

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA.702 of 2022 in OA.557/2021 & OA.676/2021</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra ..Applicant Vs. S.M. Mutekar & Ors. S.B. Patil & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant-original Respondent. None for respondents-original applicants.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 9.12.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.12.2022 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.12.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.629 of 2022 in O.A. No.677 of 2022</u></p> <p>MPSC ..Applicant Vs. N.K. Pashte & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Respondents-original Applicants.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that additional reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the Respondents-original Applicants states that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8.12.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.12.2022 1.12.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.688/2022 in O.A.No.1192/2022</p> <p>V.D. TekeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respdents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1192/2022</p> <p>V.D. Teke & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respdents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter 6 applicants who are working as Assistant Section Officer (ASO) in Mumbai contend that their objections were not taken into account while publishing interim/combined seniority list published on 02.11.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate submits that in the meeting of the DPC held on 24.11.2022 it was proposed to promote 120 persons, for 102 vacancies in the grade of ASO. Out of 6 applicants, two applicants' names appeared in the said list. Learned Advocate submits that the 65 candidates superseding the applicants in the interim seniority list dated 02.11.2022 due to erroneous inclusion of their names in the list because of the exemption, the serial nos. of those 65 candidates are as below : 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 420, 421, 423, 424, 427, 430, 485, 494, 535, 539, 545, 549, 554, 555, 559, 560, 561, 562, 563, 571, 573, 574, 575, 632 to 636, 639, 641, 643, 645, 646, 647, 648, 649, 651, 652, 653, 655, 658, 660, 662 to 675, 677, 678, 679. He submits that in view of above interim relief may be granted.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. is directed to make statement by way of affidavit as to how exemption was granted to these candidates.</p> <p>5. We therefore direct the Government to issue all promotion orders subject to the outcome of the O.A. We direct learned Advocate Mr. Khaire to make the above 65 candidates as Party Respondents in the array of Respondents as they are going to be adversely effect.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.12.2022.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

12. Adjourned to 15.12.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil/
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>01.12.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1168/2022</u></p> <p>M.S Khadse ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p align="center"><u>SUO MOTO SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES.</u></p> <p>1. Learned C.P.O states that in the order dated 30.11.2022 in para 2 it is stated that the applicant challenges order dated 18.10.2022 wherein she was reverted from the post of Matron/Sister Tutor to Staff Nurse.</p> <p>2. However, learned C.P.O submits that the applicant is reverted from the post of Sister Tutor to Staff Nurse and the same be corrected accordingly.</p> <p>3. Hence, we order accordingly.</p> <p>The applicant challenges the order dated 18.10.2022 wherein she was reverted from the post of Sister Tutor to Staff Nurse.</p> <p align="center">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.12.2022

O.A. No.1210 of 2022

V.G. KarandikarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant sought direction ~~from~~^{to} Respondent No.1 to relieve him for Inter District Transfer on the establishment of Deputy Inspector General of Police – Nanded Range, Nanded. He is presently working on the establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Nanded Range, Nanded has given NOC and matter is referred to the Respondent No.1 - ~~Deputy Inspector General of Police,~~^{Director General (and)} Nanded Range, Nanded for further orders.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.12.2022.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 15.12.2022.

