

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.63 of 2024</p> <p>N.M. ManeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 14.06.2024 on behalf of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' wherein it has been mentioned that there is no decision on representation made by Applicant on 10.10.2023 which is about transfer to any 'Executive Post' in establishment of 'S.P., Kolhapur.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. based on contents of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 14.06.2024 filed on behalf of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' states that Applicant has been attached to 'S.I.D. Kolhapur Unit' by Order dated 13.02.2024 of 'Additional D.G. S.I.D. Mumbai' and she has joined and serving in 'S.I.D. Kolhapur Unit' since 13.02.2024. So, request of Applicant to be posted to 'Kolhapur District' has been accepted and she can continue to serve within 'Specialized Agency' in 'S.I.D. Kolhapur Unit'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that she will take instructions in this regard from Applicant and apprise on 'Next Date'. She further seeks time of 'Two Weeks' to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' to 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 14.06.2024 filed on behalf of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.334 of 2024 with O.A. No. 347 of 2024</p> <p>S.A. Shewale & Ors., V.J. Mandavdhare & Ors.,Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicants states that both Applicants in O.A. No. 347/2024 intend to withdraw it as they no longer desire to pursue their challenge to Order dated 04.03.2024 of 'DGP, Maharashtra State'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicants mentions that as Applicants 1, 4, 5 & 6 in O.A. No. 334/2024 had submitted then requests through representations to 'DGP, Maharashtra State' these were considered and their names are included in Order dated 30.06.2024 of 'DGP, Maharashtra State'. However as Applicants 2, 3, 7 had not submitted representations their requests were not considered by 'DGP, Maharashtra State'.</p> <p>4. The Applicants in 2, 3, 7 in O.A. No. 347/2024 are thus given liberty to submit representations to 'DGP, Maharashtra State'; which must thereupon be placed for due consideration in next meeting of 'PEB-2'. The next meeting of 'PEB-2' may be specially convened to consider representations of Applicant 2, 3, 7 in O.A. No. 334/2024 on 'Principles of Parity'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 08.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

O.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Memoranda of Coram,
Tribunal's orders or
Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.07.2024

O.A. No.371 of 2024 to O.A. No.378 of 2024 with
O.A. No.446 of 2024

V.V. Adagale

S.S. Suryawanshi

S.H. Rokade

M.K. Kadam

B.S. Mulla

D.A. Pawar

M.B. Taware

H.N. Kakandki

A.S. Morde

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. P.G. Kotkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, Shri A.D. Gugale, Smt Archana B.K., Shri D.R. Patil and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The 'Groups of O.A's' about transfers of 'Police Personnel' in ranks of PI, API & PSI mentioned above were taken up today for further hearing in personal presence of (a) 'Joint Secretary' / 'Deputy Secretary' of 'Home Department' dealing with subject of 'P.E.B.-I' and (b) 'Law Officer' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State, Mumbai' who were present as per directions in 'Para 5' of all Orders dated 19.06.2024.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant presents copy of the 'Transfer Order' issued by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' dated 30.06.2024 which is about request transfers of '120 P.Is.' and copy of another 'Transfer Order' issued by 'DGP Maharashtra State' dated 30.06.2024 which is about 'General Transfer : 2024' of 259 'P.I.'.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicants thereupon stated that requests for transfer of few 'P.Is' from amongst Applicants have been granted by 'Transfer Order' of 'DGP Maharashtra State' dated 30.06.2024 probably in consideration of the fact that these 'P.Is' had not completed their 'Normal Tenures' in earlier 'Police Establishments' as per 'Section 22(N)(1)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' and also request for transfer of some 'P.Is' from amongst Applicants who were earlier transferred on grounds of serving in 'Home District' have also been considered and included in 'Transfer Order' of 'DGP Maharashtra State' dated 30.06.2024 because 'Home District' is not specified criteria for transfers under 'Section 22N(1)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'. Therefore, these specific grounds which appear to have been considered by 'P.E.B.-2' in respect of some 'P.Is.' amongst Applicants may be ascertained from 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'P.E.B.-2' and then made applicable to other Applicants as well in rank of 'P.I.', 'A.P.I.' & 'P.S.I.' on 'Principles of Parity'.</p> <p>5. The 'Joint Secretary, Home Department' then presented copy of draft 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'P.E.B.-1' held on 28.06.2024 and stated that it can be expected to be finalized within 'One Week' as approval is required from 'Hon'ble Chief Minister of Maharashtra' being 'Highest Transferring Authority' under 'Section 22(N)(2)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'.</p> <p>6. The 'Joint Secretary, Home Department' is thereupon informed that finalized 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'P.E.B.-1' held on 28.06.2024 must comprehensively address all issues highlighted in detailed observations recorded in Order dated 30.04.2024 in 'Group of O.As' as this would facilitate expeditious redressal of grievances of 'Police Personnel' from ranks of 'P.I.', 'A.P.I.' & 'P.S.I.' who have challenged their 'Transfer Orders' by invoking 'Section 19' of 'The Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. The 'Law Officer' on behalf of 'D.G.P. (Administration) Maharashtra State' clarified that in 'Transfer Order' of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' dated 30.06.2024 which includes transfer of 'P.I.' based on both requests and upon completion of 'Normal Tenures' as per provisions of 'Section 22(N)(1) of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'; only one case of Applicant was considered out of three cases of Applicants which had come to be placed before 'P.E.B.-2'. The 'P.E.B.-2' rejected cases of Applicants in O.A. No.151/2024 and O.A. No.152/2024 while case of Applicant in O.A.No.127/2024 was considered by 'P.E.B.-2' as there was 'Vacant Post' of 'P.I.' in C.I.D. HQ's at Pune'.

