

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 445/2021
(Shri Gautam C. Deolalikar & Another V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1-8-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Two applicants have jointly filed the present Original Application after having been permitted by this Tribunal. Both the applicants are working in the Co-operative Department of the State. The applicants entered into services of the State more particularly in the Co-operative Department of the State, first applicant on 22.3.2012 and second applicant on 28.3.2012 as the Senior Clerks in the Pune Division. In the year 2014-15 both the applicants have passed the post recruitment training examination as provided under the concerned Rules of 1982. First applicant on 7.9.2015, whereas the second applicant on 2.7.2018 were transferred on their request from Pune Division to Nashik Division. On 3.2.2020 provisional seniority list of the cadre of Senior Clerks was published, wherein the applicant no. 1 was shown at Sr. No. 44, whereas the applicant no. 2 was shown at Sr. No. 45. The final

seniority list came to be published on 22.7.2021 wherein the applicant no. 1 is shown at Sr. No. 53 and the applicant no. 2 is shown at Sr. No. 91. It is the grievance of the applicants that the respondents have wrongly placed them in the seniority list published on 22.7.2021 at the bottom of the said list.

3. It is the contention of the applicants that even though the applicants were transferred from one Division to another Division on their request, their seniority in the cadre of Senior Clerks was liable to be reckoned from the date of their initial appointment or at least below the candidates, who were selected for to be appointed in the post of Senior Clerk in the transferred Division in the year in which the applicants were given appointment. The learned counsel in support of his contention has relied upon the subsequent Government Circular dated 20.11.2017. The learned counsel has also placed reliance on the judgment delivered by this Tribunal in **O.A. No. 629/2015 (Mumbai) [(O.A. No. 9/2015 (Aurangabad)] (Shri Chandrajit Rajendrasingh Rajput Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Anr.)** on 1.12.2015. The learned counsel in the circumstances has prayed for the following reliefs :-

“(A) This Original Application may kindly be allowed.

(B) To hold and declare the applicants are entitled for the fixing their seniority from the date of entry in the service and further to consider claim for promotion in view of the length of service.

(C) To direct the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to show the proper placement of the applicant No. 1 i.e. at Sr. No. 42-A and applicant No. 2 i.e. at Sr. No. 42-B and their names should be considered for promotion to the cadre of Head Clerk / Accountant / Co-operative Officer, Grade-II pursuant to the departmental promotion committee meeting.

(D) To grant any other relief as the applicants may deem fit.

Interim Relief :

(a) Pending hearing and final disposal of this Original Application, the respondent authorities may be directed to include the name in the list of zone of consideration and further to consider them for promotion to the cadre of Head Clerk / Accountant / Co-operative Officer, Grade-II pursuant to the departmental promotion committee meeting which promotion of the applicants will be subject to the decision of this Original Application by giving an undertaking.”

4. The request so made by the applicants has been opposed by the State. It is contended by the learned Chief Presenting Officer that the transfer from one Division to another Division was accepted by the applicants on the terms & conditions imposed upon them. The learned CPO submitted that once having accepted the terms & conditions, which expressly stipulate that at the transferred Division the applicants will be placed in the seniority list at the bottom and their seniority will be reckoned from the date they will join at the transferred Division, the applicants are estopped from taking any objection. The learned CPO

in the circumstances has prayed for rejecting the application.

5. We have carefully considered the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the applicants and the learned CPO. We have also gone through the judgment relied upon by the learned counsel for the applicants and other documents, which are placed on record. Insofar as facts are concerned there is no much dispute. Admittedly the applicants were initially posted as Senior Clerks in Pune Division and on their request came to be transferred to Nashik Division after having put in 3 years' service in the Pune Division. As discussed by this Tribunal in the judgment relied upon by the learned counsel, though the issue raised therein was different from the issue, which has been raised in the present matter, from the tenor of the said judgment it can be discerned that this Tribunal has expressed the view that even if any employee is transferred on his request from one Division to another Division or from one establishment to another establishment, no such harsh condition can be imposed on him to forgo the total period of service rendered by him in the earlier Division. According to us also such condition has to be treated too harsh. Moreover, having regard to the Circular dated 20.11.2017 issued with concurrence of the General Administration Department, there remains no doubt that the seniority of such transferred employee is to be reckoned in the same batch, however, the transferred

employee will be placed at the bottom of the employees, who are recruited in the same year in terms of clause 1(A) of the said Circular. According to us, that can be the only reasonable view.

6. In the circumstances, in the present matter the seniority of both the applicants has to be re-fixed and they need to be placed in the list of seniority at the bottom of Senior Clerks having recruited in the same batch of 2012. We therefore direct the respondents to modify the seniority list accordingly. Needless to state that the seniority list finalized on 22.7.2021 is set aside. The exercise of revising the seniority list be completed within 8 weeks from this order. (period of 8 weeks shall commence from the date the order is uploaded)

7. With the above directions, the present Original Application stands disposed of without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1104/2022
(Shri Ashok R. Pandagale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1-8-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.M. Hazare, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 4.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1-8-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1105/2022
(Shri Sawarupchand G. Dabhade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1-8-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.M. Hazare, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 4.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1-8-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 690/2022
(Shri Sachin J. Gadekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1-8-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Punam V. Bodke Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. It is the grievance of the present applicant that though he fulfills eligibility criteria for to be appointed for the post of Director of Libraries, Maharashtra State Library Service, Group-A, his candidature has been rejected for wrong reasons. The learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant is holding educational qualification as has been prescribed for the post, is having experience as has been prescribed and since he falls in the category of Government servant, being paid by State Exchequer and was having SEVARTH ID, was eligible for age relaxation also. The learned counsel in the circumstances prayed for interim relief thereby directing the respondents to call the applicant for interview and consider his candidature along with other eligible candidates for to be appointed on the post of Director of Libraries. The interviews are scheduled on today and tomorrow i.e. on 1.8.2022 and 2.8.2022.

3. The learned CPO has tendered across the bar the communication of today's date received from the MPSC. It is annexed with the list of not-eligible candidates with remark explaining the reasons why the said candidates have been held not eligible. Both the documents are taken on record and copies thereof are given to other side. The learned CPO submitted that the applicant suppressed the fact that he has received reply to his representation and further that the list of not-eligible candidates with reason was published on the website of the MPSC. The learned CPO has sought rejection of the application on the said ground alone. He further submitted that since the applicant cannot be held to be a Government servant, he cannot be given any age relaxation. According to learned CPO, the present O.A. is therefore liable to be summarily rejected.

4. We have considered the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the applicant and learned CPO for the respondents. It is not in dispute that the applicant has crossed the age limit prescribed for Open candidates, as well as, for the candidates coming from the reserved class. It is, however, the contention of the learned counsel for the applicant that there is no upper age limit for the Government servant and since the applicant falls in the category of Government servants, he is entitled for the age relaxation and as such his candidature is liable to be considered by the respondents.

5. The learned counsel brought to our notice clauses (3.2.4), (3.2.5) & (3.2.6) of the advertisement and submitted that since the applicant possesses degree of Ph.D. he must be held to be having greater qualification than the existing candidates and as such also relaxation in age must have

been considered by the respondents. We are however not convinced with the submissions so made. Clauses (3.2.4), (3.2.5) & (3.2.6) of the advertisement read thus :-

“(३.२.४) अपवादात्मक शैक्षणिक अर्हता आणि /अथवा अपवादात्मक अनुभवधारण करणा-या उमेदवारांच्या बाबतीत उच्च वयोमर्यादा शिथिल करण्याविषयी आयोगाकडून विचार करण्यात येईल. परंतू आयोगाच्या कार्यनियमावलीतील तरतुदीनुसार जेव्हा मुलाखतीसाठी पुरेसे उमेदवार प्राप्त होत नसतील, तेव्हाच हा नियम विचारात घेतला जाईल.

(३.२.५) अशा प्रत्येक प्रकरणी उपलब्ध उमेदवारांच्या उच्चतम शैक्षणिक अर्हतेच्या दोन स्तर उच्च शैक्षणिक अर्हताप्राप्त उमेदवारच वयोमर्यादेत सवलतीकरीता विचारात घेतले जातील.

(३.२.६) अनुभवाच्या संदर्भात ज्या पदावरील किमान अनुभव मागितला असेल त्यापेक्षा वरिष्ठ पदावरील अनुभव वयोमर्यादा शिथिल करण्याकरीता विचारात घेतला जाईल.”

6. If these clauses are conjointly read, it can be gathered that only in case if sufficient candidates fulfilling the criteria as prescribed in the advertisement do not become available, further clauses can be resorted to. Factually, for one post when 34 candidates are held eligible, it cannot be said that the MPSC is not having sufficient candidates, the clauses relied upon by the learned counsel, therefore cannot be pressed into service.

7. Prima-facie we are not convinced with the submissions made by the learned counsel. Merely because there is SEVARTH ID no conclusion can be arrived that the applicant is in the service of State. In the circumstances, we are not inclined to issue any interim relief though we are issuing notices to the respondents since the issue raised in the present matter needs to be decided on merits.

It is however clarified that the appointment, which may be made on the post of Director of Libraries shall be subject to final outcome of the present O.A. In view of the above, following order is passed :-

ORDER

1. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 29.8.2022.
2. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
5. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
6. **It is however clarified that the appointment, which may be made on the post of Director of Libraries shall be subject to final outcome of the present O.A.**
7. S.O. to 29.8.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 695/2022
(Shri Vithal B. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **1-8-2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Amol B. Chalak, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The applicant had previously filed Original Application No. 337/2020, which came to be decided by this Tribunal on 29.4.2022.

3. The applicant had posted his comment using tweeter handle in respect of the decision taken by the Maharashtra Public Service Commission (for short the MPSC) of postponing the preliminary examinations, which were scheduled to be held on 2.1.2022. While making his comment the applicant had used un-parliamentary, abusive and filthy language against the MPSC. For the aforesaid conduct of the applicant the MPSC vide its order dated 25.3.2022 debarred the applicant permanently for appearing for the examinations to be conducted by the MPSC or recruitment process to be carried out by the MPSC. Against the said order the applicant had filed aforesaid O.A. before this Tribunal.

4. After hearing the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent

authorities this Tribunal had directed the applicant to again approach the MPSC and the MPSC was suggested to reconsider its decision in view of the discussion made by this Tribunal in the body of the order passed in O.A. No. 337/2020. However, in the said order this Tribunal had in many words expressed that whether to condone the mistake committed by the applicant was within exclusive domain of the MPSC. The applicant has now come out with a case that the MPSC has vide its order dated 21.6.2022 has declined to reconsider its earlier decision and has maintained the said decision. In the present O.A. the applicant has challenged the said order.

