.12.2018. Ld. Advocate for the applicant also relied on the order dated 29.2.2024 passed in OA No.1318/2023 and submits that similar order may be passed.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. In view of the abovementioned GR dated 5.2.2021 and 31.1.2024 the applicant is entitled to arrears of commutation as per 7th Pay Commission. I therefore pass the following order:

ORDER

- (a) The respondents are directed to release difference of commutation of pension as per GR dated 31.1.2024 and it be paid to the applicant within two months from today.
- (b) No order as to costs.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
2.4.2024

(sgj)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.647 of 2023 in O.A. No.291 of 2023</u></p> <p>N.P. Bhosale & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants states that the above MA is filed for condoning the delay. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks leave to withdraw the above MA with liberty to file fresh MA.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. MA is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to file fresh MA. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.4.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.4.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>02.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 865/2021</u></p> <p>N.M Ransing & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondent No. 1, Shri Anirudh Rote i/b Shri Ashish Gaikwad, learned counsel for Respondents No 2 to 10 except Respondent no. 6 and Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 11.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Shri Bandiwadekar seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent No. 11.</p> <p>3. S.O to 16.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>