

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.10.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.645 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>D. D. Mali</b> <span style="float:right"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float:right"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time to hear the matter today, interim relief is, therefore, continued till next date.</p> <p>3. The matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align:right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.607 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>A. V. Mule</b> <span style="float:right"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float:right"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he wants to amend the O.A. by challenging legality of Clause 4.13 of G.R. dated 07.08.2012.</p> <p>3. One week time is granted to take necessary steps.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.10.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.751 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>D. R. Gotale</b> <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 30.08.2021 whereby he was transferred from the post of Circle Officer, Tahsil Office, Mandrup, South Solapur to the post of Kulkayda, Awal Karkoon, Tahsil Office, South Solapur which was vacant in view of transfer of Smt. Yelgaonkar. The Applicant had completed more than three years at Tahsil Office, Mandrup, and therefore, by letter dated 19.07.2021 he requested for transfer to Solapur on the ground that his family resided at Solapur and it would be convenient for him if he is given posting at Solapur. In letter dated 19.07.2021, he therefore requested for transfer on the post of Supply Inspector at Solapur. However, the Collector, Solapur by order dated 30.08.2021 transferred him on the post of Awal Karkoon in Tahsil Office, Solapur instead of on the post of Supply Inspector as asked by the Applicant in his application dated 19.07.2021. Thus, though the Applicant's request for transfer at Solapur is accepted by the Collector, he was given the post of Awal Karkoon instead of Supply Inspector. This is the only grievance of the Applicant.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that once the Applicant had already worked as Awal Karkoon, he should not be again reposted on the post of Awal Karkoon, and therefore, posting of the Applicant as Awal Karkoon is bad in law. I see no substance therein.

4. The post of Awal Karkoon and Circle Officer are inter changeable. True, in 2018, the Applicant had worked as Awal Karkoon in Land Acquisition Department, Solapur and thereafter he was transferred as Circle Officer at Mandrup, Tahsil Office. Now, on request, he is transferred to Solapur but on the post of Awal Karkoon.

5. Since the post of Circle Officer and Awal Karkoon are inter changeable, I see no legality in posting of the Applicant as Awal Karkoon.

6. Indeed, a Government servant cannot ask for particular post or place as of a vested right since the transfer is incident of service.

7. Suffice to say, the Applicant cannot ask for the post of Supply Inspector as of right. Indeed, the Collector has already accommodated the Applicant by giving him posting at Solapur considering his family difficulties.

8. The letter dated 30.08.2021 i.e. referred by learned Counsel for the Applicant only speaks about non giving continuous posting on the same post i.e Awal Karkoon and there is no such bar to the post of Supply Inspector on the post of Awal Karkoon, therefore, letter dated 30.08.2021 cannot termed as legal bar for transfer of the Applicant on the post of Awal Karkoon which was given to him considering administrative requirement of the department.

9. In view of above, O.A. itself is not maintainable and liable to be dismissed.

10. O.A. is accordingly dismissed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.10.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.723 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>A.M. Hate</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 13.02.2020 whereby she was suspended in view of registration of crimes against her invoking Rule 3 of Bombay Police (Punishment and Appeal) Rules, 1956.</li> <li>3. Today, when the matter is taken up for admission, learned P.O. has tendered a communication dated 01.10.2021 which shows that the Applicant has been reinstated in service w.e.f. 01.03.2021 after revocation of suspension.</li> <li>4. As such, the Applicant is no more under suspension and she is reinstated in service. The Criminal Cases are subjudice.</li> <li>5. As such, in view of revocation of suspension, the challenge to the suspension order does not survive.</li> <li>6. Original Application is, therefore, disposed of with no order as to costs.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 01.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.604 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>A.C. Kale</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In present O.A. the Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 21.04.2019, whereby he is suspended under Rule 4 (1) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982 under contemplation of Departmental Enquiry (D.E.). Further subsequent development is that by order dated 15.10.2020 he is reinstated in services, Simultaneously D.E. is initiated and now it is under process.</p> <p>3. Since, suspension is already revoked and the Applicant is reinstated in services. O.A. deserves to be disposed of with suitable direction for completion of D.E.</p> <p>4. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to ensure completion of D.E. within three months from today including final order therein and the decision as the case may be shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>5. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.636 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.S. Pathan</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Sur-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.10.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.323 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>D. G. Vagadekar</b> .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for compliance of the directions given by the Tribunal in order dated 01.09.2021, those directions were given in Para No.8 of the order in view of the averments made by the Respondents in reply that proposal will be sent to the Government in the matter of deemed date of promotion of the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that ten days time be granted for submitting the proposal to the Government since it is already under consideration of the Respondent No.1.</p> <p>4. Indeed, any <del>special</del><sup>such</sup> proposal ought to have been submitted to the Government much earlier in view of the stand taken by the Respondent No.1 in Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>5. However, on request of learned P.O. ten days time is granted to take necessary steps and compliance be reported on next date without fail.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 11.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 01.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1088 of 2019 with O.A. No.1112 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>D.U. Sawant &amp; Ors.</b>  <b>K.B. Solanki &amp; Ors.</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that quarters in question are already vacated and therefore O.A. has become infructuous.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought three days time to take instructions from the clients.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept on 04.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N \_\_\_\_\_

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 01.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1087 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>N.N. Khanolkar &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The matter pertains to allotment of quarter. In O.A. the Applicant has challenged notice to vacate Police quarter which was continued after death of his father to whom service quarter was allotted after his death. Applicant No.2 was appointed on compassionate ground.</li> <li>3. Today learned P.O. on instruction from Shri Mali, A.P.I., Desk-8, C.P. Office states that <del>this</del> same quarter was allotted to the Applicant No.2 by order dated 19.03.2021 by Government and consequently further allotment order will be issued by the Department soon.</li> <li>4. As such, the grievances of the Applicant is redressed in view of allotment of the quarter.</li> <li>5. O.A. is therefore disposed of with no order as to costs.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 01.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.32 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.B. Sali</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S.B. Sali, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today matter is for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>3. At the very outset the Applicant-in-Person has pointed out that Respondent No.2 – Commissioner, Food and Drugs Administration, by his letter dated 03.04.2019 (page 37 of P.B.) has sent proposal to the Respondent No.1 – Government for entitlement of the amount towards D.A., Parishramaik etc. in terms of G.R. dated 17.12.2016. However, Respondent No.1 has not decided the same, and therefore, he is deprived of getting his dues.</li> <li>4. Respondent Nos.2 &amp; 3 have only filed Affidavit-in-Reply, but no Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1.</li> <li>5. In view of above, O.A. <sup>has</sup> <del>is</del> to be disposed of with suitable direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the proposal dated 03.04.2019 within stipulated period.</li> <li>6. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.1 to decide the proposal dated 03.04.2019 forwarded by Commissioner, Food and Drugs Administration about entitlement of the <sup>P.T.O.</sup></li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

Applicant to the dues claimed therein within six weeks from today and the decision thereon, as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within a week thereafter.

7. If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he may avail further legal remedies in accordance to law.

8. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.738 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>A. R. Sankhe</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter pertains to appointment on compassionate ground. The Applicant's father was Group-D servant on the establishment of Respondent No.3 who died in harness on 18.09.2017. After his death, the Applicant made an application on 17.10.2017 for appointment on compassionate ground on Group-D post. <sup>At</sup> that time, he had S.S.C. certificate issued by Board of School and Technical Education, Chatitsgarh. However, It was found not recognized, and therefore, that was not considered having found the Applicant not fulfilling educational qualification of recognized board. Thereafter, the Applicant passed F.Y.B.A. examination from Yashwantrao Chavan Open University which is treated as equivalent to S.S.C. in terms of G.R. dated 20.05.2011. The Applicant, therefore again made an application afresh on 10.01.2020 for appointment on compassionate ground. However, it came to be rejected on the ground that the Application is not made within one year from the date of death of the deceased.</p> <p>3. Thereafter, the Applicant has again made representation to the Government on 07.02.2021 stating that in terms of G.R. dated 20.05.2015, the Government is empowered to condoned the delay.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. The said representation is not yet responded, and therefore, this O.A. is filed.

5. In view of above, since the representation made by the Applicant dated 07.02.2021 being under consideration of the Respondent No.1 who is competent to condone the delay in terms of G.R. dated 20.05.2015, this O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions.

6. In the facts and circumstances of the matter, it cannot be said that the Applicant was guilty of any negligence or delay in making an application. His S.S.C. certificate was found from not recognized board, and therefore, again he appeared in F.Y.B.A. examination through Yashwantrao Chavan Open University and passed the examination in May, 2019. On that basis, he again applied which caused certain delay. In terms of G.R. dated 20.05.2015, the Respondent No.1 is empowered to condone the delay. The Application was rejected by Respondent No.3 only on the ground that the powers to condone the delay vested with the Government.

7. For the aforesaid reasons and discussion, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to consider the representation made by Applicant on 07.02.2021 and shall pass appropriate order in accordance to provisions/rules within two months from today. The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision, he may avail further legal remedy.

8. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.10.2021</p> <p>M.A. No.387 of 2021 in O.A.No.652 With O.A.No.135 of 2021</p> <p>J. M. Kawade .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In pending O.A., M.A.387/2021 is for interim relief. However, I am not inclined to grant interim relief since O.A. itself is ripe for final hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. O.A. therefore, be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. M.A.387/2021 is, therefore, disposed of.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 11.10.2021 .</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.387 of 2021 in O.A.No.652 With O.A.No.135 of 2021</p> <p>J. M. Kawade <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In pending O.A., M.A.387/2021 is for interim relief. However, I am not inclined to grant interim relief since O.A. itself is ripe for final hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. O.A. therefore, be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. M.A.387/2021 is, therefore, disposed of.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 11.10.2021 .</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.141 of 2021 in O.A.No.722 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. R. A. Singh <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Ms Gowri Godre holding for Shri D. S. Pagare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In pending O.A.722/2018 which is filed for regularization, this M.A. is filed for amendment challenging the legality of Medical Officers in the Maharashtra Medical Insurance Services Group- A and Group-B (Gazetted) (One time absorption of Medical Officers appointed on ad-hoc basis in Maharashtra) ( Special) Rules, 2014.</p> <p>3. In view of the proposed amendment, there being challenge to the legality of Rules, 2014, the matter is required to be placed before the Division Bench.</p> <p>4. Registrar is, therefore, directed to place the matter before appropriate bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>ysm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.10.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.601 of 2021</p> <p>M.S. Pawar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 01.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.583 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>R.T. Kadam</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.10.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.542 of 2021</p> <p>S.D. Shete .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">A Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 01.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.525 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.S. Rajadhyaksha</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 01.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.614 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>B.D. Ingale</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.G. Kayande, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent and Shri A.M. Misal, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicant had taken Voluntary Retirement in the year 2014 but he is deprived of gratuity and regular pension therefore matter deserves to be decided expeditiously.</p> <p>3. One week time is granted to the Respondents to file Affidavit-in-Reply with specific directions that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**Date: 01.10.2021**

**O.A. No.466 of 2021**

**S.N. Kadam .....Applicant**  
**Versus**  
**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri Rahul Khot, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This O.A. is filed challenging notice dated 02.03.2021 issued by Deputy R.T.O., Sangli, whereby the Applicant was called upon to explain why sum of Rs.2,67,000/- (Two Lakh Sixty Seven Thousand Only) payable to one Employee Co-operative Society due against her husband should not be recovered from retiral benefits of her husband.

3. Since, matter is pertaining to grant of family pension it was expedited and question was raised to the learned P.O. as to how family pension and retiral benefits of the deceased can be withheld for the due of Employee Co-operative Society. It appears that Respondents have realized their mistake and recently has released retiral benefits of the deceased.

4. Today, learned P.O. has tendered letter dated 23.09.2021, which shows that all retiral benefits are released and proposal for family pension is also forwarded to the Treasury Office on 01.09.2021. Letter is taken on record and marked as 'X'.

5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that O.A. be disposed of.

6. Thus the grievances of the Applicants stands redressed and O.A. is therefore disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 01.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1184 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>D.J. Sonawane</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.A. Parab, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Pathak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 01.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.46 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S.R. Nikumbh &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The issue involved in the matter pertains to Time Bound Promotion / Assured Career Progressive Scheme which now pertains to Division Bench in view of recent office order dated 28.05.2021.</p> <p>3. Registrar is therefore directed to place the matter before appropriate Bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.61 of 2020 with M.A.No.325 of 2020</p> <p>N. D. Bhosale <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since the pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for final hearing along with M.A.325/2020.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 01.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.626 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>G.N. Sarode</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-    <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.487 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>P. N. Patil</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the copy of reply served upon him is incomplete since some sentences are missing, he, therefore, could not file Rejoinder today.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to give corrected copy of reply to the learned Counsel for the Applicant and also directed to correct the reply filed on record of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder in the meantime.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 25.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.10.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.749 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>D. V. Kalekar</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 09.08.2021 <i>inter-alia</i> on the ground that he was transferred without calling any options and it is in contravention of G.R. dated 09.04.2018. Admittedly, the Applicant is relieved from the present post as seen from the relieving order dated 01.09.2021. Therefore, now the question of interim relief does not survive.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.10.2021</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 29.10.2021</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.10.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>M. A. No.178 of 2021 in O. A. No. 490 of 2020</b></p> <p>S. R. Patil <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay in pending O.A.490/2020 which is filed for regularization of service on the post of Talathi. The Applicant has already stands retired on 31.05.2018.</p> <p>3. In O.A., the reply is already filed but no reply is filed in M.A. though enough time is availed.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that indeed there is no such delay and delay, if any, be condoned. In application, there is no specific mentioned as to how much delay is caused in filing O.A. It appears that the Applicant has filed this O.A. on the basis of one judgment rendered by this Tribunal regularizing services of Talathi w.e.f. 10.03.2005. Whether that judgment enures for the benefit of the Applicant and O.A. is within limitation is required to be seen on merit.</p> <p>5. O.A. and M.A. therefore will be heard together.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 26.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.328 of 2021</p> <p>P.A. Bodekar <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.405 of 2021</p> <p>A. P. Andhere .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.10.2021</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.388 of 2021 in O.A.No.790 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>S. U. Kulkarni ...Applicant</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay in pending O.A.No.790/2020. Since the Respondents already appeared in O.A. issuance of notice in M.A. is dispensed with. The copy of M.A. however be served upon the Respondents as well as learned P.O.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to file reply to M.A. as well as in O.A. on next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Member(J)

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ vsm  
of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.653 of 2021</p> <p>P. K. Shelar <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 21.10.2021.</li> <li>5. Interim relief to continue till next date.</li> </ol> <p align="right">           ↘            Sd/-            ✓            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 01.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.524 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.S. Dhumal</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 01.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.490 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>G.K. Patil</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-    (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

ice Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

M.A. No.299 of 2021 in O.A. No. 70 of 2021

R.A.R. Shaikh ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri H.S. Chitaley, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that rejoinder will be filed on 4.10.2021. He requests that interim relief granted on 27.8.2021 may be continued.

3. S.O. to 22.10.2021. Interim relief to continue till next date.

Sd/-

(Medhij Gadgil)

Member (A)

1.10.2021

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.755 of 2012</u></p> <p>D.S. Mujgond ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Rahul Khot holding for Shri N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 &amp; 2 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.3 &amp; 4.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.330 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.N. Joshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.633 of 2021</u></p> <p>P.R. Jadhav &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Chdgil) ✓ Member (A) 1.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.491 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. S.V. Sambhare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks four weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <i>nil</i> (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) 1.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.325 of 2021</u></p> <p>N.S. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.B. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks time to file sur-rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.96 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. M.M. Mahind &amp; Ors. ..Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kaustubh Gidh holding for Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  1.10.2021</p> <p>(sg)</p>