5. In view of the discussion made in the order earlier passed by this Tribunal we see no reason for entertaining the present O.A. We deem it appropriate to reproduce the discussion made by this Tribunal in para nos. 5, 6, 7, 8 & 9 of the order passed in O.A. No. 337/2020, which read thus :-

“5. We have carefully considered the submissions made by the learned Counsel appearing for the applicant and the learned CPO appearing for MPSC. In the present matter there cannot be two opinions that the applicant has manifestly erred in expressing his concern on Twitter in filthy language using unparliamentary words. It has definitely undermined the prestige of the Constitutional body like MPSC. In such matters, hardly there is any scope for indulgence by this Tribunal. The act committed by the applicant is so grave that, if at all it is to be condoned, the only authority to condone the same will be MPSC and none else.

6. The question arises, why the applicant would have gone to such an extent ? It is evident that it is outburst of anger in the mind of an unemployed youth who belongs to weaker section of the society. As has been mentioned by the applicant, he was preparing for the preliminary examination for days together and prior to few days of the scheduled date of examination

when he came to know that examinations are postponed, he got frustrated and that resulted in making such comment by him. As has been submitted by the applicant he has all repentance for his acts and he is feeling extremely guilty. It does not appear to us that submission so made by the applicant is camouflage.

7. In case of **Commissioner of Police and Ors. V/s. Sandeep Kumar [(2011) 4 SCC 644]**, Hon'ble Supreme Court has referred to the case of Welsh students mentioned by Lord Denning in his book *Due process of Law*, we find it appropriate to reproduce the entire said paragraph which reads thus:

"10. We may also here refer to the case of Welsh students mentioned by Lord Denning in his book 'Due Process of Law'. It appears that some students of Wales were very enthusiastic about the Welsh language and they were upset because the radio programmes were being broadcast in the English language and not in Welsh. They came up to London and invaded the High Court. They were found guilty of contempt of court and sentenced to prison for three months by the High Court Judge. They filed an appeal before the Court of Appeals. Allowing the appeal, Lord Denning observed :-

"I come now to Mr. Watkin Powell's third point. He says that the sentences were excessive. I do not think they were excessive, at the time they were given and in the circumstances then existing. Here was a deliberate interference with the course of justice in a case which was no concern of theirs. It was necessary for the judge to show – and to show to all students everywhere – that this kind of thing cannot be tolerated. Let students demonstrate, if they please, for the causes in which they believe. Let them make their protests as they will. But they must do it by lawful means and not by unlawful. If they strike at the course of justice in this land – and I speak both for England and Wales – they strike at the roots of society itself, and they bring down that which protects them. It is only by the maintenance of law and order that they are privileged to be

students and to study and live in peace. So let them support the law and not strike it down.

But now what is to be done? The law has been vindicated by the sentences which the judge passed on Wednesday of last week. He has shown that law and order must be maintained, and will be maintained. But on this appeal, things are changed. These students here no longer defy the law. They have appealed to this court and shown respect for it. They have already served a week in prison. I do not think it necessary to keep them inside it any longer. These young people are no ordinary criminals. There is no violence, dishonesty or vice in them. On the contrary, there was much that we should applaud. They wish to do all they can to preserve the Welsh language. Well may they be proud of it. It is the language of the bards – of the poets and the singers – more melodious by far than our rough English tongue. On high authority, it should be equal in Wales with English. They have done wrong – very wrong – in going to the extreme they did. But, that having been shown, I think we can, and should, show mercy on them. We should permit them to go back to their studies, to their parents and continue the good course which they have so wrongly disturbed.” [Vide Morris V. Crown Office, Q.B. at p. 125C-H.]

8. *In the present matter, it is the contention of the applicant that the punishment imposed upon him by the MPSC is excessive. At the relevant time and in the circumstances then existing, perhaps this could have been felt the appropriate punishment.*

9. *Alike Lord Denning, the same question is also before us..... ‘Now what is to be done ?’ Now, things and circumstances have bit changed. The applicant has realized his mistake. He has all repentance for his conduct. He commits that no such mistake will occur in rest of his life. It was sudden outburst of anger in the mind of an unemployed youth. No doubt, the manner of expressing the same has gone wrong. Nevertheless, we feel that the request of the applicant needs to be considered. Of course, only MPSC has the prerogative to consider it and none else. We, therefore, direct the*

applicant to again approach the MPSC and make a request before it which he has made in the present O.A. We are sure that, MPSC Authorities would adopt 'Lord Denning Way' to resolve the dispute finally. Learned CPO shall convey our concern to MPSC authorities."

6. Having regard to the view expressed by us as aforesaid in our earlier order, we are not inclined to entertain the present application. Hence the following order :-

ORDER

The present Original Application is rejected without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.274/2017
(Anil Beedkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Yogesh P. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. None is present for the applicant when the matter is taken up for consideration. Since the matter is of the year 2017, it needs to be heard with priority. However, in the interest of justice, the matter stands adjourned to 22-08-2022. If the matter is not proceeded further on the given date, necessary orders would follow.

3. S.O. to 22-08-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.786/2017
(Kailash Pardeshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. When the matter is taken up for consideration none has caused appearance for the applicant. Matter being of the year 2017, it needs to be heard on priority. In the interest of justice, the matter stands adjourned to 23-08-2022. Learned Counsel for the applicant shall take note that the matter must be prosecuted on the next given date or else necessary orders would follow.

3. S.O. to 23-08-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1057/2019
(Jivan Thosar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Swapnil A. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that subsequent events are required to be brought on record. He has, therefore, sought a short time to file additional affidavit. Necessary affidavit be filed within 2 weeks from the date of this order.

3. S.O. to 22-08-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.496/2020
(Mohd. Akif Abrar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. When the present matter is taken up for consideration and after having gone through the prayer made in the O.A., it appears that the matter may lie before the learned Single Judge. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that the issue agitated and prayer made in the O.A. comes under the purview of the learned Single Judge. As such the matter be placed before the learned Single Judge for its disposal in accordance with law.

