

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 01.11.2018

O. A. No.870 of 2018

S. B. Sambre @ S.R. ChawleApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri J. S. Ingle, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. As this Original Application pertains to posting, hence transferred to the Single Bench.

DATE : 1/11/2018

CORAM : Shri. P. N. Dixit (MIA)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. J. S. Ingle

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar
C.P.O./O. for the Respondent/sM/S.O. to place before
S/B.

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

MIA

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 01.11.2018.

O.A.No.966 of 2018
(Subject : D.E.)

Dr. R.V. Deshpande

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri N.P. Dalvi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. In the midst of hearing, learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for permission to withdraw with liberty to file fresh for the same and appropriate relief.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE : 01/11/18

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : N.P. Dalvi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Adv/S.O. to : Head

order passed in Tribunal column

O.A. withdraw with liberty to file fresh for the same and appropriate relief.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 01.11.2018

O. A. No.765 of 2018

S. H. Pawar

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms S.P. Manchekar,
the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the
Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks adjournment to file reply.

3. S.O. to 19.11.2018.

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

DATE: 1/11/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice P. N. Dixit Member (A)

Advocate for the Applicant

None for the Applicant

Advocate for the Respondent

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

Chief P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adv/S.O. to 19/11/18

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 11/11/18</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : A. P. Gharate</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi</p> <p>Advocate for the Respondents Adv. S.O. to 28/11/18</p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.832 of 2017</p> <p>R. L. Borase & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. A. Gharate, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant the matter is adjourned to 28.11.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A) </p> <p>VSM</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 01.11.2018. Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : 1/11/18</p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Smt. Punam Mahajan (9/1/18) M.D. Lonkar for O.A. 1099/16 Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C. P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to Head order passed in Tribunal Column 7 S.O. 19/11/18</p>	<p>O.A.No.916 of 2016 with M.A.No.468 of 2018 with O.A.No.1099 of 2016 with O.A.No.697 of 2017 with O.A.No.753 of 2017 with M.A.No.341 of 2017 with O.A.No.308 of 2018</p> <p>M.B. Patil & Ors. (O.A.916/2016) A. Padwal (M.A.468/2018) J.S. Phad & Ors. (O.A.1099/2016) S.S. Patil & Ors. (O.A.697/2017) K.B. Phund 7 Ors., (O.A.753/2017 with M.A.341/2017) D.N. Bhadakawad (O.A.308/2018)Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.916/2016, Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.1099/2016, Shri V. Mishra, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.697/2017, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondents No.4 to 16.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents Ms. S.P. Manchekar states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) Instructions are received from General Administration Department (G.A.D.) as well as Revenue and Forest Department stating that G.A.D. will need a week's time for taking decision.</p> <p>(b) Revenue and Forest Department has prayed for a week's time after receiving reply from G.A.D..</p> <p>3. In view of the statement of learned P.O. based on statement of the concerned Departments hearing is adjourned on opening day i.e. 19.11.2018. However, process of finalization in seniority should be completed before that date.</p> <p>4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O..</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 19.11.2018.</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>(A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/11/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>V.R. Patil</u>..... Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u>..... C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adl./ S.O. to</p>	<p>01.11.2018</p> <p><u>O.A 02/2017</u></p> <p>Shri C.A Jadhav Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.R Patil, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <u>dm</u> (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.11.2018.

M.A.No.312 of 2018 in O.A.No.192 of 2018

N.K. Shinde

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.⁶ Kadam, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. It is seen from the affidavit, opposing application for condonation of delay that the statement is made that the enquiry is in progress. Relevant statement reads as follows :-

"5. With reference to contents of Paragraph No.4 of Miscellaneous Application, I say and submit that enquiry is still going on against the present Applicant. Evidence of the parties was recorded and enquiry is continuing. However, the Applicant has not given any cogent reasons as to why she has approached this Hon'ble Tribunal in the year 2018 to challenge the chargesheet that was issued to her in January 2012.

(Quoted paragraph 5, from page 14 & 15 of paper book of O.A.)