8. The 'Law Officer' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' further stated that in respect of 'Police Establishments' for which orders of 'Status Quo' have been passed to keep posts of 'P.I.', 'A.P.I.' & 'P.S.I.' vacant especially in 'Functional Departments'; those have not been filled up by 'Transfer Orders' issued by 'DGP Maharashtra State' dated 30.06.2024 and such posts would be kept vacant till further Orders are passed in these 'Group of OAs'.

9. The (a) 'Joint Secretary' / 'Deputy Secretary' of 'Home Department' dealing with subject of 'P.E.B.-1' and (b) 'Law Officer' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State, Mumbai' were also informed that in order to understand reasons & rationale behind decisions recorded in finalized 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'PEB-1' held on 28.06.2024; they should personally remain present again on 08.07.2024.

10. S.O. to 08.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

O.A. No. of 20

IN

Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Memoranda of Coram,
Tribunal's orders or
Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.07.2024

'GROUP - I' (C.P., Pimpri - Chinchwad)

O.A. No.124 of 2024 with O.A. No.125 of 2024 with
O.A. No.150 of 2024 to O.A. No.153 of 2024 with O.A.
No.318 of 2024 with O.A. No.319 of 2024

K.D. Patil

R.P. Bobade

R.N. Ghadge

S.B. Deshmukh

P.V. Jadhav

S.N. Tambe

J.P. Gaikwad

P.A. Kshirsagar

.....Applicants

'GROUP - II' (C.P., Pune & Thane)

O.A. No.298 of 2024 to O.A. No.301 of 2024

S.B. Mane

M.N. Jagtap

S.D. Jadhav

D.B. Chudappa

.....Applicants

'GROUP - III' (C.P., Nashik & S.P., Solapur, & Satara)

O.A. No.127 of 2024

S.B. Godase

.....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

'GROUP - IV'