3. Hence, the O.A. be placed before the learned Single Bench for its disposal in accordance with law.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.579/2022
(Dr. Satyavaijay N. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.R.Tandale, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that the respondents are duly served but have not filed their affidavit in reply. Learned P.O. sought time to file affidavit in reply. Time is granted.

3. Respondents shall without fail submit reply within the given period. Issue of interim relief is kept open.

4. S.O. to 25-08-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO.261/2022 WITH M.A.NO.257/2022 IN O.A.447/2022
(Dr. Pratap Shinde & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.B.Kolpe, learned Counsel holding for Shri R.R.Kale, learned Counsel for applicants in M.A.No.261/2022 and 257/2022 and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri S.G.Chapalgaonkar, learned Counsel for the applicants in O.A.No.447/2022 is **absent**.

2. On request of learned Counsel appearing for the applicants in M.A., S.O. to 10-08-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.76/2016
(Pramod Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.M.Bhokarikar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 07-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.218/2019
(Sharnappa Mannylikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.D.Godhamgaonkar, learned Counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. None appears for the applicant.
3. S.O. to 14-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO.165/2021 IN O.A.NO.36/2013
(Anil Tirthankar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shritej Surve, learned Counsel holding for
Shri Hemant Surve, learned Counsel for the applicant
and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer
for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 24-08-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.508/2020
(Bhojane Sangita V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D.Patil, learned Counsel holding for Shri C.V.Dharurkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 12-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.523/2020
(Rajkumar Sonwane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 12-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

O.A.NO.463/2021 & M.A.No.242/2021 IN O.A.NO.299/2019
(Rahul Pol & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ku. Preeti Wankhade, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 08-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO.187/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1096/2020
(Rakesh Kapadne V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Santosh B. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 08-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO.201/2021 WITH M.A.NO.159/2021 IN O.A.NO.115/2018
(Nagnath V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Kanchan Saraf, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicants, Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for respondent no.3 in O.A.No.115/2018 is **absent**.

2. S.O. to 19-08-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.633/2012
(Sachin Unawane & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.A.Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 29-08-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.245/2015
(Jagannath Mhaske V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ku. Anagha Pandit, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.B.Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 05-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.499/2015
(Dr. Maroti Dake V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 05-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.636/2015
(Dr. Mukarram Ahmed Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ku. Anagha Pandit, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.B.Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 06-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.689/2015
(Dr. Shrikant Tambe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ku. Anagha Pandit, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.B.Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Counsel for respondent no.6, are present.

Shri Pradeep Patil, learned Counsel for respondent no.5 is **absent**.

2. S.O. to 06-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.259/2016
(Ravikiran Shete V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.T.Veer, learned Counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 07-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.319/2016
(Shivaji Kokate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.S.Dighe, learned Counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 08-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.350/2016
(Sanjay Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 08-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.588/2016
(Dnyaneshwar Barse V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.B.Narwade Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 12-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.824/2017
(Jeevan Sutar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 12-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.834/2017
(Ganesh Kale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S.Dambe, learned Counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 13-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.818/2017
(Ashok Gaike V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Counsel for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record. Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 13-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

T.A.NO.03/2018 IN W.P.NO.260/2018
(Mangesh Datar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ku. Preeti Wankhade, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 14-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

T.A.NO.09/2018 IN W.P.NO.11503/2018
(Ashish Shejwal & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ku. Preeti Wankhade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 14-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.12/2018
(Khandu Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.P.Tripathi, learned Counsel holding for Shri P.R.Kadam, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 19-08-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.39/2018
(Ravi Wankhade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sandesh R. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 16-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.68/2018
(Kishan Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.B.Rakhunde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 16-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.74/2018
(Bhagwan Chemate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S.Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 19-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.104/2018 & 105/2018
(Dr. Nandkishor Karwa & Dr. Nitin Agarwal
V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 19-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.591/2018
(Purushottam Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Prafull Bodade, learned Counsel holding for Shri J.B.Choudhary, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 20-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 01-08-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 921 OF 2018
(Shriram B. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.

3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 05.09.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 922 OF 2018
(Hanshraj M. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.

3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 05.09.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 923 OF 2018
(Shivaji M. Shelke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Smt. Anuradha Mandri, has filed **VAKALATNAMA** on behalf of respondent No. 4. Same is taken on record.

3. Await service of notice on the respondents.

4. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 05.09.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 924 OF 2018
(Dananjay P. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.

3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 05.09.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 922 OF 2018
(Hanshraj M. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.

3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 05.09.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 834 OF 2019
(Ravikant R. Dadoltikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 3 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

2. On the request made on behalf of respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.

3. S.O. to 05.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1093 OF 2019
(Bhanudas B. Putwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 24.08.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 584 OF 2020
(Gopalrao R. Kulkarni through his Lrs. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.L. Dharashiv, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to 5.

3. S.O. to 17.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 348 OF 2021
(Balaji M. Ghulekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Same is taken on record. He has also deposited one additional copy of the said affidavit in reply of respondent No. 2 for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 466 OF 2021
(Dr. Yashwant M. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 22.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 560 OF 2021
(Motiram D. Dakhore V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Kanchan Saraf, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 05.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 585 OF 2021
(Latabai D. Avhad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.K. Dagakhari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 22.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 592 OF 2021
(Khandu H. Wane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.09.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 703 OF 2021
(Hema S. Dangat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.S. Undre, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.

