Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.41 of 2023 with O.A. No.84 of 2023 S.E. Gangtire & 2 Ors. ...Applicants Vs

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

Heard Smt. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

..Respondents

2. The applicants have filed this MA for condoning the delay of 13 years and 15 days in filing the OA. The applicants have filed this OA for claiming arrears of pay and allowances in Class-C post of Water Level Inspector. The applicants were initially appointed in Class-D post of Mukadam and their appointment was prior to 31.12.1997. Ld. Advocate for the applicants points out that GR dated 29.9.20023 was issued granting pay scale to the persons and applicants would be have been entitled to the pay scale of Class-C of Water Level Inspector although they were designated as Mukadam in Class-D category. Ld. Advocate for the applicant relies on the order dated 31.1.2017 passed by this Tribunal in MA No.290/2016 & 292/2016 in OA No.741/2016 condoning the delay. Ld. Advocate pointed out that fresh cause of action arose on 21.8.2019 when letter was issued stating that all the employees are entitled for pay scale from 29.9.2003 for Class-C post. She further pointed out that the office order was issued on 22.6.2009 and hence fresh cause of action arose from 22.6.2009.

3. Ld. PO pointed out that applicant has approached this Tribunal after considerable long time from receipt of benefits under the designation scheme as per work and pay scale as per 2008, 2009, 2011, 21016 respectively. She therefore states that OA is liable to be dismissed as it is time barred by limitation as there is 13 years 15 days delay which is not properly explained by the applicants.

4. Considered the submissions of both the sides. The applicant has not given any cogent reason for such a long delay. In absence of any such explanation or valid reason, I am not inclined to condone this long delay of 13 years and 15 days. Hence, MA is dismissed. In view of dismissal of MA, the present OA does not survive and the same is also dismissed as such. No order as to costs.

Sd/-(Megha Gadigil) Member (A) 1.12.2023

(sgj)

 $\mathbf{2}$

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DIST	RICT Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versu	8	
The	State of Mahara	shtra and others	
(Presenting Officer)	Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Co Appearance, Tribunal's orders o directions and Registrar's order	r	Tribunal's or	ders
	<u>01.</u>	12.2023	
		0.A 619/2023 with	<u>n M.A 732/2023</u>
		Pardhi & Ors Vs.	Applicants
		Nargude & Ors And State of Maharashtra & (Intervenors Ors Respondents
	1. for for cou 2. App 3. file 4. and 5. the 6.	Heard Smt Punam M the applicants and Smt K the Respondents. Shri B nsel for the intervenors Learned counsel subr blication seeking intervent Learned counsel for t reply to the Misc Applicat M.A 732/2023 is in f t is wrongly shown with C	Mahajan, learned advocate C.S Gaikwad, learned P.O .A Bandiwadekar, learned mits that he has filed Misc tion. he applicant seeks time to tion seeking intervention. fact filed in O.A 619/2023 0.A 32/2023. the applicant to carry out to that effect.
		Sd/- edha Gadgil) ember (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

(Spl - MAT-F-2 E

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIHUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONFINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cen Appearance, Tribunal's orders of directions and Registrar's orders	n in the second of the second	or minute times in the second second	
	 O.A.No.183 & 184/2023		
	S.C. Dhone G.D. Vishe Vs.	Applicants	
	The State of Mah. & Or	s Respondents	
		learned Advocate for the Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, dents.	
	2. S.O. to 15 th Dece	mber, 2023.	
	(Debashish Chakraba Member-A 01.12.2023 (skw)	sd∕- arty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 01.12.2023	
•			

(Spl-MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A/R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

1 N

 $(G(C,P_{*}) = J/2260(B)/(50,000) = 2.2015)$

Original Application No.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corais, Appearance, Tribunal's orders of directions and Registrar's orders	i fitter at s	orders
	O.A.1003/2023	3 with O.A.1159/2023
	P.D. Shirsat R.K. Kawale Vs.	Applicants

(skw)

The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate with Shri S.T. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents 1 & 2 and Shri H.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.

2. S.O. to 04th December, 2023.

3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 04.12.2023.

5//-(Debashish Chakrabarty) SA/-(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson Member-A 01.12.2023 01.12.2023

Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

(skw)

Office Noiss, Office Menoranda of Corain, Appearance, Tribunal's orders o. directions and Registrar's orders

O.A.1340/2023 with 1484/2023

K.N. Tadvi Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Applicant

... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 06th December, 2023.

Sd/-(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 01.12.2023

رکیارے (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 01.12.2023

 $-18 pI = MAT \cdot F \cdot 2 \cdot \Gamma$

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE FRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M A./R.A./C:A. No.

of 20

IN.

Original Application No.

of 20°

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

and a second	And the second se Second second se	a second data of the second
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corain,		
Appearance, Tribunal's orders of	· · · · · · ·	· 通知基金的分
directions and Registrar's orders		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

(skw)

O.A.1107/23 with 1121/23, 761/23, 1021/23, 1059/23, 1297/23 & 1370/23

Dr. N.P. Banage & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Daksha Punghera, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai , learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant wants to file Rejoinder. Copy to be supplied to other side.

3. S.O. to 3rd January, 2024.

Sdr-	501:-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Member-A	Chairperson
01.12.2023	01.12.2023

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

(Spl - MATP-2 E.

01.12.2023

M.A /**R**.A./C.A. No.

of 20

 $1 \,\mathrm{N}$

Original Application No.

of 20 -

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Mon.oranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders of Tripanal's orders directions and Registrar's orders O.A.1221/2023 ... Applicant P.J. Patil Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents Shri A.D. Chate, learned Advocate for the 1. Applicant is absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder. Time Granted. S.O. to 2nd February, 2024. 3. 941-St1-(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A Chairperson

(skw)

01.12.2023

BUL MATER 11

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE FRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

115

Original Application No-

of 20 .

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

ffice Notes, Office Menicoanda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders of directions and Registrar's orders	™∎tførava tifs- ryd	€£%
	<u>0.A.376/20</u>	<u>23</u>
	Dr. A.S. Kudale Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.	Applicant Respondents
	1. Heard Shri N.W. Say holding for Shri U.V. Bhos the Applicant and Ms. S CPO for Respondents.	
	2. Learned CPO files Su Respondents. It is taken on	ır-Rejoinder on behalf of record.
	3. S.O. to 22 nd December,	2023.
	Sf/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 01.12.2023 (skw)	Sd/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 01.12.2023
	•	

male MATERS F

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE FRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

 $1\,\mathrm{N}$

Uriginal Application No

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Menioranda of Corain, Appearance, Tribunal's orders of directions and Registrar's orders

"no works orders

O.A.1456/2023 with O.A.No.1429/2023

G.P. Girhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. Mr. Prashant Chavan, the representative of Respondent No.2 is present. However, none present for Respondent No.3. The presence of Respondent No.3 is necessary on 13.12.2023.

3. S.O. to 13th December, 2023.

Sd/-	S\$/.
(Debashish Chakrabarty)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Member-A	Chairperson
01.12.2023	01.12.2023

(skw)

$(G|C,P_{\rm c}) = J_{\rm c} 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)$ ISH MAPPER F. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

1 N

Office Notes, Office Menteranda of Colum,

Unginal Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Emband's orders directions and Registrar's orders M.A.737/2023 in O.A.1499/2023 The Association of Subordinate Service of Engineers & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents 1. Heard Smt. Aditi Athawale, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Vaidehi Pradeep, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents. 2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant moves an application under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act for transfer of M.A.737/2023 in O.A.1499/2023 to MAT at Aurangabad Bench on the ground that O.A.Nos.979/2023 and 999/2023 are filed at Aurangabad and the same issue is involved in the present OA. 3. In view of above, this OA also be transferred to Aurangabad.

> Sd1-(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member-A 01.12.2023

SAL Chairperson 01.12.2023

(skw)

ISLI MATTER

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE FRIMMAN MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

i N

Original Application No

of 20

.....

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coran, Appearance, Tribunal's orders of directions and Registrar's orders	(rithin 0 s ander	*e	
	M.A.563/2023 in O.A.1018/2023		
	T.J. Pardhi Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.	Applicant Respondents	
	1. Heard Shri M.R. Shere for the Applicants and Smt PO for Respondents.	,	
	2. Learned PO submits ask the dates when the Conservator of Forest was about declaration of re- process of 2012.	s sent to Government	

3. While responding letter dated 16.11.2023, it was communicated by that Section Officer to Additional Chief Conservator of Forest, Nagpur to take decision about dropping the recruitment process of 2012.

4. S.O. to 5^{th} December, 2023.

パイー (Medha Gadgil) Member-A 01.12.2023 ی (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 01.12.2023

(skw)

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 01.12.2023		
	O.A. No.936 of 2023 with O.A. No.1051 of 2023 to O.A. No.1055 of 2023 with O.A. No.1136 of 2023 with O.A. No.1137 of 2023 with O.A. No.1142 of 2023 with O.A. No.1122 of 2023 with O.A. No.1123 of 2023 with O.A. No.1199 of 2023 with O.A. No.1113 of 2023 with O.A. No.1273 of 2023 with O.A. No.1022 of 2023 with O.A. No.1222 of 2023 with O.A. No.1385 of 2023 to O.A. No.1389 of 2023		
	M.A. Kanwar & OrsApplicant		
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondent		
	 Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, Shri M.R. Kulkar and Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applica and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Smt. Archana B.K. and Shri A Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for th Respondents. 		
	2. Learned P.O. states that 'Medical Education Drugs Department' has already undertake 'Comprehensive & Full Review' of all 'Transfers Order issued in respect of 'Professors, Associate Professors Assistant Professors' working in various 'Governmen Medical Colleges' including 'B.J. Medical College, Pur and Sir J.J. Group of Hospitals & Grant Medical Colleg Mumbai. However, as the 'Comprehensive & Fu Review' is required to be done diligently, learned P.O seeks at least 'Four Weeks' time on behalf of th 'Medical Education & Drugs Department'.		
	3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.		
	4. S.O. to 10.01.2024.		
	Sd/-		
	(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)		
	[P.T.O		

