

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.59 of 2018 (Division Bench)</b></p> <p>Dr. K.V. Nemade .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li> <li>3. The perusal of record reveals that O.A. was kept on Sine-die list and today for the first time it is listed.</li> <li>4. In the interest of justice adjourned for hearing.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 29.07.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.1036 of 2019 (Division Bench)</p> <p>S.M. Mirkale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li><li>2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li><li>3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.</li><li>4. Reply is already filed, in the interest of justice adjourned for Final Hearing.</li><li>5. S.O. to 25.07.2022.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.993 of 2019 with O.A. No.995 of 2019 with O.A. No.996 of 2019 (Division Bench)</p> <p>V.D. Kalsait S.Y. Raypure S.P. Tadavalekar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li> <li>3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was on Sine-die and today for the first time it is listed.</li> <li>4. In the interest of justice adjourned for Hearing.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 26.07.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 01.07.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.846 of 2019 (Division Bench)</b></p> <p><b>V.P. Salunkhe &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li> <li>3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.</li> <li>4. It is ripe for Final Hearing, in the interest of justice adjourned for Final Hearing.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 27.07.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1126 of 2018 (Division Bench)</b></p> <p>P.A. Devgude .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li> <li>3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.</li> <li>4. It appears that though enough time is availed, no Reply is filed by Respondents.</li> <li>5. O.A. be kept for hearing without Reply.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 29.07.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.559 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>A.R. Khatavkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li><li>2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li><li>3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.</li><li>4. It appears that though enough time is availed, no Reply is filed by Respondents.</li><li>5. O.A. be kept for hearing without Reply.</li><li>6. S.O. to 27.07.2022.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.778 of 2018 (Division Bench)</b></p> <p>M.D. Shinde .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li> <li>3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.</li> <li>4. It appears that though enough time is availed, no Reply is filed by Respondents.</li> <li>5. O.A. be kept for hearing without Reply.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 29.07.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.383 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>A.D. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li><li>2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li><li>3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.</li><li>4. In the interest of justice adjourned for hearing.</li><li>5. S.O. to 28.07.2022.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.974 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>A.B. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li><li>2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li><li>3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.</li><li>4. It appears that though enough time is availed, no Reply is filed by Respondents.</li><li>5. O.A. be kept for hearing without Reply.</li><li>6. S.O. to 25.07.2022.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="755 785 982 819">Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p data-bbox="982 870 1258 947">O.A. No.354 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p data-bbox="755 984 1482 1102">R.B. Jaid .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol data-bbox="755 1138 1482 1713" style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li><li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li><li>3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.</li><li>4. It is ripe for Final Hearing, in the interest of justice adjourned for Final Hearing.</li><li>5. S.O. to 27.07.2022.</li></ol> <p data-bbox="1123 1841 1328 1962" style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p data-bbox="734 1996 782 2022">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1135 of 2017 (Division Bench)</p> <p>D.S. Lashkare .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li> <li>3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.</li> <li>4. In the interest of justice adjourned for hearing.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 22.07.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.297 of 2017 (Division Bench)</p> <p>B.E. Tamhane &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li> <li>3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.</li> <li>4. In the interest of justice adjourned for hearing.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 26.07.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.1120 of 2015 (Division Bench)</p> <p>Dr. K.V. Shegaonkar &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li><li>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li><li>3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.</li><li>4. In the interest of justice adjourned for hearing.</li><li>5. S.O. to 25.07.2022.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p>M.A.346 of 2018 in O.A. No.554 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>R.R. Tarkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li><li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li><li>3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.</li><li>4. In the interest of justice adjourned for hearing of M.A.</li><li>5. S.O. to 20.07.2022.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.421 of 2016 (Division Bench)</p> <p>R.K. Ghodke .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li><li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li><li>3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.</li><li>4. In the interest of justice adjourned for hearing.</li><li>5. S.O. to 27.07.2022.</li></ol> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.104 of 2017 (Division Bench)</p> <p>S.W. Devrukhkar &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li><li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li><li>3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.</li><li>4. In the interest of justice adjourned for hearing.</li><li>5. S.O. to 22.07.2022.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.244 of 2017 (Division Bench)</p> <p>V.R. Koli .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant-in-Person is absent.</li><li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li><li>3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.</li><li>4. In the interest of justice adjourned for hearing.</li><li>5. S.O. to 19.07.2022.</li></ol> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>01.07.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 309/2017 with O.A 369/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.B Wankhede ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. All the Advocates, Litigants, Party-in-person, Office of the CPO, Officers and Staff of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal to note that today i.e. on Friday, 1<sup>st</sup> July, 2022 it is found that due to certain unavoidable circumstances sufficient matters cannot be placed on board of Single Bench and Hon'ble Member (A) was not available so the matters could not be decided finally by the Division Bench. Thus, in order to make optimum utilisation of the Court hours, urgently Board of 20 matters from No Date Group was placed before the Single Bench and Board of 38 matters was prepared and placed before the Division Bench with a view to find out whether some matters can be worked out or have become infructuous. Obviously, the applicants cannot remain present and therefore with the help of the office of CPO and Registry the matters were perused by respective Member (J) and Chairperson (DB). Accordingly, Supplementary Board is displayed. All the Members of the Bar to take note and accordingly also they are requested to be ready with the matter as and when these matters will appear. Some matters are shown under the caption 'HOB ND' which are required to be attended by the counsel on the respective dates.</p> <p>3. The applicants seek directions to the Respondents to regularize the services of the applicants and grant all the benefits of permanency on the post of Lecturer in the office of Respondent no. 3, Sir J.J School of Arts, Mumbai.</p> <p>4. S.O to 15.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>01.07.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 820/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri G.H Dharade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. All the Advocates, Litigants, Party-in-person, Office of the CPO, Officers and Staff of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal to note that today i.e. on Friday, 1<sup>st</sup> July, 2022 it is found that due to certain unavoidable circumstances sufficient matters cannot be placed on board of Single Bench and Hon'ble Member (A) was not available so the matters could not be decided finally by the Division Bench. Thus, in order to make optimum utilisation of the Court hours, urgently Board of 20 matters from No Date Group was placed before the Single Bench and Board of 38 matters was prepared and placed before the Division Bench with a view to find out whether some matters can be worked out or have become infructuous. Obviously, the applicants cannot remain present and therefore with the help of the office of CPO and Registry the matters were perused by respective Member (J) and Chairperson (DB). Accordingly, Supplementary Board is displayed. All the Members of the Bar to take note and accordingly also they are requested to be ready with the matter as and when these matters will appear. Some matters are shown under the caption 'HOB ND' which are required to be attended by the counsel on the respective dates.</p> <p>3. The applicant challenges the order dated 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2017 thereby denying the seniority and higher pay scale and other service benefits which is entitled to candidates belonging to Scheduled Tribe.</p> <p>4. S.O to 22.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Akn

