


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.04 of 2018 (Speaking to Minutes)</p> <p>Shri Sampat M. Jadhav & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar with Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsels for the Applicants, Shri S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officers for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for Respondent Nos.4 to 79.</p> <p>2. O.A.No.04/2018 is decided on 22.02.2023. Today, it is taken up for 'Speaking to Minutes' to correct the typographical error in Operative Order.</p> <p>3. In Operative Order point (i) : The Condition No.3 in Circular dated 02.07.2013 is quashed and set aside. It should be Condition No.13 instead of Condition No.3.</p> <p>4. Correction be accordingly carried out in O.A. and the order be uploaded afresh.</p> <p align="right">  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.176 of 2023</p> <p>V. V. GholapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. V. Agane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant is claiming interest on delayed payment of retirement benefits. He retired on 31.05.2019, the pension and gratuity were sanctioned on 21.02.2022 and thereafter he received the amount. He made representation on 30.06.2022 but not responded. 3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.03.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ <u>Miscellaneous</u> Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / <u>Miscellaneous</u> Application shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 29.03.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 02.03.2023

O.A.No.40 of 2020

D. K. WaghmodeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri N. Y. Chavan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has filed this O.A. challenging order dated 15.03.2019 and also claiming interest on delayed payment of retirement benefits.
3. In O.A. on Page No.7, the Applicant has given chart of date of receipt of retirement benefits. Item No.5 is Gratuity of Rs.9,31,000/- received on 09.02.2018. In the same chart, there is mentioned of receipt of Death-cum-Gratuity of Rs.3,54,250/- received on 19.09.2017. Therefore, the Tribunal has raised question how the Applicant had received Death-cum-Gratuity as well as Gratuity both.
4. On instructions, learned Counsel for the Applicant clarified that there is a mistake in mentioning ~~where~~^{above} Gratuity and the said amount of Rs.9,31,000/- is not of Gratuity but it is of Pension. That apart, he fairly stated that Applicant had received Provisional Pension and he is not pressing interest on Pension.
5. Indeed, where Provisional Pension is paid, the question of interest on Pension does not survive in view of Section 129 (B) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.
6. In view of above, the O.A. ~~be~~ proceeded in respect of other claims. On request of learned P.O., the matter is adjourned for final hearing.
7. S.O. to 16.03.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.621 of 2018</u></p> <p>Mr. V.K. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has tendered Affidavit to the amendment made by the Applicant in O.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Now pleading is complete. Therefore, O.A. be kept for final hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29th March, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 02.03.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.210 of 2023</p> <p>D. M. Kote & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed by 31 Applicants who already stands retired from service except Applicant Nos. 1, 5, 20, 21 and 22 from different departments. However, they have filed common O.A. apart there is no proper descriptions of the offices from which they retired. Apart, there is no application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, two weeks time is granted to take necessary steps. If no step is taken within two weeks, the O.A. would stands dismissed without reference to Tribunal.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p>O.A.No. 253 of 2023</p> <p>R. B. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant is presently serving as Police Inspector, Wireless at Alibaug. He is posted there since 2017 and already completed normal tenure in 2020. He is due to retire in August, 2024. He made representations for transfer to Pune since his family is staying in Pune. 3. Now, the general transfers are due in next two months. Learned P.O. is, therefore, directed to take instructions from the Respondents as to why Applicant's request cannot be considered in ensuing general transfer of 2023 and apprise the Tribunal about the same in the afternoon session. 4. In afternoon session, on instructions learned P.O. submits that request of the Applicant for transfer in general transfer of 2023 will be considered. 5. In view of above, the O.A. is disposed of with liberty to Applicant to make fresh representation giving options and it should be placed before the Competent Authority at the time of ensuing general transfers of 2023. If one or other reason, general transfers are not affected in that event also Applicant's representation be decided individually in appropriate manner since he is retiring in 2024. 6. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.240 of 2023</p> <p>Y. M. Bhurkud ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This O.A. is filed for limited purpose for direction to Respondent No.3 to given him posting in view of his repatriation to parent department. 3. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicant was posted with Police Complaint Authority on deputation initially for one year but later it was extended from time to time. Ultimately, the Police Complaint Authority, Nashik where the Applicant was deputed, relieved the Applicant by order dated 04.01.2023 and informed accordingly to Respondent No.3- Additional Director General of Police, CID, Pune which is his parent department. It appears for two months, the Applicant has not given posting in parent department. 4. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the Respondent No.3 as to why the Applicant is kept in abeyance and why no posting is given to him. 5. The matter be kept in the afternoon session. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

L.O.