O.A. No.279 of 2024 with O.A. No.603 of 2024 with
O.A. No.277 of 2024 with O.A. No.278 of 2024 with O.A.
No.464 of 2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, Shri R.G. Panchal and Shri A.M. Misal, learned Advocate for the Applicant. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer and Presenting Officer for the Respondents & Shri A.D. Gugale, Smt Archana B.K. & Smt. K.S. Gaikwad in 'Group - I'; 'Group - II', 'Group - III' & 'Group IV'.
2. The 'Groups of O.A's' about transfers of 'Police Personnel' in ranks of PI, API & PSI mentioned above were taken up today for further hearing in personal presence of (a) 'Joint Secretary' / 'Deputy Secretary' of 'Home Department' dealing with subject of 'P.E.B.-I' and (b) 'Law Officer' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State, Mumbai' who were present as per directions in 'Para 5' of all Orders dated 19.06.2024.
3. Learned Advocate for Applicant presents copy of the 'Transfer Order' issued by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' dated 30.06.2024 which is about request transfers of '120 P.Is.' and copy of another 'Transfer Order' issued by 'DGP Maharashtra State' dated 30.06.2024 which is about 'General Transfer : 2024' of 259 'P.I.'.
4. Learned Advocate for Applicants thereupon stated that requests for transfer of few 'P.Is' from amongst Applicants have been granted by 'Transfer Order' of 'DGP Maharashtra State' dated 30.06.2024 probably in consideration of the fact that these 'P.Is' had not completed their 'Normal Tenures' in earlier 'Police Establishments' as per 'Section 22(N)(1)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' and also request for transfer of some 'P.Is' from amongst Applicants who were earlier transferred on grounds of serving in 'Home District' have also been considered and included in 'Transfer Order' of 'DGP Maharashtra State' dated 30.06.2024 because 'Home District' is not specified criteria for transfers under 'Section 22N(1)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'. Therefore, these specific grounds which appear to have been considered

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

No. _____ of 20 _____

N. _____
Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Memoranda of Coram,
Tribunal's orders or
Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

by 'P.E.B.-2' in respect of some 'P.Is.' amongst Applicants may be ascertained from 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'P.E.B.-2' and then made applicable to other Applicants as well in rank of 'P.I.', 'A.P.I.' & 'P.S.I.' on 'Principles of Parity'.

5. The 'Joint Secretary, Home Department' then presented copy of draft 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'P.E.B.-1' held on 28.06.2024 and stated that it can be expected to be finalized within 'One Week' as approval is required from 'Hon'ble Chief Minister of Maharashtra' being 'Highest Transferring Authority' under 'Section 22(N)(2)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'.

6. The 'Joint Secretary, Home Department' is thereupon informed that finalized 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'P.E.B.-1' held on 28.06.2024 must comprehensively address all issues highlighted in detailed observations recorded in Order dated 30.04.2024 in 'Group of O.As' as this would facilitate expeditious redressal of grievances of 'Police Personnel' from ranks of 'P.I.', 'A.P.I.' & 'P.S.I.' who have challenged their 'Transfer Orders' by invoking 'Section 19' of 'The Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985'.

7. The 'Law Officer' on behalf of 'D.G.P. (Administration) Maharashtra State' clarified that in 'Transfer Order' of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' dated 30.06.2024 which includes transfer of 'P.I.' based on both requests and upon completion of 'Normal Tenures' as per provisions of 'Section 22(N)(1) of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'; only one case of Applicant was considered out of three cases of Applicants which had come to be placed before 'P.E.B.-2'. The 'P.E.B.-2' rejected cases of Applicants in O.A. No.151/2024 and O.A. No.152/2024 while case of Applicant in O.A.No.127/2024 was considered by 'P.E.B.-2' as there was 'Vacant Post' of 'P.I.' in C.I.D. HQ's at Pune'.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. The 'Law Officer' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' further stated that in respect of 'Police Establishments' for which orders of '*Status Quo*' have been passed to keep posts of 'P.I.', 'A.P.I.' & 'P.S.I.' vacant especially in 'Functional Departments'; those have not been filled up by 'Transfer Orders' issued by 'DGP Maharashtra State' dated 30.06.2024 and such posts would be kept vacant till further Orders are passed in these 'Group of OAs'.

9. The (a) 'Joint Secretary' / 'Deputy Secretary' of 'Home Department' dealing with subject of 'P.E.B.-I' and (b) 'Law Officer' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State, Mumbai' were also informed that in order to understand reasons & rationale behind decisions recorded in finalized 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'PEB-1' held on 28.06.2024; they should personally remain present again on 08.07.2024.