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>01.07.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 953/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri M.L Pendam ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant Shri Kolge states that in this matter his name is to be shown on Board and he is appearing in the matter.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for direction to consider his case and promote him as Unarmed Police Inspector from 29.5.2014 with seniority list and other benefits.</p> <p>4. S.O to 21.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>01.07.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1033/2015</u></b></p> <p>Smt T.D Tayade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today as Member (A) is not available due to her urgent personal work and therefore, I could not take final hearing Board. Therefore, a Supplementary Board is prepared for optimum utilization of Court hours</li> <li>3. The applicant seeks appointment to the post of Assistant Executive Engineer (Civil), Group-A in Water Resources Department on the post reserved for open female and she challenges the selection of Respondents no 3 &amp; 4, as they are less meritorious and it is illegal.</li> <li>4. There is a letter dated 29.12.2015 written by Vinayak Lawte, Under Secretary, Government of Maharashtra, to learned C.P.O that State is not going to file affidavit in reply. Recommending the name of the applicant is within the authority of the M.P.S.C and they should file the reply.</li> <li>5. The applicant has amended the Original Application and provided the address of Respondents no 3 and 4.</li> <li>6. Issue notice to Respondents no 3 &amp; 4 stating that the matter is placed on Board on 22.7.2022.</li> <li>7. M.P.S.C is given last chance to file affidavit in reply.</li> <li>8. S.O to 22.7.2022. High on Board. N.D.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ / <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

Akkn

[P.T.O.]