3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 12.09.2022 for taking necessary steps and for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 746 OF 2021
(Tilottama U. Bhatkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 05.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 821 OF 2021
(Meena L. Gaikwad and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 822 OF 2021
(Anita D. Damodar and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 823 OF 2021
(Sunil B. Sangewar and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 30.08.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 824 OF 2021
(Premla U. Hanumante & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 825 OF 2021
(Datta B. Jadhav & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 170 OF 2022
(Rajaram R. Zende V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 05.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 180 OF 2022
(Deepak d. Kharath V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 05.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 229 OF 2022
(Suresh K. Bharati V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.A. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 307 OF 2022
(Chandrabhan V. Veer V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 339 OF 2022
(Shahurao A. Kakde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 340 OF 2022
(Nandkishor S. Chitlange V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.r. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 07.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 372 OF 2022
(Babasaheb S. Korekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 29.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 379 OF 2022
(Dr. Prakash R. Kanade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 & 5.

4. S.O. to 23.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 425 OF 2022
(Ramdas H. Lohakare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on respondent No. 1.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

4. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10.08.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 593 OF 2022
(Shaikh Zubair Shaikh Mahemood V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 26.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 608 OF 2022
(Mahendra S. Surwade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 26.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 15/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1139/2020
(Chunnilal R. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.N. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 5 and Shri S.P. Pandit, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 26.08.2022 as a last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit in M.A., if any.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 80/2021 in O.A. St. No. 157/2021
(Digambar D. Vedpathak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.D. Dadte, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Notices not collected by the applicant.

3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 30.08.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 25/2022 in O.A. No. 699/2021
(Narayan N. More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant filed rejoinder affidavit in M.A. same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 19.08.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KBP ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO.357 OF 2020
(Sanjay D. Bobade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**R.A.NO.1 OF 2021 IN O.A.NO.21 OF 2021
(Laxman B. Choudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Kanchan Saraf, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant in present R.A. and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant in present R.A., S.O. to 11.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO.287 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.408 OF 2022
(Krishna B. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Gawale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 05.09.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 05.09.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.455 OF 2022
(Satalil Z. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.R. Wakekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 30.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.492 OF 2022
(Pankaj J. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Suresh S. Pidgewar, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.L. Choudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 05.09.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 05.09.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.596 OF 2022
(Kantabai B. Phad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Manoj U. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.636 OF 2022
(Kavita S. Khokhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.N. Patil Barhate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 30.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.945 OF 2019
(Dr. Prashant D. Warkari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.182 OF 2021
(Sunita E. Ghusale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.R. Barlinge, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 30.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.296 OF 2021
(Rekhabai C. Bahiram V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri B.K. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of several opportunities, the respondents have failed to file the affidavit-in-reply. In view of same, the matter is ordered to be proceeded without reply. The matter is pertaining to compassionate appointment. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 08.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.201 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.464 OF 2020
(Satish S. Gugale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1087 OF 2019
(Ravindra B. Chobe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.151 OF 2021
(Dattatraya A. Ubale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is closed for order.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.322 OF 2021
(Kantilal K. Naglod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the intervenors, whose Misc. Application No.299/2022 filed in this O.A.No.322/2021 was rejected by order dated 12.07.2022.

2. Learned Advocate for the intervenors submitted that the said order was challenged by filing Writ Petition No.7864/2022 before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Aurangabad, which is decided by order dated 28.07.2022. He placed on record the copy of the said order. Thereby the order dated 12.07.2022 passed in M.A.No.299/2022 is set aside and the petitioners therein/intervenors have been permitted to participate at the hearing of the Original Application, however, with the rider that they shall not seek any adjournment at any stage of proceeding.

3. In this regard learned Advocate for the intervenors submits that the applicant should be directed to join the intervenors as party respondents and thereafter they would be entitled for filing affidavit-in-reply.

4. When the intervenors are allowed to intervene in the Original Application, they have right to be heard on the basis of contention raised by them in the Misc. Application. However, in order to avoid any technicality, short time is granted to the intervenors for placing contentions on record in writing by way of reply.

5. In view of above, S.O. to 05.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.394 OF 2021
(Rekha R. Mohite & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

WITH

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.597 OF 2021
(Pradnya D. Medhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shritej Surve, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these O.As. and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both these O.As.

2. The present matters have already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of the learned P.O. for the respondents, S.O. to 10.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.620 OF 2018
(Baban D. Gadekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.M. Maney, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 12.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.835 OF 2018
(Sunil K. Pawara V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri C.V. Bhadane, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 30.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.365 OF 2019
(Nilesh W. Mahajan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 3. Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the respondent No.2 has filed a **leave note**.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.3.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.539 OF 2019
(Amol P. Awchar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 30.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.564 OF 2019
(Vijay M. Lad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vivek G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed a **leave note**. Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.557 OF 2019
(Venkat V. Namule & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicants, time is granted for making amendment application.

3. S.O. to 05.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.122 OF 2020
WITH
CAVEAT NO.06 OF 2020
(Sandip P. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.B. Girase, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri Nilesh N. Desale, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5, are **absent**. Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4 (Caveator).

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant and learned Advocate for the respondent No.5, S.O. to 24.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.350 OF 2020
(Ramraje G. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter be treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.351 OF 2020
(Ramraje G. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter be treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.352 OF 2020
(Ramraje G. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter be treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.439 OF 2020
(Bhimrao B. Bangar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Milind K. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 05.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.384 OF 2021
(Shripatrao B. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3 to short affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.550 OF 2021
(Shobha Supdu Bidhe & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.590 OF 2021
(Rajendra N. Dhangare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 01.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vinod P. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5.