10. S.O. to 08.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

No. _____ of 20 _____

N

Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Memoranda of Coram,
Tribunal's orders or
Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.07.2024

O.A. No.260 of 2024 with O.A. No.264 of 2024 with
O.A. No.265 of 2024 with O.A. No.266 of 2024 with
O.A. No.269 of 2024 with O.A. No.270 of 2024 with
O.A. No.283 of 2024 with O.A. No.284 of 2024 with
O.A. No.285 of 2024 with O.A. No.287 of 2024 with
O.A. No.288 of 2024 to O.A. No.292 of 2024 with
O.A. No.310 of 2024 with O.A. No.311 of 2024 with
O.A. No.313 of 2024 with O.A. No.314 of 2024 with
O.A. No.323 of 2024 with O.A. No.324 of 2024 with
O.A. No.329 of 2024 with O.A. No.354 of 2024 with
O.A. No.367 of 2024 with O.A. No.385 of 2024 with
O.A. No.545 of 2024 with
O.A. No.555 of 2024 to O.A. No.557 of 2024 with
O.A. No.328 of 2024 with O.A. No.331 of 2024 with
O.A. No.325 of 2024 with O.A. No.663 of 2024 with
O.A. No.701 of 2024 with
O.A. No.330 of 2024 with M.A. No.160 of 2024 with
O.A. No.703 of 2024 to O.A. No.595 of 2024 with
other connected matters.

A.K. Padekar & Ors.

.....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Om Lonkar, Shri S.S. Dere & Shri K.R. Jagdale learned Advocates for Applicants, Shri P.M. Nagaraje, learned Advocate for Applicant No.1 in O.A. 283/2024 and Applicant No.5 in O.A. No. 287/2024 through 'Video-Conference' and Shri A.J. Chougule, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Smt. Archana B.K., Shri A.D. Gugale and Shri D.R. Patil learned Presenting Officers for Respondents.

2. The 'Groups of O.A's' about transfers of 'Police Personnel' in ranks of PI, API & PSI mentioned above were taken up today for further hearing in personal presence of (a) 'Joint Secretary' / 'Deputy Secretary' of 'Home Department' dealing with subject of 'P.E.B.-I' and (b) 'Law Officer' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State,

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Mumbai' who were present as per directions in 'Para 5' of all Orders dated 19.06.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant presents copy of the 'Transfer Order' issued by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' dated 30.06.2024 which is about request transfers of '120 P.Is.' and copy of another 'Transfer Order' issued by 'DGP Maharashtra State' dated 30.06.2024 which is about 'General Transfer : 2024' of 259 'P.I.'.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicants thereupon stated that requests for transfer of few 'P.Is' from amongst Applicants have been granted by 'Transfer Order' of 'DGP Maharashtra State' dated 30.06.2024 probably in consideration of the fact that these 'P.Is' had not completed their 'Normal Tenures' in earlier 'Police Establishments' as per 'Section 22(N)(1)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' and also request for transfer of some 'P.Is' from amongst Applicants who were earlier transferred on grounds of serving in 'Home District' have also been considered and included in 'Transfer Order' of 'DGP Maharashtra State' dated 30.06.2024 because 'Home District' is not specified criteria for transfers under 'Section 22N(1)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'. Therefore, these specific grounds which appear to have been considered by 'P.E.B.-2' in respect of some 'P.Is.' amongst Applicants may be ascertained from 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'P.E.B.-2' and then made applicable to other Applicants as well in rank of 'P.I.', 'A.P.I.' & 'P.S.I.' on 'Principles of Parity'.</p> <p>5. The 'Joint Secretary, Home Department' then presented copy of draft 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'P.E.B.-1' held on 28.06.2024 and stated that it can be expected to be finalized within 'One Week' as approval is required from 'Hon'ble Chief Minister of Maharashtra' being 'Highest Transferring Authority' under 'Section 22(N)(2)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'.</p>

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

O.A. No.

of 20

IN

Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Memoranda of Coram,
Tribunal's orders or
Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. The 'Joint Secretary, Home Department' is thereupon informed that finalized 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'P.E.B.-1' held on 28.06.2024 must comprehensively address all issues highlighted in detailed observations recorded in Order dated 30.04.2024 in 'Group of O.As' as this would facilitate expeditious redressal of grievances of 'Police Personnel' from ranks of 'P.I.', 'A.P.I.' & 'P.S.I.' who have challenged their 'Transfer Orders' by invoking 'Section 19' of 'The Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985'.