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>01.07.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 15/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri N.G Bhoite ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today as Member (A) is not available due to her urgent personal work and therefore, I could not take final hearing Board. Therefore, a Supplementary Board is prepared for optimum utilization of Court hours</li> <li>3. The applicant prays to de-reserve the post of Joint Director, Steam Boiler earmarked for S.T category in terms of provisions of G.R dated 5<sup>th</sup> December, 1994. Further the Respondents be directed to promote the applicant to the post of Joint Director, Steam Boiler w.e.f January, 2015 and in the alternative direct the Respondent no. 1, to decide the representation dated 2<sup>nd</sup> February, 2015, 3<sup>rd</sup> November, 2015 and 24<sup>th</sup> August, 2016 made by the applicant in view of G.R dated 5<sup>th</sup> December, 2014.</li> <li>4. Learned C.P.O submits that Principal Secretary, Labour, Industries, Energy &amp; Labour has filed affidavit in reply dated 12.7.2022.</li> <li>5. Place for final hearing on 12.7.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">/</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p>

Akn

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>01.07.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 229/2017</u></b></p> <p>Smt S.A Upadhye ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today as Member (A) is not available due to her urgent personal work and therefore, I could not take final hearing Board. Therefore, a Supplementary Board is prepared for optimum utilization of Court hours.</li> <li>3. The applicant prays that the order dated 30.5.2016 passed by Respondent no. 1 under which he declined the interview test to the applicant thereby holding her to be ineligible to compete by way of direct recruitment for the post of Child Development Project Officer, Group-B for being over age.</li> <li>4. The interview was scheduled to be held on 30.5.2016.</li> <li>5. S.O to 11.7.2022. H.O.B, N.D.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>01.07.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 170/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.K Sali ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant is present in person. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant wants to withdraw the Original Application.</p> <p>3. Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>01.07.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 156/2014 in O.A 818/2011</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.V Ghume ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today as Member (A) is not available due to her urgent personal work and therefore, I could not take final hearing Board. Therefore, a Supplementary Board is prepared for optimum utilization of Court hours.</p> <p>3. The applicant and learned counsel for the applicant are not present. Learned P.O is present for the Respondents. No date matters are taken on Board with a view to find out any matter can be worked out.</p> <p>4. The present Contempt Application is pertaining to non-implementation of the order of this Tribunal dated 25.4.2013 in O.A 818/2011. Writ Petition No. 6992/2015 is pending before the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>6. S.O to 6.7.2022. No Date. Place High on Board.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>01.07.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 59/2019 in O.A 1041/2017 &amp; 1042/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri L.B Kadam ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today as Member (A) is not available due to her urgent personal work and therefore, I could not take final hearing Board. Therefore, a Supplementary Board is prepared for optimum utilization of Court hours.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O states that in W.P 2064/2020, the Hon'ble Bombay High Court has stayed the operation of the order dated 7.6.2019 in O.A 1014/2017.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O is directed to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>5. S.O to 29.7.2022. High on Board. N.D</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>01.07.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 576/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.P Patil &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today as Member (A) is not available due to her urgent personal work and therefore, I could not take final hearing Board. Therefore, a Supplementary Board is prepared for optimum utilization of Court hours.</p> <p>3. The applicants prays that Respondent no. 4, M.P.S.C be directed to include the name of the applicants in the merit list dated 25.4.2019 and to appoint them as P.S.I pursuant to the Government decision dated 22.4.2019.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O submits that the grievances of the applicants are covered by the decision of the M.A.T, bench at Aurangabad in which the Government decision dated 22.4.2019 is struck down.</p> <p>5. S.O to 11.7.2022. High on Board. N.D.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>01.07.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 987/2018</u></b></p> <p>Ms T.S Mulla &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>Today as Member (A) is not available due to her urgent personal work and therefore, I could not take final hearing Board. Therefore, a Supplementary Board is prepared for optimum utilization of Court hours.</li> <li>The applicant challenges the order rejecting the candidature of the applicant for the post of P.S.I – open female.</li> <li>No interim relief was granted in this matter.</li> <li>Applicant or his advocate to remain present and to make statement whether the cause of action survives.</li> <li>Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to serve copy of the Original Application on the office of the learned C.P.O.