2. By consent of all the sides, S.O. to 22.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 685 OF 2022
(Nagesh G. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.R. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. No one has caused appearance on behalf of the applicant. In the interest of justice, S.O. to 12.8.2022. It is clarified that if on the next date the present O.A. is not proceeded, the same shall stand dismissed for want of prosecution.

3. S.O. to 12.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 290/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 934/2022
(Maharashtra Rajya Adarsh Shikshak Samiti V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Request is made for permitting the organization to prefer the present O.A. on behalf of its members, who are also added as co-applicants. In the circumstances, we are inclined to accept the prayer. The organization is allowed to prefer the present O.A. on behalf of its members. The present M.A. stands disposed of however, without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 934 OF 2022
(Maharashtra Rajya Adarsh Shikshak Samiti V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants seeks leave of this Tribunal to add Divisional Commissioner as party respondent in the present matter. Request is accepted. The necessary amendment be carried out forthwith.

3. After carrying out the necessary amendment by the applicant, issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 5.9.2022.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete

:: - 2 - :: O.A. ST.NO. 934/2022

paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 5.9.2022.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 28 OF 2022
(Girish S/o. Ashok Bibave & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants points out that other matters in which identical issues are raised are kept for hearing on 17.8.2022. The present matter also be kept on the said date for hearing. In the meanwhile it would be open for the respondents to file their affidavits in reply. It is clarified that if the affidavits in reply are not filed on or before the next date, the respondents will not be permitted to file their affidavits in reply and the matter will be heard without their affidavits in reply.

3. S.O. to 17.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 291 OF 2022
(Smt. Manisha Ganesh Bargaje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 19.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 680 OF 2013
(Vilas Ramrao Gandhane & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 11.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 148 OF 2021
(Dattaram Uddhav Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 8.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 583 OF 2020
(Vishal R. Mhaske V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 8.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 758 OF 2017
(Dadarao D. Borade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing second set of the present case. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT
AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 168 OF 2022
(Shirish Ramchandra Yadav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.J Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri A.N. Patil, learned counsel holding for Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned counsel appeared today and he has filed VAKALATNAMA on behalf of respondent No. 3 i.e. the Municipal Commissioner, Pune Municipal Corporation, Pune and the same is taken on record.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No. 3 submits that his affidavit in reply is ready, however, during the course of the day the same will be sworn. He has served the copy of the said affidavit in reply on the other side. He further submits that after affidavit in reply is sworn the same shall be filed on record.

3. Learned C.P.O. has sought time till Friday for filing affidavits in reply of the remaining respondents. Time granted by way of last chance subject of costs of Rs. 1,000/- (One thousand only) to be deposited along with affidavit in reply in the office of this Tribunal.

4. S.O. to 5.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NO. 892/2018 WITH O.A.NO. 901/2018
(Dhananjay D. Chandodkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both these cases, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 19.8.2022. Interim relief granted earlier in O.A.NO. 892/2018 to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 132 OF 2016
(Dattaram Uddhav Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.B. Mene, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 16.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 535 OF 2019
(Nitin Dattatray Ingale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The present O.A. is heard at length. The O.A. is reserved for orders.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

REV.NO. 2/2021 IN O.A.NO. 654/2018
(Somnath B. Bagul & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

WITH

REV.NO. 3/2021 IN O.A.NO. 653/2018
(Gorakshanath N. Londhe & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the cases, are present.

2. The review is sought of the order passed by this Tribunal on 1.12.2021 in O.A. No. 653/2018 with O.A. No. 654/2018. It is the main contention advanced by the learned counsel for the applicants that the copy of judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court which was duly kept on record of both the OAs by the applicants has not been considered or else decision would have been different. It is further argued that rules cannot be retrospectively applied. That was the issue, according to the learned counsel, which has been dealt with in the judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court.

3. We have read the order passed by this Tribunal in the present OAs. There is no reference of the Apex

Court judgment in the order. It is true that the judgment of this Tribunal is based on the judgment passed by the coordinate Bench of this Tribunal in O.A. No. 882/2012 on 14.10.2013. In the circumstances, the Review Applications deserve to be admitted. We, therefore, admit both the Review Applications and call upon the respondents to make submissions on the next date.

3. S.O. to 23.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 38 OF 2021
(Indalsing Maharu Jadhav (Banjara) V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Yogesh H. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. When the present matter is taken up for consideration no one has caused appearance on behalf of the applicant. This matter was for consideration previously on 16.3.2022, thereafter on 11.4.2022, thereafter on 17.6.2022 and thereafter on 4.7.2022. On these dates, neither the applicant nor his counsel was remained present. It appears that the applicant has lost interest in prosecuting the present matter. Hence, the following order is passed:-

ORDER

The present O.A. is dismissed for want of prosecution however, without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 655 OF 2022
(Madhav Chintaman Padvi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri F.R. Tandale, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the amendment is carried out as per the leave granted by this Tribunal by an order dated 25.7.2022.

3. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 5.9.2022.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 5.9.2022.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NOS. 434 TO 437 ALL OF 2022
(Satish Eknath Awachar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shri Shrikant Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicants and S/Shri M.S. Mahajan, B.S. Deokar, N.U. Yadav & Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Chief Presenting Officer and learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective matters, are present.

2. On previous two dates also i.e. on 16.6.2022 and 7.7.2022 no one has caused appearance on behalf of the applicants in these cases. However, in the interest of justice these matters stand adjourned by way of last chance to 11.8.2022. It is clarified that if on the next date the present matter is not proceeded further and if no one causes appearance on behalf of the applicants in the matter, the same shall be stand dismissed for want of prosecution.