3. Officer who has filed affidavit namely Shri Tushar E. Thombre,, Deputy Collector, Land Acquisition No.6, Sangli, is directed to remain present on the next date, with record on the bases of which the statement contained in paragraph 5, which is quoted hereinbefore is made.

4. Record be produced on the next date.

5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O..

6. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

7. S.O. to 27.11.2018.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.),
Chairman

DATE: 1/11/18.

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M. B. Kadam

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./C.O. to Head

order passed by
Tribunal 01/11/18
S.O. to 27/11/18

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20
I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>11.11.18.</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>S.N. Biradar</u>.....</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Ad/S.O. to <u>Removed from Board</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">P</p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2018.</p> <p>O.A.No.1083 of 2017 with O.A.No.1186 of 2017 with O.A.No.165 of 2018 with O.A.No.1035 of 2017</p> <p>V.S. Wayagankar & Ors. (O.A.1083/2017) S.D. Kumbhare & Ors. (O.A.1186/2017) S.N. Vyaohare (O.A.165/2018) S.D. Kumbhare & Ors. (O.A.1035/2017)Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.N. Biradar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.1186 of 2017 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar., the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A.No.1186 of 2017 to be removed from board.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO. _____

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/11/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>R. M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C/P. P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj/S.O. to <u>20/11/18</u></p> <p><u>Order passed in</u> <u>Tribunal Column</u></p>	<p><u>01.11.2018</u></p> <p><u>O.A 911 /2018</u></p> <p>Shri S.D Pol ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O prays for four weeks' time. 3. Record shows that returnable date which was fixed on 12.12.2018 and matter was taken on board by circulation. 4. In this peculiar background, learned P.O is justified in praying for time. 5. We, therefore, grant only two weeks' time in the background that similar matters are heard, say of M.P.S.C is of prototype format and therefore, prayer for longer adjournment is totally unjustified. 6. Hence time is granted till next date. 7. S.O to 20.11.2018. <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

DATE : 11/11/18

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. P. Mahajan

C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adv/S.O. to 27/11/18

Date : 01.11.2018

O. A. No.197 of 2018

T. P. Salunkhe

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Mahajan, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for adjournment for seeking instructions from her client regarding the representation to be made before the concerned after the order of promotion.

3. S.O. to 27.11.2018.

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

DATE: 11/11/18
CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. K. R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar
C.P.O. for the Respondents

Adl./ S.O. to 5/12/18


Date : 01.11.2018

O. A. No.693 of 2018

P. M. Kudal

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks adjournment to take instructions and to file reply.

3. S.O. to 05.12.2018.

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 01.11.2018

O. A. No.912 of 2018

P. S. Nagargoje

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission to amend the Original Application by filing additional impugned order issued on 29.10.2018 by the Respondent No.3.

3. Permission is granted to make necessary amendment to the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

4. S.O. to 04.12.2018.

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

DATE : 01/11/18
CORAM :
~~Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. : M. D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : N. G. Gohad
P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adv/S.O. to : Heard

order passed in tribunal
column.

5020 4/11/18.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>11/11/18</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>Punam Mahajan</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>N. G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent's</p> <p>Adv/S.O. to <u>admit and kept for final hearing in due course.</u></p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.520 of 2018</p> <p>S. S. KshirsagarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. files reply on behalf of the Respondents. The same is taken on record. 3. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing in due course.
	<p>Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p>
	<p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>11/11/18</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. <u>B. P. Bandiwadekar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N. G. Gohad</u> C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adv/S.O. to <u>admit a/c kept for final hearing in due course</u></p> <p align="right"><u>e</u></p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2018</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.679 of 2018</p> <p>M. A. R. ShaikhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. is granted liberty to file reply on behalf of remaining respondents, if required. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also seeks time to file rejoinder. 4. Original Application is admitted and kept for final hearing in due course. <p align="right">Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>27/11/18</u> CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>M. Agarwal for Talekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents Adl/S.O. to <u>27/11/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2018 O. A. No.585 of 2018 A. N. SawaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. Agarwal holding for Shri Talekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant requests to tag the matter along with the O.A. No.600/2018 on 27.11.2018. 3. The Respondents are directed to file reply prior to 27.11.2018. 4. S.O. to 27.11.2018. <p align="right">Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

DATE: 16/11/18
CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. M. D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N. G. Gohad
C.P./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adm./S.O. to admit and kept for
final hearing in due
course.

