M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.07.2022

O.A. No.59 of 2018 (Division Bench)

Dr. K.V. Nemade

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
- 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.
- 3. The perusal of record reveals that O.A. was kept on Sine-die list and today for the first time it is listed.
- 4. In the interest of justice adjourned for hearing.
- 5. S.O. to 29.07.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's	orders
·	Date: 01.07.2022	
	O.A. No.1036 of 2019 (Division Bench) S.M. MirkaleApplication Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespond 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Prese Officer for the Respondent is present.	
,	* *	ord reveals that matter was long time and today for the
	4. Reply is already filed, in the interest of jadjourned for Final Hearing.	
	5. S.O. to 25.07.2022.	
		Sd/-
		(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET N

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
	Date	: 01.07.2022	
	O.A. No.993 of 2019 with O.A. No.995 of 20 with O.A. No.996 of 2019 (Division Bench)		2019
		Kalsait	
		Raypure Tadavalekar	Annlicant
	Versi		Applicant
	The S	State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1.	Applicant and his Advocate b	ooth are absent.
	2. the R	Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned F Respondent is present.	resenting Officer for
	3. The perusal of record reveals that mSine-die and today for the first time it is liste4. In the interest of justice adjourned for		
			urned for Hearing.
	5.	S.O. to 26.07.2022.	
			Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

ими

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.07.2022

O.A. No.846 of 2019 (Division Bench)

V.P. Salunkhe & Ors.

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
- 2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.
- 3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.
- 4. It is ripe for Final Hearing, in the interest of justice adjourned for Final Hearing.
- 5. S.O. to 27.07.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.07.2022

O.A. No.1126 of 2018 (Division Bench)

P.A. Devgude

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
- 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.
- 3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.
- 4. It appears that though enough time is availed, no Reply is filed by Respondents.
- 5. O.A. be kept for hearing without Reply.
- 6. S.O. to 29.07.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orde	ers	
	Date: 01.07.2022		
	O.A. No.559 of 2018 (Division Bench)		
	A.R. Khatavkar	Applicant	
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Or	rsRespondents	
	Applicant and his Advoc	ate both are absent.	
	2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, loofficer for the Respondent is po	earned Chief Presenting resent.	
	 The perusal of record undated in the office for long first time it is listed. 	reveals that matter was time and today for the	
	4. It appears that though enough time is av Reply is filed by Respondents.		
	5. O.A. be kept for hearing	gwithout Reply.	
	6. S.O. to 27.07.2022.		

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 01.07.2022	
	O.A. No.778 of 2018 (Division Bench)	
	M.D. ShindeApplicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsResponder	
	1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.	
	2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Present Officer for the Respondent is present.	
	3. The perusal of record reveals that matter valued in the office for long time and today for first time it is listed.	
	4. It appears that though enough time is availed, Reply is filed by Respondents.	
	5. O.A. be kept for hearing without Reply.	
	6. S.O. to 29.07.2022.	
	•	
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.07.2022

O.A. No.383 of 2018 (Division Bench)

A.D. Patil

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
- Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting 2. Officer for the Respondent is present.
- The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.
- In the interest of justice adjourned for hearing.
- 5. S.O. to 28.07.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's order	s
	Date: 01.07.2022	
	O.A. No.974 o (Division Be	
	A.B. Patil & Ors.	Applicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents.
	1. Applicant and his Advoca	te both are absent.
	2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, le Officer for the Respondent is pre	-
	3. The perusal of record rundated in the office for long first time it is listed.	
	 It appears that though er Reply is filed by Respondents. 	nough time is availed, no

5. O.A. be kept for hearing without Reply.

6. S.O. to 25.07.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.07.2022

O.A. No.354 of 2018 (Division Bench)

R.B. Jaid Versus

.....Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
- 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.
- 3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.
- 4. It is ripe for Final Hearing, in the interest of justice adjourned for Final Hearing.
- 5. S.O. to 27.07.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 01.07.2022		
	O.A. No.1135 of 2017 (Division Bench)		
	D.S. Lashkare Versus	Applicant	
,	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.	
	1. Applicant and his Advocat	e both are absent.	
	 Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Office the Respondent is present. The perusal of record reveals that matter undated in the office for long time and today fo first time it is listed. 		

4. In the interest of justice adjourned for hearing.

5. S.O. to 22.07.2022.

Sd/(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION STITED AT

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's order	rs
	Da	te: 01.07.2022	
	O.A. No.297 of 2017 (Division Bench)		
	Versus		Applicant
			Respondents.
	1.	Applicant and his Advoca	te both are absent.
	2. the	Shri A.J. Chougule, learne Respondent is present.	d Presenting Officer for
		The perusal of record related in the office for long to time it is listed.	
	4.	In the interest of justice a	djourned for hearing.
	5.	S.O. to 26.07.2022.	
			Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 01.07.2022		
	O.A. No.1120 of 2015 (Division Bench)		
	Dr. K.V. Shegaonkar & OrsApplicant Versus		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents		
	1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.		
	2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.		
	3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.		
	4. In the interest of justice adjourned for hearing.		
	5. S.O. to 25.07.2022.		

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
	Date	: 01.07.2022	
	M.A.346 of 2018 in O.A. No.554 of 2018 (Division Bench)		
	R.R. ' Versi	Tarkar us	Applicant
	The S	State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	Applicant and his Advocate both are		oth are absent.
·	2. the R	Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Prespondent is present.	resenting Officer for
	 The perusal of record reveals that rundated in the office for long time and too first time it is listed. 		
	4. M.A.	In the interest of justice adjou	urned for hearing of
	5.	S.O. to 20.07.2022.	

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders
directions and Registrar's orders

Date: 01.07.2022

O.A. No.421 of 2016 (Division Bench)

R.K. Ghodke

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
- 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.
- 3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.
- In the interest of justice adjourned for hearing.
- 5. S.O. to 27.07.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.07.2022

O.A. No.104 of 2017 (Division Bench)

S.W. Devrukhkar & Ors.

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
- 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.
- 3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.
- In the interest of justice adjourned for hearing.
- 5. S.O. to 22.07.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date: 01.07.2022

> O.A. No.244 of 2017 (Division Bench)

V.R. Koli

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- Applicant-in-Person is absent. 1.
- Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.
- The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.
- In the interest of justice adjourned for hearing.
- 5. S.O. to 19.07.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cora Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	am,	Tribuna	al's orders	
(Presenting Officer)	•••	Respondent/s
The S	tate of	Maharashtra and others		D 1- 1-4/-
(Advocate		versus		
(Advocate)		
Original Application No.	of 20	0	DISTRICT	Applicant/s

01.07.2022

O.A 309/2017 with O.A 369/2017

Shri P.B Wankhede ... Applicant Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

- None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
- All the Advocates, Litigants, Party-in-person, Office of the CPO, Officers and Staff of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal to note that today i.e. on Friday, 1st July, 2022 it is found that due to certain unavoidable circumstances sufficient matters cannot be placed on board of Single Bench and Hon'ble Member (A) was not available so the matters could not be decided finally by the Division Bench. Thus, in order to make optimum utilisation of the Court hours, urgently Board of 20 matters from No Date Group was placed before the Single Bench and Board of 38 maters was prepared and placed before the Division Bench with a view to find out whether some matters can be worked out or have become infructuous. Obviously, the applicants cannot remain present and therefore with the help of the office of CPO and Registry the matters were perused by respective Member (J) and Chairperson (DB). Accordingly, Supplementary Board is displayed. All the Members of the Bar to take note and accordingly also they are requested to be ready with the matter as and when these matters will appear. Some matters are shown under the caption 'HOB ND' which are required to be attended by the counsel on the respective dates.
- applicants seek directions Respondents to regularize the services of the applicants and grant all the benefits of permanency on the post of Lecturer in the office of Respondent no. 3, Sir J.J School of Arts, Mumbai.
- S.O to 15.7.2022.

Sd/-

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Akn

[*P.T.O.*

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's order directions and Registrar's or	rs or	Tribunal's orders	
(Presenting Officer)	Respondent/s
T	he State of Maharasl	htra and others	
Advocate	versus		
Advocate)		
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s

01.07.2022

O.A 820/2017

Shri G.H Dharade

... Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

- None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
- All the Advocates, Litigants, Party-in-person, Office of the CPO, Officers and Staff of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal to note that today i.e. on Friday, 1st July, 2022 it is found that due to certain unavoidable circumstances sufficient matters cannot be placed on board of Single Bench and Hon'ble Member (A) was not available so the matters could not be decided finally by the Division Bench. Thus, in order to make optimum utilisation of the Court hours, urgently Board of 20 matters from No Date Group was placed before the Single Bench and Board of 38 maters was prepared and placed before the Division Bench with a view to find out whether some matters can be worked out or have become infructuous. Obviously, the applicants cannot remain present and therefore with the help of the office of CPO and Registry the matters were perused by respective Member (J) and Chairperson (DB). Accordingly, Supplementary Board is displayed. All the Members of the Bar to take note and accordingly also they are requested to be ready with the matter as and when these matters will appear. Some matters are shown under the caption 'HOB ND' which are required to be attended by the counsel on the respective dates.
- The applicant challenges the order dated 31st July, 2017 thereby denying the seniority and higher pay scale and other service benefits which is entitled to candidates belonging to Scheduled Tribe.
- S.O to 22.7.2022.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Original Application No.	of 20	Distri	CT
			Applicant/s
Advocate)		
	versus		
The	State of Maharasht	ra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Co Appearance, Tribunal's orders o directions and Registrar's order	r	Tribunal's orde	ers
	01.07.202	<u>2</u>	
		O.A 953/20	<u>17</u>
	Shri M.L P	endam Vs.	Applicant
	The State of	of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
		and Ms Swati Manchel	arned advocate for the kar, learned C.P.O for
	states that		applicant Shri Kolge me is to be shown on matter.
	direction t Unarmed F	to consider his case	e applicant prays for and promote him as 9.5.2014 with seniority
	4. S.O	to 21.7.2022.	
			Sd/-
	Akn	/ (N	Iridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	1		

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTR	RICT
			Applicant/
(Advocate	••••••)	
	2	versus	
The Stat			
The Stat	e or Ma	aharashtra and others	
Presenting Officer	•••••)	Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orde	rs
	01	.07.2022	
		O.A 1033/20	<u>15</u>
	Sn	nt T.D Tayade	Applicant
	Th	Vs. e State of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
	1. S.	None present for the application and the Regard P.O for the Re	ant. Heard Mrs Kranti spondents.
		Today as Member (A) is not tent personal work and therefo al hearing Board. Therefore, a so prepared for optimum utilization	ore, I could not take
	fem	The applicant seeks appoin istant Executive Engineer (Civi ources Department on the posale and she challenges the select & 4, as they are less meritorious	Il, Group-A in Water st reserved for open
	4. Vina Mah file a	There is a letter dated 29 yak Lawte, Under Secretar arashtra, to learned C.P.O that affidavit in reply. Recommendicant is within the authority of tald file the reply.	.12.2015 written by y, Government of State is not going to
	5. Appl 3 and	The applicant has amenication and provided the addressed 4.	nded the Original s of Respondents no
	6.	Issue notice to Respondents the matter is placed on Board or	s no 3 & 4 stating n 22.7.2022.
	7. reply.	M.P.S.C is given last chance	
	8.	S.O to 22.7.2022. High on Bo	eard. N.D.
			Sd/-
	1	11.	

Akn

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Original Application No.	of 20 District
(Advocate	Applicant/
	••••••
	versus
The State	e of Maharashtra and others
Presenting Officer	Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	01.07.2022
	O.A 15/2017
	Shri N.G Bhoite Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents 1. None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Today as Member (A) is not available due to her urgent personal work and therefore, I could not take final hearing Board. Therefore, a Supplementary Board is prepared for optimum utilization of Court hours 3. The applicant prays to de-reserve the post of Joint Director, Steam Boiler earmarked for S.T category in terms of provisions of G.R dated 5th December, 1994. Further the Respondents be directed to promote the applicant to the post of Joint Director, Steam Boiler w.e.f January, 2015 and in the alternative direct the Respondent no. 1, to decide the representation dated 2nd February, 2015, 3rd November, 2015 and 24th August, 2016 made by the applicant in view of G.R dated 5th December, 2014.
	4. Learned C.P.O submits that Principal Secretary, Labour, Industries, Energy & Labour has filed affidavit in reply dated 12.7.2022. 5. Place for final hearing on 12.7.2022. Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/
Advocate)	
	versus	
The Stat		
The Stat	e of Maharashtra and others	
Draggard's COC		Respondent/s
Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribuna	d's orders
	01.07.2022	
	O.A 2	29/2017
	Smt S.A Upadhye Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra &	& Ors Respondents
	1. None present for the S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for	e applicant. Heard Mrs Kranti r the Respondents.
		A) is not available due to her therefore, I could not take fore, a Supplementary Board lization of Court hours.
	declined the interview tes	tys that the order dated ondent no. I under which he it to the applicant thereby to compete by way of direct of Child Development Project over age.
		scheduled to be held on
	5. S.O to 11.7.2022. H.O	O.B, N.D.
		Sd/-
	Akn	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

of 20	District Applicant/s
)	
versus	
State of Maharasht	ra and others
	Respondent/s
)
oram, r 's	Tribunal's orders
01.07.202	<u>2</u>
	O.A 170/2022
The State of the application the Responsion for the application withdraw the state of the application of the	Vs. of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents and Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for nt and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for dents. clicant is present in person. Learned counsel plicant submits that the applicant wants to the Original Application.
	State of Maharasht Oram, rs O1.07.202 Shri A.K Sa The State of 1. Hea the applica the Respon 2. App for the app withdraw th 3. Orig withdrawn.

Original Application No.	of 20	Distric	ст Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
The Stat	e of Maharasht	ra and others	
			Respondent/s
Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's order	rs
	01.07.202	2	
		C.A 156/2014 in O.A	<u>818/2011</u>
	1. Nor Gaikwad, le 2. Tod urgent per final hearir is prepared 3. The applicant athe Responsith a view 4. The to non-implicated 25.4 6992/2015	Vs. of Maharashtra & Ors of Ma	t available due to her ore, I could not take Supplementary Board of Court hours. ed counsel for the ed P.O is present for s are taken on Board can be worked out. clication is pertaining der of this Tribunal 1. Writ Petition No. on'ble High Court.
		rned P.O to take instruct to 6.7.2022. No Date. F	
		2 a.c. 1	
		/	Sd/-
	Akn	⁽ (Mr	idula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Original Application No.	of 20	Distric	r Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
The State	e of Maharasl	ntra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's order	s
	01.07.20	022	
	C.A	59/2019 in O.A 1041/20	17 & 1042/2017
	Shri L.B	Kadam Vs.	Applicant
	The State	e of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
		one present for the appli , learned P.O for the Respo	
·	urgent p	oday as Member (A) is not ersonal work and thereforing Board. Therefore, a sed for optimum utilization	ore, I could not take Supplementary Board
	Hon'ble l	earned P.O states that in Bombay High Court has st dated 7.6.2019 in O.A 10	ayed the operation of
	4. Le the matte	earned P.O is directed to er.	take instructions in
	5. S	O to 29.7.2022. High on 1	Board. N.D
			Sd/-
		(Ma	ridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn		

Original Application No. of	f 20 District Applicant/s
Advocate)
	versus
The State of	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	01.07.2022
	O.A 576/2019
	Shri A.P Patil & Ors Applicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Today as Member (A) is not available due to her urgent personal work and therefore, I could not take final hearing Board. Therefore, a Supplementary Board is prepared for optimum utilization of Court hours.
	3. The applicants prays that Respondent no. 4, M.P.S.C be directed to include the name of the applicants in the merit list dated 25.4.2019 and to appoint them as P.S.I pursuant to the Government decision dated 22.4.2019.
	4. Learned C.P.O submits that the grievances of the applicants are covered by the decision of the M.A.T, bench at Aurangabad in which the Government decision dated 22.4.2019 is struck down.
	5. S.O to 11.7.2022. High on Board. N.D.
	Sd/-
	/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	1

Original Application No.	f 20 Distri	ст Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The State	of Maharashtra and others	
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orde	rs
	01.07.2022	
	O.A 987/201	<u>18</u>
	Ms T.S Mulla & Ors Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
	1. None present for the applimanchekar, learned C.P.O for the	icant. Heard Ms Swati Respondents.
	2. Today as Member (A) is no urgent personal work and therefinal hearing Board. Therefore, a is prepared for optimum utilization	ore, I could not take Supplementary Board
	3. The applicant challenges to candidature of the applicant for the female.	he order rejecting the ne post of P.S.I – open
	4. No interim relief was grante	ed in this matter.
	5. Applicant or his advocate to make statement whether the car	o remain present and use of action survives.
	6. Learned counsel for the apserve coy of the Original Application learned C.P.O.	oplicant is directed to on on the office of the
	7. S.O to 4.7.2022. High on Bo	oard. N.D.
	·	Sd/-
	/ (M:	ridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Original Application No.	of 20 District
	Applicant/s
(Advocate)
	versus
The Sta	te of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	, Tribunal's orders
	01.07.2022
	O.A 381/2018
	Ms M.A Avhad Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Today as Member (A) is not available due to her urgent personal work and therefore, I could not take final hearing Board. Therefore, a Supplementary Board is prepared for optimum utilization of Court hours.
	3. The applicant states that the Respondent no. 3, i.e. M.P.S.C be directed not to appoint any successful candidate in the Main Examination for the post of Assistant Motor Vehicle Inspector, pursuant to advertisement dated 1st July, 2017.
	4. By order dated 13.6.2018, one post was ordered to be kept vacant for accommodating the applicant, if she succeeds.
	5. Respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply within four weeks.
	6. S.O to 25.8.2022. High on Board. N.D.
	Sd/-
	/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 01.07.2022	10
	O.A. No.230 of 20: (Division Bench))
	B.M. Thakur Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	ApplicantRespondents.
	 Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadek for the Applicant and Smt. K.S Presenting Officer for the Respond 	S. Gaikwad, learned
	 Today learned P.O. has Rejoinder on behalf of Responde taken on record. 	filed Affidavit-in-Sur ent Nos.1 & 2. It is
	3. S.O. to 26.07.2022.	
		\\ Sd/-
	(A.	P. Kurhekar)

NMN

Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 01.07.2022	
	O.A. No.117 of 20 (Division Bench	
	D.B. Jadhav	Applicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadel for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Presenting Officer for the Respond	S. Gaikwad, learned
	2. Today learned P.O. has Rejoinder on behalf of Responde	filed Affidavit-in-Su nt Nos.1 & 2. It i

taken on record. S.O. to 18.07.2022.

Sd/-

١V٢

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

NMN

3.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

ffice Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 01.07.2022	
		in O.A. No.484 of 2022 ion Bench)
	D.D. Kasab Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra	& OrsRespondents
	1. Applicant and his A	dvocate both are absent.
	2. Learned Advocate leave note.	for the Applicant has sent he
·	3. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the Respondent is present.	learned Presenting Officer for
	4. On request of lear granted to file Affidavit-in-	rned P.O. two weeks time is Reply to M.A.
	5. Matter be placed be	efore Division Bench.
	6. S.O. to 15.07.2022.	

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.07.2022

O.A. No.25 of 2022 (Division Bench)

V.G. MekaleApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
- 2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.
- 3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.
- 4. Matter be placed before Division Bench.
- 5. S.O. to 13.07.2022.

∧ Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's o	rders
	Date: 01.07.2022	
	O.A. No.507 of 2022	
	R.G. Shendge	Applicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & C	OrsRespondents.
	 Heard Shri G.M. Bagade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 	
	3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant to satisfy the Tribunal how the O.A. is maintainable.	
	4. Matter be placed befo	re Division Bench.
	5. S.O. 15.07.2022.	
		Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.07.2022

O.A. No.617 of 2022

D.B. Gore

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.
- 2. On request of learned P.O. for Respondent No.1 & Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.
- 3. In the meantime Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.07.2022.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
- 8. S.O. to 05.07.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

 $M.A./R.A./C.A.\ No.$

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 01.07.2022 .		
	M.A. No.325 of 2022 in O.A. No.644 of 2021		
	A.J. Sayyed Versus	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.	
	Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad,	ard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Iding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. On request of learned		
	Applicant adjourned to Monday i.e	e. on 04.07.2022 for	

3. S.O. to 04.07.2022.

Final Hearing at the stage of admission.

N Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

 $M.A./R.A./C.A.\ No.$

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 01.07.2022	
	O.A. No.244 of 2	2021
	R.D. Mahajan Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	Applicant and his Advocate	both are absent.
	 Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned the Respondents is present. 	Presenting Officer for
	3. On request of learned D.C.	

3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.

4. S.O. to 15.07.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 01.07.2022	
	O.A. No.214 of 2022 to O.A. I	No.216 of 2022
	S.T. Motkari B.K. Kanoji	
	S.G. Shirsat Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	 Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadek for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Presenting Officer for the Responde 	Chougule, learned
	2. On request of learned P.O. granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by	
	3. S.O. to 13.07.2022.	
	S	d/-

Su/

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

 NMN

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
	Date:	01.07.2022	
		O.A. No.235 of 20	22
		atil & Ors.	Applicant
	Versu The St	s ate of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	1.	Applicant and his Advocate b	oth are absent.
	2. the Re	Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Prespondents is present.	resenting Officer for
	3. grante	On request of learned P.O. d to file Affidavit-in-Reply.	two weeks time is
	4.	S.O. to 15.07.2022.	
		, Sd/	-
			urhekar) iber (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 01.07.2022	
	O.A. No.248 of 20	022
	N.N. Revadekar Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	 Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learn Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, Officer for the Respondents. 	
	On request of learned P.O granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.	. two weeks time is
	3. S.O. to 13.07.2022.	
	S	Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date: 01.07.2022 O.A. No.261 of 2022 S.J. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 3. S.O. to 20.07.2022. Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 01.07.2022	
	O.A. No.379 of 2	022
	A.S. Jaiswal	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	 Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, letter the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manc Presenting Officer for the Respondent 	hekar, learned Chief
	2. Today learned P.O. has filed	Affidavit in Bankson
	behalf of Respondent No.3 – M.P record.	· · ·
	3. On request of learned P.O granted to file Affidavit-in-Rep Respondent Nos.1 & 2.	
	4. S.O. to 15.07.2022.	

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
·	Date:	01.07.2022	
		O.A. No.400 of 20	22
		Kurangal	Applicant
	Versu The S	is State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1.	Applicant and his Advocate b	ooth are absent.
	2. leave	Learned Advocate for the Apenote.	oplicant has sent her
	3. the R	Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Page 1988 Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Page 1989 Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Page 1980 Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Page	resenting Officer for
	4. grant	On request of learned P.O. ted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.	. two weeks time is

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

NMN

5.

S.O. to 15.07.2022.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 01.07.2022 O.A. No.522 of 2022
	S.G. MominApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	 Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.
	3. Matter be kept on 13.07.2022 for hearing at the stage of admission with other connected matter.
	4. Interim relief will be continued till next date.
	5. S.O. to 13.07.2022.

N Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's ord	ers
	Date: 01.07.2022 O.A. No.703	of 2021
	P.R. Pawar Versus The State of Maharashtra & C	Applicant
	 Heard Shri M.D. Lonk the Applicant and Shri A.J. Cho Officer for the Respondents. 	car, learned Advocate for ougule, learned Presenting
	 On request of lead Applicant two weeks time is good the stage of admission. 	rned Advocate for the ranted for Final Hearing at
	3. S.O. to 11.07.2022.	

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 01.07.2022 O.A. No.826 of 2021 with O.A. No.828 of 2021	
	T.M. Rathod	Applicant
	A.K. Chaus Versus	Аррисанс
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	 Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoj holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule Officer for the Respondents. 	ed Advocate for the
	 On request of learned Applicant adjourned to Monday i. Final Hearing at the stage of admiss 	e. on 04.07.2022 for
	3. S.O. to 04.07.2022.	
		Sd/-

NMN

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
·	Date: 01.07.2022	
	O.A. No.67 of 20	22
	S.R. Kasar	Applicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	 Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadek holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, lear Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad Officer for the Respondents. 	ned Advocate for the
	 On request of learned Applicant short time is granted for stage of admission. 	
	3. S.O. to 20.07.2022.	
		Sd/-

NMN

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders Date: 01.07.2022 O.A. No.206 of 2022Applicant R.T. Kadam **Versus** The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents. Heard Shri K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. On request of learned P.O. matter is adjourned for Final Hearing at the stage of admission. 3. S.O. to 06.07.2022. Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 01.07.2022 O.A. No.142 of	2021
	S.K. Davar Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant
	 Heard Shri S.K. Hande, leafor Shri R. Khot, learned Advocate Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Pres Respondents. 	e for the Applicant and
	On request of learned P. Hearing.	O. adjourned for Fina
	3. S.O. to 22.07.2022.	

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.07.2022

O.A. No.624 of 2022

V.R. Date

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 30.08.2021 and 03.02.2022 whereby his request for inter division transfer is rejected.
- 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
- 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.07.2022.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
- 9. S.O. to 29.07.2022.

Sd/-

Original Application No.	of 20	District Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	ve	ersus
Th	e State of Mal	narashtra and others Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	·····)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's order	s or	Tribunal's orders
directions and Registrar's orders	ders	Date: 01.07.2022 O.A.No.175 of 2022
		B.R. KendreApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
		1. Mr. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent and leave note is filed on record. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
		2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is already filed.
		3. Admitted and kept for final hearing on 05.08.2022. Sd/-
		(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
		prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL (G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate		.)
	ι	persus
The	State of Ma	aharashtra and others Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of C Appearance, Tribunal's orders of directions and Registrar's orde	or (Tribunal's orders
		Date: 01.07.2022
		O.A.No.177 of 2022
		M.D. Vaze Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
		 None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
		2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is already filed.
		3. Matter is Admitted and kept for final hearing on 22.07.2022.
		Sd/-
		(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
		prk

Original Application No. of 20	DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate) versus
The State of	Maharashtra and others Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
unconon	Date: 01.07.2022
	O.A.No.320 of 2020
	H.M. Vanave Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the
	Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate submits that since the Applicant's appeal is pending before the Respondent-State so he wants to withdraw the present O.A.
	3. Allowed to withdraw. O.A. disposed of as withdrawn. Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
	Chairperson

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 2	DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate)
	versus
The State of	Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 01.07.2022
	O.A.No.911 of 2021 with O.A.969 of 2021 with M.A.No.39 of 2022
	R.K. Patil & Ors. (O.A.911/2021) P.P. Bhosale & Ors. (O.A.969/21 with M.A.39/22)Applicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate for the
-	holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief
	Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned C.P.O. submits that reply is already filed.
	3. Admitted and kept for final hearing on
	05.08.2022.
	Sd/-
	/ ' (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	prk

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	Districт Applicant/s
Advocate	••••••)
		versus
The Stat	e of M	Iaharashtra and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders
		Date: 01.07.2022
		O.A.No.620 of 2021
		H.B. Shinde Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
		2. Time granted by way of last chance to learned C.P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply.
		3. Adjourned to 29.07.2022.
		Sd/-
		(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
		prk

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate)			
	vei	rsus		
The	State of Mah	arashtra and others		
			•	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Co Appearance, Tribunal's orders o directions and Registrar's order	r	Tribun	aal's orders	
]	Date: 01.07.2022		
		O.A.N	o.262 of 201	9
		D.B. Dhawale		Applicant
	,	Vs. The State of Maharasl	htra & Ors.	Respondents.
			Applicant.	Heard Ms. S.P.
				t. However none
		appeared for the App		
		the Members of Div		
		today hence matter caption 'For Dismissa		
		3. Adjourned to	06.07.2022	under the caption
		'For Dismissal'.	 Sd/-	11
		, prk	(Mridula Bh Chairpe	

Original Application No.	of 20	1	District Applicant/s
Advocate)		
	vers	sus	
The S	State of Maha	rashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Co Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal'	s orders
		ate: 01.07.2022	
		O.A.No.3	883 of 2022
	A	.G. Tainak & Ors.	Applicants
	T	Vs. he State of Maharashtra	a & OrsRespondents.
	1		v A. Bandiwadekar, learned
			ants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad,
	i i		er for the Respondents. Mr.
	V	1.D. Lonkar, learned Ad	vocate for the Intervenors.
	2		
	1		venors submits that he has
			ree Range Forests Officers
			are senior to the present ng to be affected directly in
			r passed by this Tribunal on
			e wants to file Intervention
		application for three per	sons.
		3. Adjourned to 08	.07.2022.
		y, majo armos de l'	Sd/-
		, (I	Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
		prk	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders
directions and Registrar's orders

Date: 01.07.2022

O.A. No.496 of 2017 (Division Bench)

S.N. Kale

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
- 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.
- 3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.
- 4. Though enough time is availed, no Reply is filed
- 5. In the interest of justice, adjourned for hearing without Reply.
- 6. S.O. to 27.07.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date: 01.07.2022 O.A. No.368 of 2017 (Division Bench) R.H. AangreApplicant **Versus** The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents. 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present. Last time matter was adjourned for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed. Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for Final Hearing. 4. S.O. to 25.07.2022. Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 01.07.2022 O.A. No.1054 of 2019 (Division Bench)		
	S. M Vers	lahajan & Ors.	Applicant
		State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	1.	Applicant and his Advocate b	ooth are absent.
	2. the	Smt. Archana B.K., learned P Respondent is present.	resenting Officer fo
		The perusal of record reve ated in the office for long time time it is listed.	
	4.	Though enough time is availe	ed, no Reply is filed
	5.	Adjourned for hearing witho	ut Reply.
	6.	S.O. to 26.07.2022.	
			Sd/-
		(A.P. l	Kurhekar)

NMN

Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's order directions and Registrar's or	rs or	Tribunal's orders	3
(Presenting Officer)	Respondent/s
Т	he State of Maharas		
(Advocate	versus		
(Advocate)		Applicant/s
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	

01.07.2022

O.A 345/2017

Shri S.H Mane ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

- 1. None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
- All the Advocates, Litigants, Party-in-person, Office of the CPO, Officers and Staff of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal to note that today i.e. on Friday, 1st July, 2022 it is found that due to certain unavoidable circumstances sufficient matters cannot be placed on board of Single Bench and Hon'ble Member (A) was not available so the matters could not be decided finally by the Division Bench. Thus, in order to make optimum utilisation of the Court hours, urgently Board of 20 matters from No Date Group was placed before the Single Bench and Board of 38 maters was prepared and placed before the Division Bench with a view to find out whether some matters can be worked out or have become infructuous. Obviously, the applicants cannot remain present and therefore with the help of the office of CPO and Registry the matters were perused by respective Member (J) and Chairperson (DB). Accordingly, Supplementary Board is displayed. All the Members of the Bar to take note and accordingly also they are requested to be ready with the matter as and when these matters will appear. Some matters are shown under the caption 'HOB ND' which are required to be attended by the counsel on the respective dates.
- 3. The applicant prays that the Respondents no. 2 and 3 be directed to consider the applicant for promotion to the post of Deputy Commissioner, if he is found fit and further pleased to direct the Respondent no. 2 to decide the representation dated 1.12.2016 within a period of two weeks.
- 4. S.O to 8.7.2022. H.O.B, N.D.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson [P.T.O. Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

01.07.2022

O.A 03/2018

Shri R.T Kale

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

- 1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
- All the Advocates, Litigants, Party-in-person, Office of the CPO, Officers and Staff of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal to note that today i.e. on Friday, 1st July, 2022 it is found that due to certain unavoidable circumstances sufficient matters cannot be placed on board of Single Bench and Hon'ble Member (A) was not available so the matters could not be decided finally by the Division Bench. Thus, in order to make optimum utilisation of the Court hours, urgently Board of 20 matters from No Date Group was placed before the Single Bench and Board of 38 maters was prepared and placed before the Division Bench with a view to find out whether some matters can be worked out or have become infructuous. Obviously, the applicants cannot remain present and therefore with the help of the office of CPO and Registry the matters were perused by respective Member (J) and Chairperson (DB). Accordingly, Supplementary Board is displayed. All the Members of the Bar to take note and accordingly also they are requested to be ready with the matter as and when these matters will appear. Some matters are shown under the caption 'HOB ND' which are required to be attended by the counsel on the respective dates.
- 3. Learned counsel for the applicant challenges order dated 11.9.2015 and seeks directions for Respondents to grant deemed date of appointment as 5.7.2010 when his colleagues were appointed to the post of Police Constable.
- 4. S.O to 8.8.2022. H.O.B. N.D.

Sd/-

Original Application No. of	20	DISTRICT Applicant/s
Advocate)	
	versus	
The State of	of Maharashtra and others	
		Respondent/s
Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribuna	al's orders
	01.07.2022	
	O.A 3	<u>898/2017</u>
	Shri H.B Desai & Ors	Applicants
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra	& Ors Respondents
	1. None present for the S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for	ne applicant. Heard Mrs Kranti or the Respondents.
	Office of the CPO, Office Administrative Tribunal Friday, 1st July, 2022 it unavoidable circumstance placed on board of Single (A) was not available so decided finally by the Divisionake optimum utilisation Board of 20 matters from before the Single Bench a prepared and placed before view to find out whether out or have become in applicants cannot remain phelp of the office of CPO a perused by respective Mem Accordingly, Supplementar Members of the Bar to take they are requested to be right when these matters will shown under the caption to be attended by the couns with the pay scale of Mal	present and therefore with the and Registry the matters were aber (J) and Chairperson (DB). Board is displayed. All the ke note and accordingly also ready with the matter as and appear. Some matters are HOB ND' which are required sel on the respective dates. The second of the applicants at paralle i.e. Rs. 5200-20200 with
	grade pay of Rs. 180 discrimination. 4. S.O to 29.7.2022. H	00/-, on the ground of
		Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Original Application No. of	DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate)
	versus
The State of	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	01.07.2022
	O.A 775/2017
	Shri S.N Raktate Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents 1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. All the Advocates, Litigants, Party-in-person, Office of the CPO, Officers and Staff of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal to note that today i.e. on Friday, 1st July, 2022 it is found that due to certain unavoidable circumstances sufficient matters cannot be placed on board of Single Bench and Hon'ble Member (A) was not available so the matters could not be decided finally by the Division Bench. Thus, in order to make optimum utilisation of the Court hours, urgently Board of 20 matters from No Date Group was placed before the Single Bench and Board of 38 maters was prepared and placed before the Division Bench with a view to find out whether some matters can be worked out or have become infructuous. Obviously, the applicants cannot remain present and therefore with the help of the office of CPO and Registry the matters were perused by respective Member (J) and Chairperson (DB). Accordingly, Supplementary Board is displayed. All the Members of the Bar to take note and accordingly also they are requested to be ready with the matter as and when these matters will appear. Some matters are shown under the caption 'HOB ND' which are required to be attended by the counsel on the respective dates.
	3. The applicant prays for grant of deemed date of promotion w.e.f 12.12.2012 and other consequential service benefits.
	4. S.O to 29.7.2022. H.O.B. N.D.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

kn

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	O.A. No.878 of 2018		
	Dr. V.K. Adalage Vs.	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents	
	Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, leafor the Respondents.	arned Presenting Officer	

- 2. The applicant seeks promotion to the post of Assistant Commissioner, Animal Husbandry and challenges the action of the respondents of not considering his name for the said post. The applicant prays that it is to be declared that applicant is eligible and entitled to the post of Assistant Commissioner and the action of the respondents in not mentioning his name in the select list of 17.9.2018 for the said post is illegal and be quashed and set aside.
- 3. HOB. ND. S.O. to 8.7.2022.

Sd/-

/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	O.A. No.52 of 2019		
	P.V. Shelke-Patil & Ors. Vs.	Applicants	
	The State of Maharashtra	& OrsRespondents	
	Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Office for the Respondents. 2. The applicants challenge the communication dte 5.7.2018 by MPSC expressing inability to recommend the applicant for the post of Lecturers in Dress, Design an Garment Manufacturing in the selection process of advertisement dated 30.10.2013.		
	3. HOB. ND. S.O. to	13.7.2022.	
		Sd/-	
		/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022	
	(sgj)		

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's order	rs
	O.A. No.308 c	of 2019
	M.B. Sonawane Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Office for the Respondents.	
	2. The applicant a MBBS was Professor in Nursing Education, J the order dated 4.2.2019 of giv Principal to respondent no.3 and said order be stayed appointing res	J.J. Hospital is challenging ving additional charge of prays that operation of the
	3. Ld. PO pointed out that applicant she is now retired.	t in view of age of the
	4. HOB. ND. S.O. to 13.7.202	22.
		Sd/-
	/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)	

/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	O.A. No.755	5 of 2019
	G.P. TitarApplicant	
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors	
	Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. The applicant who was 58 years old in 2019 seek extension to the age of superannuation i.e. from 58 to 62.	
	3. Ld. PO submits that his case is covered under the judgment dated 30.11.2018 passed in W.P. No.3344/2017.	
	4. Ld. Advocate for the app	olicant to verify this position.
	5. HOB. ND. S.O. to 6.7.20	022.
		Sd/-
	/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022
	(sgj)	1.7.4044

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orde	ers
	O.A. No.596	of 2018
	Dr. S.M. Gaikwad Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Offic for the Respondents. 2. The applicant challenges seniority list date 18.4.2018 of the Medical Officers. 3. S.O. to 10.8.2022.	
		Sd/-
	(1)	Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	O.A. No.641 & 642	of 2018
	 M.V. Mengrati S.B. Khadtare Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, leafor the Respondents. 2. The applicants Aval Karkun challenged seniority list on the basis of seniority list dated 11. 3. HOB. ND. S.O. to 13.7.2022. 	working at Solapur have usis of deemed date of the sought interimined from taking action 14.2018.
	Sd/	'-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	O.A. No.91 of 20	018
	D.J. Mundhe Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Offic for the Respondents. 2. Applicant 58 years old in 2018 had filed this O praying that he may be promoted to the post of Joi Commissioner, Women and Child Development forthwit By way of amendment on 4.4.2018 he also prayed for deemed date of promotion with all consequential benefit w.e.f. 5.9.2014.	
	3. Ld. PO submits that this can b	e worked out.
	4. HOB. ND. S.O. to 8.7.2022.	

Sd/-

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's ord	ers
	O.A. No.197	of 2018
	T.P. Salunkhe Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. The applicant a Talathi seeks promotion to the poof Circle Officer by calling special DPC on 6.4.2017.	
	3. Ld. PO submits that as per affidavit dated 15.10 para 22(i) he was promoted as Circle Officer Group Chence OA has become infructuous.	
	4. HOD. ND. S.O. to 22.7.2	2022.
		Sd/-
	(1	Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022
	(sgj)	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribu	unal's orders
	<u>O.A</u>	A. No.285 of 2017
	R.K. Padmane Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharasht	ra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Office for the Respondents. 2. The applicant is challenging the letter day 29.9.2016 and also GR dated 13.8.2004 & 9.7.20 declaring disabled, ineligible for promotion and also he considered in DPC with deemed date of 31.3.2003 and to 24.5.2006. 3. Ld. PO submits that he is already given promote however he is seeking promotion in handicapped quota. 4. S.O. to 13.7.2022.	
		Sd/-
		(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	O.A. No.298 of 2018 V.C . Murudkar Vs.	
t.		
	The State of Maharashtra	& OrsRespondents
	Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Office for the Respondents. 2. The applicant is challenging the seniority list date 3.2.2018 to the post of Naib Tahsildar.	
	3. HOB. ND. S.O. to	20.7.2022.
		Sd/-
		/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022
	(sgj)	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A. No.390, 391 & 392 of 2018
	S.V. Mane K.R. Gadekar K.P. Pethe Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Office for the Respondents. 2. The applicants are challenging merit list date 31.3.2018 prepared by the MPSC for the post of AMV Group C. 3. HOB. ND. S.O. to 11.7.2022.
	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's	orders
	O.A. No	.473 of 2018
	R.S. Golmallu-Palekar Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Office for the Respondents. 2. The applicant a Dental Surgeon in Govt. Den College, St. Georges Hospital challenges orders dat 2.5.2018, 6.5.2018 and 12.5.2018 informing the application that choice of "Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery a Maxillofacial" is not available be quashed and set aside. 3. HOB. ND. S.O. to 3.8.2022.	
		Sd/-
	1	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022

.....Respondents.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.07.2022

M.A. No.375 of 2022 in O.A. No.589 of 2022

A.C. Dalvi & Ors.
Versus

1. Heard Shri Rahul S. Dingankar, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suvarna Vast, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIRIINAL

	MUMBAI	IIIIDONAD
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
Advocate)	
	versus	
The State	of Maharashtra and others	
		Respondent/s
Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal'	s orders
	O.A.5	89/2022
	A.C. Dalvi & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra	& Ors Respondents.
	 Heard Shri Rahul S. Dingankar, learned holding for Smt. Suvarna Vast, learned Advocat Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P. Officer for the Respondents. The Applicants were suspended by orde 28.05.2021 & 31.05.2021 in view of registration of under the provision of Prevention of Corruption Against them. They made various representataking review of suspension and reinstatement in but in vain. They are subjected to prolong suspension. 	

claiming relief of reinstatement in service. When specific query was raised to learned P.O, he submits that now proposal is moved for placing the matter before Review Committee in terms of G.R. dated 14.10.2011 and the decision will be taken soon.

more than one year, and therefore, filed the present O.A.

- Having gone through the O.A. and on hearing learned Advocate for the Applicants and learned P.O., in my considered opinion, the O.A. can be disposed of at the stage of admission itself by giving suitable direction.
- Though period of more than one year is over from suspension, till date no review is taken about revocation of suspension or continuation of suspension, as the case may be. The legal position in respect of suspension is no more res-integra in view of Judgment passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in (2015) 7 SCC 291 (Ajay Kumar Choudhary Vs. Union of India & Anr.) wherein Hon'ble Supreme Court directed that the currency of suspension order should not exceed beyond period of 3 months, if the memorandum of charges/ charge-sheet is not served upon the delinquent and if the memorandum of charges/ charge-sheet are served upon the delinquent, a reasoned order must be passed for extension of suspension.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

- Indeed, the Government of Maharashtra by G.R. dated 14.10.2011 & G.R. dated 31.01.2015 issued specific instructions and guidelines for taking periodical review of suspension, so that Government servant will not be subjected to prolong suspension. As per Para 3 of G.R. dated 14.10.2021 where a Government servant is suspended on account of registration of serious crime under the provision of I.P.C. or Prevention of Corruption Act and suspension is continued for more than one year, such matter is required to be placed before the Review Committee constituted for this purpose. In present case, admittedly, till date no charge-sheet is filed in Criminal Case and matter seems to be still under investigation. Apart, admittedly, no departmental proceedings are initiated against the Applicants. As such, Respondents fail to consider that despite lapse of more than one year neither charge-sheet is filed in Court of law nor D.E. is initiated against the Applicant but they are subjected to prolong suspension without taking review as required to be taken in terms of G.R. dated 14.10.20211. There is no certainty of filing Criminal Case by A.C.B. much less it's finality. In such situation no purpose would serve by continuing the suspension. Applicants can be reinstated in service on Non-executive post in terms of G.R. dated 14.10.2011. The Applicants' fundamental right of speedy trial of Criminal Case and expeditious disposal of D.E. as highlighted by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Ajay Kumar Choudhary's case (cited supra) is seriously affected.
- 7. Hence, I proceed to pass following order.
 - a) O.A. is allowed partly.
 - b) Respondents are directed to place the matter before review committee for taking review of the suspension of the Applicant within four weeks from today and decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within a week thereafter.
 - c) No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 01.07.2022