</li> <li>S.O to 4.7.2022. High on Board. N.D.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>01.07.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 381/2018</u></b></p> <p>Ms M.A Avhad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today as Member (A) is not available due to her urgent personal work and therefore, I could not take final hearing Board. Therefore, a Supplementary Board is prepared for optimum utilization of Court hours.</p> <p>3. The applicant states that the Respondent no. 3, i.e. M.P.S.C be directed not to appoint any successful candidate in the Main Examination for the post of Assistant Motor Vehicle Inspector, pursuant to advertisement dated 1<sup>st</sup> July, 2017.</p> <p>4. By order dated 13.6.2018, one post was ordered to be kept vacant for accommodating the applicant, if she succeeds.</p> <p>5. Respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply within four weeks.</p> <p>6. S.O to 25.8.2022. High on Board. N.D.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.230 of 2019 (Division Bench)</p> <p>B.M. Thakur .....Applicant Versus .....Respondents. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">           Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member (J)         </p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.117 of 2016 (Division Bench)</p> <p>D.B. Jadhav .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">           Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member (J)         </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p>M.A.300 of 2022 in O.A. No.484 of 2022 (Division Bench)</p> <p>D.D. Kasab .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li><li>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.</li><li>3. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li><li>4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A.</li><li>5. Matter be placed before Division Bench.</li><li>6. S.O. to 15.07.2022.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ∇ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.25 of 2022 (Division Bench)</p> <p>V.G. Mekale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li> <li>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</li> <li>4. Matter be placed before Division Bench.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 13.07.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right"> <sup>^</sup>            Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member (J)         </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.507 of 2022</p> <p>R.G. Shendge .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri G.M. Bagade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</li><li>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant to satisfy the Tribunal how the O.A. is maintainable.</li><li>4. Matter be placed before Division Bench.</li><li>5. S.O. 15.07.2022.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.617 of 2022</p> <p>D.B. Gore .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</li> <li>2. On request of learned P.O. for Respondent No.1 &amp; Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</li> <li>3. In the meantime Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.07.2022.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 05.07.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.325 of 2022 in O.A. No.644 of 2021</p> <p>A.J. Sayyed .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to Monday i.e. on 04.07.2022 for Final Hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.244 of 2021</p> <p>R.D. Mahajan .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 15.07.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- v - (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.214 of 2022 to O.A. No.216 of 2022</p> <p>S.T. Motkari B.K. Kanoji S.G. Shirsat .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.235 of 2022</p> <p>R.P. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li><li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li><li>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</li><li>4. S.O. to 15.07.2022.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.248 of 2022</p> <p>N.N. Revadekar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.261 of 2022</p> <p>S.J. Kamble .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.379 of 2022</p> <p>A.S. Jaiswal .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 – M.P.S.C. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.400 of 2022</p> <p>R.D. Kurangal .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.</li> <li>3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 15.07.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.522 of 2022</p> <p>S.G. Momin .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Matter be kept on 13.07.2022 for hearing at the stage of admission with other connected matter.</li> <li>4. Interim relief will be continued till next date.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 13.07.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.703 of 2021</p> <p>P.R. Pawar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for Final Hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.826 of 2021 with O.A. No.828 of 2021</p> <p>T.M. Rathod A.K. Chaus .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to Monday i.e. on 04.07.2022 for Final Hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.67 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. Kasar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant short time is granted for Final Hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.206 of 2022</p> <p>R.T. Kadam .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. matter is adjourned for Final Hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.142 of 2021</p> <p>S.K. Davar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate holding for Shri R. Khot, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.07.2022