1. In afternoon session, learned P.O. on instructions submits that now Respondent No.2 will issue appropriate posting order of the Applicant within two weeks.
2. Indeed, once Applicant is repatriated to his parent Department by order dated 04.01.2023, further orders of repatriation and posting ought to have been issued immediately.
3. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.2 to pass appropriate order of posting of Applicant within two weeks from today without fail.
4. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
02.03.2023

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.10 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.S. Bhusal & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that she will apprise the Tribunal regarding the pending representations of the applicants within one week.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.3.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.3.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1107 of 2022</u></p> <p>Y.U. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A. Siddiquie, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the grievance of the applicant is sorted out and nothing remains in the present OA. He therefore submits that the OA may be disposed off.</p> <p>3. Hence, OA is disposed off by consent.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>mg</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.3.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.1 of 2023 in O.A. No.337 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.W. Pande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that papers for granting retirement benefits to the applicant have been sent to the Accountant General.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.3.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.3.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>CA.73/2022 in OAs.119/2019, 705/2020 & 576/2020</u></p> <p>Ashvini T. Sakore ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Smt. Ashvini T. Sakore, Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 23.3.2023 for reporting progress.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.3.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.239 of 2023</u></p> <p>G.C. Belle ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate submits that applicant is retiring next year and hence reply should be filed expeditiously.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.3.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Misc. Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) 2.3.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.65 of 2022 in O.A. No.263 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.B.V. Kodag ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.A. Kashid, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Santosh J. Kale, Desk Officer, Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai is present in the Court.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.3.2023 for reporting compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.3.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.883 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.R. Zanzurne ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Shri Krantisinh Rohidas Gadade, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for respondent no.2 seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.3.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.3.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.735 of 2022</u></p> <p>B.R. Nerurkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Madhavi Ayyappan i/b. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants files short affidavit and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.3.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.3.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.225 of 2022</u></p> <p>N.V. Jadhav & 3 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.3.2023. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 2.3.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.240 of 2023</p> <p>Y. M. BhurkudApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed for limited purpose for direction to Respondent No.3 to given him posting in view of his repatriation to parent department.</p> <p>3. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicant was posted with Police Complaint Authority on deputation initially for one year but later it was extended from time to time. Ultimately, the Police Complaint Authority, Nashik where the Applicant was deputed, relieved the Applicant by order dated 04.01.2023 and informed accordingly to Respondent No.3- Additional Director General of Police, CID, Pune which is his parent department. It appears for two months, the Applicant has not given posting in parent department.</p> <p>4. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the Respondent No.3 as to why the Applicant is kept in abeyance and why no posting is given to him.</p> <p>5. The matter be kept in the afternoon session.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p>M. A. No.140 of 2023 in O.A.No.63 of 2023</p> <p>T. U. Kolte(Patil)Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for direction to Respondents to furnish certain papers and file noting which led to the order of suspension dated 09.12.2022. The Applicant has already challenged the suspension order dated 09.12.2022 and the Respondents have filed reply. It is for the Applicant to make out the case to succeed in the matter and no such directions can be given to Respondents as sought in M.A. Later, if the Tribunal found necessary, it can be called for Tribunal's perusal.</p> <p>3. M.A. is therefore dismissed with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 02.03.2023

M. A. No.139 of 2023 in O.A.No.233 of 2023

V. H. Shelke

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This M.A. is filed to condone ⁹nine months delay caused in filing O.A. for compassionate appointment.