2

Date : 01.11.2018

O. A. No.595 of 2018

A. D. SawantApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondent

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. mentions that reply will be filed during the course of the day.
3. Learned Advocate seeks time to file rejoinder, if necessary.
4. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing in due course.

Sd/-
(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 01.11.2018

DATE : 01/11/18

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S. P. Manchekar

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adm./ S.O. to 27.11.18



M.A. No.523 of 2918 in O. A. No.436 of 2018

C. R. Jadhav & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., the matter is adjourned to 27.11.2018 to enable her to file reply.

3. S.O. to 27.11.2018.

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>11/11/18</u> CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>Dr. M. D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>M. G. Gohad</u> C.F. P.O. for the Respondent's Ad/S.O. to <u>Head</u> <u>admit and kept for</u> <u>final hearing in due</u> <u>course.</u></p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2018 O. A. No.813 of 2018 D. R. BadiwaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents. 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. files reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. The same is taken on record. 3. Learned P.O. is free to file reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 & 2, if required. 4. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing in due course.</p>
	<p align="right">Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>1/11/18</u> CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>D. B. Khaire</u>..... Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.F. P.O. for the Respondents Adl/S.O. to <u>27/11/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2018 O. A. No.556 of 2018 V. D. ThoratApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents. 1. Heard Shri D. B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. 3. S.O. to 27.11.2018.</p>
	<p>Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p>
	<p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>11/11/18</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>B. A. Bandiwadekar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adt./S.O. to <u>27/11/18</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>2</u></p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.326 of 2018</p> <p>S. D. BhagatApplicant Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.11.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>01/11/18</u> CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>A. A. Desai</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adv/S.O. to <u>27/11/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2018 O. A. No.506 of 2018 U. J. Marathe & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents. 1. Heard Shri A. A. Desai, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O. seeks adjournment. 3. S.O. to 27.11.2018.</p>
	<p>Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p>
	<p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI.**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>11/11/18</u> CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.O. P.O. for the Respondent/s Adl/S.O. to <u>Head</u> <u>Adi to sine die with permission to circulate</u></p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2018 O. A. No.59 of 2018 with O.A.60 of 2018 Dr. K. V. Nemade & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents. 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant the matter is adjourned to sine-die with permission to circulate.</p>
	<p>Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p>
	<p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2018 Tribunal's orders</p>
<p>DATE : 11/11/18 CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : A. R. Joshi Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : S. Suryawanshi C.P. P.O. for the Respondents Act./S.O. to : Heard order passed in Tribunal column In view of the above M.A. as well as O.A. are disposed off accordingly with no order as to costs.</p>	<p>M.A. No.236 of 2917 in O. A. No.504 of 2017</p> <p>R. B. MandkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. produces a communication from the Respondent No.1 informing that the prayer made by the applicant has been considered. The same is taken on record. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission to dispose off the matter with directions to complete the process of furnishing necessary financial benefits to the Applicant within a period of three months. 4. The Respondent is directed to complete the process within a period of three months. 5. In view of the above, M.A. as well as O.A. are disposed off accordingly with no order as to costs. 6. Hamdast and steno copy is granted to learned P.O. <p>Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/11/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A. J. Chougule</u> Advocate for the Applicant (Ori. - Res.)</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. Deshmukh</u> C. P.O. for the Respondents (Ori. - Applicant)</p> <p>Adj. S.O. is <u>heard</u> order passed in Tribunal Column 5020 2011 118 <u>2</u></p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2018</p> <p>R.A. No.18 of 2018 in O. A. No.668 of 2018</p> <p>Director of Medical Education & OrsApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>A. R. ShaikhRespondent</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, the learned Advocate for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri L. S. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant) 2. Learned P.O. for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) mentions that the statement made before the Tribunal by the concerned officer has been done without having full details at his statement and disposal. His statement is at Exb. RA-3. Learned P.O., therefore, seeks to recall this order. 3. Learned Advocate for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant) opposes the same. 4. However, in view of the facts and reasons stated in the R.A., R.A. is allowed and the order issued on 20.08.2018 is recalled. 5. Learned P.O. is directed to file detailed reply on behalf of the Respondent in O.A. and file the same on 20.11.2018 and serve the copy of the same in advance to learned Advocate for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant). 6. S.O. to 20.11.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>1/11/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Ch. P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Sd/Spt. C. <u>Kulkarni</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant <u>S. P. Mandekar</u></p> <p>C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adl./S.O. to <u>Head</u></p> <p>Hence M.A is disposed</p>	<p>01.11.2018</p> <p><u>M.A 582/2018 in O.A No 973 /2018</u></p> <p>Dr M.K Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C Kulkarni, learned for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Prayer for interim relief can be considered when O.A may be considered for admission, if merit is made out. 3. Hence M.A is disposed. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>(A.H Joshi, JJA)</u> Chairman</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/11/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>C. Kulkarni</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. P. Manekeba</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Head</u> <u>order passed in Tribunal column</u> <u>5022 14/12/18</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">01.11.2018</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A No. 973 /2018</p> <p>Dr M.K Patil Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. Kulkarni, learned for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 14.12.2018.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 14.12.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">Sd/- <u>(A.H Joshi, J.)</u> Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 01.11.2018
<p>DATE: <u>11/11/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. H. Ebit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri S. R. Deshpande</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. D. Dole</u> C.P. P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adv/S.O. to <u>H. C. Dole</u> <u>order passed in</u> <u>Tribunal Column</u> <u>S.O to 17/12/18</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>2</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A No 976/2018</u></p> <p>Shri A.B Rode ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.R Deshpande, learned for the applicant and Shri S.D Dole, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 17.12.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O to 17.12.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- T.A.H Joshi, J Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>11/11/18</u></p> <p>CORAM For the Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A- Shri A.P. Kurhekar Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: For the Applicant <u>Shri S.D. Dole</u> P.O. for the Respondents <u>S.O. to 6/12/18</u> Steno copy and Hamdast allowed.</p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2018</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.865 of 2018</p> <p>S.M. JadhavApplicant Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In this matter, Tribunal in it's order dated 26.9.2018 expressed that the Applicant's representation dated 1.1.2018 (Exh. 'D', page no.18) pending with the Respondent No.1 be decided expeditiously. However, it seems not decided yet. 3. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents wants time to file reply so that he can able to state about the decision, if any, taken by the Respondents on the Applicant's representation dated 1.1.2018. 4. Four weeks time is granted to file affidavit-in-reply and in the meantime, decision on the representation dated 1.1.2018, if any taken, be communicated to the Tribunal as well as the Applicant. 5. S.O. to 6.12.2018. 6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.
	<p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>11/11/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Honble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A Shri A.P. Kurhekar (Member CJ)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Present <u>A.V. Bandiwaddekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Ms. S. Suryawanshi</u> P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>Ms. N.G. Gohad</u> Adv./S.O. to <u>29/11/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.950 of 2018</p> <p>R.S. DevareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwaddekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 6.10.2018 issued by Special Inspector General of Police, Kolhapur Range, Kolhapur.</p> <p>3. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought leave to amend the application to contend that the suspension is not sustainable in law being in breach in Section 22H(a) of Bombay Police Act.</p> <p>4. Leave to amend is granted.</p> <p>5. Amended application be served on the Respondents.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to file reply to the O.A. as well as on amended application or she may file short affidavit-in-reply to decide interim relief, prayed for.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 29.11.2018.</p>
<p>sba</p>	<p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./B.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Decisions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>11/11/18</u></p> <p>Honble <u>PN DIXIT (Member) A</u> <u>Sd/- A.P. Kurhekar</u> <i>(Member)</i></p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>Kishor Naik</u> <u>A/S. Prabhune</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad./S.O. to <u>7/12/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 1.11.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.1030 of 2017 with O.A.No.1031 of 2017 with O.A.No.1033 of 2017 with O.A.No.1034 of 2017 with O.A.No.1050 of 2017</p> <p>D.S. Patil (O.A.No.1030 of 2017) S.D. Salunke (O.A.No.1031 of 2017) S.J. Shinde (O.A.No.1033 of 2017) S.P. Patil (O.A.No.1034 of 2017) S.S. Roopnawar (O.A.No.1050 of 2017)</p> <p>....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kishor Naik, the learned Advocate holding for Shri S. Prabhune, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant wants to amend the application and to implead the additional parties and seeks leave for the this purpose.</p> <p>3. Leave granted to file amended application which will be decided on its own merit.</p> <p>4. Four weeks time is granted to do the same.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 7.12.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A.R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Petitioner's Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>11/11/18</u></p> <p>CORAM</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A Shri A.P. Kurhekar Members</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri Kishor Naik Shri S. Prabhune Advocates for the Applicant</p> <p>Smt. K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj.S.O. to <u>7/12/18</u></p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p>Date : 1.11.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.1032 of 2017 with O.A.No.1049 of 2017</p> <p>A.G. Patil & Ors. (O.A.No.1032 of 2017) U.S. Patil (O.A.No.1049 of 2017)</p> <p>....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kishor Naik, the learned Advocate holding for Shri S. Prabhune, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 7.12.2018.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.11.2018

