

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.02.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.416 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>D. M. Birajdar</b> .....<b>Applicant</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ....<b>Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On last date, the matter was adjourned to file Rejoinder but no Rejoinder is filed.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.02.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 02.02.2021

O.A.No.77 of 2019

K.P. Kapse & Ors. ....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Perusal of record reveals that lastly this O.A. was listed before the Tribunal on 24.03.2020 and thereafter it remains unlisted due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown.

3. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicant requested for short time to argue the matter.

4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.

5. S.O. to 15.02.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.02.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.532 of 2020</p> <p>R.S. Jadhav .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Ms Asawari holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In this matter, interim relief was granted by order dated 08.10.2020 since the Applicant is retiring on 31.03.2021.</li> <li>3. As the period of only two months are remained for retirement of the Applicant, on 29.01.2021, learned C.P.O. was asked to take instructions from the Government as to whether the Applicant can be continued on present post till his retirement.</li> <li>4. Today, learned C.P.O. submits that she has sent a letter to the Government and it will take at least one week to take the decision thereon.</li> <li>5. In view of above, the matter is adjourned for two weeks so as to facilitate the Government to pass appropriate order.</li> <li>6. Interim relief to continue till next date.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 15.02.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 02.02.2021

O.A.No.223 of 2020

D.N. Kamble & Ors. ....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.

3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.

4. S.O. to 11.02.2021.

^  
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 02.02.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.775 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>Y.M. Budhwant</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, Learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale produces the opinion given by Smt. Manisha Kadam, Under Secretary (Law) to Government dated 21.02.2018. Thereby Shri K.R. Jagdale further submits that as per her opinion she directed General Administrative Department to promote Applicant to the post of Under Secretary as his junior are already promoted.</p> <p>3. Applicant seeks Ad-hoc promotion. He is also ready to give undertaking subject to outcome of the Seniority List.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. to consider the case of the Applicant and also inform when the Seniority List will be ready.</p> <p>5. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>6. S.O. to.09.02.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Vice-Chairman Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 02.02.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.757 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>C.M. Kabade</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri R.B. Kulkarni, learned Advocate instructed by Shri P.B. Kulkarni, Learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate seeks time to serve the Respondent No.3 and carryout the amendment.</li> <li>3. Time to carryout amendment is granted.</li> <li>4. Respondents seeks time to file Reply.</li> <li>5. Six weeks time as prayed is granted.</li> <li>6. S.O. to.16.03.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="center"> Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman </p> <p align="center"> Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Date: 02.02.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.759 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>M.K. Satpute</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. informs that in the case of the Applicant decision is taken in his favour and the order is likely to be issued within a week. Hence matter may be adjourned by two weeks.</p> <p>3. S.O. to.16.02.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 02.02.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.650 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>G.G. Barathe</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned C.P.O files Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1&amp;2. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar wants to file Rejoinder. Hence she seeks time</li> <li>4. Six weeks time as prayed is granted.</li> <li>5. S.O. to.16.03.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 02.02.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.647 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>S.D. Shelar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondents are directed to take into account the directions given in <b>Public Interest Litigation (P.I.L.) No.28/2013</b> filed by Shri Shrikant M. Karve v/s. The Secretary (Parivahan IV), Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. dated <b>07.08.2018</b> while filing the Reply and consider the case of the Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to.02.03.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.02.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.576 of 2020 with M.A. No.306 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>S.P. Sable</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. None for the Applicant in M.A.</li> <li>3. Learned P.O. files Short Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A. on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. Copy of the same to be served to learned Advocate for the Applicant.</li> <li>4. Respondent No.2&amp;3 to file Reply in O.A..</li> <li>5. Learned Advocate Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar wants to file Reply in M.A.</li> <li>6. Time granted adjourned to 02.03.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="center"> Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman </p> <p align="center">  Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 02.02.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.551 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>P.R. Shinde &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that the Reply of Respondent M.P.S.C who is contesting party is ready. Only affirmation is required. Hence she seeks time.</li> <li>3. One week time as prayed is granted.</li> <li>4. S.O. to.09.02.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="center"> Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman </p> <p align="center">   Sd/- " " 2  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 02.02.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.178 of 2020</p> <p>C.T. Chaudhari .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned C.P.O. informs that she has received the letter from M.P.S.C. She seeks time to file Reply.</li> <li>3. Four weeks time as prayed is granted.</li> <li>4. S.O. to. 02.03.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 02.02.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.338 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>K.B. Kakade</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time since the representation is under active consideration.</p> <p>3. Six weeks time as prayed is granted. However, Respondents to decide the representation meanwhile.</p> <p>4. S.O. to. 16.03.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 02.02.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.462 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>D.R. Nalwad &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri K.R. Jagdale seeks time to file Rejoinder.</li> <li>3. Three weeks time as prayed is granted.</li> <li>4. Admit.</li> <li>5. Meanwhile, Advocate to ensure that objections are removed before next date.</li> <li>6. S.O. to. 23.02.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 02.02.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.550 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>M.D. Vadaje</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondents have not filed the Reply. Respondents No.2&amp;3 are directed to file Reply within four weeks by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to.02.03.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/-   (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**02.02.2021**