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 0 1.12.2023 <u>C.A 80/2022 in O.A 879/2015</u>		
	G.P Wadekar Vs.	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra	& Ors Respondents	
	. None present for t Gaikwad, learned P.O for	he applicant. Heard Smt K.S the Respondents.	
	2. Matter called out at 12.55 am. Learned P submits that the Chief Secretary is busy in the meeting and therefore the affidavit in reply could n be affirmed.		
	3. Matter fixed at 3.3	0 pm.	
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J Chairperson	
	kn	Champerson	

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000--3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The Stat	e of Maharashtra and	others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders
	01.12.2023	
	<u>C.A 35/2021 in O.</u>	A 229.2019 with O.A 408/2022
	A Nazirkar & Ors Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maha ra	
	L. Heard Shri S applicant and Ms S the Respondents.	S.S Dere, learned advocate for the wati Manchear, learned C.P.O for
	2. At the req adjourned to 6.12.20	uest of learned C.P.O, matter 023.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017)

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

DISTRICT of 20 Original Application No. Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tril	ounal's orders
*	0 1.12.2023	
	<u>C.A 50/2022</u>	in O.A 493/2021
	S.G Parab Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra	a & Ors Respondents
	. Heard Shri B advocate for the applicar earned P.O for the Resp	nt and Ms Archana B.K
	2. In view of the p before the Hon'ble High 16.2.2024.	pendency of the Writ Petition 1 Court, matter adjourned to
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Chairperson
	Akn	
1		

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT Applican	t/s
		rippitouri	40
)		

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tri	ibunal's orders
	01.12.2023	
	<u>C.A 43/202</u>	3 in O.A 32/2023
	A.D Nargude & Ors Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtr	a & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri B. advocate for the applic learned P.O for the Resp	cant and Smt K.S Gaikwad
	2. Learned P.O requ	aest for time.
	3. S.O to 13.12.202	3.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Chairperson
	Alan	
1		

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tri	bunal's orders
· · ·	01.12.2023	
	C.A 54/2023 in O.A 25 O.A 256/2019	7/2019 with C.A 55/2023 in
	Dr P.D Shendge Dr S.D Kulkarni V s .	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtr	
	for the applicants and C.P.O for the Responden	am Mahajan, learned advocate Ms Swati Manchekar, learned its.
	2. Learned counse judgment and order par in O.A 817/2022 is to be	l submits that copy of the ssed by M.A.T, Nagpur Bench e supplied.
	dated 22.8.2022 is pas	d C.P.O submits that order sed in O.A 817/2022 whereir lirected to maintain status quo 6.6.2022.
	4. Learned C.P.O re	equest for time.
	5. S.O to 12.1.2024	ł.
	Sd/- Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Chairperson
	k kn	
,		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Т	ribunal's orders
	<u>01.12.2023</u>	
	<u>C.A 100/202</u>	23 in O.A 1129/2022
	P.S Pol Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharash	tra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri E advocate for the app learned P.O for the Res	B.A Bandiwadekar, learned licant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, pondents.
	2. Learned subr 25.8.2023, wherein the complied with.	nits copy of letter dated e order of the Tribunal has been
	3. In view of the Contempt Application of.	above, nothing remains in the and the same stands disposed
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Aku	

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
0			Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	0 1.12.2023		
	<u>C.A 108/202</u> ;	3 in O.A 623/2017	
	Shri S.G Shinde	Applicant	
	Vs. The State of Maharashtr	a & Ors Respondents	
	. Heard Ms Pooja learned advocate for Chougule, learned P.O fo	Mankoji i/b Shri S.S Dere, the applicant and Shri A.J or the Respondents.	
	2. Issue notice bef 12.1.2024.	fore admission returnable on	
	on Respondent intimated duly authenticated by paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to	horized and directed to serve ion/notice of date of hearing Registry, along with complete Private service is allowed. o notice that the case may be osal at the stage of admission	
	11 of the Maharash	notice is ordered under Rule ntra Administrative Tribunal 8, and the questions such as remedy are kept open.	
	be served and acknow produced along with a	y, speed post, courier notice to wledgement be obtained and affidavit of compliance in the ek. Applicant is directed to file and notice.	
	days or service report days before p Original/Miscellaneous board before the concer 'for Dismissal' and the	is not collected within seven on affidavit in not filed three returnable date, the Application shall be placed on ned Benches under the caption reafter on the subsequent date eous Applications shall stand	
	7. S.O to 12.1.2024	4.	
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Chairperson	
	Akn		

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRIC	Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal'	s orders
	0 1.12.2023	
	<u>M.A 607/2022 in O</u>	0.A 465/2020
	G.Y Deshpande Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Or	rs Respondents
	L. Heard Shri D.B Khair the applicant and Ms Swa C.P.O for the Respondents.	re, learned advocate for ati Manchekar, learned
	2. Learned C.P.O seeks ti	me.
	3. S.O to 18.12.2023.	
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Chairperson
	Akn	
,		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
0 11		Applicant/s	

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	01.12.2023		
	M.A 607/2023 in O.A 1206/2023		
	C.E Paradke & Ors Vs. The State of Maharashtr	Applicants a & Ors Respondents	
	 Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply. If replies not filed before the next date, the matter we proceed without reply. It is to be noted that the matter is peremptoring the point of interim relief. S.O to 12.1.2024. 		
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	
	Akn		
1			