3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.03.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 29.03.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 02.03.2023

O.A.No.254 of 2023

B. J. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 19.11.2022 whereby he is suspended in view of registration of crime under the provision of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1981 as well as D.E.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.03.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 30.03.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: right;">O.A.No.1278 of 2022</p> <p>P. S. SalviApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. Mane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned PO., one week time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.41 of 2023</p> <p>N. S. Bhise & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel, two weeks' time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.59 of 2023</p> <p>Y. M. Rawool ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks' time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.03.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.302 of 2022</p> <p>J. A. Maggar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for hearing without reply since the Respondents failed to file Affidavit in Reply within time granted by the Tribunal. On previous date itself, the matter is proceeded for hearing. Even today also no reply is filed by the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. however submits that in view of relief claimed, the matter pertains to Division Bench.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant also fairly concedes this position.</p> <p>5. The perusal of prayer clause reveals that Applicants are seeking modification to G.R. dated 20.07.2001 and 01.04.2010 pertaining to limit to Pay Band and Grade Pay. The Applicants are in Pay Band of Rs.15600-39100 with GP 6400. Whereas as per G.R. dated 01.04.2010, the scheme is restricted to Government servants drawing the pay in Pay Band of Rs.15600-3900 with GP 5400.</p> <p>6. The Office is, therefore, directed to place the matter before the Division Bench for hearing without reply.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 10.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.147 of 2023</p> <p>M. V. GaradApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondent No.1 and Shri M.D.Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. 3. Shri Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 submits that he be allowed to file Affidavit in Reply in the office by tomorrow. He has already supplied the copy of reply to other side. 4. He is allowed to file reply in the office by tomorrow. It be taken on record. 5. The O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder on or before next date. 6. S.O. to 21.03.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1167 of 2022</p> <p>P. J. MahaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule & Smt. Archana B K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 to 3. It is taken on record. 3. This O.A. be kept with O.A.No.1113/2022 for hearing together. 4. S.O. to 28.03.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1229 of 2022</p> <p>M. M. ThakurApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, the O.A. be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.95 of 2023</p> <p>S. D. DalviApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N. N. Pawar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed along with M.A. which is allowed today.</p> <p>3. Four weeks' time is granted to learned P.O. for filing reply to O.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.174 of 2023</p> <p>R. A. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O.t o 15.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.44 of 2023 in O.A.No.95 of 2023</p> <p>S. D. DalviApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri N. N. Pawar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed to condone seven months delay caused in challenging the order dated 17.05.2021 whereby the claim of the Applicant for substitution in waiting list to get compassionate appointment is rejected. 3. In M.A. notices were already issued on 30.01.2023 and today, the matter is for filing reply. However, learned P.O. again sought time to file reply. 4. Since, the matter pertains to compassionate appointment, I am not inclined to grant further time. 5. In the interest of justice, I am inclined to condone the delay of seven months caused in filing O.A. so that O.A. could be decided on its own merit. 6. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.163 of 2022 in O.A.No.286 of 2022</p> <p>Mohd. H. I. ShaikhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As per the order passed by the Tribunal, M.A. and O.A. has to be heard and decided together. The reply is filed in M.A. only.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., two weeks' time is granted to file reply in O.A. by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.176 of 2021</p> <p>S. C. Jadhav ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Deokar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. During the course of hearing, it is transpired that the Respondents have not produced DPC minutes of earlier period though the Applicant had already completed 12 years earlier to the impugned decision.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. sought one week time to file additional Affidavit along with annexures.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.03.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.250 of 2023</p> <p>S. K. BelbhandareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri D. K. Chavan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 08.02.2023 whereby the Respondent – District Collector, Solapur suspended him in contemplation of registration of crime against the Applicant. 3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.03.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 30.03.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p align="center">M. A.No.141 of 2023 in O.A.No.245 of 2023</p> <p>S. D. Mohite & Anr.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M. A. No.141 /2023 is allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.245 of 2023</p> <p>S. D. Mohite & Anr.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicants have challenged communication dated 16.03.2022 whereby request of the Applicant No.2 for substitution in the waiting list has been rejected. 3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.03.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ <u>Miscellaneous</u> Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / <u>Miscellaneous</u> Application shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 29.03.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.244 of 2023</p> <p>R. B. DahiphaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 14.02.2023 to the extent of posting only. According to him, he has not given posting on promotion as per the options given by him and, therefore, aggrieved by it. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.03.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 29.03.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>