7. The 'Law Officer' on behalf of 'D.G.P. (Administration) Maharashtra State' clarified that in 'Transfer Order' of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' dated 30.06.2024 which includes transfer of 'P.I.' based on both requests and upon completion of 'Normal Tenures' as per provisions of 'Section 22(N)(1) of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'; only one case of Applicant was considered out of three cases of Applicants which had come to be placed before 'P.E.B.-2'. The 'P.E.B.-2' rejected cases of Applicants in O.A. No.151/2024 and O.A. No.152/2024 while case of Applicant in O.A.No.127/2024 was considered by 'P.E.B.-2' as there was 'Vacant Post' of 'P.I.' in C.I.D. HQ's at Pune'.

8. The 'Law Officer' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' further stated that in respect of 'Police Establishments' for which orders of 'Status Quo' have been passed to keep posts of 'P.I.', 'A.P.I.' & 'P.S.I.' vacant especially in 'Functional Departments'; those have not been filled up by 'Transfer Orders' issued by 'DGP Maharashtra State' dated 30.06.2024 and such posts would be kept vacant till further Orders are passed in these 'Group of OAs'.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

9. The (a) 'Joint Secretary' / 'Deputy Secretary' of 'Home Department' dealing with subject of 'P.E.B.-I' and (b) 'Law Officer' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State, Mumbai' were also informed that in order to understand reasons & rationale behind decisions recorded in finalized 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'PEB-1' held on 28.06.2024; they should personally remain present again on 08.07.2024.

10. S.O. to 08.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

O.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Memoranda of Coram,
Tribunal's orders or
Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.07.2024

O.A. No.392 of 2024

V.V. MugalikaarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant reiterates that Applicant came to be transferred from post of 'A.C.P. (Crime Branch) Pimpri Chinchwad' to post of 'S.D.P.O. Shriwardhan, District Raigad' as per Order dated 28.02.2024 of 'Home Department' although he was serving in 'Functional Department' as per 'Home Department Circular dated 16.02.2009'

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant had immediately joined on post 'S.D.P.O. Shriwardhan, District Raigad' as per Order dated 28.02.2024 of 'Home Department' ostensibly issued for implementation of directions in 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023.'

4. The exercise for 'General Transfer: 2024' of 'Police Personnel' of the rank of 'Dy. S.P. / A.C.P.' and above are likely to commence in near future with meeting of 'P.E.B.-1' under 'Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department'. As the Applicant has recently submitted representation to 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' on 16.06.2024 requesting that he be reposted to establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad' on grounds of 'Personal Hardship' and