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
		Applican	t/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

	n, Tribunal's orders	
•	01.12.2023	
	M.A 674/202	23 in O.A 303/2022
	Shri S.S Patil (Galande) Vs.	& Ors Applicants
	The State of Maharasht	ra & Ors Respondents
	 Heard Shri A.N Naikwadi, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. Learned counsel submits that Misc Application is filed to delete the name of Applicant No. 2 Mr K.N Bhosle from the Original Application, out of 16 applicants. Permission granted. The name of the applicant No. 2, Mr K.N Bhosle be deleted. Misc Application accordingly stands disposed of. 	
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
,		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders		
•			
	Anuj H. Nautiyal	Applicant	
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents Heard Shri Shyamsundar Solanke i/b PNH Associates, learned advocate for the applicant and Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondent Learned counsel submits that Misc Applicat is filed seeking to amend the Original Application adding G.A.D as Respondent no. 1A to the ab Original Application. Permission granted. Amendment to be carry out forthwith and copy to be served on Respondents.		
	 Learned counsel submits that reply is not file on behalf of Respondent No. 2, M.P.S.C. 		
	5. We direct Respondent	ondent No. 2, M.P.S.C to file	
	6. Accordingly, M.A	stands disposed of.	
	Sd/- Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Chairperson	
	Akn		
1			

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
		Applicant/	\mathbf{s}

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 01.12.2023
	<u>O.A 157/2023</u>
	P.V Kadam Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O request for time.
	3. S.O to 12.1.2024.
	Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 01.12.2023		
	<u>O.A 275/2023</u>		
	\$.B Belunkhe	Applicant	
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & C	Drs Respondents	
	 Heard Shri S.K Hande, learned advocate for tapplicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O the Respondents. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply. 		
	3. S.O to 5.1.2024,		
	Sd/-	Sd/-	
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	
	Akn -		
•			

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	r Tribunal's orders		
*			
	 A.V Kanake & Ors Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents Heard Shri Ramakant Patil i/b V.A Nagrani, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply. Respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance. S.O to 12.1.2024. 		
	Sd/- Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson Akn		
T			

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	-
			Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Trib 01.12.2023	unal's orders
•.	<u>O.A Nos 501,</u>	502 & 503/2023
	P.S Pitale & Ors	Applicants
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra	& Ors Respondents
	Heard Shri U.V I the applicants and Ms C.P.O for the Respondent	3hosle, learned advocate for Swati Manchekar, learned s.
	2. Affidavit in reply is	s filed.
	3. S.O to 8.12.2023	for filing rejoinder.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Chairperson
	Akn	
3		
,		

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tı	ribunal's orders	
	01.12.2023		
	<u>O.A 1121</u>	/2022 with O.A	401 & 928/2023
	SPI	EAKING TO THE	E MINUTES
	Arya Vijay Puja Vinayak B. Kas Yashwant V. Bł	ri hid	Applicants
		√s. Iharashtra & Ors	s Respondents
	1. Heard S	Shri Kaustub G nts and Ms Swa	idh, learned advocate ati Manchekar, learned
	moved by lea	rned counsel f	ng to the minutes is for the applicants as of the Judgment dated
	3. In para	34 – following co	prrection is sought:-
	Applied	for District is m	entioned as Satara.
	Applied	for District shou	ld read as Pune.
		of Applicant is m nt Vitthal Bhise	
	Details o Vitthal I		uld read as Yashwant
	is to be	added.	ayak Bhagwan Kashid ak Bhagwan Kashid Details
	No.	Name	Vinayak Bhagwan
			Kashid
	2.	Date of Birth Age	September 11 th , 1991 32 years
	4.	Educational Qualification	1. Diploma in Electrical Engineering
			2. Bachelor in Electrical Engineering.
			3. Master in Technology (Electrical Power System)
		Caste	Open
	6.	Post applied Applied for	Talathi Satara
	,	District	Satara
	4. Learned Court.	C.P.O submits	to the orders of the
		ngly necessary o in the Origir	correction is made and na! Judgment dated
	Sd/~ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) ^{Akn}	I	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	0 1.12.2023 T	ribunal's orders
	<u>O.A</u>	663/2023
	Shri S.A Chabukswar Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharasht	ra & Ors Respondents
	None present i Swati Manchekar, learr	for the applicant. Heard Ms ned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Affidavit in reply	y is filed.
	3. Admit with liber	ty to file rejoinder, if any.
	4. Place for final h	earing on 5.1.2024.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
	Member (A)	Chairperson
	Alkn	
,		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tri 01.12.2023	bunal's orders
•	0.A '	729/2023
	Shri A.N Upadhye Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra	a & Ors Respondents
		Kadam, learned advocate for vati Manchekar, learned C.P.C
	2. Learned C.P.O red	quest for time.
	3. Learned counsel reply of Respondent No.	for the applicant submits tha 1 is necessary.
	4. S.O to 5.1.2024.	
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Chairperson
	∕şkπ	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 01.12.2023 0.A 795/2023		
	Dr V.V Waghmode & Ors	s Applicants	
	Vs. The State of Maharashtr	a & Ors Respondents	
	1. None present fo Swati Manchekar, learn	or the applicants. Heard Ms ed C.P.O for the Respondents.	
	2. Learned C.P.O re	equest for time to file reply.	
	3. S.O to 22.12.202	23.	
	Sd/- [Medha Gadgil] Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	
	Akn		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	1	District
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versi	18	
The Ste		ashtra and others	
		ashtra and others	Demonster to
		X	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	••••••)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coran Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's	s orders
	<u>0</u>	1.12.2023	
		<u>O.A</u>	906/2023
	S.	B Avalel & Ors Vs.	Applicants
	Tł	ne State of Maharashtr	a & Ors Respondents
	1. ap th		Dere, learned advocate for the i Manchekar, learned C.P.O for
	2.	Affidavit in reply	is filed by the Respondents.
	3.	Learned counsel	seeks time to file rejoinder.
	4.	S.O to 15.12.202	23.
		Sd/- edha Gadgil) ember (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
		,	
	1		[PTO.

