(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000—3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 02.03.2021	
	O.A. No.166 of 2019 with O.A. No.266 of 2018	
	N.W. Dandekar & Ors. K.S. KulkarniApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondent	:s.
	 Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advoca for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learne Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 	
	2. Learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit-in-Reply of behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.	on
	3. S.O. to 10.03.2021.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	
	NMN	
	:	

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 02.03.2021 M.A. No.238 of 2020 in O.A.No.524 of 2020 S. S. Sapkal & OrsApplicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.
	3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the
	Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not
	required to be decided separately.
	4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc.
	Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.
	5. M.A.No.238/2020 is allowed.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) ^{vsm}
	r
	[<i>PT.O.</i>

Date: 02.03.2021

O.A.No.524 of 2020

S. S. Sapkal & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.03.2021.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 30.03.2021.

A Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

2

Date: 02.03.2021

O.A. No.686 of 2019 to O.A. No.690 of 2019 with O.A. No.693 of 2019 with M.A. No.371 of 2019 with O.A. No.695 of 2019 with M.A. No.373 of 2019 with O.A. No.750 of 2019 with M.A. No.405 of 2019 with O.A. No.752 of 2019 with M.A. No.406 of 2019 with O.A. No.584 of 2019 with O.A. No.585 of 2019

S.U. Shinde D.K. Sudrik M.J. Palande P.K. Kadekar H.R. More D.T. Desai W.J. Godse B.R. Londhe A.P. Marathe S.B. Malusare M.M. Sakore Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 in M.A. No.371 of 2019 in O.A. No.693 of 2019 and O.A. No.693 of 2019. It is taken on record.

3. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 in M.A. No.373 of 2019 in O.A. No.695 of 2019 and O.A. No.695 of 2019. It is taken on record.

4. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 in O.A. No.750 of 2019. It is taken on record.

5. S.O. to 06.04.2021.

 \int Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 02.03.2021
	O.A. No.415 of 2020
	V.H. SonavaneApplicant Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. O.A. was filed for direction to Respondent No.2 to relieve from the post of P.S.I. so as to join the post of A.P.I. in pursuance of promotion order dated 30.08.2019.
	3. Today, learned P.O. has tendered copy of order dated 26.11.2020 passed by Director General of Police, whereby promotion of the Applicant itself is cancelled.
	4. As such, in view of subsequent development and order of cancellation of promotion order no cause of action survives for O.A.
	5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.
	6. Applicant may avail legal remedy in view of cancellation of promotion order in accordance to law.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's o	rders
	Date : 02.03.2021 O.A.No.3	366 of 2020
	R. V. Solankhe	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & (DrsRespondents.
		ahajan, learned Counsel for the gule, learned Presenting Office
	2. On request of learned	d P.O., two weeks time is lastly
	granted for filing reply.	
	3. S.O. to 15.03.2021.	
		∿ Sd/-
	vsm	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
		[<i>P.T.O.</i>

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

τ

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orde	ers
			Ŧ
		2 2021	
	Date : 02.0	0.A.No.604	of 2020
	A. C. Kale	0.4.10.00	Applicant
	Versus		
		f Maharashtra & Or	sRespondents.
	Applicant Officer for	and Smt. Kranti the Respondents.	am, learned Counsel for the Gaikwad, learned Presenting
	2. To	day, learned P.O. h	as filed reply. It is taken or
	record.		a used for the Applicant, two
	3. Or	n request of learned	Counsel for the Applicant, two
		ne is granted for filin	g Rejoinder.
	4. S.	O. to 19.03.2021.	N
			∖ Sd/-
			(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm		
	1		

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

÷

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's or	ders
	Date : 02.03.2021 O.A.No.98	8 of 2019 Applicant
	V. Y. Mokashi Versus The State of Maharashtra & O	
	1. Heard Shri A. R. Jos learned Counsel for the App learned Presenting Officer for	hi holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, Ilicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, the Respondents.
	 On request of learned weeks time is granted for filir 	d Counsel for the Applicant, two
	3. S.O. to 23.03.2021.	
		Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm	
		[PTO.
		[

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

,

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal' s	orders
	Date : 02.03.2021 M. A. No.277 of 202 S. B. Jadhav Versus The State of Maharashtra &	0 in O.A.No.612 of 2020 Applicant
	Applicant and Smt. Archant for the Respondents.	Kadam, learned Counsel for the a B. K., learned Presenting Officer
	2. On request of learn M.A. will be filed during th	ned P.O. submits that the reply to ne course of the day. Statement is
	accepted. It be taken on re	
	3. S.O. to 19.03.2021	
		Sd/-
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm	
		[P.T.O.

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 02.03.2021 M. A. No.561 of 2019 in O.A.No.1018 of 2019 G. F. Patil Wersus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents. 1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Two weeks time is granted to learned P.O. to fill	
	reply in O.A. so that M.A. as well as O.A. can be heard an decide together since it pertains to grant o f pension. 3. S.O. to 23.03.2021.	
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	
	vsm	
	[<i>PTO</i> .	

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 02.03.2021 O.A.No.544 of 2019 N. K. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Shri Prashant Suryawanshi holding for Shri G. M. Savagave, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	 Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw the Original Application stating that subsequent of filing of O.A., the Applicant is again transferred and he is satisfied with that posting. Allowed to withdraw O.A. In view of above, Original Application is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs. 	
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	
	[<i>P.T.O.</i>	

Date: 02.03.2021

O.A.No.159 of 2021

H. P. Kate

2

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.04.2021.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed w thout reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 06.04.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 02.03.2021 M. A. No.650 of 2019 in O.A.No.1135 of 2019 With M. A. No.682 of 2019 in O.A.No.1214 of 2019
	S. J. Kadam D. K. BendreApplicants
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, ir
	both matters, two weeks time is granted for filing reply to
	Misc. Applications.
	3. S.O. to 23.03.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	. [<i>P.T.O.</i>

Tribunal's orders

Date: 02.03.2021

O.A.No. 389 of 2020

N. A. Lokhande

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. sought time to file reply.

3. Perusal of matter reveals that the Applicant was relieved from Solapur on 15.07.2020 for absorption in Pune district but she was not allowed to join in Pune district for want of vacancy of SC category to which the Applicant belongs. Thus, for more than 7-8 months the Applicant is without posting. It further appears that she was relieved in view of her representation for inter district transfer in view of the order passed by S.D.O. Solapur on 13.07.2020.

4. In view of above, as interim arrangement if possible, the Applicant can be reposted in Solapur district on her original post till the availability of the post of her cadre in Pune district which is likely to fall vacant in general transfer of 2021.

5. Learned P.O. is, therefore, directed to take instructions from the Collector, Pune about possible vacancy in general transfer, 2021 and to apprise the Tribunal.

6. Learned Counsel for the Applicant is also directed to ask the Applicant as to whether she is willing to repost in Solapur as a interim arrangement till the general transfer of 2021 or till the decision of O.A. on merit.

7. S.O. to 09.03.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 02.03.2021
	O.A. No.305 of 2018
	S.S. JattiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. In the present O.A., Applicant has challenged punishment order dated 08.02.2016 whereby pension of Rs.1000/- per month for five years is deducted. The Applicant has challenged punishment by filing appeal before the Government on 10.03.2016.
	3. Today, during the course of hearing, learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted that the appeal was heard in 2018, but the final order is not passed.
	4. In view of above, the O.A. needs to be disposed of with suitable direction, since appeal is not decided yet.
	5. O.A. is disposed of with directions to Respondent No.1 to decide appeal by passing final order therein within three months from today in accordance to law.
	6. If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision, he may avail further remedy in law. No order as to costs.
	Sd/-
	▼ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

NMN

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 02.03.2021
	O.A. No.601 of 2020
	U.P. UgaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	 Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	 Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.
	3. S.O. to 22.03.2021.
	∕∖ Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<text><text><text><list-item><list-item></list-item></list-item></text></text></text>

2

5. It appears that there were complaints of corruption against the Applicant in the matter of parole and the Government by invoking Rule 4(1)(a) of Rules 1979 suspended the Applicant in contemplation of D.E. Thus, initiation of D.E. is not condition precedent for suspension and there could be suspension in contemplation of D.E.