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>superannuation due on 30.06.2025; it is directed that representation of the Applicant submitted to 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' on 16.06.2024 be forwarded immediately to 'Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department' for due consideration in next meeting of 'P.E.B.-1'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 08.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 01.07.2024
	O.A.No.772 of 2024
	M. G. NaikApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.
	1 Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2 The Applicant prays that her Appeal dated 24.01.2019 is pending before the ACS Home Department.
	3 The Applicant is working as 'API' seeks directions to ACS Home Department to hear and decide pending Appeal dated 24.01.2019 against the order of punishment of 'Removal from Service' dated 28.11.2018 passed by 'Commissioner of Police, Pune'.
	4 We therefore direct the 'ACS Home Department' who is 'Appellate Authority' to decide the Appeal of Applicant dated 24.01.2019 on or before 26.07.2024 and communicate the decision thereafter within 'One Week' to Applicant.
	5 The Original Application is accordingly disposed of. No Order as to Costs.
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>
	vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.775 of 2024</p> <p>K.A. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for Applicant who is serving on post of 'Assistant Superintendent' in 'Rural Hospital: Natepule: Solapur District' apprehends that 'Respondent No.3' who is serving on post of 'Assistant Superintendent' in 'Rural Hospital, Rui, District Pune' would be transferred to post of 'Assistant Superintendent' in 'Silver Jubilee, Sub District Hospital, Baramati, District Pune'. 3. Learned Advocate for Applicant specially draws attention to the serious 'Medical Condition' of her 'Son' who has been diagnosed with "Diffuse Cerebral and Brainstem Atrophy and Dengue Encephalitis with Multiple Organ Dysfunction". 4. Learned PO mentions that this O.A. No. 775/2024 is premature as no 'Transfer Order' of Respondent No.3 to post of 'Assistant Superintendent' in 'Silver Jubilee, Sub District Hospital, Baramati, District Pune' have been issued so far by 'Deputy Director; Public Health Department, Pune'. 5. Learned Advocate for Applicant is thus given liberty to mention O.A. No. 775/2024, if copy of Transfer Order of 'Respondent No.3' which is claimed by Applicant to have already been issued on 03.06.2024 to transfer him to post of 'Assistant Superintendent' in 'Silver Jubilee, Sub District Hospital, Baramati, District Pune' becomes available anytime before 19.07.2024. 6. S.O. to 19.07.2024. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1081 of 2023 with O.A. No. 547 of 2024</p> <p>R.D. GhunkikarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Deputy Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department Shri Bhagwan Sawant is present and states that no separate 'Notifications' have ever been issued by Finance Department to designate officers of any 'Administrative Department' as (a) 'Regional Head of Department' and (b) 'Head of Office' under 'Bombay Financial Rules 1959'. Therefore in the context of 'Disciplinary Authority' under 'Rule 4(1)' of the 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules 1979' the (a) 'Regional Head of Department' and (b) 'Head of Office' of Forest Department are those who have been so designated under 'Manual of Financial Powers 1978' by Revenue & Forest Department GR dated 01.01.2021.</p> <p>3. The Deputy Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department Shri Bhagwan Sawant was thereupon informed to refer again to contents of 'Bombay Financial Rules 1959' as well as ascertain if, when and how (a) 'Regional Head of Department' (b) 'Head of Office' have been designated by any other Administrative Department in context of 'Rule 4(1)' read with 'Rule 2(k)' and 'Rule 2(e)' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules 1979'.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' granted in O.A. No. 1081/2023 about 'Transfer' of Applicant from post of 'R.F.O. Kankavali (Territorial)' Sawantwadi Forest Division, District Sindhudurg' to be continued but in state of redundancy till further decision is taken about grant of 'Interim Relief' in O.A. No. 547/2024.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 04.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.07.2024**O.A. No.276 of 2024****V.G. Patil****.....Applicant****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors.****.....Respondents.**

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri D.B. Thoke, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5 & 6 is Not Present.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that as per directions given in 'Para 11' of Order dated 24.06.2024 it was expected that Home Department would communicate its response as to whether 'PEB-1' intends to review earlier decision taken in respect of Respondent No. 6 on 28.02.2024 and in turn about Applicant on 18.03.2024.

3. Learned PO states that no such specific information is yet available of Home Department.

4. The Additional Chief Secretary Home Department is thus expected to respond within next 'One Week' about observations in 'Para 9' and 'Para 10' and directions in 'Para 11' of Order dated 24.06.2024.

5. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

6. S.O. to 08.07.2024.

sd/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.83 of 2024</p> <p>L. D. Gawade & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. W. Pathan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned CPO submits that reply has already filed in the matter.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.216 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. S. D. WaghApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned CPO has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.322 of 2024</p> <p>(Dr.) D. B. OwhalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. D. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A. Raktade, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Today, learned CPO has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The Respondent No.4 has already filed reply.</p> <p>4. The learned CPO submits that queries raised by this Tribunal in order dated 06.03.2024 are answered in the reply filed today.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 15.07.224.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.348 of 2024</p> <p>R. P. Zalte & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt. S. Valimbe, learned Counsel for Respondent No.5.</p> <p>2. Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel submits that Shri C. T. Chandratre has given NOC for appearance, therefore, Shri Bandiwadekar is filing his 'Vakalatnama' on behalf of Applicants.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel for Applicants further submits that out of 14 Applicants, Applicant No.2- Smt. Suvarna Pagar does not want to prosecute with the matter and wants to withdraw. He produces Affidavit of Applicant No.2 in this regard. It is taken on record and marked as court exhibit -I.</p> <p>4. The name of the Applicant No.2 is to be deleted from the caption of OA.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 01.07.2024