(G.C.P.) J 2735	(50,000-4-2019)
-----------------	-----------------

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRIC	r
ι,			Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	1)	ersus	
The St		harashtra and others	
ine St	ate of Ma	narashtra and others	Respondent/s
		,	· Respondents
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cora Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	m,	Tribunal's orders 01.12.2023	3
		<u>0.A 967/2</u>	2021
		Shri M.B Magar Vs.	Applicant
		The State of Maharashtra & Or	rs Respondents
		1. Heard Shri B.A B advocate for the applicant a learned P.O for the Responden	
		2. Learned P.O submits t filed today.	hat affidavit in reply is
		3. Time granted to the app	plicant to file rejoinder.
		4. S.O to 15.12.2023.	
		Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) ^{Akn}	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
		x	
			[<i>P.T.O.</i>
			L

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20		Distric	T Applicant/s
(Advocate)			
	versi	ıs		
The St	ate of Mahar	ashtra and	others	
				Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corar Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	n, <u>01</u>	12.2023	Tribunal's order	s
			O.A 993/20	023
	Th 1. Ga 2. 3.	None p ikwad, lear Learne S.O to Sd/- edha Gadgi ember (A)	ned P.O for the Re ed P.O seeks time 15.12.2023.	plicant. Heard Smt K.s espondents.
	-			

.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20		District Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	ver	sus	
The St	tate of Maha	rashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cora Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal' <u>1.12.2023</u>	s orders
		0.A 1	150/2023
	T 1 ti fo 2 3 (1 1	ne applicant and Ms Sv or the Respondents. . Learned C.P.O se	Jagdale, learned advocate for vati Manchekar, learned C.P.O eks time to file reply.
		·	

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	Dı	sтriст Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	ve	rsus	
The St	ate of Mah	arashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	•••••)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corar Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's o 01.12,2023	rders
		<u>0.A 115</u>	54/2023
		M L Khot Vs. The State of Maharashtra 8	Applicant & Ors Respondents
		Bhavake, learned advocate	nt A. Khatake i/b P.S e for the applicant and Ms C.P.O for the Respondents.
		2. Affidavit in reply is t	
			blicant to file rejoinder.
		4. S.O to 15.12.2023.	
		Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

.

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

	IVIC	MIDAL	
Original Application No.	of 20	D	ISTRICT
			Applicant/s
(Advocate		.)	
	;	versus	
The Sta	te of Ma	aharashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	•••••)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram			
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's 01,12.2023	orders
		<u>0.A 12</u>	75/2023
		K.K Sangh	Applicant
		Vs. The State of Maharashtra	& Ors Respondents
		1. None present for th Gaikwad, learned P.O for t	ne applicant. Heard Smt K.s he Respondents.
		2. Learned P.O reques	st for time to file reply.
		3. S.O to 5.1.2024.	
		Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	1		

•

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

	MUM	UAI		
Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate)			
		110		
The Sta	vers te of Mahar	ashtra and others		
				Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal 12.2023	's orders	
		<u>O.A</u>	1286/2023	
	J.	N Gosavi Vs.		Applicant
	Tł	vs. ne State of Maharasht	ra & Ors	Respondents
		Heard Shri V.P e applicant and Smt e Respondents.	Potbhare, le K.S Gaikwa	earned advocate for ad, learned P.O for
	2.	Learned P.O see	ks time to fil	e reply.
	3.	S.O to 5.1.2024.		
	(N N Ak	Sd/- Iedha Gadgil) Iember (A)	(Mr	Sd/- idula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

.

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000--3-2017)

Original Application No.

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

DISTRICT

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

		Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The State of	Maharashtra and others	
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's o 01.12.2023	orders
	<u>O.A 128</u>	89/2023
·		gdale, learned advocate for Swati Manchekar, learned
	 Affidavit in reply is Admit with liberty t Place for final heari 	filed today. o file rejoinder.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
		[<i>P.T.O.</i>

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	Distric	r Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versi	us	
The	State of Mahar	ashtra and others	
(Presenting Officer)	Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Co Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's order		Tribunal's order .12.2023	s
		<u>O.A 1352/2</u>	023
	Sh	ri A.A Bhosle & Ors Vs.	Applicants
	Th	e State of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
		Heard Shri P.B Kamble e applicants and Ms Swat P.O for the Respondents.	
	2.	Learned C.P.O seeks tim	e to file reply.
	3. giv giv	Learned counsel submi ren the required information. re the necessary information v	M.P.S.C is directed to
	4.	S.O to 15.12.2023.	
	(M M Akr	Sd/- edha Gadgil) ember (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

.