\
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA.702 of 2022 in OA.557/2021 & OA.676/2021</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra ..Applicant Vs. S.M. Mutekar & Ors. S.B. Patil & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant-original Respondent. None for respondents-original applicants.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 9.12.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date. the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.12.2022 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.12.2022</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.629 of 2022 in O.A. No.677 of 2022</u></p> <p>MPSC ..Applicant Vs. N.K. Pashte & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Respondents-original Applicants.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that additional reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the Respondents-original Applicants states that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8.12.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.12.2022</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.12.2022</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.12.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.688/2022 in O.A.No.1192/2022</p> <p>V.D. TekeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respdents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1192/2022</p> <p>V.D. Teke & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respdents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter 6 applicants who are working as Assistant Section Officer (ASO) in Mumbai contend that their objections were not taken into account while publishing interim/combined seniority list published on 02.11.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate submits that in the meeting of the DPC held on 24.11.2022 it was proposed to promote 120 persons, for 102 vacancies in the grade of ASO. Out of 6 applicants, two applicants' names appeared in the said list. Learned Advocate submits that the 65 candidates superseding the applicants in the interim seniority list dated 02.11.2022 due to erroneous inclusion of their names in the list because of the exemption, the serial nos. of those 65 candidates are as below : 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 420, 421, 423, 424, 427, 430, 485, 494, 535, 539, 545, 549, 554, 555, 559, 560, 561, 562, 563, 571, 573, 574, 575, 632 to 636, 639, 641, 643, 645, 646, 647, 648, 649, 651, 652, 653, 655, 658, 660, 662 to 675, 677, 678, 679. He submits that in view of above interim relief may be granted.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. is directed to make statement by way of affidavit as to how exemption was granted to these candidates.</p> <p>5. We therefore direct the Government to issue all promotion orders subject to the outcome of the O.A. We direct learned Advocate Mr. Khaire to make the above 65 candidates as Party Respondents in the array of Respondents as they are going to be adversely effect.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

6. The office Tribunal orders if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.12.2022.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

12. Adjourned to 15.12.2022.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders**

Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.12.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.728 of 2022</p> <p>S. D. Jagdale & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicants has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.12.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.12.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.1057 of 2022</p> <p>V.P. SomvanshiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant is seeking Transfer from the Department of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to the Superintendent of Police, Parbhani. 3. Insofar as, The Commissioner of Police, Mumbai is concerned in connected O.As. composite Reply is filed and no separate Reply is filed in this O.A. 4. However, as regard Respondent No.2 – The Superintendent of Police, Parbhani, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. sought time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 5. Whereas, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that Respondent No.2 - The Superintendent of Police, Parbhani have taken decision in meeting dated 25.11.2022 that if the Applicant failed to join within ten days on his establishment, NOC given by him (dated 24.06.2022) will stand cancel. The Commissioner of Police, Mumbai is not ready to relieve and raised ground in Affidavit-in-Reply filed in connected O.As. 6. Decision of O.As will take some time and till then the Applicant needs to protected by interim relief. 7. In view of above, Respondent No.2 – The Superintendent of Police, Parbhani is directed to keep one post of the Applicant vacant and his NOC dated 24.06.2022 should remain alive till the decision of O.A. 8. S.O. to 08.12.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.952 of 2022</p> <p>S.M. SangleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed O.A. for Inter District Transfer from the Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai to The Commissioner of Police, Nashik, all Respondents are served.</p> <p>3. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. submits that she has only received instruction from Respondent No.4 – The Commissioner of Police, Nashik and filling Affidavit-in-Reply on his behalf. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. No Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3.</p> <p>5. This O.A. be kept with connected O.As. which are ripe for Final Hearing.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 08.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.26 of 2022 with connected O.As</p> <p>A.K. Pawar & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar & Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. These O.As are pertaining to the Transfer from establishment of The Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to other Districts. 3. Today, Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to file additional Affidavit with some Annexure. It is taken on record. 4. Learned P.O. sought permission to file additional Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.3 – The Commissioner of Police, Nashik. It is taken on record. 5. Learned P.O. also sought permission to file one composite Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai as a common for all O.As pertaining to Transfer from the establishment of The Commissioner of Police, Mumbai. It is taken on record. 6. S.O. to 08.12.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.63 of 2021</p> <p>R.G. LandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.112 of 2020</p> <p>D.W. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v* (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.652 of 2022 in O.A.No.1139 of 2022</p> <p>T. G. Bhole & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly. 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately. 4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. 5. M. A. No.652 /2022 is allowed. No order as to costs. <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.928 of 2019</p> <p>S.A. DhamaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to file the Affidavit along with Annexure namely appeal memo and Reply to the chargesheet.</p> <p>3. Documents sought to be produced today are necessary for adjudication of the present O.A.</p> <p>4. Hence, production is allowed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.187 of 2021</u></p> <p>D.N. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed application dated 1.12.2022 for speaking to minutes of the order dated 30.11.2022 passed in the above OA.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that in para 2 line no.5 of the farad order it is mentioned as, 'technical post' whereas it should be 'non-technical post'.</p> <p>4. The order be corrected and read accordingly. Speaking to minutes disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.12.2022 1.12.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1115 of 2018 with O.A. No.168 of 2018</u></p> <p>M.S. Jadhav S.C. Zanjure & Ors. ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p> Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA No.1115 of 2018 and Shri Indrajit Magdum holding for Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A. No.168 of 2018 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Indrajit Magdum, Ld. Advocate states that Shri A.A. Gharte, Ld. Advocate is not keeping well and he requests for adjournment.</p> <p>3. At his request adjourned to 5.12.2022.</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; width: 50%;">Sd/- (Mridula Gadgil) Member (A) 1.12.2022</td> <td style="text-align: center; width: 50%;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.12.2022</td> </tr> </table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (Mridula Gadgil) Member (A) 1.12.2022	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.12.2022
Sd/- (Mridula Gadgil) Member (A) 1.12.2022	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.12.2022		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.757 of 2020</p> <p align="center">C.M. KabadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respdents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that she is will be filing reply to the amendment O.A. during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 22.12.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.883 of 2022</p> <p align="center">S.R. ZanzurneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respdents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed. Adjourned to 08.12.2022 for rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.12.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1045/2022</p> <p>A.S. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A. Jagtap learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant, working on the post of Police Constable, challenges dismissal order dated 20.10.2021 and order of dismissal in appeal dated 22.04.2022. Learned Advocate submits that applicant is facing challenges of bigamy and enquiry is concluded.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.01.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 05.01.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>01.12.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A No. 11/2022 in O.A 131/2021</u></p> <p>Smt M.J Kadam ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O produces copy of order dated 1.12.2022 passed by the Respondents, imposing punishment on the applicant after completion of the departmental enquiry. Copy of the said order is taken on record and marked as Exh. 'I.'</p> <p>3. As the order of this Tribunal has been complied with, nothing remains in the Contempt Application and the same stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20


I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.568 of 2022 in O.A. No.83 of 2015</u> <u>(OA.133/2014-Aurangabad)</u></p> <p>Smt. Jivanrekha V. Jamdhade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has filed the above MA for recalling the order of dismissal dated 25.2.2021 passed in the above OA and for restoration of OA.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate submits that matter was transferred from Aurangabad Bench to Mumbai as the applicant has shifted her residence to Mumbai. The matter was transferred to Mumbai in 2015. Now that her address is changed she is not receiving the notices. The matter was dismissed on 25.2.2021 during COVID-19 period.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, MA for restoration is allowed and disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. OA is restored to file.</p> <p>6. OA is adjourned to 12.12.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.12.2022 1.12.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1164 of 2022</u></p> <p>Y.S. Tekawade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.1.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.12.2022 1.12.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.876 of 2022</p> <p>R. V. MukaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits Affidavit in Rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1101 of 2022</p> <p>V. D. ParabApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. This O.A. be kept on 12.12.2022 with connected O.A.827/2022 & Ors.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.746 of 2021</p> <p>K. D. Baraf & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that the relief claimed in the O.A. for grant of Rs.15,000/- per month is already granted to the Applicant No.1- Krishna Baraf and Applicant No.2 – Pankaj Bhavar, and therefore, permission to delete their names.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. concedes that DPC in its meeting dated 06.05.2022 held that the Applicant No.1 and 2 are eligible for grant of Rs.15,000/- per month and accordingly it is granted.</p> <p>4. In view of above, name of Applicant No.1 and 2 be deleted from the O.A. The O.A. should continue with remaining four Applicants.</p> <p>5. During the course of hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicants sought permission to file G.R. pertaining to dispensation of interview for Group 'D' posts. He wants to file the additional Affidavit along with relevant G.R.</p> <p>6. One week time is granted to do the needful.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 08.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>ysm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.347 of 2021 (Speaking to Minute)</p> <p>S. S. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is taken up for 'Speaking to Minutes'. The O.A. is already decided by order dated 23.11.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant pointed out that one typographical mistake in Para No.20 of the judgment in which the date of death of Applicant's mother is mentioned as 07.09.2020 instead of 07.06.2020, it needs to be corrected as 07.06.2020. It be corrected accordingly.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has further raised grievance of non-releasing of three benefits namely GPF of Rs.60,000/-, difference of allowance of 7th Pay Commission Rs.80,000/- and benefit of Rs.40,000/- under ACPS scheme on completion of 20 years of service.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that the payment of all these three items is in pipeline and monetary benefits will be paid within two weeks.</p> <p>6. In view of submission made by learned P.O., no further order is required by the Tribunal.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">R. A. No.20 of 2022 in O.A.No.591 of 2021</p> <p>S. A. NashikkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder in R.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. R.A. be kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.12.2022.</p> <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- ^v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.689 of 2022</p> <p>S. S. ChandaliyaApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to file additional Affidavit along with annexures on issue whether the Applicant retired as a Group 'D' employee.</p> <p>3. Allowed to file additional Affidavit. It be taken on record.</p> <p>4. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 06.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N vsm

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.951 of 2021</p> <p>S. Y. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G. B. Pawar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to file additional Affidavit along with annexures inter-alia contending that it is necessary for adjudication of the issue involved in the matter. 3. Allowed to file the same. It be taken on record. 4. Learned P.O. sought time to file counter Affidavit so as to deal with the issue now raised by the Applicant. 5. One week time is granted. 6. S.O. to 08.12.2022. <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.914 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. K. D. Dayal ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On previous date, two weeks time is granted by way of last chance but the reply is not filed.</p> <p>3. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>4. O.A. to proceed without reply. It be kept for hearing without reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 13.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- Vv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1158 of 2022</p> <p>M. B. BhujbalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. D. Kango, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 26.09.2022.</p> <p>3. When the matter is taken up for admission and the issue of jurisdiction is raised by the Tribunal, learned Counsel for the Applicant fairly concedes that the Applicant is Zilla Parishad employee serving in Zilla Parishad, Satara. As such, this Tribunal has no jurisdiction, and the remedy lies somewhere else.</p> <p>4. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to challenge the suspension order before the competent court/authority.</p> <p>5. Allowed to withdraw the O.A. as prayed for.</p> <p>6. The Original Application is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- W* (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.12.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1209 of 2022</p> <p>J. V. LilkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In the 2nd round of litigation, the Applicant has again challenged the order dated 20.10.2022 whereby the suspension has been continued. The Applicant was suspended by order dated 11.02.2022 which was challenged by him in O.A.No.611/2022 which was disposed of on 15.09.2022 giving directions to take review of suspension in the light of observations made in the judgment. It is on this background, the review committee has taken decision to continue the suspension which is again challenged by the Applicant in the present O.A. 3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.12.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 15.12.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.12.2022</p> <p>M. A. No.699 of 2022 in O.A.No.1110 of 2022</p> <p>V. R. PingleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The M.A. is filed for condonation of delay for two years and six months caused in filing O.A. 3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.01.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 05.01.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 01.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1202 of 2022</p> <p>U. B. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 13.10.2022 thereby revising pay scale after retirement and seeking recovery of Rs.76,052/-. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that the issue is already decided by this Tribunal in various Original Applications filed by him and despite the decision in favour of employees similar order or recovery are again being issued. He, therefore, prayed for interim stay to recovery. 4. The Applicant is retired as Head Constable Writer on 31.12.2020 and after retirement, now the pay is revised and recovery is sought. Thus, prima-facie, recovery seems impermissible in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>Civil Appeal No.11527/2014 (State of Punjab and others Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer), decided on 18th December, 2014.</i> 5. Interim relief as prayed in Clause 10(B) of O.A. is granted. 6. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.12.2022. 8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

12. S.O. to 12.12.2022 along with O.A.No.827/2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm