

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

01.08.2022

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders
M.A 282/2022 in O.A 536/2021**

Savita Bhaskar Sonawane ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.G Panchal, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission to amend the Misc Application to file Schedule of the amendment.

3. Permission granted.

4. S.O to 23.8.2022.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>01.08.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1136/2016</u></p> <p>Shri N.A.A Khan W.A Ansari ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply is filed by the Respondents and the copy of the same is handed over to learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p>3. S.O to 30.8.2022,</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS 644 OF 2022

DISTRICT : SATARA

Vinayak B. Kashid)...**Applicant**

Versus

Maharashtra Public Service Commission & Ors)...**Respondents**

Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**
Mrs Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)

DATE : **01.08.2022**

PER : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**

ORDER

1. The applicant claims to be a transgender aspires has prayed that the Respondent no. 1 be directed to allow the applicant to apply for the post of Police Sub Inspector as a Transgender candidate as set out in Advertisement no. 53/2022 dated 23rd June 2022. The applicant further prays for reservation of posts for Transgender Persons in the recruitment to the 800 posts as set out in the said advertisement.

2. The applicant by birth has male sex. However, has opted for female sex. The applicant, therefore, has applied for the post of P.S.I pursuant to the said advertisement and the applicant applied as a transgender candidate as the said option of transgender is provided by the M.P.S.C. In the Application Form the applicant has also opted to be considered as female candidate. M.P.S.C today made statement that the Application Form of the applicant is accepted by M.P.S.C and the applicant will be allowed to appear for the Preliminary Examination which is going to be held on 8.10.2022.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant has relied on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in NATIONAL LEGAL SERVICE AUTHORITY Vs. UNION OF INDIA & ORS, (2014) 5 SCC 438. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that as per Article 16(1) & (2) of the Constitution of India the applicant cannot be discriminated on the basis of sex or gender. Learned counsel for the applicant further submitted that the applicant is a Graduate in Engineering (Electrical) and Post Graduate in Technology (Electrical Power System Engineering). However, she has opted for this Government service and prays that she should not be disqualified in the physical test if at all she clears the examination.

4. Learned C.P.O submits that Public Interest Litigation No. 2781/2022 is filed by Sampadha Gramin Mahila Sanstha (Sangram) Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors and the same is pending before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court.

5. On perusal of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of NATIONAL LEGAL SERVICE AUTHORITY (supra), the Hon'ble Supreme Court has declared / directed which we want to reproduce as it is, to enable the Respondent-State to take the

necessary steps while considering the candidature of transgender or candidates who are from the other sex while giving them employment in all the areas.

“135.2 Transgender persons’ right to decide their self-identified gender is also upheld and the Centre and State Governments are directed to grant legal recognition of their gender identity such as male, female or as third gender.

We, direct the Centre and the State Government to take steps to treat them as Socially and Educationally Backward Classes of citizens and extend all kinds of reservation in cases of admission in educational institutions and for public appointments.”

6. In view of the above, we expect that the Respondent-State will come out with a clear policy regarding provision of the posts for other gender within six months especially in respect of the Police Department as the candidate has to undergo specific physical test in the Police Department. We, therefore, direct the Respondent no. 2, G.A.D and Respondent no. 6, Social Justice & Special Assistant Department, to file affidavits in reply stating therein as to what steps are taken by the Government of Maharashtra in implementing the order of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of NATIONAL LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITY (supra).

7. S.O 3.11.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

|| .A. ||
Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai

Date : 01.08.2022

Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.304 OF 2022

S.D. KoliApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

Mr. G.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for Applicant.

Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

CORAM : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**
Ms. Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)

DATE : **01.08.2022**

PER : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**

O R D E R

1. Learned P.O. relies on the affidavit-in-reply dated 20.07.2022 filed by Respondent No.3, Mr. K.V. Gaike, Assistant Commissioner of Police and the Member of Police Constable Selection Committee-2019 in the office of Commissioner of Police, Brihanmumbai.

2. Learned P.O submits that :-

- (i) On 16.12.2021 Applicant's documents were verified.
- (ii) On 17.12.2021 he was allowed to participate in physical test.
- (iii) On 18.12.2021 provisional list was published wherein Applicant's name appeared at serial No.3371.
- (iv) On 03.01.2022, meeting consisting of 1 President and 7 Members of the Committee was held wherein 1076 persons were considered.
- (v) On 05.01.2022 provisional select list was published wherein Applicant's name did not appear.

3. Learned P.O. further submits that in the minutes of the meeting out of 8971 candidates, 42 candidates were held on ineligible on the

✓

ground that their documents are not proper. Thereafter on the basis of cut-off merit list 1076 candidates list was prepared and published on 05.01.2022. In the said list the name of the applicant did not appear.

4. In the report of the minutes of the meeting along with the annexures it is found that the Applicant's name appeared at serial No.28 wherein he is held ineligible and the reason for ineligibility given is, that his certificate of Project Affected Persons is handwritten. However in the order dated 28.01.2022 Mr. Shyam Ghuge, Member of Police Constable Selection Committee-2019 while holding the Applicant ineligible has given three reasons :

- (a) Applicant did not provide certificate copy of original PAP.
- (b) The said certificate is handwritten.
- (c) It does not have the stamp of the Government office who issued the certificate.

We notice that the list which is provided to the Committee which is annexed is signed by four persons.

i.e. Senior Clerk, Office Superintendent, Administrative Officer and Section Officer.

All these officers are directed to show why three reasons noted above were not mentioned. Mr. Ghuge to remain present and we would like to know on what basis he gave these reasons when only one reason was mentioned in the objection of the documents, which was placed before the Selection Committee.

5. Adjourned to 02.08.2022 at 12.00 noon.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.621 of 2022</p> <p>M.S. SalunkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.179 of 2021</p> <p>R.A. MaratheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three days time is granted to file Affidavit in terms of order dated 21.07.2022 and no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.741 of 2022</p> <p>K.B. BhagatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 07.07.2022 whereby additional charge which was given to the Applicant by order dated 02.03.2022 was withdrawn and kept with one Shri Pratik Pawaskar. 3. Thus, the impugned order is simply withdrawal of additional charge without affecting the Applicant's primary work. 4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.08.2022. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. [P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 30.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.603 of 2022</p> <p>S.A. Mheboob AliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance. Since, matter pertains to recovery from retiral benefits it needs to be decided expeditiously.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.08.2022. 08/8/22 b</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.30 of 2022</p> <p>D.V. WadhelApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.08.2022

O.A. No.826 of 2021

T.M. Rathod

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate along with Shri Mohamad A.G, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant has already undergone period of punishment of withholding of one increment without cumulative effect for which he was not relieved as per Clause 5 of promotion order dated 28.04.2021.

3. As per promotion order direction were given not to relieve Police Personnel who is undergoing punishment or facing D.E. etc. The Applicant was subjected to punishment of withholding next increment for one year by order dated 25.02.2021. His next increment was due on 01.07.2021 and the period of effect of punishment over by 30.06.2022. This being the position it is for Respondent No.3 – The Commissioner of Police to take necessary action for relieving the Applicant.

4. Learned P.O. is therefore directed to take instruction from Respondent No.3 and appraise the Tribunal on 02.08.2022 i.e. tomorrow so that O.A. can be disposed of.