O.A. No.624 of 2022

V.R. Date .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 30.08.2021 and 03.02.2022 whereby his request for inter division transfer is rejected.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.07.2022.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 29.07.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 01.07.2022

O.A.No.175 of 2022

B.R. Kendre .....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Mr. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent and leave note is filed on record. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is already filed.
3. Admitted and kept for final hearing on 05.08.2022.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.07.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.177 of 2022</b></p> <p>M.D. Vaze .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Matter is Admitted and kept for final hearing on 22.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- M</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/r- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 01.07.2022

O.A.No.320 of 2020

H.M. Vanave

.....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate submits that since the Applicant's appeal is pending before the Respondent-State so he wants to withdraw the present O.A.

3. Allowed to withdraw. O.A. disposed of as withdrawn.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.07.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.911 of 2021 with O.A.969 of 2021 with M.A.No.39 of 2022</b></p> <p>R.K. Patil &amp; Ors. (O.A.911/2021) P.P. Bhosale &amp; Ors. (O.A.969/21 with M.A.39/22) .....Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admitted and kept for final hearing on 05.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/' <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.07.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.620 of 2021</b></p> <p>H.B. Shinde .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Time granted by way of last chance to learned C.P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 29.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.07.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.262 of 2019</b></p> <p>D.B. Dhawale .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is called out. However none appeared for the Applicant. In all fairness as one of the Members of Division Bench is not available today hence matter shall appear under the same caption 'For Dismissal' on the next date.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 06.07.2022 under the caption 'For Dismissal'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.07.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.383 of 2022</b></p> <p>A.G. Tainak &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Intervenors.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. M.D. Lonkar appearing for the Intervenors submits that he has been instructed by three Range Forests Officers from amongst 70 who are senior to the present Applicants who are going to be affected directly in view of the interim order passed by this Tribunal on 27.04.2022. Hence, he wants to file Intervention application for three persons.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
--	--------------------------

Date: 01.07.2022

O.A. No.496 of 2017  
(Division Bench)

S.N. Kale .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.
3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.
4. Though enough time is availed, no Reply is filed
5. In the interest of justice, adjourned for hearing without Reply.
6. S.O. to 27.07.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.368 of 2017 (Division Bench)</p> <p>R.H. Aangre .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li><li>2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li><li>3. Last time matter was adjourned for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed. Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</li><li>4. S.O. to 25.07.2022.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1054 of 2019 (Division Bench)</p> <p>S. Mahajan &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</li> <li>3. The perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the office for long time and today for the first time it is listed.</li> <li>4. Though enough time is availed, no Reply is filed</li> <li>5. Adjourned for hearing without Reply.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 26.07.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- v* (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>01.07.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 345/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.H Mane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. All the Advocates, Litigants, Party-in-person, Office of the CPO, Officers and Staff of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal to note that today i.e. on Friday, 1<sup>st</sup> July, 2022 it is found that due to certain unavoidable circumstances sufficient matters cannot be placed on board of Single Bench and Hon'ble Member (A) was not available so the matters could not be decided finally by the Division Bench. Thus, in order to make optimum utilisation of the Court hours, urgently Board of 20 matters from No Date Group was placed before the Single Bench and Board of 38 matters was prepared and placed before the Division Bench with a view to find out whether some matters can be worked out or have become infructuous. Obviously, the applicants cannot remain present and therefore with the help of the office of CPO and Registry the matters were perused by respective Member (J) and Chairperson (DB). Accordingly, Supplementary Board is displayed. All the Members of the Bar to take note and accordingly also they are requested to be ready with the matter as and when these matters will appear. Some matters are shown under the caption 'HOB ND' which are required to be attended by the counsel on the respective dates.</p> <p>3. The applicant prays that the Respondents no. 2 and 3 be directed to consider the applicant for promotion to the post of Deputy Commissioner, if he is found fit and further pleased to direct the Respondent no. 2 to decide the representation dated 1.12.2016 within a period of two weeks.</p> <p>4. S.O to 8.7.2022. H.O.B, N.D.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>01.07.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 03/2018</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.T Kale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. All the Advocates, Litigants, Party-in-person, Office of the CPO, Officers and Staff of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal to note that today i.e. on Friday, 1<sup>st</sup> July, 2022 it is found that due to certain unavoidable circumstances sufficient matters cannot be placed on board of Single Bench and Hon'ble Member (A) was not available so the matters could not be decided finally by the Division Bench. Thus, in order to make optimum utilisation of the Court hours, urgently Board of 20 matters from No Date Group was placed before the Single Bench and Board of 38 matters was prepared and placed before the Division Bench with a view to find out whether some matters can be worked out or have become infructuous. Obviously, the applicants cannot remain present and therefore with the help of the office of CPO and Registry the matters were perused by respective Member (J) and Chairperson (DB). Accordingly, Supplementary Board is displayed. All the Members of the Bar to take note and accordingly also they are requested to be ready with the matter as and when these matters will appear. Some matters are shown under the caption 'HOB ND' which are required to be attended by the counsel on the respective dates.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant challenges order dated 11.9.2015 and seeks directions for Respondents to grant deemed date of appointment as 5.7.2010 when his colleagues were appointed to the post of Police Constable.</p> <p>4. S.O to 8.8.2022. H.O.B. N.D.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ( <b>Mridula Bhatkar, J.</b> ) <b>Chairperson</b></p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>01.07.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 398/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri H.B Desai &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. All the Advocates, Litigants, Party-in-person, Office of the CPO, Officers and Staff of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal to note that today i.e. on Friday, 1<sup>st</sup> July, 2022 it is found that due to certain unavoidable circumstances sufficient matters cannot be placed on board of Single Bench and Hon'ble Member (A) was not available so the matters could not be decided finally by the Division Bench. Thus, in order to make optimum utilisation of the Court hours, urgently Board of 20 matters from No Date Group was placed before the Single Bench and Board of 38 matters was prepared and placed before the Division Bench with a view to find out whether some matters can be worked out or have become infructuous. Obviously, the applicants cannot remain present and therefore with the help of the office of CPO and Registry the matters were perused by respective Member (J) and Chairperson (DB). Accordingly, Supplementary Board is displayed. All the Members of the Bar to take note and accordingly also they are requested to be ready with the matter as and when these matters will appear. Some matters are shown under the caption 'HOB ND' which are required to be attended by the counsel on the respective dates.</p> <p>3. The applicants prays that the Respondents be directed to revise the pay scale of the applicants at par with the pay scale of Malle i.e. Rs. 5200-20200 with grade pay of Rs. 1800/-, on the ground of discrimination.</p> <p>4. S.O to 29.7.2022. H.O.B. N.D.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div>