**C.A 31/2020 in O.A 71/2017 (Nagpur)**

**Through Virtual Conference**

Shri R.S Pawar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard applicant in person and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant retired as Assistant Director, Skill Development Department on 30.6.2019 on superannuation. He prays that this Contempt Application to be heard along with O.A 71/2017 and also prays to revoke charge sheet dated 20.6.2017 and memo initiating departmental enquiry dated 26.6.2019.

3. He submitted that in the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of Respondents no 1 to 7, 10, 12 and 13, through Vijay V. Gavandi, Assistant Director, Group-A, Directorate of Skill Development, Employment and Entrepreneurship, Navi Mumbai dated 7.9.2017 the Respondents have stated in writing in para 23 of Exh.A-2 that his request of recalling / revocation / withdrawal of the Departmental Enquiry against him is under active consideration of the Government and appropriate decision will be taken in the said matter. Further Government is likely to cancel/revoke the D.E.

4. Party in person had submitted that though the statement was made by the Respondents on affidavit and was presented before the Tribunal, no D.E till yet is either cancelled or withdrawn. Therefore, it is a contempt on the part of the Respondents and necessary orders are to be issued.

5. Learned C.P.O opposes this application of contempt and points out that there is no order of which the alleged contempt has taken place.

6. We perused the application and so also Exh. A-2, the affidavit in reply filed by the Respondents. We also perused para 23 of the said affidavit in reply. It is true that the issue of cancellation of the order of conducting the D.E is under active consideration of the Government. However, it is not the order of the judicial authority. It is after all a statement made on oath by one of the Parties.

7. Thus, there is no contempt and hence the application is rejected.

8. The Original Application 71/2017 is placed on 7/4.2021 for final hearing. The applicant is informed that either he should remain present personally in person or he may appear through a counsel of his choice.

Sd/-

(P.N-Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.41 of 2021 in O.A. No.95 of 2020</u></p> <p>P.D. Sakunde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The above MA is filed for seeking leave to amend the OA. Ld. CPO submits to the orders of the Court.</p> <p>3. MA for amendment is allowed. Amendment be carried out within two weeks and copy of amended OA be served on all concerned. Respondents to file reply within four weeks. OA adjourned to 31.3.2021.</p> <p>4. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 2.2.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhaskar, J.) Chairperson 2.2.2021</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.623 of 2019</u></p> <p>V.B. Rajput ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that reply on behalf of respondent no.3 is already filed. She seeks time for filing reply on behalf of respondent no.2.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.3.2021 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 2.2.2021 2.2.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.352 of 2020 in O.A. No.380 of 2020</u></p> <p>Abhijeet L. Jagtap &amp; Anr. ..Interveners And Amruta Karwande &amp; Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Anr. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Interveners, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate i/b Parinam Law Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants files reply of Applicant No.1 Amruta Karwande in the MA. Ld. Advocate for the Intervener seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.2.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 2.2.2021 2.2.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

02.02.2021

O.A 763/2020

Shri S.S Kokane ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O is directed to file reply within four weeks.

3. S.O to 2.3.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N.Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

<sup>Mr. I.</sup>  
Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>02.02.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1116/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.U Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar , learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply within two weeks and copy to be served on the learned advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O to 16.2.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 02.02.2021**

**O.A.No.46 of 2021**

N.A. Tittal -Chavan ... Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant who retired as a Higher Grade Stenographer on 31.12.2020 challenges the order of recovery dated 04.12.2020 to the tune of Rs.2,82,875/-. The learned Counsel submits that recovery is due to the wrong fixation of pay scale. He further submits that already amount of Rs.20,000/- is recovered from her salary when she was in the service.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.03.2021.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice

8. Till then the order of recovery is stayed.

9. Adjourned to 01.03.2021.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 02.02.2021**

**O.A.No.313 of 2020**

N.L. Thade ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Sushant Prabhune, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today matter was called out. The learned Counsel submits that the case of the applicant is squarely covered under the Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment of **Dr. Hira Lal Versus State of Bihar and Others, reported in (2020) 4 SCC 346**

3. The learned P.O. to consider the ratio laid down in this case.

4. Adjourned to 08.03.2021.

*M* Sd/- *MD*

**(Mridula Bhatkar J.)  
Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 02.02.2021**

**O.A.No.756 of 2020 with O.A.No.755 of 2020**

M.R. Sawant & Ors. (O.A.756/2020)

A.P. Sonawane & Ors. (O.A.755/2020) ... Applicants

Vs.