5. S.O. to 02.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.08.2022

O.A. No.703 of 2021

P.R. PawarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 12.07.2021 whereby his period of suspension from 18.10.2010 to 28.09.2012 has been treated duty period only for pension purposes. Whereas, the Applicant's claim is that he is entitled to full pay and allowances with all consequential benefits for the said period.
3. When the matter is taken up for hearing and it was pointed out to the learned Advocate for the Applicant that the impugned order is appealable then how the O.A. can be entertained without filing appeal.
4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant fairly concedes that as per Rule 17(v)(f) of M.C.S. (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979 the impugned order is appealable. Rule 18 provides appellate authority competent to decide the appeal.
5. In view of above, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file appeal against the impugned order.
6. Allowed to withdraw O.A. with liberty to file appeal against the impugned order.
7. The appellate authority shall consider the issue of limitation and may condone the delay in view of the filing of the O.A. and period spent in pursuing the same.
8. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.461 of 2020</p> <p>D.J. AmbilwadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is seeking direction to the Respondents to regularized period of pension from 31.03.2011 to 04.11.2012 for all purposes.</p> <p>3. Perusal of O.A. reveals that Government has already passed order dated 21.01.2016 treating the period of suspension of the Applicant as duty period for pension purpose but pay and allowance is restricted to 75%. However, the Applicant has not challenged the legality of order dated 21.01.2016 and simply prayed for direction.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to amend prayer clause so as to challenge order dated 21.01.2016. In the interest of justice allowed to correct prayer clause by adding specific relief and amendment be carried out forthwith.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is also directed to produce chargesheet of criminal case as well as copies of F.I.R. for appropriate decision of this O.A.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 23.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.660 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. Y.M. KokadwarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has tendered order dated 01.08.2022 issued by Superintendent of Police, Byculla Prison, Mumbai whereby one quarter has been allotted to the Applicant on 30.07.2022. Thus, the grievance of the Applicant to the extent of non allotment is redressed.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A. so as to decide legality of Show Cause Notice dated 29.06.2022 whereby the penal charges are imposed.</p> <p>4. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.745 of 2022</p> <p>A.A. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 09.06.2022 issued by Respondent – The Commissioner of Police, Mumbai <i>inter-alia</i> contending that it is not in consonance with the provision of Maharashtra Police Act.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that in view of G.R. dated 01.06.2022 issued by Home Department, transfer was not allowed till 30.06.2022. In the same G.R. exception was given for mid-term transfer for administrative exigencies with the approval of Hon'ble Chief Minister. According to the learned Advocate for the Applicant there is no such approval, therefore transfer order is bad in law.</p> <p>4. On request of learned P.O. adjourned for tomorrow to produce record and see whether it is in consonance of approval of Maharashtra Police Act and G.R. dated 01.06.2022.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 02.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">NMN [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.08.2022

O.A. No.161 of 2021

S.R. KoliApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Enough time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply after amendment but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.

3. When the matter is taken up for Final Hearing, learned Advocate for the Applicant has raised issue about the total insensitiveness on the part of Respondent No.1 for withholding Gratuity, Leave Encashment & family pension. These pensionary benefits ought to have been released immediately after the death of deceased Government servant. He died on 21.09.2020 allegedly due to COVID-19.

4. In view of above, Respondent No.1 – The Commissioner, Navi Mumbai is directed to depute responsible officer to remain present in the Tribunal tomorrow at 11.00 a.m. to apprise the Tribunal as to why Gratuity, Leave Encashment & family pension are withheld.

5. Learned P.O. is also directed to ensure compliance of this order.

6. S.O. 02.08.2022.

7. Steno copy granted.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.29 of 2021 in O.A. No.250 of 2021</p> <p>R.Y. ChandramoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Ankita Naik, learned Advocate holding for Shri I.M. Koparkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to condone delay of two years and ten months caused in filing O.A. No.250/2021 challenging the order dated 17.03.2019 thereby by rejecting the claim of the Applicant for Appointment on compassionate ground.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought to contend that due to lockdown on account of COVID-19 Pandemic situation the Applicant could not travel from Nashik to Mumbai to file this O.A., and therefore prayed to condone the delay.</p> <p>4. Enough chances are granted to Respondent to file Reply but they have not filed Reply to M.A., thereby denying the ground raised by the Applicant.</p> <p>5. The impugned order challenged in O.A. is of 17.03.2019. Therefore O.A. ought to have been filed on or before 17.03.2020. However, because of COVID-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown, apparently the Applicant was unable to travel and file O.A. at Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai. Indeed, Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo-Motu Writ Petition (C) No.03/2020 by order dated 10.01.2022 ordered as under:-</p> <p align="center">"where the limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022, notwithstanding the actual ^{IPTO} balance period of limitation</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022."

6. Whereas, in present case O.A. has been filed on 01.04.2021 which is before the cut-off date in the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

7. In view of above, delay caused in filing O.A. deserves to be condoned.

8. M.A. is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.250 of 2021</p> <p>R.Y. ChandramoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Ankita Naik, learned Advocate holding for Shri I.M. Koparkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. has been filed along with M.A. No.29/2021 which is allowed by the Tribunal today by separate order.</p> <p>3. Since, Respondent appeared through learned P.O. the notice of O.A. is dispensed with.</p> <p>4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to O.A.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.138 of 2021 in O.A. No.249 of 2021</p> <p>M.S. WaghApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Ankita Naik, learned Advocate holding for Shri I.M. Koparkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to condone delay of one year and three months caused in filing O.A. No.249/2021 challenging communication dated 07.09.2019 whereby claim of the Applicant for appointment on compassionate ground has been rejected. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submit that O.A. ought to have been filed on or before 07.09.2020 but due to COVID-19 Pandemic situation it could not be filed since the Applicant was unable to travel from Nashik to Mumbai, and therefore requested to condone the delay.</p> <p>3. Per contra, learned P.O. opposes the application stating that delay is not properly explained which is stand is in defiance of order of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 10.01.2022 passed in Suo-Motu Writ Petition (C) No.03/2020 which is as under:- "where the limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022, notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022."</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. Whereas, in present case O.A. has been filed on 01.04.2021 which is before the cut-off date in the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

5. In view of above, delay caused in filing O.A. deserves to be condoned.

6. M.A. is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.249 of 2021</p> <p>M.S. WaghApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Ankita Naik, learned Advocate holding for Shri I.M. Koparkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. has been filed along with M.A. No.138/2021 which is allowed by the Tribunal today by separate order.</p> <p>3. Since, Respondent appeared through learned P.O. the notice of O.A. is dispensed with.</p> <p>4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to O.A.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.12 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.N. Pagare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.F. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the applicant.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.8.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgi) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.8.2022 1.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 ____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 ____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1036 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.M. Katkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 5.9.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>1.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>1.8.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.903 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. A.S. Nevkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicants.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.8.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.8.2022 1.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.415 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.R. Mahajan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter to proceed without reply as last chance was already given.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.8.2022 1.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.280 of 2022 and O.A. No.281 of 2022</u></p> <p>G.G. Daga & Ors. Y.S. Kulkarni & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Hassan Khan holding for Shri S.T. Yaseen, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. Bhagyasha Kurane holding for Shri Sangharsh Waghmare, learned Advocate states that caveat was filed on behalf of Shri Akshay Choudhary in OA No.280 of 2022. It was served on the applicants. However, the caveator is not made party respondent. Ld. Advocate for the applicant to take instructions.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.8.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.8.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.08.2022</p> <p>C.A.No.19 of 2020 in O.A.No.1158 of 2016 with O.A.No.352 of 2017 with O.A.No.353 of 2017</p> <p>S.K. Goraksha & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has placed on record copy of letter dated 29.07.2022. Taken on record and marked as Exhibit-A of identification. In the said letter it is stated that the office of the Accountant General has rejected the claim for enhancing the Gratuity of the Applicant on the ground that the Applicant retired on 30.11.2006 and the said G.R on which she is relying is not applicable to her.</p> <p>3. In view of above, C.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.442 of 2022 in O.A. No.729 of 2022</u></p> <p>G.N. Jadhav & 13 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.8.2022 1.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.441 of 2022 in O.A. No.729 of 2022

G.N. Jadhav & 13 Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 22.8.2.22. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA and O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) ✓
Member (A)
1.8.2022

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
1.8.2022



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.8 of 2021 in O.A. No.848 of 2018</u> <u>With</u> <u>M.A. No.344 of 2020 in O.A. No.848 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.S. Salunkhe & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicants</p> <p>2. Shri M.D. Lonkar, Ld. Advocate submits that he will be filing MA for intervention during the course of the day in the above matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.8.2022 1.8.2022</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.383 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.D. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Viraj Hake holding for Shri C.R. Nagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant has been appointed. Hence, the matter has become infructuous and the same may be disposed off.</p> <p>3. In view of thee above, OA is disposed off as infructuous.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.8.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.447 of 2022 in O.A. No.734 of 2022</u></p> <p>J.S. Sasane & 3 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gädgil)^v / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.8.2022 1.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.734 of 2022</u></p> <p>J.S. Sasane & 3 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.<li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.8.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.<li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.<li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.<li>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.<li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"><div style="text-align: center;"><p>Sd/-</p><p>(Medha Gadgil) </p><p>Member (A)</p><p>1.8.2022</p></div><div style="text-align: center;"><p>Sd/-</p><p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) </p><p>Chairperson</p><p>1.8.2022</p></div></div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.1032 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.L. Jagtap ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks four weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.8.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.8.2022 1.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>01.08.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 650/2015</u></p> <p>Shri M.R Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that officer from the Revenue & Forest Department is present and they have come with notes of evidence. One copy of the bunch is produced for perusal of the Tribunal and one copy handed over to the learned counsel for the applicant Mr Lonkar.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 8.8.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>



IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.831 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.D. Giri ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter to proceed without reply as last chance was already given.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.9.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.8.2022</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.8.2022</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.483 of 2022</p> <p>M.G. PrajapatApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.7.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 05.08.2022. Interim relief to be continued till next date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.08.2022</p> <p>C.A.No.22 of 2021 in O.A.No.21 of 2017</p> <p>A.A. PawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant requests for orders to be passed by the Tribunal directing the Respondents to file affidavit-in-reply stating the position of Mr. Mahesh Deshpande, Ms. Sapna Kamble and Mr. A.A. Pawar (Applicant) as to who is occupying one post which was kept vacant on the date of judgment by the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 22.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.08.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.298 of 2022</p> <p>M.M. MhatreApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 5.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medhā Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 01.08.2022

O.A.No.361 of 2022 with O.A.No.362 of 2022

L.K. ShindeApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Adjourned to 03.08.2022. At the request of learned Advocate Mr. Dere to be tagged with similar O.A..

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>01.08.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 717, 718, 588, 610, 777 & 776/2020</u></p> <p>V.D Lakade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants Heard Smt K.S Gaiwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that she wants to file affidavit in reply in all the matters in respect of stoppage of increment with permanent effect.</p> <p>3. S.O to 17.8.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1062 of 2021</p> <p>M.P. Devade & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that in this case the D.P.C. was held on 03.06.2022. However since all the Applicants have completed four years they are required to be transferred. All the applicants are considered for promotions. Earlier stay was granted by the then Hon'ble the Chief Minister upto 30.06.2022. The authority who is going to issue the orders of promotion and posting is the Respondent No.3, Commissioner, State Intelligence Department. Learned C.P.O. further submits that since there was ban on transfers till 30.06.2022 and no subsequent orders were issued the Department was waiting for instructions from the Government.</p> <p>3. The ground given by the Department for not giving promotion is not sustainable. Department is hereby directed to issue the orders of postings promotions till 10.08.2022.</p> <p>4. Matter fixed on 11.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>01.08.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1087/2018</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Pingale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that the Tribunal has earlier passed similar order in respect of Tuberculosis Staff under the National Rural Health Mission.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to furnish the copy of the judgment to the learned P.O.</p> <p>4. S.O to 8.8.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.163 of 2022 in O.A. No.286 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.H.I. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.8.2022 1.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.312 of 2022 with O.A. No.577 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.N. More & 19 Ors. S.S. Bhagat & 6 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In OA No.312/2022 Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. In OA No.577/2022 Ld. PO states that reply is already filed on behalf of respondent no.4 and she seeks time to file reply on behalf of respondent no.5.</p> <p>3. GAD-Respondent No.1 to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.8.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.8.2022 1.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.296 of 2022 in O.A. No.470 of 2022</p> <p>C.D. AnbhuleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri P. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.226 of 2020</p> <p>R.S. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.B. Gawade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The perusal of O.A. particularly 9(e) reveals that the Applicant has challenged virus of G.R. dated 07.09.1999 and prayed for declaration that it be declared as unconstitutional. 3. In view of above, O.A. ought to have been placed before Division Bench. 4. Registrar is therefore directed to examine the matter and place it before appropriate Bench. 5. However, at this juncture, after taking so much time learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he wants to delete prayer clause 9(e) and requested for one week time. 6. S.O. to 11.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.168 of 2022</p> <p>R.V. BhandareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana. B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Enough chances are granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. 3. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing without Reply. 4. S.O. to 22.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.466 of 2022</p> <p>S.N. Ghatkamble & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to learned P.O. to file Affidavit-in-Reply three days in advance with copy to other side.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.529 of 2022</p> <p>M.M. ThakurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record. 3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder. 4. S.O. to 18.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>