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	E	DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
The	e State of Maharash	tra and others	
(Presenting Officer)	Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of (Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orde	or	Tribunal's .2023	orders
		0.A 619/2023 w	<u>rith M.A 732/2023</u>
	R.D Pa	ardhi & Ors Vs.	Applicants
	A.D Na	argude & Ors And	Intervenors
	The St	ate of Maharashtra	& Ors Respondents
	for the	e applicants and Sm	n Mahajan, learned advocate t K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O i B.A Bandiwadekar, learned s
	2. Applic	Learned counsel su ation seeking interv	ubmits that he has filed Misc ention.
	3. file rep		or the applicant seeks time to cation seeking intervention.
	4. and is	M.A 732/2023 is i wrongly shown with	n fact filed in O.A 619/2023 n O.A 32/2023.
	5. the co	Learned counsel for rection immediately	or the applicant to carry out y to that effect.
	6. contin		3. Interim relief, if any to
	(Medh	Sd/- aa Gadgil) ber (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
· · ·			

•

Original Application No.

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

DISTRICT

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

..... Applicant/s (Advocate) versus The State of Maharashtra and others Respondent/s (Presenting Officer.....) Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or 01.12.2023 Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders C.A 54/2022 in O.A 248/2014 I.S Mulgaonkar ... Applicant Legal heirs S.Y Mulgaonkar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. Learned P.O produces copy of the letter dated 2 24.11.2023, wherein chart of payment made to the applicant is given. The said letter is taken on record and marked as Exh. 1. The details of which are given below:-श्रीमती इरावती मुळगांवकर यांना अदा करण्यात आलेलया रक्कमा **अ**) खालीलप्रमाणे अदा केलेली रक्कम देयक क्रमांक / दिनांक व 31. लाभाचा प्रकार (रुपये) कोष्प्रार प्रमाणक क्रमांक / бō दिनांक देयक क.४९/२६.०५.२०११ 9 गट विमा 9,08,28८/-कोषागार प्रमाणक क्र.६४, दि. 98.09.2099 देयक क्र.३६०/१६.०३.२०११ रजा रोखीकरण £ **ૡ,ૡ**૬,૨૨૦/-कोषागार प्रमाणक क्र.२४२५, दि. ३१.०३.२०११ भविष्य निर्वाह निधी देयक क्र.२८५/०४.०३.२०११ 3.09.233/-З (अंतिम) कोषागार प्रमाणक क.९५०, दि. 98.08.2099 भविष्य निर्वाह निधी देयक क्र.३२/१६.०५.२०११ 90,200/-8 कोषागार प्रमाणक क्र.९७९, दि. 30.08.2099 एकूण अदा रक्कम 99,99,929/-In view of the facts of the case, the 3. Respondents are directed to recover the amount of Rs. 21 lakhs from the pension of the applicant and remaining amounts are to be paid to the legal heirs of the applicant. Learned P.O seeks three months' time to 4. comply with the order. Time granted. 5. C.A accordingly stands disposed of. Sd/-Sd/-(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) (Medha Gadgil) Chairperson Member (A) Akn

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

submitted to the Additional Commissioner, Triba Development Department, Nasik. He furthe submitted that the said report was sent to the Triba Development Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai o 3.8.2023. 3. Considering these submissions, we call th Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development Department, Nasik to remain present and explain wh the report dated 9.7.2019 was not sent to the highe authority, i.e., Secretary, Tribal Development Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, who is th competent authority. A query is made as to why th Tribal Development Department, Mantralaya, Mumba did not ask the Additional Commissioner, Triba Development Department, Nasik, why he did not forward the report dated 9.7.2019 to the highe authority. 4. S.O to 4.12.2023. Sd/- Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Member (A) Chairperson	Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	3
 V.R More Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for thapplicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for th Respondents. Shri Ravindra Gote, Under Secretary, Tribe Development Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai i present. He submitted that the report dated 9.7.201 prepared by the Assistant Commissioner was submitted to the Additional Commissioner, Triba Development Department, Nasik. He furthes ubmitted that the said report was sent to the Triba Development Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai o 8.8.2023. Considering these submissions, we call the Additional Commissioner, Triba Development Department, Nasik to remain present and explain which erport dated 9.7.2019 was not sent to the highe authority, i.e., Secretary, Tribal Development Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai did not ask the Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai did not ask the Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development Department, Nasik, why he did not orward the report dated 9.7.2019 to the highe authority. S.O to 4.12.2023. 		01.12.2023	
Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors … Respondents Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for th applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for th Respondents. Shri Ravindra Gote, Under Secretary, Tribe Development Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai i present. He submitted that the report dated 9.7.201 prepared by the Assistant Commissioner wa submitted to the Additional Commissioner, Tribe Development Department, Nasik. He furthe submitted that the said report was sent to the Triba Development Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai o 8. Considering these submissions, we call th Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development Department, Nasik to remain present and explain wh the report dated 9.7.2019 was not sent to the higher authority, i.e., Secretary, Tribal Development Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, who is th competent authority. A query is made as to why th Tribal Development Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai di not ask the Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development Department, Nasik, why he did not forward the report dated 9.7.2019 to the higher authority. *. S.O to 4.12.2023. Sd/- Medha Gadgilj (Mridula Bhatkar, J Chairperson		O.A 1031/2023	
authority. 4. S.O to 4.12.2023. Sd/- Sd/- [Medha Gadgil] (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Member (A) Chairperson		Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors I. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, lear Respondents. 2. Shri Ravindra Gote, Under S Development Department, Mantralay present. He submitted that the report prepared by the Assistant Comm submitted to the Additional Comm Development Department, Nasik. submitted that the said report was se Development Department, Mantralay 8. Considering these submission Additional Commissioner, Tribal Department, Nasik to remain present a the report dated 9.7.2019 was not se authority, i.e., Secretary, Tribal Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, competent authority. A query is mad Tribal Development Department, Mantralay Commissioner, Mantralaya, Mumbai, competent authority. A query is made Tribal Development Department, Mantralay Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, competent authority. A query is made Tribal Development Department, Mantralay Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, competent authority. A query is made Tribal Development Department, Mantralay Development Department, Mantralay Development Department, Mantralay Commissioner, Tribal	Respondents advocate for the ned P.O for the Secretary, Triba ya, Mumbai i t dated 9.7.2010 missioner wa issioner, Triba He furthe ent to the Triba 7a, Mumbai of ns, we call the Developmen and explain why nt to the highe Developmen who is the de as to why the ralaya, Mumbai issioner, Triba hy he did no
Sd/- Sd/- [Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J. Member (A) Chairperson		authority.	to the inglie
(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J Member (A) Chairperson		4. S.O to 4.12.2023.	
		Medha Gadgil) (Mridu	ıla Bhatkar, J
	,		