O.A.No.926 of 2018

Dr. S.M. JadhavApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present matter what is under challenge is suspension order dated 26.09.2018 whereby the Applicant who was working as Additional District Health Officer, Zilla Parishad, Pune came to be suspended.
3. The suspension order seems to have been passed for non-joining at the place of his transfer at Nagpur. It seems to be reason for suspension.
4. However, the correspondence place on record clearly shows that the Applicant could not be relieved for want of replacement and he was finally relieved on 24.09.2018 as seen from the order of Zilla Parishad, Pune dated 21.09.2018.
5. As such, if the Applicant was relieved on 24.09.2018 he would required some time for joining at new place at Nagpur. However, the suspension order has been passed immediately after two days i.e. on 26.09.2018 without giving the Applicant enough time permissible in law, for joining at the place of posting at Nagpur. Thus, prima facie, the suspension is illegal.
6. The learned P.O. for the Respondents was not in position to show as to how the suspension order is legal.
7. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to make appropriate statement.
8. In view of the above, on request made by the learned P.O., the matter is adjourned to 3.11.2018.
9. Learned P.O. should take instructions from the department and it is hoped that department would take correctional major to rectify the mistake, if any.
10. This matter be kept along with O.A.No.972 of 2018.
11. S.O. to 3.11.2018.
12. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

DATE 1/11/18

CORAM

Judge Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A-
Shri A.P. Kurhekar

APPEARANCE:

For the Applicant
Shri D.B. Khaire

For the Respondents

Shri K.S. Gaikwad

For the Respondents

DATE 3/11/18

Steno copy and
Hamdast is allowed.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

O.A./P.A./A.No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
Directives and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 01.12.2018

O.A.No.735 of 2018

W.D. BorgeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.P. Mahashabde, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2 and made a statement that Respondent Nos.1 and 3 also adopted the same reply.

3. As the pleadings are completed, the matter is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 12.12.2018.

4. In the meantime, liberty is granted to the Applicant to file affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

5. S.O. to 12.12.2018.

DATE 11/11/18

CORAM

~~Shri R.N. DIXIT (Member) A~~

Shri. A.P. Kurhekar,
Member

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri M.P. Mahashabde~~

Presenting Officer for the Applicant

~~Shri A.J. Chougule~~

~~P.O. for the Respondent/s~~

Adj. S.O. to 12/12/18

Reply filed by R-1002 @ 11/11/18

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>Case <u>1111/18</u></p> <p>Shri. A.P. Kurhekar, Member</p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>S.S. Dere</u></p> <p>for the Applicant <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>for the Respondent/s <u>3/11/18</u></p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p>Date : 01.12.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.983 of 2018</p> <p>A.V. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 3.11.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 3.11.2018. <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

MAHARASHTRA No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Announcements, Tribunal's orders or
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 01.12.2018

O.A.No.451 of 2018

S.B. Bhat
Versus

....Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. The same is taken on record.