The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned Counsel Shri Sadavarte informs that the un-affirmed copy of affidavit-in-reply is served to Shri Sanjay Nalawade, who is one of the Applicant and present in the Tribunal. He further submits that the un-affirmed copy is not sworn by the designated person. It is only through the Dean, J.J. Hospital.

3. The learned P.O. seeks time for filing affidavit-in-reply.

4. Time granted. Adjourned to 08.02.2021.

 Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar J.)  
Chairperson**

prk




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.02.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.33 of 2021</b></p> <p>A.S. Jagtap ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant who is retired challenges the order dated 17.08.2020 for recovery of the amount of Rs.3,82,361/- for the period of 08.08.2016 to 31.10.2018. Applicant retired on 31.10.2018.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. to make statement whether the Government is going to recover the amount immediately as the learned Counsel for the Applicant prays for interim relief.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 04.02.2021.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b> </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 02.02.2021**

**O.A.No.45 of 2021**

H.D. Patil ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant, retired as ASI on 31.05.2019 challenges the order dated nil May 2019 issued by r no.2 for the recovery of 3,50,295/-. The recovery order is issued on account of wrong fixing of pay scale based on 6<sup>th</sup> pay commission.
3. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.
4. Time granted. Adjourned to 22.02.2021.

Sd/- \_\_\_\_\_

**(Mridula Bhatkar J.)  
Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>01.02.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 272/2020</u></b></p> <p>Dr K.S Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The order dated 05.01.2021 suo moto speaking to minutes, in paragraph 2 last line, "that period should be treated compliancy waiting" is to be read as, "that period should be treated as compulsory waiting."</p> <p>3. The Respondents are directed to inform that on 31.5.2019 who was working at Shelpimpalgaon, where the applicant was posted, whether said post was vacant.</p> <p>4. What is the name of the Medical Officer, working at Shelpimpalgaon on 27/29.7.2019.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel seeks liberty to amend the prayer clause and also the necessary amendment in view of order dated 14.10.2020 issued by Respondent no. 1.</p> <p>6. Amendment to be carried out within two weeks and copy to be served on the office of learned C.P.O.</p> <p>7. S.O to 15.2.2021.</p> <p align="right">AC 11 Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.10 of 2021</u></p> <p>N.N. Ghode ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant seeks cancellation of the order dated 24.9.2020 passed by respondent no.1 appointing respondent no.2 and prays that the applicant be appointed in the vacancy for the post of Crafts Instructor (Wireman). Respondent no.2 is a private person and he is appointed as Crafts Instructor (Wireman) in ITI, Murud, District Raigad by order dated 24.9.2020.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.3.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman  2.2.2021  (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  2.2.2021</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>C.A. No.30 of 2020 in O.A. No.697 of 2019</u>
	V.G. Suryawanshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
	Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO submits that the order dated 18.11.2019 passed by this Tribunal in the above OA No.697 of 2019 which is the subject matter of this Contempt Application is challenged by the respondents before the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition St. No.1828 of 2021. Ld. PO submits that some time be granted. Copy of the writ petition be given to the original applicant.
	3. S.O. to 16.3.2021.
	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 2.2.2021
	Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 2.2.2021
	(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.11 of 2021

A.A. Jagtap

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant a Ex-Naib Tahsildar from the office of District Collector, Palghar prays that the order dated 29.1.2020 passed by respondent no.1 terminating and removing the applicant from service be quashed and set aside. The Ld. Advocate for the applicant to remove the office objections regarding eligible pages in all the sets within two weeks.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.3.2021.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman  
2.2.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
2.2.2021

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.02.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.38 of 2020 in O.A.No.443 of 2014</b></p> <p>H.R. Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None present for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. informs that earlier for the same relief the applicant had filed O.A.No.665/2020, wherein this Tribunal passed order dated 19.11.2020. However, for the same cause the applicant has filed this C.A.No.38/2020.</p> <p>3. The learned C.P.O. further informs that the copy of C.A.No.38/2020 is not served to any of the Respondents or the office of learned C.P.O.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 09.02.2021. Matter be placed under the caption of 'For Dismissal'.</p>
prk	<p align="center">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.56 of 2021

R.G. Ghawari ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant a Junior Caretaker (Class IV Group D) working with the Women and Child Development Department challenges the termination order dated 23.10.2020.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.3.2021.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman  
2.2.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
2.2.2021



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.78 of 2021

V.R. Rathod ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.2.2021.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Meanwhile respondents can decide representation dated 18.2.2020 of the applicant so that the issue in the OA can be resolved.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman  
2.2.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
2.2.2021

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>MA.280/2020 in OA.483/2020</u>
	H.S. Sonawane & 20 Ors. ..Interveners And The Association of the Subordinate Services of Engineers & 2 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & 3 Ors. ..Respondents
	<b>WITH</b> <u>MA.281/2020 in OA.1192/2019</u>
	H.S. Sonawane & 20 Ors. ..Interveners And P.P. Gade & 19 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & 3 Ors. ..Respondents
	<p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Interveners, Shri Yashodeep Deshmukh, learned Advocate i/b Shri Akshay Kapadia, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By these two MAs the Ld. Advocate for the Interveners seek permission to intervene in the above OAs by adding them as party respondents no.5 to 25.</p> <p>3. The Interveners who are working as Assistant Electrical Inspectors and holding Degree in Engineering prays that they be permitted to intervene and prosecute the OAs as they may be effected if the OAs are decided in favour of the applicants. The applicants have challenged the GR dated 2.7.2005 issued by the Public Works Department regarding the eligibility of the feeder cadre on the post of Assistant Electrical Inspector. The applicants have also challenged the letter dated 9.9.2020 sent by respondent no.4</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

giving the list of the candidates who are promoted and names of the Interveners are appearing in the said list.

4. Ld. Advocate for the applicants opposes the Intervention on the ground that Interveners should file separate Original Application for seeking their relief. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits to the orders of the Court.

5. In view of the pleadings in the MAs, both the MAs are allowed as the Interveners may be effected if the OAs are allowed.

6. Applicants are directed to add the Interveners as party Respondents No.5 to 25 in both the OAs. Amendment be carried out within two weeks. Ld. Advocate for the Interveners may file reply within three weeks and serve copy of the same on the applicants and the Ld. CPO atleast one week in advance before due date. OA is adjourned to 5.3.2021.

7. Both the MAs are disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman  
2.2.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
2.2.2021

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.02.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O. A. No.277 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>R. S. Phadtare</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. Respondent No.3 and his Counsel both are absent.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that lastly this O.A. was listed before the Tribunal on 04.04.2020 i.e. before lockdown and thereafter it remains unlisted due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown.</p> <p>3. The Applicant and his Counsel appears not aware about today's listing of the matter, and therefore, one opportunity needs to be given to them.</p> <p>4. Today, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2 and the same is adopted on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>5. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 22.02.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ~ <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.02.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.997 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S. A. Sutar</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that lastly this O.A. was listed before the Tribunal on 22.04.2020 i.e. before lockdown and thereafter it remains unlisted due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown.</p> <p>3. The matter is ripe for hearing.</p> <p>4. On request of learned P.O., the matter is adjourned to 11.02.2021.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 11.02.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.02.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.47 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>S. S. Panindre</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, after lockdown the matter is taken on board for the first time.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. No reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2.</p> <p>4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 15.02.2021.</p> <p align="right"> <sup>^</sup>            Sd/-  <sup>v</sup>  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.02.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.639 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>S. H. Pawar</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt.Vaishali K. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, two weeks time is granted as a last chance for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.02.2021 as a last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 02.02.2021

O.A.No.214 of 2019

A. V. Yelmar & 5 Ors. ....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that the grievances of the Applicants are redressed and they want to withdraw the Original Application. He has also tendered letters written by the Applicants to him for withdrawal of O.As. Letters are taken on record and collectively marked by letter 'X'.
3. Thus, as the grievances of the Applicants are already redressed, the O.A. has become infructuous and accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 02.02.2021**

**M. A. No.351 of 2020 in O.A.No.246 of 2019**

**P. K. Bodake ....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 in Misc. Application. It is taken on record.
3. The matter is adjourned for hearing on 17.02.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 02.02.2021**

**R. A. No.10 of 2017 in O.A.No.941 of 2016**

**S. S. Mali ....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder in support of the grounds taken in Review Application. It is taken on record.
3. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing Sur-Rejoinder, if any.
4. S.O. to 16.02.2021.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.02.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.547 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>Dr. V. V. Waghmare</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant requested for time to contact the Applicant since he is not responding for long time.</li> <li>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 16.02.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.02.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O. A. No.871 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S. H. Pawra</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer is present.</li> <li>2. Perusal of record reveals that lastly this O.A. was listed before the Tribunal on 15.04.2020 i.e. before lockdown and thereafter it remains unlisted due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown.</li> <li>3. The Applicant and his Counsel appears not aware about today's listing of the matter.</li> <li>4. In view of above, it would be appropriate to give one opportunity to the Applicant to remain present for hearing.</li> <li>5. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 22.02.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>