

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
O.A. No.461 of 2017

Shri R.R. Gawali ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Failure to file affidavit becomes graver since initially notice for final disposal was issued on 7.6.2017 last chance was granted on 29.6.2017. This Tribunal also made it clear on 13.7.2017 that if affidavit is tendered on the next, it would be considered.

3. Therefore, Additional Commissioner, Tribal Welfare, Thane is called to show cause as to why he should not be personally saddled with costs of Rs.25,000/- for failing to file affidavit in reply, for safeguarding the interest of the State.

4. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the Additional Commissioner, Tribal Welfare, Thane and even to speak to him personally.

5. S. O. to 6.11.2017. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
1.11.2017

(sgj)

DATE: 1/11/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : B. A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K. S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 6/11/2017. Steno copy
and hamdast is allowed.

sgj

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>11/11/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Heard.</p> <p>Adj. To <u>28/11/2017</u> Steno copy & Hamdast is allowed to Ld. P.O.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.299 of 2017</p> <p>K.H. SableApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents was called to state as to who has drafted the affidavit filed before this Tribunal on 02.05.2017. 3. Learned P.O. replies that it was drafted at Pune and was tendered for filing. 4. Shri Mohamad Svez Haque, Superintendent of Police, Pune Rural is directed to file affidavit stating as to whether affidavit filed in present O.A. on 02.05.2017 was drafted by himself. 5. Affidavit be filed on or before 28.11.2017. 6. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Superintendent of Police, Pune. 7. Let the Law Officer or Officer who was involved in drafting of said affidavit also remain present on the next date. 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. 9. S.O. to 28.11.2017. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/11/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad G.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 9/11/2017</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.831 of 2017</p> <p>V.A. TalkuteApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents prays for a week's time to file detailed affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.11.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/11/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Smt. K.S. Gaikwad Advocate for the Applicant (Org. Resp.) Smt. Punam Mahajan C.P.O./C.A. for the Respondent/s (Org. Appl.)</p> <p>Adj. To: To be heard along with O.A. 831/17 on 9/11/2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2017.</p> <p>M.A.No.458 of 2017 in O.A.No.831 of 2017</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">....Applicants (Org. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>V.A. Talkute</p> <p style="text-align: right;">.....Respondent (Org. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>2. To be heard along with O.A.No.831/2017 on 09.11.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20.

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.No.893/2017

Mr. S.D. Dhiwar ... Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Chaudhari, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. K.B. Bhise, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the impugned order dated 23.12.2016 has been passed by the Charity Commissioner in the departmental enquiry initiated against the Applicant. He has submitted that the said order is appealable in view of Section 17 of M.C.S.R. (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979.

3. Learned Advocate also submitted that the Applicant intends to prefer the appeal as provided under Rule 17 of the M.C.S. (Discipline & Appeal) Rules before competent authority and therefore, he intends to withdraw the present OA with liberty to approach to the competent appellate authority. Therefore, he prayed to grant of permission to withdraw the O.A.

4. Learned P.O. has prayed to pass necessary orders in the matter.

5. The Applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. and he intends to challenge the impugned order dated 23.12.2016 before the competent appellate authority in view of the provisions of M.C.S. (Discipline & Appeal) Rules and therefore, he wants to withdraw the O.A.

6. In view of this, the O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the Applicant to approach to the competent appellate authority in view of the M.C.S. (Discipline & Appeal) Rules.

Sd/-

(B.P. Patil)

Member-J

01.11.2017

skw

DATE: 1/11/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)~~

~~Hon'ble Shri. B. MALIK (Member)~~
B.P. Patil

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.S. Chaudhari

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: O.A. is disposed

of.

[Signature]

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A.No.407/2017 in O.A.No.231/2017

Mr. R.T. Awate ... Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has claimed following relief in the M.A. :

- (a) By suitable order or direction, this Hon'ble Tribunal may be pleased to direct the Respondent No.1 to allow him to appear for Main (Written) Examination for the post of Sub Inspector, State Excise which is slated to be held on 28.9.2017.

3. The purpose of filing is over. Therefore, the M.A. became infructuous. Hence, it is disposed of accordingly.

DATE: 11/11/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri. B.P. Patil (Member) J

APPEARANCE: B.P. Patil

Shri. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Appearance for the Applicant

Shri. A.J. Chougule

CAO/P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: M.A. is disposed of

Patil

skw

Sd/-

(B.P. Patil)
Member-J
01.11.2017

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./H.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 1/11/17</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) B.P. Patil</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents Reply and Reply under dated. Adj. To S.O. to 16/11/17. O.A. is Admit.</p>	<p><u>O.A.No.739/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. S.N. Pophale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. K.B. Bhise, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Admit. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. S.O. to 16th November, 2017. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (B.P. Patil) Member-J 01.11.2017</p> <p>skw</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.No.610/2017 with O.As.807 to 811/2017 and O.A.926/2017

Mr. N.J. Bharmale ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. L.S. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.610/2017, Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for Applicants in OAs.807 to 811/2017, Mr. R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A.926/2017 and Mr. D.B. Khaire, Special Counsel with Mr. K.B. Bhise, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted that the Tribunal has granted leave to amend the OA 610/2017 and add Secretary, School Education and Sports Department as Respondent No.1-A by its order dated 8.9.2017. He has submitted that due to oversight, he could not able to amend the O.A. earlier and, therefore, seeks leave to make the suitable amendment in the O.A. today. Leave granted. Applicant shall amend O.A. forthwith.

3. Learned P.O. Mr. Bhise seeks time to file personal Affidavit of Secretary, Home Department, Govt. of Maharashtra and to file Affidavit-in-reply to O.A. Short time granted to file personal Affidavit of Respondent No.1 and Affidavit-in-reply in O.As.

4. Learned Special Counsel for the Respondent No.1-A submits that personal Affidavit of Secretary, School Education and Sports Department will be filed within a week and therefore he sought time. Short time is granted to file personal Affidavit of Respondent No.1-A.

5. S.O. 9th November, 2017 as last chance.

Sd/-

(B.P. Patil)
Member-J
01.11.2017

skw

DATE: 11/11/17

CORAM:

Member Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)

Member Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri. L.S. Deshmukh
A.V. Bandiwadekar and
Advocate for the Applicant
R.M. Kolge, Advocate for Applicants.
Shri. D.B. Khaire
S.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents
Spl. Counsel with K.B. Bhise

Adj. To S.O. to 9/11/17
as a last chance.
Reply filed by R.No.1 in OA807/17
(Group)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/11/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) <u>B.P. Patil</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>S.O. to 20/11/17.</u> <u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.No.793/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. D.D. Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. K.B. Bhise, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. submits that the Respondent No.2 has filed the Affidavit-in-reply and there is no necessity to file replies of Respondents 1, 3, 4 & 5. 3. Admit. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. 6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. S.O. to 20th November, 2017. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (B.P. Patil) Member-J 01.11.2017</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/11/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J <u>B.P. Patil</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>B.A. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents Affidavit filed by R. Kes. 1 Adj. To <u>S.O. to 3/11/17</u> as a last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.No.1095/2016</u></p> <p>Mr. B.S. Killedar & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The reply filed by Secretary (Forests), Revenue & Forest Department to the reply of Show Cause Notice is taken on record. The reply does not explain as to why the Secretary, Forest Department, Mantralaya has failed to attend the case and to file the Affidavit-in-reply in time. At this stage, the learned P.O. submitted that she require some time to file fresh Affidavit of Mr. Vikas S. Kharage, Secretary, Forest Department to the Show Cause Notice issued by this Tribunal and therefore, she sought time.</p> <p>3. In view of the submission made by the learned P.O, short time is granted as last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 3rd November, 2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (B.P. Patil) Member-J 01.11.2017</p> <p>skw</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/11/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : A.V. Bandiwadekar Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt. : S. Suryawanshi C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 11/12/2017 by way of <u>last chance.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">BTE</p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.851 of 2017</p> <p>G.B. Sayyad & 2 Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants states that Applicant No.1 is appointed and here he wants to delete the name of Applicant No.1. Leave to delete the name of Applicant No.1 is granted.</p> <p>3. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents prays for time to reply to oppose the claim of Applicant No.2.</p> <p>4. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 04.12.2017 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.T) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/11/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. J. A. Kamble Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 & 2. Adv. S. Deokar for R. 3 & 4.</p> <p><u>Adj. To:</u> Hence, the CA is disposed with liberty as prayed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">B.E</p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.573 of 2017</p> <p>G. C. NakateApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J. N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S. Deokar, the learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 & 4.</p> <p>2. After hearing for some time, learned Advocate for the applicant prays for liberty to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to take recourse to the remedy as available in law and as advised.</p> <p>3. Hence, the O.A. is disposed with liberty as prayed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>1/11/2017</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Corg. Resp. No. 2</u> Shri/Smt. : <u>J. N. Kamble</u> Ld. Adv. C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>Corg. Resp. 1</u> Shri, <u>S. Deokar</u> Ld. Adv. for Adv. To <u>Resp. No. 3 & 4</u></p> <p>In view of the order passed in O.A. 573/17 dtd. 1/11/2017, the present M.A. does not survive.</p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2017.</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.345 of 2017 In O.A.No.573 of 2017</p> <p>The Divisional Commissioner, Kokan Division, Navi Mumbai.Applicant. (Ori. Respondent 2)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>G. C. NakateRespondent. (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>State of Maharashtra & 2 Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Applicant (Ori. Respondent No.2) and for Respondent No. 2, Shri J. N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant) and Shri S. Deokar, the learned Advocate for Respondent Nos. 3 & 4.</p> <p>2. In view of the order passed in O.A. 573/2017, dated 01.11.2017, the present M.A. does not survive.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) JJ Chairman</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE :</u> <u>11/11/2017</u></p> <p><u>CORAM :</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE :</u> Shri/Smt. : <u>A.V. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.F.R. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adt. To: <u>10/11/2018.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.920 of 2017</p> <p>Dr. S. S. ShahaApplicant,</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.01.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission-hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record 8. S.O. to 10.01.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi Jy) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	01.11.2017
	<u>O.A No 878/2017</u>
	Shri P.G Tapase ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O states that he has received instructions from the Respondent No. 4
	3. In present case, some action and response was expected rather required from the Collector, Satara.
	4. In the background that any action is not taken by Collector, the Collector shall have to be dealt with suitably.
	5. At this stage, learned Presenting Officer states that he would like to speak to the Secretary, Revenue, to apprise the Collector about effects of failure to comply with the order passed by this Tribunal.
	6. In view of the request of learned P.O, S.O to 3.11.2017.
DATE: <u>1/11/2017</u>	
CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A	
APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s	
Adj. To: <u>3/11/2017</u>	
<i>AKN</i>	<i>[Signature]</i> Sd/- (A.H Joshi (J.) Chairman
	Alkn


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>1/11/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>S.R. Deshpande</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>2/11/2017</u></p> <p align="right"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 01.11.2017.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.894 of 2017</p> <p>A.R. AmaneApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.R. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents,</p> <p>2. Shri S.R. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered the affidavit of service. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. In present case Deputy Registrar's report shows that notices are not collected by learned Advocate for the Applicant. This report is erroneous, mostly because endorsement of issue of notice was not made by the Assistant Registrar.</p> <p>4. Registrar is directed to stream line the procedure to ensure that incorrect office notes are not furnished.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 02.11.2017.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) (J) Chairman </p> <p align="left">prk</p>