3. S.O. to 11.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NOS. 773/19, 809/19, 301/20, 303/19, 571/21, 492/19,
22/20, 253/20, 254/20 & O.A.ST. 1185/19
(Babu V. Gitte & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard S/Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, K.B. Jadhav, P.B. Rakhunde, K.G. Salunke & Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the respective applicants in respective matters and S/Shri M.P. Gude, B.S. Deokar, I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective matters.

2. Learned counsel Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh for the applicant in O.A. No. 773/2019 has tendered across the bar a copy of judgment delivered by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court on 21.7.2022 in **W.P. No. 6963/2021 [The Special Inspector General of Police, Kokan & Ors. Vs. Dnyaneshwar Laxaman Awate]** and the same is taken on record. In view of the judgment delivered by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court learned counsel Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh for the applicant submits that the issue as about competency of the disciplinary authority is now not pressed by the applicant.

3. In the present matters the arguments were heard. However, in the meanwhile some more time has lapsed and, as such, we requested the learned counsel for the respective applicants in respective matters to briefly recapitulate arguments advanced by them. Accordingly, we have heard the arguments in the present matters. All these matters now are reserved for orders.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 521 OF 2022
(Shivling S/o. Shankar Hankare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 10.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 338 OF 2022
(Khwaja Munneruddin Mohammad Naseeruddin V/s. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 15.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 592 OF 2018
(Nilesh B. Pardeshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Golegaonkar, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present. Shri R.S. Patil, learned counsel for respondent No. 3 (**absent**).

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 04 OF 2019
(Govind P. Gite V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Nagargoje, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 12.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 442 OF 2020
(Bhagwan S. Naik V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vijay V. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 13.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 280 OF 2022
(Sharad U. Malshikare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 25.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 507 OF 2022
(Lande Akshay Ramnath V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.S. Kadam, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Await service.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record. Learned Presenting Officer undertakes to serve the copy of the affidavit in reply on the learned counsel for the applicant.

4. S.O. to 15.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 526 OF 2022
(Sachin D. Dahihande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Munde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 16.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 547 OF 2022
(Ganesh S. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Amol B. Chalak, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 16.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 579 OF 2022
(Dr. Satyviyay N. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.R. Tandale, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 25.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 582 OF 2022
(Dr. Shrikant B. Balwande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.A. Nagarsoge, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

Shri B.B. Bhise, learned counsel appeared today and he has filed **VAKALATNAMA** on behalf of respondent No. 4 and the same is taken on record.

2. Learned Presenting Officer, as well as, learned counsel for respondent No. 4 have sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 20.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD3

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 616 OF 2022
(Seema A. Shaikh & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Munde, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 16.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 628 OF 2022
(Sunil C. Ahire V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

Shri Pradeep Tapse Patil, learned counsel appeared today and he has filed **VAKALATNAMA** on behalf of respondent No. 5 and the same is taken on record.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer, as well as, learned counsel for respondent No. 5 have sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 20.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 224/2019 IN O.A.NO. 236/2019
(Sanjay B. Udar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 5.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 449/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1490/2019
(Sunil M. Lagad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.P. Sonpethkar, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 6.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 521/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1930/2019
(Prashant P. Sonagraa V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Kaware, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Await service of notice for respondent No. 3.
3. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents except respondent No. 3. Time granted.
4. S.O. to 7.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 534/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 2024/2019
(Subhash B. Selukar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Second set of the present case is not filed by the applicant.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents except respondent Nos. 3, 5 & 6. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 8.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 609/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 2372/2019
(Radhabai K. Kedare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.146/20 WITH M.A.ST.418/20 IN O.A.ST.419/20
(Maharashtra Rajya Pashu Sawardhan Abhiyantriki Karmachari Sanghatana V/s.
State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Gaurav L. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 13.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 14/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1471/2020
(Varsha M. Kalyankar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Kishor B. Borde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 22.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 249/21 IN O.A.NO. 463/2019
(Ravikant R. Hadoltikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned counsel for the applicant has filed leave note. Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri G.N. Patil, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 & 6 in O.A. No. 463/2019.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 26.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 124/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 260/2022
(Dr. Radhakisan N. Pokharkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shriniwas S. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 12.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 267/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 747/2022
(Vasant D. Wadile & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 1.8.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 12.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 1.8.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.670/2018
(Kishan Falke & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ramesh Wakade, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. When the present matter is taken up for consideration, learned Counsel for the applicant brought to our notice that vide order of promotion dated 28-02-2020 (paper book page 108), applicant nos.2 to 6 in the present O.A. have been promoted. Learned Counsel today has tendered across the bar another promotion order dated 18-01-2022 whereby applicant nos.1, 7, 8 and 10 have been promoted. Learned Counsel submits that in view of the promotions granted to the aforesaid applicants, in so far as these applicants are concerned, purpose of filing the O.A. is fulfilled. Learned Counsel further submits that the applicant no.9 has been terminated in the meanwhile period during the pendency of the O.A. Learned Counsel further submitted that he does not have any idea whether the said applicant has challenged order of termination or not. However, it is

his further contention that the present O.A. can be disposed of giving liberty to applicant no.9 to approach the Tribunal again for his claim of promotion in case the termination order passed against him is set aside in future.

3. In view of the submissions made, learned P.O. has submitted for passing appropriate order. In the result, following order is passed:

ORDER

(i) Present O.A. is disposed of for the reasons which are mentioned hereinabove with liberty to applicant no.9 to file a fresh O.A., in case, his termination order is set aside and he is reinstated in service.