3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 11.12.2018.

4. In the meantime liberty is granted to the Applicant to file affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

5. S.O. to 11.12.2018.

DATE

11/11/18

CCRAM

For the Shri P.V. DIXIT (Member) A

Sd/- A.P. Kurhekar
Member (J)

APPEARANCE:

~~Sd/-~~ A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Sd/-~~ Ms. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to

11/12/18

O.A. - as admitted.

[Signature]

sba

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./P.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>1/11/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A Shri. A.P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri. A.V. Bandiwadekar Advocate for the Applicant Shri. A.J. Chougule P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>AG/S.O. to <u>28/11/18</u> Reply Filed CAGR-NO. 1.</p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.862 of 2017</p> <p>S.N. MouleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2, if any.</p> <p>4. Liberty is granted to Applicant to file affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 28.11.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Writ Petition/A.C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Officer's Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Concurrence, Tribunal's orders or Directives and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>11/11/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Shri P.V. DIXIT (Member) A Shri. A.P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: M.A. Ingole.....</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Smt. Archana B.K.</p> <p>Advocate for the Respondent/s 10/12/18.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.399 of 2018</p> <p>P.V. KorpaleApplicant Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.A. Ingole, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that the reply is ready and it will be filed during the course of the day. 3. Leave to file reply during the course of the day is granted. 4. Liberty is granted to the Applicant to file affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any. 5. S.O. to 10.12.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or
directions, and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 01.12.2018

O.A.No.889 of 2018

Dr. A.W. VaidyaApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present matter suspension order dated 29.10.2016 is under challenge. The application has been filed on 4.10.2018. The notices were issued in terms of order dated 24.10.2018.

3. Though, the Applicant is under suspension ^{for} about two years, it seems no review has been taken by the Competent Authority as contemplated in G.R. dated 14.11.2015.

4. Last chance granted to the learned P.O. to file reply on the next date.

5. It is made clear that the matter would be taken up for final hearing on the next date.

6. In the meantime, learned P.O. should take instruction on the review, if any taken, by the Competent Authority on the suspension of the Applicant.

7. S.O. to 29.11.2018.

DATE 11/11/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

Shri. A.P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. Archana B.K.

P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adv./S.O. to 29/11/18

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>11/11/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Honble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A <u>Shri A.P. Kurhekar</u> Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri K.R. Jagdale Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Smt. K.S. Gaikwad P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>S.O. to <u>28/11/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 01.12.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.558 of 2018</p> <p>K.D. DhondgeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present matter, suspension order has been challenged.</p> <p>3. However, today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has produced a copy of order passed by the Government dated 31.10.2018 whereby the Applicant has been reinstated in service. The posting order will be issued in due course.</p> <p>4. As such, challenging the suspension order does not survive. However, posting order is not issued, inspite of order of reinstatement in service.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, the matter is adjourned so that, in the meantime government can issue appropriate posting order.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 28.11.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>gba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Writ A/C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Writ Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 01.11.2018

O.A.No.453 of 2018

P.S. WalkeApplicant
Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present matter, suspension order has been challenged.

3. However, today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has produced a copy of order passed by the Government dated 31.10.2018 whereby the Applicant has been reinstated in service. The posting order will be issued in due course.

4. As such, challenging the suspension order does not survive. However, posting order is not issued, inspite of order of reinstatement in service.

5. In view of the above, the matter is adjourned so that, in the meantime government can issue appropriate posting order.

6. S.O. to 28.11.2018.

DATE 11/11/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A
Shri A.P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Associate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule

P.O. for the Respondent/s

A.O.S.O. to 28/11/18



Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 01.11.2018

O.A.No.948 of 2018

S.B. JadhavApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this matter suspension order dated 23.6.2017 is under challenge. The Applicant was working as Supply Awal Karkun in the office of Tahasildar, Bhiwandi, Dist.Thane and came to be suspended with three others. The application has been filed on 24.10.2018 and notices were served on 25.10.2018.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents requested for grant of time as he did not get time to file reply.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant pointed out that the Applicant is under suspension for more than 15 months and still no review has been taken as per G.R. dated 14.11.2011. He also pointed out that no charge sheet has been filed in the criminal case.