6. Needless to mention that adequacy of grounds of suspension normally cannot be the subject matter of judicial review. Perusal of impugned order dated 22.02.2021 *prima-facie* reveals that inmates of jail made complaints of corruption against the Applicant for grant of parole to them. Thus, in view of serious allegations, the Government proposed to initiate the D.E. and in contemplation of D.E. suspended the Applicant.

7. In view of above, I am not inclined to grant interim relief.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.03.2021.

9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

14. S.O. to 30.03.2021.

Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

 \mathbf{V}

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000--3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	Date: 02.03.2021			
	M.A. 01 of 2019 in O.A. No.02 of 2019 with M.A. 213 of 2019 in O.A. No.402 of 2019 with M.A. 236 of 2019 in O.A. No.433 of 2019 with M.A. 239 of 2019 in O.A. No.436 of 2019 with M.A. 469 of 2019 in O.A. No.859 of 2019 with M.A. 471 of 2019 in O.A. No.860 of 2019			
	R.S. Bhagwat B.G. Jadhav B.R. Kalekar B.K. Kalekar S.A. Pawar & Ors R.P. BhagatApplicant			
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents			
	1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate holdin for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applican and Shri A.J. Chougule with Smt. Archana B.K., learne Presenting Officer for the Respondents.			
	2. The issue raised in M.A. and O.A. pertains to grant of pay scale in terms of G.R dated 29.09.2003 of the principal of 'equal work equal pay'. Indeed Applicants are granted benefits of the said G.R. from 2008 to 2016 groupwise. However, Applicants claime the benefits w.e.f. 29.09.2003.			
	3. Indeed, the Tribunal has decided the sam issue in O.As. 850 to 856/2015, decided on 03.11.2015 As such, on the ground of parity, the Applicants bein similarly situated persons are entitled for the benefits.			
	4. Today, learned P.O. on instructions from Shi Sudhakar V. Keeni, Desk Officer, Irrigation Departmen (Labour Establishment), Mantralaya, Mumbai submit that the issue is under consideration of the Governmen and it will take some time.			

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,	
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	
directions and Registrar's orders	

Tribunal's orders

6. In view of above, these matters can be disposed of with suitable direction.

7. O.As. and M.As. are disposed of with direction to Respondent No.1 to decide the issue of entitlement of the Applicants for the benefits in terms of G.R. dated 29.09.2003 (equal work equal pay) and shall inform the Applicants accordingly within six months from today.

8. If the Applicants felt aggrieved, they can take recourse of law.

Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

N

NMN

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000--3-2017) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 01.03.021		
	<u>0.A 708/2020</u>		
	Smt Sadhana Gulhane Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents		
	1. Heard Ms Shriya Gune, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned, for the Respondents.		
	2. Today during hearing learned Presenting Officer files affidavit in reply by Urmila Ashok Galande, Deputy Superintendent of Land Records, Maval, dated 22.2.2021 and copy of the same has been taken on record and given to learned advocate for the applicant.		
	3. Learned Presenting Officer, on instructions from Urmila Ashok Galande, Deputy Superintendent of Land Records, Maval, submits that the Respondents are considering in allowing the applicant to join her duty.		
	4. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks two weeks' time to file rejoinder. Meanwhile, the Respondents are at liberty to take action as deem fit as per provisions of law and inform about the same on the next date.		
	5. S.O to 15.3.2021. Sd/- Sd/- 2		
	(P.N Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson		

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	<u>02.03.2021</u>			
	<u>O.A 149/2021</u>			
	Shri Ganapati A. Metakari Applicant Vs.			
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents			
	1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.			
	2. Learned P.O on instructions from Shri D.H Wagh, Under Secretary, M.P.S.C, submits that M.P.S.C is ready to relook the video shooting of the performance of shot-put by the applicant on 27.2.2020.			
	3. For the purpose of viewing the video of his performance, the applicant to remain present in the office of M.P.S.C before the Deputy Secretary at 11.00 am on 8.3.2021 so that viewing of the video can be done.			
	4. S.O to 9.3.2021.			
	\$. A			
	(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson			
	Sd/-			

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000–3-2017) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

.

I N

Original Application No.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>02.03.2021</u>		
	O.A 544/2018 & 513/2019		
	Shri V.B Shende [O.A 544/2018] Shri S.V Rane [O.A 513/2019] Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents		
	1. None for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 & 2 in O.A 544/2018 and for Respondents 1 to 3 in O.A 513/2019, Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3 in O.A 513/2019 and Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for Respondent no. 4 in O.A 513/2019.		
	2. Respondent no. 4 in O. A 544/2018 appears personally and he prays that the matter be heard earlier as though he was selected, three years back, due to interim stay he could not join the service. However, the other candidate has joined the service because he has taken charge on the same day when the interim stay was granted.		
	3. In view submission of the Respondent no. 4 in O.A 544/2018, that interim stay is granted and the matter is pending since 2018, and it is matter of appointment, we are keeping this matter on day to day basis from 4.3.2021 at 2.30 pm. However, Mr Chandratre and Mr Panchal, learned advocate for the applicants both are not available and present and we make it clear that we will accommodate both the counsel on Thursday. We want them to remain present before the Court on 4.3.2021 at 2.30 pm.		
	4. S.O to 4.3.2021.		
	Sd/-		
	Sd/- (P.N Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson		
	Akn		

-

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	O.A. No.829 of 2019 with O.A. No.346 of 2017				
	A.B. Ghadge & Ors. (OA.829/19) B.B. Latpate & Ors. (OA.346/17)Applicants Vs.				
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents				
	Heard Shri Mangal Bhandari with Shri M.M. Deshmukh, learned Advocates for the Applicants, Ms. S.J. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 4, Shri P.A. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for Respondents No.5 to 14 and holding for Sh A.S. Tilve, learned Advocate for Respondent No.15 in O No.829/2019.				
	2. Heard Shri P.A. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chie Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3 in O No.346/2017.				
	3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants in OA No.829 (2019 seeks leave to amend the prayer clause. Leave grante Amendment be carried out forthwith.				
	4. Closed for orders.				
	Sd/-				
	(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bha kar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 2.3.2021 2.3.2021				
	(sgj)				

.

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	υ	ersus		
The Sta	te of Ma	harashtra and othe	rs	
				Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	•••••)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Trib	unal's orders	
		<u>O.A.</u>	No.30 of 2021	
	Moh	an P. Lalwani		Applicant
	The	Vs. State of Maharashtra	& Ors.	Respondents
	learn	Heard Applicant in ed Chief Presenting (n person and M Officer for the H	1s. S.P. Manchekar, Respondents.
	2.	Ld. CPO seeks tin	ne to file reply.	
	3.	S.O. to 8.4.2021.		
		Sd/-) s	d/
		(P.N. ¹ Dixit) Vice-Chairman 2.3.2021	Chair	. Bhatkar, J.) person 2021
	(sgj)			
	ł			

O.A. No.21 of 2021

Sandip S. Mali & 5 Ors. Vs.

.. Applicants

The State of Maharashtra & 18 Ors.

11

..Respondents

Heard Shri Abhijit A. Desai, learned Counsel i/b Shri A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 6 and Shri S.S. Ghumare with Shri K.P. Rajput, learned Advocate for Respondents No.7, 8, 10, 12, 14 to 19.

2. Ld. Counsel for the applicants wants to argue on interim relief however, advertisement is of 2016-17 for the post of PSI and the results were declared on 8.3.2019. Ld. Counsel insists for interim relief relying on the judgment and order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Jitendra Kumar Singh & Anr. Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh & Ors., (2010) 3 SCC 119 so also the judgment and order dated 20.12.2017 passed by the Hon'ble High Court, Bombay in W.P. No.11970 of 2017 Vinod D. Dhore & Anr. Vs. The Secretary, MPSC & Ors.

3. He points out clause 4.17 denying migration of the reserved category candidates vertically if at all they have taken the benefit of age, fees and other eligibility criteria is illegal. Ld. Counsel submits that effected candidates are going to be passed out and they would be getting the appointment orders and that will frustrate the rights of the applicants.

4. Ld. CPO seeks time to file affidavit in reply and also take instructions on this point. She submits that the results are declared on 8.3.2019. Hence, there is no urgency.

5. Learned Advocate for Respondents No.7, 8, 10, 12, 14 to 19 seeks time to file reply.

6. We are of the view that today hurriedly this matter cannot be decided as it involves the issue of migration of the reserved category candidates who have availed of all the benefits coming under the reservation. We keep the issue of interim relief open. However, we call upon the say from the State and MPSC for better assessment.

7. S.O. to 30.3.2021.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 2.3.2021

(sgj)

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.3.2021

2

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT	Applicant/g
				Applicant/s
(Advocate)			
	ver	rsus		
The State	e of Maha	arashtra and others	5	
			•	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribu	nal's orders	
		<u>O.A. N</u>	<u>o.178 of 2020</u>	
	С.Т. С	haudhari & Ors. Vs.		Applicants
	The St	ate of Maharashtra &	c Ors.	Respondents
		Heard Shri B.A. Ba pplicants and Ms. ting Officer for the R	S.P. Manchel	
	2. and se	Ld. CPO submits teks time to file reply.		ceived instructions
	З.	S.O. to 9.3.2021.		
		Sd/- (P.N. Dixit)	Sc / , (Mridula R.	N- 11 Bhatkar, J.)
	(sgj)	Vice-Chairman 2.3.2021	Chairp 2.3.2	person

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.933 of 2018 with M.A. No.198 of 2020			
	Lingappa N. Sargar Vs.	Applicant		
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents		
	Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learn Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, Officer for the Respondents.			
-	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks withdraw the above OA No.933/2018 along v No.198 of 2020 in view of applicant's letter dated 2 The said letter is taken on record and marked Exhi- identification.			
	3. Leave granted. OA and MA withdrawn and disposed off as such.	are allowed to be		
	Vice-Chairman Chai	Sd/- R. Bhatkar, J.) rperson		

O.A. No.165 of 2021

Jalindar K. Shinde Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicant

..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant an Assistant Sub Inspector, Wireless prays that the respondents be directed to incorporate the name of the applicant in the order dated 4.2.2021. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant is going to retire on 31.5.2021.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.3.2021.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-(P.Nl Dixit) Vice-Chairman 2.3.2021

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.3.2021

Sd/-

7

(sgj)

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.175 of 2021

Sachin R. Salve Vs. .. Applicant

..Respondents

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant is directed to amend the prayer clause (b) and (c) as they are not in form of prayers but they are points which are already in pleadings. It is very wordy and they are to be precise. Amendment be carried out.

3. The applicant a Jailor, Grade-I at Yerawada Central Prison, Pune is challenging the order dated 7.1.2021 passed by respondent no.1 by which he is denied promotion to the post of Superintendent, District Prison, Class-II/Deputy Superintendent, Central Prison, Group B, however, promoting respondent no.3.

4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.3.2021.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-(P.N. Dixit)Vice-Chairman 2.3.2021

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.3.2021

Sd/-

(sgj)

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.174 of 2021

Ramanand M. Kalaskar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

Officer for the Respondents.

...Applicant

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting

2. The applicant an aspiring candidate for the post of Deputy Superintendent of Police/Assistant Commissioner of Police, Motor Transport, is challenging the letter/order dated 10.9.2020 and 8.10.2020 denying him promotion. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that though the applicant was selected but not appointed.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.3.2021.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 2.3.2021

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.3.2021

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.173 of 2021</u>
	Mayur Arun TapaseApplicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presentin Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The applicant an aspiring candidate for the post of Deputy Superintendent of Police/Assistant Commissioner of Police, Motor Transport, is challenging the letter/order date 10.9.2020 and 8.10.2020 denying him promotion. Lo Advocate for the applicant submits that though the applicant was selected but not appointed.
	3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed an court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.
	4. Issue notice before admission returnable c 23.3.2021.
· · · · ·	5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve of Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing du authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boo of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this preser COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal the stage of admission hearing.
	6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rule 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternar remedy are kept open.
	7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ spece post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
	(P.N! Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 2.3.2021 2.3.2021 (sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

M.A. No.353 of 2020 in O.A. No.792 of 2020

Shrikant M. Unhale Vs. ..Applicant

..Respondents

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

Heard Shri M.L. Dhone, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This MA is for condoning the delay in filing the OA. Ld. PO files reply and the same is taken on record.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.3.2021.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 2.3.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.3.2021

Sd/-

115