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A. No.862 of 2016
	A.J. Telvekar & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No.101.
	2. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants is absent.
	. 3. Ld. PO submits that MPSC is going to file reply during the course of the day.
	4. MPSC is directed to furnish copy to all the parties.
	5. S.O. to 22.1.2024.
	Sdl-Sdl-(Medha Gadgil)(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)Member (A)Chairperson1.12.20231.12.2023
	(sgj)

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

~

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A. No.423 of 2017
	V.D. ZambreApplicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Ms. Tanvi Phatak holding for Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Shri Kunal Tilak Ld. Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Advocate states that in this matter there are 3 respondents i.e. 1) State of Maharashtra, 2) MPSC & 3) Commissioner & Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Pune and there is no respondent r_{12} . The name of Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Advocate is wrongly shown on board as Advocate for Respondent No.7.
	3. Registry to take note and name of Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Advocate is to be deleted.
	4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that Shri S.K. Hande, Ld. Advocate is not well and has filed Leave Note. She seeks time.
	5. At her request adjourned to 12.12.2023.
	$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \\ & & \\ (Medha Gadgil) & (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) \\ Member (A) & Chairperson \\ 1.12.2023 & 1.12.2023 \end{array}$
	(sgj)

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.993 of 2022</u>
	R B. ShaikhApplicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for t Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presentin Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. CPO files sur-rejoinder
	3. The pleadings are complete.
	4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time.
	5. S.O. to 22.1.2024.
	Sd -Sd -(Medha Gadgil)(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)Member (A)Chairperson
	1.12.2023 1.12.2023 (sgj)

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2915)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>OAs No.361, 362, 505, 506 of 2022,</u> <u>OAs No.108, 109, 468, 469 of 2022,</u> <u>OAs No.569, 570 of 2022</u>
	L.K. Shinde & OrsApplicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors
	Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. CPO files reply dated 30.11.2023 of respondent no.5 and a copy is given to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant.
-	3. Ld. CPO seeks permission to replace page 214 of the OA.
	4. Permission granted.
	5. S.O. to 14.12.2023. Interim relief, if any, to continue.
	Sd)Sd/(Medha Gadgil)(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)Member (A)Chairperson1.12.20231.12.2023
	(sgj)

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

-

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.1138 of 2023</u>
	K.A. LoharApplicantApplicant
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri U.S. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.
	3. S.O. to 15.12.2023 for final hearing.
	Sd/- (Meďňa Gadgil) Member (A) 1.12.2023
	(sgj)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015) [Spl- MA IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.980 of 2023</u>
	A.G. JagtapApplicant
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.
	3. S.O. to 13.12.2023 by way of last chance. No further chance will be granted.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.12.2023
	(sgj)

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000–2-2015) [Spl- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

÷

of 20

İΝ

Original Application No.

of 20

Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presentin Officer for the Respondents.	Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
 N.D. Faktor VS. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for th Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presentin Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. PO files reply dated 22.11.2023 of respondent no.2. 3. Admit. 4. S.O. to 10.1.2024 for final hearing. Sd/- (Medhä Gadgt)) Member (A) 1.12.2023 (sgj) 		<u>O.A. No.915 of 2023</u>		
He state of Manademater end Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for th Applicant and Sntt. Archana B.K., learned Presentin Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. PO files reply dated 22.11.2023 of responden no.2. 3. Admit. 4. S.O. to 10.1.2024 for final hearing. Sd/- (Medht Giadgt)) Member (A) 1.12.2023 (sgj)		Vs.		
no.2. 3. Admit. 4. S.O. to 10.1.2024 for final hearing. Sd/- (Medha Gadgf1) Member (A) 1.12.2023 (sgj)		Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting		
4. S.O. to 10.1.2024 for final hearing. Sd/- (Medhá Gadgfl) Member (A) 1.12.2023 (sgj)				
Sd/- (Medhä Gadgi) Member (A) 1.12.2023 (sgj)		3. Admit.		
(Medhá Gadgrí) Member (A) 1.12.2023		4. S.O. to 10.1.2024 for final hearing.		
		(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)		
	• • •			

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000–2-2015) ISpl.- MAT-IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>O.A. No.843 of 2023</u>		
	V.S. TikoleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents		
	Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.		
	3. Admit.		
	4. S.O. to 10.1.2024 for final hearing.		
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)		
	(sgj)		

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000–2-2015) ISpl.- MA IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

	Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
		<u>O.A. No.749 of 2023</u>		
		M.B. LoharApplicant		
		Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents		
·		Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
		2. Ld. PO files reply dated 13.11.2023 of respondents no.2 & 3.		
		3. Admit.		
		4. S.O. to 5.1.2024 for final hearing.		
		Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.12.2023		
		(sgj)		
•.				

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. ·

of 20

İΝ

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	MA.603/2023 in MA.604/2023 in OA.1112/2017	
	P.D. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	Heard Shri D.W. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Applicant to serve the office of Ld. CPO.	
	3. S.O. to 22.12.2023.	
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.12.2023 (sgj)	

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1420 of 2023

...Applicant

Heard Smt. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.1.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules. 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption **"For Dismissal"** and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

> Sd/-(Medha Gadgfl) Member (A) 1.12.2023

(sgj)

P.S. Solankar Vs.

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1419 of 2023

D.K. Doke Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

.. Applicant

Heard Smt. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.1.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

> Sd/-(Medha dadgil) Member (A) 1.12.2023

 $\mathbf{2}$

(sgj)

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1418 of 2023

R.T. Shelar Vs ..Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Smt. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.1.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

> Sd/-(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.12.2023

(sgj)

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1416 of 2023

L.C. Suryawanshi Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

.. Applicant

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.1.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption **"For Dismissal"** and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

> Sd/-(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.12.2023

(sgj)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000–2-2015) [Spl- MA IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

<u>,</u> .

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.898 of 2023</u>	
	S.M. Deshmukh & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicants Respondents
	Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presentin Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board.	
	3. S.O. to 13.12.2023. Interim relie	ef to continue.
	(M]	Sd/- Iedha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.12.2023
	(sgj)	
		•
•		

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

•

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	O.A. No.1037 of 2019 & 9 Ors.	
	1. Dr. G.B. Taware(OA.1037/2019)2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath(OA.1039/2019)3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar(OA.1040/2019)4. Dr. N.B. Masaram(OA.1041/2019)5. Dr. V.P. Doijad(OA.1042/2019)	
	6. C.G. Patil(OA.1237/2019)7. Dr. M.M. Pawar(OA.1238/2019)8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal(OA.1239/2019)9. Dr. P.N. Rathi(OA.1240/2019)10 Dr. A.B. Pandav(OA.1241/2019)	
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants in Sr. No.1 to 5, Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for Applicants in Sr. No.6 to 10 and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Shri K.B. Bhise, Ld. Advocate files compilation and the same is taken on record.	
	3. Interim relief granted by order dated 24.10.2019 to continue.	
	4. S.O. to 20.12.2023.	
	Sd/- (Medha Gadğil) Member (A) 1.12.2023	
	(sgj)	

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 01.12.2023	
	O.A. No.1250 of 2023	
	S.M. ChavanApplicant Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	 Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 	
	2. Learned P.O. states based on instruction from representative of 'Tahsildar Haveli, District Pune' the the Applicant has submitted the letter on 24.11.2023 'Tahsildar Haveli, District Pune' and again requested be given posting as 'Talathi'. 'Tahsildar Haveli, District Pune' is therefore directed to immediately forward the letter of Applicant dated 24.11.2023 to 'District Collector, Pune'. Thereafter 'District Collector, Pune' personally examine the case of Applicant and ta appropriate decision within 'One Week' to perm Applicant to join back on any 'Vacant Post' of 'Talathi'.	
	3. S.O. to 15.12.2023.	
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	
	NMN	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	pearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 01.12.2023		
	O.A. No.1255 of 2023		
	B.A. PawarApplicar Versus		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
	 Heard Smt. Shrutika Tilak, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. 		
	3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant. Time as prayed is granted.		
	4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.		
	5. S.O. to 03.01.2024.		
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)		
	NMN		

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date:	01.12.2023	
	O.A. No.1207 of 2023		of 2023
	1	Gaikwad	Applicant
	Versu The S	tate of Maharashtra & Or	sRespondents.
		Heard Smt. Punam Ma he Applicant and Smt enting Officer for the Respo	
		Learned P.O. has filed '/ dditional Affidavit' on beł aken on record.	Affidavit-in-Reply' as well nalf of Respondent No.2.
		Learned Advocate for th ks' time to file 'Affidavit-in icant. Time as prayed is g	
	4.	'Interim Relief' to conti	nue till 'Next Date'.
	5.	S.O. to 03.01.2024.	
			Sd/-
		(1	Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)
	NMN		