5. Today, he produced a copy of order passed by the Hon'ble Minister dated 3.7.2018 whereby appeal filed by one of the co-accused namely Shri Vasudev B. Pawar has been allowed and suspension has been revoked.

6. As such, it is expected that the appeal filed by the Applicant before the Hon'ble Minister pending for more than 6 months is expedited and appropriate order is ~~to be~~ passed as the Applicant is also similar situated person.

7. It is appropriate to keep the present O.A. after reasonable time so that in the meantime the Hon'ble Minister takes decision on the appeal pending against the suspension order.

8. In view of the above, S.O. to 26.11.2018.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

DATE 11/11/18

OFFICER

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A
Shri A.P. Kurhekar

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Presenting Officer for the Applicant


Shri A.J. Chougule

P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. S.O. to 26/11/18

Steno copy and Hamdast
is allowed.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 01.11.2018
<p>DATE <u>11/11/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A Shri A.P. Kurhekar, (Member J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri M.B. Kadam Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Smt. Archana B.K. S.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>S.O. to <u>6/12/18</u></p>	<p>M.A.No.544 of 2018 in O.A.No.910 of 2018</p> <p>A.M. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 6.12.2018.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, MA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 6.12.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2018 Tribunal's orders M.A.No.543 of 2018 in O.A.No.909 of 2018</p>
<p>DATE <u>11/11/18</u> CORAM Honble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A- Shri A.P. Kurhekar (Member) C- APPEARANCE: <u>M.B. Kadam.</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> S.O. for the Respondent/s 6/12/18 </p>	<p>D.B. SakhaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 6.12.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, MA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 6.12.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sba</p>

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 01.12.2018 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.937 of 2018</p>
	<p>Y.B. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. P. Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 27.11.2018.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 27.11.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>11/11/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Honble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A Shri A.P. Kurhekar, Member(s)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Advocate for the Applicant <u>S.D. Dole</u> P.O. for the Respondent/s S.O. to <u>6/12/18</u></p>	<p>Date: 01.11.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.978 of 2018</p> <p>B.L. YeleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 6.12.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 6.12.2018. <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>gba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 01.12.2018

M.A.No.585 of 2018 in O.A.No.979 of 2018

D.V. Gadhave & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri S.D. Dole the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

5. M.A. is allowed.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

DATE

11/11/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

Shri. A.P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE:

Shri. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri. S.D. Dole

OP for the Respondents

M.A. is allowed



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 01.12.2018
<p>DATE <u>11/11/18</u></p> <p>CCRAM</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A Shri A.P. Kurhekar, Member</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri <u>S.D. Dole</u> C.R.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adl./S.O to <u>19/11/18</u></p>	<p>O.A.No.979 of 2018</p> <p>D.V. Gadhave & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri S.D. Dole the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 19.11.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. Leave to add charge sheet and memo is granted. 9. S.O. to 19.11.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>11/11/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Honble Shri <u>P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</u> <u>Shri. A.P. Kurhekar</u> <u>Member (J)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>Shri. R.M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Smt. K.S. Gaikwad</u> <u>P.O. Kurhekar</u> Respondent's</p> <p>App. S.O. to <u>6/12/18</u></p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.977 of 2018</p> <p>B.B. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 6.12.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 6.12.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>gba</p>

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2018 ^{Tribunal's orders} O.A.No.897 of 2018</p>
<p>DATE <u>7/11/18</u> CORAM Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A. Shri. A.P. Kurhekar, ^{Member (J)} APPEARANCE: S.B. Gaikwad, H/A M.I. Pathan. Advocate for the Applicant Smt. Archana B.K. RO for the Respondent/s S.O to <u>7/12/18.</u> <i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>V.V. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, the learned Advocate holding for M.I. Pathan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 7.12.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 7.12.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 394 OF 2018

DISTRICT : MUMBAI

Shri S.B Rathod & Others

)...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others

)...Respondents

W I T H

M.A 586/2018 IN O.A 394/2018

The Secretary, Home Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai & Others

)...Applicants
(Ori Respondents)

Vs.

Shri D.S Kamble & Others

)...Respondents
(Ori Applicants)

Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the Applicants.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 5.

Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for Respondents.

Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for Respondents nos 69, 88 & 161,

CORAM : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**

DATE : **01.11.2018**

ORDER

1. Heard Shri K.R Jadale, learned for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents no 1 to 5, Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for Respondents 69, 88, 161 and Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for remaining Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O prays for leave to amend present M.A for arraying all applicants and all Respondents who are party in the O.A 394/2018.

3. Notice returnable on 2.11.2018.



4. Learned advocate Shri Jagdale who appears for all applicants in in O.A 394/2018 waives service of notice for the applicant in the O.A.
5. Advocate Dr Gunratan Sadavarte and Advocate Shri D.B Khaire, who appears for respective Respondents no in O.A 394/2018, waive service for all concerned Respondents.
6. Heard for some time.
7. Learned advocate Shri Jagdale prays for time to respond to the application. He states that instructions needs to be taken.
8. It had transpired during submission advanced from time to time during hearing of present O.A and today during hearing of M.A that:-
 - (a) The State Government had the plan to and has actually filled in from in service Constabulary, 828 posts of Probationer P.S.Is inclusive of 186 candidates belonging to S.C and S.T.
 - (b) In addition to 828 Probationer P.S.Is the State Government has has promoted as Probationary P.S.Is and has forwarded 154 candidates from unreserved category and sent them for training.
 - (c) Thus the total figure of probationer P.S.Is' has come to 982.
 - (d) By applying Constitutional reservation of 13% for S.C and 7% for S.T, around 20% seats out of 982 which come to approximately 190 seats.
 - (e) These 190 seats have to be allotted for candidates of Constitutional reservation irrespective as to the aspect namely whether 154 seats of S.C/S.T candidates are filled in by selection or promotion.
9. Learned C.P.O states that the projection of calculation of probable reservation expressed by this Tribunal in foregoing paras no. 8 is likely to be incorrect because 154 seats which were later on filled were to operate as a substitute to 154 candidates sent from the reservation category
10. Now the applicants in O.A 394 of 2016 have to meet the situation as to whether and on which legal grounds and factual grounds selection/promotion of 154 candidates comes in conflict with interest of applicants in Original Application or is contrary to the order passed by Hon'ble High Court in reservation case.

11. At this stage learned advocate Shri Jagdale states that for application of mind for this limited question, he may be granted a day's time.

12. It prima facie appears that the Government has not visualized the risk of falling in grave error of not failing to contemplate requirement of filling in the Constitutional reservation while reckoning the ultimate figure of 828, unless 646 seats initially filled in do comprise of candidates filled to occupy seats meant to be filled in by reservation, and also whether it is actually done so. Let the Government ponder and decide its stance.

13. It prima facie appears that applicants in O.A 394/2018 may not possess the list of 154 candidates belonging to open category sent after appointed 828 Probationer P.S.Is. Learned C.P.O is directed to furnish to applicants in O.A the list of 154 open merit candidates of Probationary P.S.I's sent from open category after sending 828 candidates along with their score in merit.

14. S.O 2.11.2018.

15. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned C.P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

Place : Mumbai
Date : 01.11.2018
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

IN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 11/11/18</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice S.H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice P.N. Dixit Member (A) Learned Advocate Shri J.H. Ramgude</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Ms Swati Archana B.K.</p> <p>Advocate for the Respondents Ms Archana B.K.</p> <p>Adv. S.O. to: Heard</p> <p>order passed in Tribunal column</p> <p>Speaking to the minutes for modification of order.</p> <p>The same is declined.</p>	<p>01.11.2018</p> <p>T.A 48/1993 (W.P 2445/1990)</p> <p>Shri S.A Salgaonkar & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.H Ramgude, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O Ms Archana B.K, makes oral request that her name be shown in place of Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O, as she had conducted the hearing.</p> <p>3. In view of above, the name of Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O be substituted by Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O.</p> <p>4. Learned advocate for the applicant moves application for Speaking to the minutes for modification of order. The same is declined.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>