Akn

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>01.07.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 775/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.N Raktate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. All the Advocates, Litigants, Party-in-person, Office of the CPO, Officers and Staff of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal to note that today i.e. on Friday, 1<sup>st</sup> July, 2022 it is found that due to certain unavoidable circumstances sufficient matters cannot be placed on board of Single Bench and Hon'ble Member (A) was not available so the matters could not be decided finally by the Division Bench. Thus, in order to make optimum utilisation of the Court hours, urgently Board of 20 matters from No Date Group was placed before the Single Bench and Board of 38 matters was prepared and placed before the Division Bench with a view to find out whether some matters can be worked out or have become infructuous. Obviously, the applicants cannot remain present and therefore with the help of the office of CPO and Registry the matters were perused by respective Member (J) and Chairperson (DB). Accordingly, Supplementary Board is displayed. All the Members of the Bar to take note and accordingly also they are requested to be ready with the matter as and when these matters will appear. Some matters are shown under the caption 'HOB ND' which are required to be attended by the counsel on the respective dates.</p> <p>3. The applicant prays for grant of deemed date of promotion w.e.f 12.12.2012 and other consequential service benefits.</p> <p>4. S.O to 29.7.2022. H.O.B. N.D.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Akn

[P.T.O.]



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.878 of 2018</u></p> <p>Dr. V.K. Adalage ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant seeks promotion to the post of Assistant Commissioner, Animal Husbandry and challenges the action of the respondents of not considering his name for the said post. The applicant prays that it is to be declared that applicant is eligible and entitled to the post of Assistant Commissioner and the action of the respondents in not mentioning his name in the select list of 17.9.2018 for the said post is illegal and be quashed and set aside.</p> <p>3. HOB. ND. S.O. to 8.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.52 of 2019</u></p> <p>P.V. Shelke-Patil &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants challenge the communication dted 5.7.2018 by MPSC expressing inability to recommend the applicant for the post of Lecturers in Dress, Design and Garment Manufacturing in the selection process of advertisement dated 30.10.2013.</p> <p>3. HOB. ND. S.O. to 13.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.308 of 2019</u></p> <p>M.B. Sonawane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant a MBBS was working as Associate Professor in Nursing Education, J.J. Hospital is challenging the order dated 4.2.2019 of giving additional charge of Principal to respondent no.3 and prays that operation of the said order be stayed appointing respondent no.3.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO pointed out that in view of age of the applicant she is now retired.</p> <p>4. HOB. ND. S.O. to 13.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.755 of 2019</u></p> <p>G.P. Titar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who was 58 years old in 2019 seeks extension to the age of superannuation i.e. from 58 to 62.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that his case is covered under the judgment dated 30.11.2018 passed in W.P. No.3344/2017.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant to verify this position.</p> <p>5. HOB. ND. S.O. to 6.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.596 of 2018</u></p> <p>Dr. S.M. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges seniority list dated 18.4.2018 of the Medical Officers.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.8.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.641 &amp; 642 of 2018</u></p> <p>M.V. Megrati S.B. Khadtare ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants Aval Karkun working at Solapur have challenged seniority list on the basis of deemed date of 25.4.2003 in the cadre of Awal Karkun and sought interim relief that the respondents be restrained from taking action on the basis of seniority list dated 11.4.2018.</p> <p>3. HOB. ND. S.O. to 13.