(ii) There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.485/2020
(Vijay Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 01-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ku. Preeti Wankhade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It is the case of the applicant that he is working in the Forest Department as Van Majoor from 01-11-1992 and that he has worked for more than 240 days in each year in the period between 1994 to 2004. In the circumstances, according to the applicant, he was entitled for the benefit extended to the Van Majoors working on daily wages vide Government Resolution dated 16-10-2012.

3. Submissions made on behalf of the applicant are resisted by the respondents. Respondent nos.1 to 4 have filed their joint affidavit in reply opposing contentions raised and prayers made in the O.A.

Learned P.O. appearing for the respondent authorities submitted that in the year 1994, more particularly, on 01-11-1994, the applicant was not working in the Forest Department and was working on the Employment Guarantee Scheme (EGS). In the circumstances, according to the respondents basic criteria is not being fulfilled by the applicant and hence benefit of the scheme was not extended in favour of the present applicant. It is further contended that now there is no post vacant on which the present applicant can be accommodated. On aforesaid two grounds, O.A. is opposed and prayer is made for rejecting the same.

4. We have carefully considered the submissions advanced by the learned Counsel for the applicant and the learned P.O. appearing for the respondents. We have also gone through the G.R. dated 16-10-2012. The applicant has placed on record copy of the proposal submitted by Divisional Forest Officer, Beed to the Chief Conservator of Forests (Regional), Aurangabad on 11-09-2018 (paper book page 16 to 18) in respect of regularization of applicant. If the said

proposal, more particularly, the working days as are mentioned in tabular format in the said proposal are considered, there remains no doubt that in the period between 01-11-1994 to 30-06-2004, applicant has continuously worked for more than 240 days in the years 1996-1997, 1997-1998, 1998-1999, 1999-2000 and 2000-2001. Though, it has not been admitted by the applicant that he was not there on the establishment of Forest Department even as a daily wager on 01-11-1994 and inspite of that even if it is presumed that the applicant was not working with the Forest Department on 01-11-1994, in our opinion, the benefit cannot be denied to the applicant. Relevant portion of the G.R. dated 16-10-2012 is reproduced hereinbelow, which reads thus (paper book page 45):

“१) वन विभागातील दि.१.११.१९९४ ते दि.३०.०६.२००४ पर्यंत सलग पध्दतीने किंवा तुटक तुटक रित्या प्रतिवर्षी किमान २४० दिवस याप्रमाणे किमान पाच वर्ष काम केलेल्या ५०८९ रोजंदारी कामगारांपैकी दि. १.०६.२०१२ ला कामावर असण्यास पात्र ठरणा—या कामगारांना मंत्रीमंडळाच्या निर्णया प्रमाणे खालील अटी व शर्तीच्या अधिन राहून कायम करण्यात यावे.

i. त्यांना पुर्वीचे वेतन व तद्अनुषंगीक लाभ देय होणार नाही.

- ii. त्यांना दि.१.०६.२०१२ रोजी प्रचलित सेवानिवृत्ती वेतन व महाराष्ट्र नागरी सेवेच्या तरतुदी लागू राहतील.
- iii. उपरोक्त ५०८९ रोजंदारी कामगारांना वरीष्ठता व पात्रतेचे निकष लावून कायम करण्यात यावे.
- iv. उपरोक्त ५०८९ रोजंदारी कामगारांना कायम करण्यात यावे तथापि कुठलाही आर्थिक लाभ देण्यापुर्वी कायम करावयाचे सदर ५०८९ कामगारांची नावनिहाय व विभागनिहाय अंतिम यादी शासनांस सादर करण्यात यावी.”

5. Said clause has to be interpreted constructively and purposefully. It nowhere debars the daily wager not on the establishment as on 01-11-1994. Only requirement seems to be that in the said period i.e. from 01-11-1994 to 30-06-2004, Van Major concerned, must have been working with the Forest Department for 5 years and in each of the said year he must have worked for more than 240 days. The applicant is very well fulfilling the said criteria. In the circumstances, we are inclined to accept the request of the applicant.

6. Sub clause 2 of the G.R. specifically speaks that while considering the 5 years continuous service, it is to be ascertained that in every year Van Major has worked for more than 240 days. The work done by the concerned Van Major on the EGS is, however, not to

be considered. It is not the case of the respondents that from the year 1996 onwards till 2001 the applicant was working on EGS. The only averment in the affidavit in reply is that in the year 1994, the applicant was not working in the Forest Department and was working under EGS. Relevant sub clause 2 of the G.R. dated 16-10-2012 is reproduced hereinbelow for ready reference:

“२) पाच वर्षांच्या सलग सेवेच्या कालावधीची गणना करताना वन विभागातील योजनाअंतर्गत याजनेत्तर योजनेवर रोजंदारी मजूरांनी प्रत्येक वर्षात २४० दिवस काम केलेले असावे. याकरिता ५ वर्षांचा कालावधी मोजताना रोजगार हमी योजना किंवा रोजगार हमी देणा-या तत्सम योजनेवर केलेल्या कामाचे दिवस विचारात घेण्यात येवू नयेत.

7. In so far as other contention that there is no sanctioned post available is concerned, it has to be stated that in the G.R. itself it is clarified that for accommodating these daily wagers Van Majors, supernumerary posts are to be created. Accordingly, all other daily wagers are accommodated or absorbed in the permanent services of the Forest Department by creating supernumerary posts. Same course can also be followed in this case also as applicant is fulfilling

criteria as prescribed in G.R. dated 16-10-2012, he needs to be given the benefit of the said G.R. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

- (i) O.A. is allowed in terms of prayer clauses (A) and (B).
- (ii) The necessary exercise as stipulated in the G.R. dated 16-10-2012 shall be completed in all respects within 4 months from the date of this order.
- (iii) There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN