

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.568 of 2017

Arvind B. Bhagwat ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Deokar, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant
and K.B. Bhise, Ld. Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant was working as Associate Professor in Poddar Ayurvedic College, Worli, Mumbai. He was declared permanently incapacitated by Medical Board on 2.5.2013. Therefore, he was retired w.e.f. 2.5.2013. Rs.77,962/- has been recovered from salary before his retirement.

3. On account of wrong pay fixation during annual increments recovery of Rs.3,89,165/- has been raised against the Applicant after his retirement and the same has been recovered from his gratuity by impugned order dated 1.8.2014 (Exhibit F page 25). The Respondent No.4 has done this as per Rule 132(3)(b) of the MCS (Pension) Rules, 1982. The recovery pertains to revised pay scales. The Pay Band of the Applicant was revised for the period from 1.1.2005 to 31.1.2013 (Exhibit R-1). According to Respondent No.4, the Applicant was temporarily promoted as Reader while his original post was Assistant Professor. The annual increments should have been fixed on the post of Demonstrator. Respondent No.4 admits that error in calculation in excess payment was done by the office. However, recovery order of Rs.3,89,165/- was issued after his retirement from gratuity on 1.8.2014.

4. The Applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 1.8.2014. He has cited the judgment and order dated 20.12.2016 passed by this Tribunal at Aurangabad Bench in OA No.711 of 2016 Omprakash D. Mane Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

5. In view of permanent incapacitating condition of the Applicant and recovery made after his retirement, it would be iniquitous to recover the amount from his gratuity even though he is a Group 'A' officer.

6. The impugned order dated 1.8.2014 is, therefore, set aside. In view of special reasons stated by the Applicant, the amount of Rs.3,89,165/- recovered from his gratuity should be refunded to the Applicant within four weeks without interest. The amount is being refunded only as a special case and not as a right. With these observations OA is disposed off.

DATE

1/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.S. Deokar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ag. to O.A. is disposed off.

1/8/18

Sd/-

P.N. Dixit
Member (A)
1.8.2018

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A. No.379 of 2018

V.J. Pagare ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

1. Ld. PO requests for three weeks time to file reply
2. S.O. to 29.8.2018.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
1.8.2018

DATE 11/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

(sgj)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Act./S.O. to 29/8/18

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No. 92 of 2018

R.C. Advani ..Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

1. Id. PO files reply and the same is taken on record.
2. Id. Advocate for the applicant requests for time to file rejoinder.
3. S.O. to 27.8.2018.

DATE 11/8/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri ~~Smt.~~ A.V. Bandiwadekar (sgj)

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri ~~Smt.~~ K.B. Bhise
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 27/8/18
Reply filed by R.C. Advani

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
1.8.2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.380 of 2018</u>
---	---

V.J. Pagare ..Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Id. PO requests for three weeks time to file reply
- 3. S.O. to 29.8.2018.


DATE 11/8/18

CORAM
Honble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
1.8.2018

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt. M. N. G. Gohad~~
P.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 29/8/18


(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.608 of 2018</u>
---	---

K.E. Pawar Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO states that she has not received any instructions in the matter. The respondents are directed to communicate if the respondents propose to file affidavit.

3. S.O. to 27.8.2018.

4. The request for interim relief is kept open.

DATE 18/8

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)

Member (A)

1.8.2018

APPEARANCE:

~~Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar~~ (sgl)

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad~~

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adi./S.O. to 27/8/18

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.1090 of 2017

M.M. Sawant & Ors. ..Applicants
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO requests for two weeks time to report progress in the matter.

3. S.O. to 20.8.2018.

DATE 18/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar (sgj)

Advocate for the Applicant

Ms. S. Suryawanshi
P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adm.S.O. to 20/8/18

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
1.8.2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram: Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.467 of 2015 & other connected matters

V.R. Badekar & Ors. ..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, Ms. Ranjana Todankar, learned Advocates for the Applicants and Shri S.K. Nair, Ld. Special Counsel with Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocates for the Applicants mention that next meeting at the Government level is on 6.8.2018. Hence, they request for adjournment.