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.91 of 2018</u></p> <p>D.J. Mundhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant 58 years old in 2018 had filed this OA praying that he may be promoted to the post of Joint Commissioner, Women and Child Development forthwith. By way of amendment on 4.4.2018 he also prayed for deemed date of promotion with all consequential benefits w.e.f. 5.9.2014.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that this can be worked out.</p> <p>4. HOB. ND. S.O. to 8.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.197 of 2018</u></p> <p>T.P. Salunkhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant a Talathi seeks promotion to the post of Circle Officer by calling special DPC on 6.4.2017.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that as per affidavit dated 15.10.2018 para 22(i) he was promoted as Circle Officer Group C and hence OA has become infructuous.</p> <p>4. HOD. ND. S.O. to 22.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.285 of 2017</u></p> <p>R.K. Padmane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is challenging the letter dated 29.9.2016 and also GR dated 13.8.2004 &amp; 9.7.2008 declaring disabled, ineligible for promotion and also he be considered in DPC with deemed date of 31.3.2003 and then 24.5.2006.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that he is already given promotion however he is seeking promotion in handicapped quota.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.298 of 2018</u></p> <p>V.C . Murudkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is challenging the seniority list dated 3.2.2018 to the post of Naib Tahsildar.</p> <p>3. HOB. ND. S.O. to 20.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.390, 391 &amp; 392 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.V. Mane K.R. Gadekar K.P. Pethe ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are challenging merit list dated 31.3.2018 prepared by the MPSC for the post of AMVI, Group C.</p> <p>3. HOB. ND. S.O. to 11.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.473 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.S. Golmallu-Palekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant a Dental Surgeon in Govt. Dental College, St. Georges Hospital challenges orders dated 2.5.2018, 6.5.2018 and 12.5.2018 informing the applicant that choice of "Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and Maxillofacial" is not available be quashed and set aside.</p> <p>3. HOB. ND. S.O. to 3.8.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.375 of 2022 in O.A. No.589 of 2022</p> <p>A.C. Dalvi &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rahul S. Dingankar, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suvarna Vast, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

6. Indeed, the Government of Maharashtra by G.R. dated 14.10.2011 & G.R. dated 31.01.2015 issued specific instructions and guidelines for taking periodical review of suspension, so that Government servant will not be subjected to prolong suspension. As per Para 3 of G.R. dated 14.10.2021 where a Government servant is suspended on account of registration of serious crime under the provision of I.P.C. or Prevention of Corruption Act and suspension is continued for more than one year, such matter is required to be placed before the Review Committee constituted for this purpose. In present case, admittedly, till date no charge-sheet is filed in Criminal Case and matter seems to be still under investigation. Apart, admittedly, no departmental proceedings are initiated against the Applicants. As such, Respondents fail to consider that despite lapse of more than one year neither charge-sheet is filed in Court of law nor D.E. is initiated against the Applicant but they are subjected to prolong suspension without taking review as required to be taken in terms of G.R. dated 14.10.2021. There is no certainty of filing Criminal Case by A.C.B. much less it's finality. In such situation no purpose would serve by continuing the suspension. Applicants can be reinstated in service on Non-executive post in terms of G.R. dated 14.10.2011. The Applicants' fundamental right of speedy trial of Criminal Case and expeditious disposal of D.E. as highlighted by Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Ajay Kumar Choudhary's* case (cited supra) is seriously affected.

7. Hence, I proceed to pass following order.

- a) O.A. is allowed partly.
- b) Respondents are directed to place the matter before review committee for taking review of the suspension of the Applicant within four weeks from today and decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within a week thereafter.
- c) No order as to costs.

Sd/-  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
01.07.2022

NMN