O.A.No.350 of 2024

A. S. Karkar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.

3. S.O. to 22.07.2024.

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.395 of 2024</p> <p>R. Y. ReddiyarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt V. Pradeep, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A. Deshmukh for Caveator.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 18.07.2024.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson </p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
vsm	<p>Date : 01.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.386 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. V. H. Shetty & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shr S. S. Dere holding for Shri S. P. Pingle, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. S.O. to 15.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 01.07.2024
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.549 of 2024</p> <p>M. B. RathodApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The learned P.O. for Respondents seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply. 3. S.O. to 22.07.2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.554 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. S. C. Mormare & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant through video conference and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 29.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20
vsm

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.580 of 2024</p> <p>S. R. Shinde & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO submits that instructions are received and seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.641 of 2024</p> <p>S. V. Abhange & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. A. Alai, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms S. R. Patil, learned Counsel for Respondent -MPSC.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned Counsel for Applicants produces 'Operative Part' of the Order dated 28.06.2024 passed by the MAT Bench at Aurangabad in O.A.No.778/2023 (Shri Vitthal Shinde V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.). It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.07.2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i></p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i></p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.689 of 2024</p> <p>S. S. SudewadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned CPO has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.361 of 2024 in O.A.No.542 of 2024</p> <p>S. S. Adagale & Anrs.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Madhavi Ayyapan, learned Counsel for the Applicants through video conference and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 03.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.600 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. A. S. Kulkarni Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. D. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2 and Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondent Nos. 5 to 10.</p> <p>2. Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondent Nos.5 to 10 seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>Sd/-</i> <i>Sd/-</i> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Member (A) Chairperson </p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.132 of 2024</p> <p>S. U. More & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere holding for Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for the Applicants on instructions made a statement that grievance of all the Applicants is redressed. He, therefore, submits that O.A. be disposed of.</p> <p>3. As such, the grievance of Applicants is being redressed nothing remains in the OA. The matter has become infructuous.</p> <p>4. The O.A. is, therefore, disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.354 of 2024 in O.A.No.104 of 2024</p> <p>M. R. NavaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter, the Applicants who are working as 'Staff Nurse' challenged the Order dated 24.01.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3, The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>
vsm	

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.774 of 2024</p> <p>P. G. KulkarniApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Poonam Pal holding for Shri S. K. Hande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks short adjournment to take instructions.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>vsm</p>


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders


Tribunal's orders
Date : 01.07.2024

O.A.No.756 of 2024

N. P.KadamApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. A. Jadhavar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged the impugned rejection letter dated 17.01.2024 passed by the Commissioner of Animal Husbandry Maharashtra State, Aundh, Pune – 67.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.07.2024.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 22.07.2024.


(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)


(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 01.07.2024

O.A.No.617 of 2024

B. K. Gule

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. We direct the Respondents to comply the order dated 10.05.2024 and bring all necessary documents as prayed in clause of interim relief (a) and (b) of Original Application before the Tribunal on next date.

3. S.O. to 15.07.2024.

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1474 of 2023</u></p> <p>K.D. Pimple ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 14.6.2024 of respondent no.1.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.7.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1299 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.P. Andhere ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam h/f Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 9.8.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.773 of 2023 in O.A. No.1556 of 2023</u></p> <p>H.R. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for condoning the delay of 3 years and 11 months in filing the OA. He states that the cause of action arose on 29.12.2018 wherein his request for leave encashment is rejected on the ground that action against the applicant is taken in criminal case and the same is pending. He further pointed out that DCRG is not paid to the applicant. He made representations on 12.12.2022, 27.2.2023, 30.10.2023 and 20.11.2023 and the same are pending. Ld. Advocate submits that there is continuous cause of action.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO opposes the MA and states that the delay is not properly explained.</p> <p>4. In view of the above and since the matter relates to pensionary benefits the delay is condoned. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1556 of 2023</u></p> <p>H.R. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 1.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 1.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.751 of 2024