3. S.O. to 27.8.2018.

Sd/-

(P.N! Dixit)
Member (A)
1.8.2018

(sgj)

DATE 11/8/18
CORAM
Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale,
Ranjana Todankar
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.K. Nair
Spl. Counsel for the Respondent/s
Spl. Counsel with N.K. Rajpurohit

Adj./S.O. to 27/8/18

X Groupy

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
Applicants


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondents

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>1/8/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri <u>Shri Dheeraj Patil,</u> holding for <u>P.S. Bhavake</u> Advocate for the Applicant (sgl)</p> <p>Shri <u>Ms. S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>23/8/18</u></p> <p></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.406 of 2018.</u></p> <p>M.S. Fate ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Hearc. Shri Dheeraj Patil, learned Advocate notring for Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant, and Miss S.F. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO requests for two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.8.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 1.8.2018</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
Applicant

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>1/8/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>Sec- Rejoinder filed</u> <u>Adj. S.O. to by R. No. 122</u> <u>S.O. to 23/8/18.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No. 336 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.D. Akrupe Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>Hearc. Shri K.R. Jagdate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. FO files sur-rejoinder and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Id. Advocate for the applicant requests for two weeks time to seek instructions in the matter.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.8.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 1.8.2018</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicants

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>1/8/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p>	<p>Date : 01.08.2018.</p> <p>O.A.No.1158 of 2016 with O.A.No.352 of 2017 with O.A.No.353 of 2017</p> <p>S.K. Goraksha & Ors. (O.A.No.1158/2016) S.D. Narvekar (O.A.No.352/2017) K.S. Gadkari (O.A.No.353/2017)Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer with Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents proposes to file sur-rejoinder shortly.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri A.v. Bandiwadekar prays for adjournment.</p> <p>4. In view of the foregoing adjourned to 30.08.2018.</p>
<p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.N. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents with <u>S.D. Dole, P.O.</u> Adj./S.O. to <u>30/8/18</u></p>	<p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p>
	<p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicants

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondents

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>1/8/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u></p> <p>Smt/Smt. Applicant is not present.</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Smt/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi</p> <p>C.F.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>31/8/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 01.08.2018.</p> <p>O.A.No.609 of 2017</p> <p>S.A. PatilApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant is not present. As a last chance Applicant is directed to remain present on the next date, failing which the necessary decision will be taken in the matter.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 31.08.2018.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicants

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondents

(Presenting Officer)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>18/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri <u>A.J. Chougale</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Ad/6.0.10 O.A. is disposed of</p>	<p>Date : 01.08.2018.</p> <p>O.A.No.407 of 2017 (Subject : Transfer)</p> <p>U.C. BangarApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougale, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri A.J. Chougale mentions that there are four other nurses who have expressed serious medical difficulties in respect of their family members or should have completed more than three years at the outside and therefore intend to be posted at Thane.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri K.R. Jagoale states that the request of the applicant may be considered under humanitarian grounds as her father-in-law is suffering from Cancer of Prostate Gland.</p> <p>4. Respondent No.2 is therefore directed to look into the representation and try to accommodate the same as much as possible in the course of time .</p> <p>5. O.A. is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicants

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondents

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>11/8/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>Shri Ranjana Todankar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri <u>Shri N.K. Rajpurohit</u> PO for the Respondents.</p> <p>As per to <u>order passed in the Tribunal's column.</u> Request granted. <i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No. 234 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.R. Wagh & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Hear Ms. Ranjana Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Taken on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed a note dated 1.8.2018 and requests for extending the time granted by two weeks.</p> <p>4. Request granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 1.8.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>11/8/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. Applicant in person.</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>20/8/18</u> steno copy and handout is granted.</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.417 of 2014</u></p> <p>V.J. Kulkarni ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Hear: Applicant who is present in person and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Government has issued directions to condone the period of seven months as a special measure and directed the District Collector to expedite the pension papers of the applicant. This directive has been issued on 1.3.2018. The applicant, who is present in person, mentions that he had approached the respondents and they have assured that action would be taken.</p> <p>3. The respondent no.2 is directed to remain present personally or send responsible officer with the progress made on 20.8.2018.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.8.2018.</p> <p>5. Steno copy and handout is granted. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P/N. DIXIT) Member (A) 11.8.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant's

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent's

(Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>1/8/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u></p> <p>Shri/Mt. <u>M. B. Kadam</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Mt. <u>Ms. S. Suryawanshi</u></p> <p>G.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent's</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>23/8/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No. 41 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.N. Patvardhan ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests for two weeks time to substitute the OA.</p> <p>3. Request granted. S.O. to 23.8.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N. Dixit)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">1.8.2018</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicants

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondents

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>11/8/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>3/9/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 01.08.2018.</p> <p>O.A.No.19 of 2018</p> <p>P.M. BhosieApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Applicant, learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar seeks adjournment.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 03.09.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
Applicants

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondents

(Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>11/8/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.407 of 2018</u> C.V. Ghare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Hearc. Shri Dheeraj Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri A.D. Gugare, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shalgaonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4. 2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is directed to provide copy of OA to Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.4. 3. S.O. to 23.8.2018.</p>
<p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. Dheeraj Patil, holding for P.S. Bhavake. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Archana B.K. C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s No. 1 to 3. A.D. Gugare, holding for Adv. S.O. to A.S. Shalgaonkar for R. No. 4. S.O. to 23/8/18.</p>	<p>(sgj) Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 1.8.2018</p>