N.J. Sarode ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri R.L. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
1.7.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>01.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 327 & 328/2023</u></p> <p>R.A Marathe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply in O.A 327/2023 and she states that affidavit in reply is already filed in O.A 328/2023.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 22.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>01.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1527/2023</u></p> <p>D.D Devle & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, holding for Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 29.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>01.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1583/2023</u></p> <p>P.P Pardeshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 29.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>01.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1586/2023</u></p> <p>S.T Katkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaiwad, holding for Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 29.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>01.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1589/2023</u></p> <p>P.D Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 29.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>01.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 759/2023</u></p> <p>R.N Kaningdhwaj ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file detail affidavit.</p> <p>3. We direct the Respondents to file detail affidavit in reply with regard to all the prayers and take into consideration the decision of this Tribunal dated 17.5.2019 in O.A 791/2017, Shri Pradeepkumar U. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>4. S.O to 15.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 01.07.2024

O.A.No.943/2023

H.B. Shinde

Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3:

2. Learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan has submitted by in order dated 28.06.2024 the statement made by learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar that in the common seniority list of 01.01.2022 to 31.12.2022 the name of Respondent No.3 is shown at Serial No.1, name of one Ms. Archana Boharde's name is at Serial No.2 and the name of Applicant is shown at Serial No.3 and thus on merit Respondent No.3 is shown as senior is false. Learned Counsel has pointed out that in the impugned list name of Respondent No.3 is shown at Serial No.2. However, the name which is addressed as junior is of some other person whose name is 'Harivijay Ganpatrao Shinde' whereas the name of the present Applicant is 'Haribhau Banduji Shinde'. Secondly, she has submitted that the impugned seniority list is of the cadre of Administrative Officer, whereas the Applicant belongs to the cadre of Senior Administrative Officer and the seniority list of the said Senior Administrative Officers as on 01.01.2021 is placed on record at page 30 and in the said list name of only one person i.e. of Applicant 'Haribhau Banduji Shinde' is shown as Serial No.1.

3. Reserved for orders.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 01.07.2024

O.A.No.50/2017

H.S. Koli Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant challenges order dated 19.11.2016 reverting him from the post of Head Clerk to the post of Senior Clerk and prays that the said order be quashed and set aside along with consequential service benefits.

3. Learned Counsel has submitted that this is fall out of the order passed by the Administrative Tribunal in the matters in dated 24.08.2015 passed in O.A.No.906/2013 & O.A.No.908/2013 and dated 25.06.2015 passed in O.A.No.259/2013, O.A.No. 1248/2013, O.A.No.1260/2013

4. Adjourned to 02.07.2024. P.H.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 01.07.2024

O.A.No.993/2022

R.B. Shaikh

Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file additional record.

3. Time granted. Adjourned to 02.07.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.07.2024

O.A. No.769 of 2024

U.B. Omase & Ors.,

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.07.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 26.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

O.A. No. of 20

IN

Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Attendance, Tribunal's orders or
Applications and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.07.2024

M.A. No.374 of 2024 with O.A. No.769 of 2024

U.B. Omase & Ors.,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicants by M.A. No.374 of 2024 with O.A. No.769 of 2024 are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in this M.A. No.374 of 2024, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. M.A. No.374 of 2024 is accordingly disposed off.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1590 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S.R. DodeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.335 of 2024</p> <p>A.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1096 of 2023 with O.A. No. 1097 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. KhotApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Raktade, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri R.Shinde, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A. No. 1097/2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.07.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1217 of 2023</p> <p>S.V. LonkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.488 of 2017</u></p> <p>K.A. Jadhav & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Ld. Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel for the State.</p> <p>2. Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel for the State for seeks time as Shri Khaire is not well.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.7.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.7.2024</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>