

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.151 of 2021 in R. A.No.07 of 2021 in O.A.No.1125 of 2019</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>Satish Lolge & Ors. ...Respondents (Ori. Applicants)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Ori. Applicants).</p> <p>2. M.A.No.151/2021 is filed for condonation of delay for 34 days caused in filing R.A.</p> <p>3. Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Original Applicants fairly submits that the delay be condoned and R.A. be decided on merit after giving an opportunity to him to file reply to R.A.</p> <p>4. In view of above, delay of 34 days caused in filing R.A. is condoned.</p> <p>5. M.A.151/2021 is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>6. One week time is granted to learned Counsel for the Ori. Applicants to file reply in R.A.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 9/7/2021 in R.A. Sd/-</p> <p align="right">v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.253 of 2015</p> <p>D.S. PohnerkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are continuously absent.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondent is present.</p> <p>3. O.A. is for Final Hearing, however perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Advocate are repeatedly absent. They seem not interested in the matter, despite enough chances they failed to remain present and to proceed with the O.A.</p> <p>4. In view of above, O.A. is dismissed in default.</p> <p>5. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.278 of 2015</p> <p>M.R. SonawaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits despite his communication the Applicant is not responding. He therefore, submits that Applicant appear not interested in the matter.</p> <p>3. O.A. is for Final Hearing, but the Applicant seems not interested in the matter.</p> <p>4. In view of above, O.A. is dismissed in default.</p> <p>5. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- ^ Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.650 of 2015</p> <p>M.R. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant lastly adjourned to enable him to produce the copy of the deposition of the witnesses recorded by Enquiry Officer.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is also directed to produce record of enquiry.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.45 of 2016</p> <p>S.F. PadviApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant at the very outset submits that during the pendency of O.A. the Applicant ■ passed away due to COVID-19.</p> <p>3. The O.A. pertains to promotion, since the Applicant is no more O.A. has become infructuous.</p> <p>4. Accordingly O.A. is disposed of with no order as to cost.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date :Q1.07.2021.</p> <p>O.A.755 of 2020 with O.A.No.756 of 2020</p> <p>A.P. Sonawane & Ors. (O.A.755/2020) M.R. Sawant & Ors. (O.A.756/2020)... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer, for the Respondents.2. Suo moto taken on board. Speaking to minutes in order dated 29.06.2021.3. In the order dated 29.06.2021, at paragraph 4, line 2 the word 'hired' be read as 'higher'.4. Adjourned to 13.07.2021 at 2.30 p.m.. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.421 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.B. Kotwal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate submits that applicant was booked under Section 354(d), 323, 505, 506 and 34 of IPC. Even though he was selected for the post of Police Sub Inspector, he was prevented from joining the training. In this OA, he has challenged the impugned order dated 18.6.2021 (Annexure A-7 page 40). The next date of hearing in the criminal was scheduled in March, 2021. However, it did not materialize.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant presses for interim relief and prays that the applicant should be send for training. Ld. Advocate for the applicant relies on the judgment of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad in W.P. No.6345 of 2016 (Kailaschandra V. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors) dated 21.3.2017 (Annexure A-8 page 41).</p> <p>4. The respondents are directed to seek instructions and consider the GR as well as judgment mentioned ^{impugning} above. The Ld. PO is directed to send copy of affidavit in reply to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant by email.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.7.2021.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 16.7.2021 for Urgent Admission.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vice-Chairman Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1.7.2021 1.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 01.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.193 of 2020</p> <p>S.D. Jaybhanye ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate pointed out paragraph 9 of G.R. dated 15.12.2017 (page 100) which reads as under :-</p> <p align="center">“९) विभागीय पदोन्नती समितीच्या मूळ बैठकीच्या दिनांकपासून दोन वर्षे झाल्यानंतरही मोहोरबंद पाकीटात निष्कर्ष ठेवलेल्या अधिकारी/ कर्मचा-यांच्या, शिस्तभंगविषयक / न्यायालयीन कार्यवाही प्रकरणी अंतिम निर्णय झालेला नसल्यास, अशा प्रकरणी नियुक्ती प्राधिकारी स्वविवेकानुसार संबंधीत अधिकारी/ कर्मचा-याला तदर्थ पदोन्नती देण्याबाबत जाणीवपूर्वक निर्णय घेईल. असा निर्णय घेताना नियुक्ती प्राधिकारी, खालील मुद्दे विचारात घेईल.”</p> <p>In view of the above paragraph is wants the Respondent to reply as to when the applicant is going to be promoted on adhoc basis.</p> <p>3. The learned C.P.O. informs that the review DPC meeting was held on 22.06.2021 and the minutes are yet to come out within two weeks.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 15.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 01.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.64 of 2021</p> <p>U.T. Sarode ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. informs that as per her instructions an amount of Rs.3,07,822/- is already deposited in the Applicant's account and Rs.2 lakhs are yet to be paid and the same will be paid within 10 to 15 days.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.678 of 2019 in O.A.No.1209 of 2019</p> <p>S.A. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.678/2019 is filed for condonation of delay. The learned P.O. files affidavit-in-reply, dated 12.03.2021 on behalf of Respondents No.1 & 2, through Shri Bhagwat Kacharu Ugale, Assistant Electrical Inspector, Office of the Chief Electrical Inspector, Industries, Energy & Labour Department. The same is taken on record. Copy is served to learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate seeks four weeks for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 29.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (P.N. Dixit) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.367 of 2019 in O.A.No.677 of 2019</p> <p>H.T. Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate seeks adjournment by four weeks as the rejoinder is to be affirmed.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 29.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.698 of 2019 with O.A.No.699 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. S.J. Mane (O.A.698/2019) Dr. A.R. Jadhav (O.A.699/2019) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri P.P. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 are absent.</p> <p>3. The learned C.P.O. files affidavit-in-reply dated 25.06.2021 in O.A.No.698/2019 and O.A.No.699/2019 on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3, through Shri Vasant Shankar Gode, Administrative Officer (Additional Charge), office of District Health officer, Zilla Parishad, Pune The same is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 29.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.222 of 2021 in O.A.No.87 of 2020</p> <p>R.V. Kardak & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.5 to 8.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed for providing permission to the rank of Assistant Commissioner, Food. O.A. is regarding seniority of the applicants after taking into account their services rendered in the office of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. Today is the first date. The learned Advocate Shri C.T. Chandratre who appears for the private Respondents seeks two weeks for filing affidavit-in-reply. The learned P.O. seeks four weeks for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned by 3 weeks i.e. 22.07.2021.</p> <p>5. Issue of interim relief will be considered on receipt of affidavit-in-reply from the Respondents and Private party.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N] Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.763 of 2020</p> <p>S.S. Kokane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. seeks four weeks for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. By way of last chance, adjourned to 29.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(P.N) Dixit (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.183 of 2020</p> <p>J.B. Bangar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. seeks two weeks for filing affidavit-in-reply as she has received para-wise remarks.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.173 of 2021 with O.A.No.174 of 2021</p> <p>M.A. Tapase (O.A.173/2021 R.M. Kalaskar (O.A.174/2021) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. seeks permission to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Home Department.</p> <p>3. Allowed. adjourned to 29.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.422 of 2021</u></p> <p>Prashant S. Nikam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is aggrieved as his sports validity certificate has been cancelled by the impugned order dated 30.4.2021. Ld. Advocate for the applicant admits that there is an appellate forum available to him viz. Joint Director, Sports. However, he has not exhausted the remedy.</p> <p>3. The applicant is directed to exhaust the remedy of appeal by approaching Joint Director, Sports for necessary relief.</p> <p>4. Earlier in OA No.653 of 2020 and other OAs. (Ashok M. Sapkal Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.) the issue of invalidation of sports certificate of the Government servants was before this Tribunal. At the time of hearing of all those OAs this Tribunal by order dated 26.11.2020 found that it was necessary for the applicants to go before the appellate authority. In these OAs the issue was in respect of fraud and forgery in respect of sports certificate. However, as stated earlier there is an appellate authority available to the applicants. The reason of not receiving the documents under RTI is not an excuse to approach the appellate authority.</p> <p>5. The OA is premature, as the applicant has not exhausted the remedy of appeal available to him before the appellate authority i.e. Joint Director, Sports. The OA is therefore disposed off as premature.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 1.7.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2021</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.162 of 2021</p> <p>P.V. Parkhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. submits that a week's time be granted to issue orders.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 09.07.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.199 of 2021 in O.A.No.205 of 2021</p> <p>S.J. Katkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant in O.A.No.205/2021 seeks appointment order to the post of Junior Accountant. The Applicant was selected by the Joint Director, Accounts and Treasury, Konkan Division (Respondent No.3).</p> <p>3. Statement on oath from the concerned responsible authority of Directorate, Accounts and Treasury is to be filed specifically answering the following queries :-</p> <p>(a) Whether the Government, pursuant to letter dated 10.05.2021 is going to fill-up the post of Junior Accountant on contract basis on vacant post which the applicant claims as she is selected candidate.</p> <p>(b) The policy of outsourcing in respect of the impugned post as 70-30 is adopted by the State from which date.</p> <p>(c) What are the SOPs ?</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 06.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.877 of 2017</u></p> <p>Sant P. Singh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is fixed on 16.7.2021 as it is old. Both the sides are directed to obtain instructions in the matter so that we can proceed.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.7.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 1.7.2021 1.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.96 of 2017</u></p> <p>A.B. Dalvi & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is fixed on 15.7.2021 as it is old. Both the sides are directed to obtain instructions in the matter so that we can proceed.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.7.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit)</p> <p>Vice-Chairman</p> <p>1.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>1.7.2021</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.404 & 921 of 2015</u></p> <p>Dr. S.V. Patil & Ors (OA.404/2015) A.V. Disale & Ors. (OA.921/2015) ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OA No.404/2015, Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OA No.921/2015 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matters are fixed on 15.7.2021 as they are old. Both the sides are directed to obtain instructions in the matters so that we can proceed.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 1.7.2021 1.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021.</p> <p>O.A.No.315 of 2021</p> <p>V.V. Pote & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Vaishali Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. prays for time to file affidavit-in-reply. However, learned Advocate Smt. Vaishali Jagdale holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale prays that matter be kept back.</p> <p>3. Later on the learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale appeared for the Applicant.</p> <p>4. On query learned Advocate Mr. Jagdale stated that the Applicant No.1 joined Pune City, in the year 2012 and Applicant no.2 joined SRPF, Pune</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O. submitted that a corrigendum of fixing eligibility of the service as on 29.06.2017 was issued within two to three days after the date of advertisement and therefore the applicants were aware of these rules when they participated in the process.</p> <p>6. The learned C.P.O. is directed by this Tribunal to file affidavit-in-reply and answering the following two queries :-</p> <p>(a) Whether the persons from SRPF are entitled to appear in the PSI examination similar to the persons in the Police Department.</p> <p>(b) What is the basis / rational of fixing the cut-off date as 01.01.2017.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 15.07.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N.' Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.419 of 2021</p> <p>A.S. Bhagade & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.07.2021. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 15.07.2021 keeping the issue of interim relief open. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.223 of 2021 in O.A.No.419 of 2021</p> <p>A.S. Bhagade & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A.No.223/2021 is allowed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.125 of 2021 in O.A. No.167 of 2021</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. Anuradha A. Deshmukh & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents. None for the Respondents-original applicants.</p> <p>2. None present from the Government to instruct the Ld. PO.</p> <p>3. Hence, the matter is adjourned at the request of Ld. PO to 8.7.2021 at 1.00 p.m.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8.7.2021 at 1.00 p.m.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.7.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.226 of 2021 in O.A. No.426 of 2021</p> <p>S.A. Bhowar & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.426 of 2021</p> <p>S.A. Bhowar & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.07.2021. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. Interim relief as prayed for is granted till 08.07.2021 in terms of prayer clause 10 (a) which is reproduced below. [P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><i>“10 (a) Pending the hearing and final disposal of this O.A., this Hon’ble Tribunal may be pleased to restrain the Respondent Nos.1 and 3 to evict the Petitioners and their family members from the service quarter, namely Building No.16, Room No.16, Worli Police Camp, Sir Pochkhanwala Road, Worli Police Camp, Worli, Mumbai and thus to allow them to continue to stay in the service quarter till final decision of the O.A.”</i></p> <p>9. S.O. to 08.07.2021.</p> <p>10. Hamdast granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.28 of 2021</p> <p>D. B. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Vaishali Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.597 of 2020</p> <p>R. D. Ghane ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Vaishali Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.209 of 2021 in R. A.No.09 of 2021 in O.A.No.55 of 2018 with O.A. 56 of 2018</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>A. T. ManeRespondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. In so far as M.A.No.209/2021 for condonation of delay is concerned, learned Counsel for the Applicant fairly submits that the delay being short be condoned and R.A. be decided on merit.</p> <p>3. He further states that he does not want to file reply to R.A. and it be decided on merit.</p> <p>4. In view of above, M.A.209/2021 for condonation of delay caused in filing R.A. is allowed.</p> <p>5. R.A. be kept for hearing.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 13.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.186 of 2021</p> <p>S. K. SarangApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant ad Sm. Archana B K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2 as well as Respondent No.3 separately. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder. 4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 27.07.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.156 of 2021</p> <p>S. N. GhugeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.111 of 2021</p> <p>V. V. SarwankarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 and also filed separate reply on behalf of the Respondent No.5. Those are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.407 of 2021</p> <p>R. M. DhangareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Challenge is to the rejection to the claim of the Applicant by impugned order dated 24.06.2020. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.07.2021. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 29.07.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- W~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No. 420 of 2021</p> <p>M. S. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the punishment of recovery of Rs.1,05,754/- towards loss caused to the Government in departmental proceeding. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.07.2021. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 29.07.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- W~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">R. A. No.15 of 2020 in O.A.No.1000 of 2019</p> <p>G. M. KambleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 3 and Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. The matter is taken on board today for Speaking to Minutes to add one direction in Para No.6 of order dated 24.06.2021 whereby R.A. was disposed of.</p> <p>3. By order dated 24.06.2021, the directions were given to Applicant to make representation within a week with further direction to Respondent No.1 to decide the same within six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation.</p> <p>4. However, the direction to the Respondent No.1 to communicate the decision to the Applicant was not specifically given in the order, and therefore, this 'Speaking to Minutes' is filed.</p> <p>5. In Para No.6 following sentence be added. 'Respondent No.1 is directed to communicate the decision to the Applicant within a week from the date of decision on the representation.'</p> <p>6. Hamdast granted.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.151 of 2021 in R. A.No.07 of 2021 in O.A.No.1125 of 2019</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p align="center">Versus</p> <p>Satish Lolge & Ors. ...Respondents (Ori. Applicants)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Ori. Applicants).</p> <p>2. M.A.No.151/2021 is filed for condonation of delay for 34 days caused in filing R.A.</p> <p>3. Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Original Applicants fairly submits that the delay be condoned and R.A. be decided on merit after giving an opportunity to him to file reply to R.A.</p> <p>4. In view of above, delay of 34 days caused in filing R.A. is condoned.</p> <p>5. M.A.151/2021 is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>6. One week time is granted to learned Counsel for the Ori. Applicants to file reply in R.A.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.58 of 2021 in M. A.No.59 of 2021 in O.A.No.05 of 2020</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>D. R. Wadkure ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Ms Asawari Ghatge holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Original Applicant, two weeks time is granted to file reply in both Misc. Applications.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.411 of 2021</p> <p>A. M ShendkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Challenge is to the rejection of the representation of the Applicant for exemption under Rule 7 of Cadre Allotment Rules, 2015. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that recently cabinet decision has been taken to exempt single parent from the operation of Cadre Allotment Rules, 2015. Therefore, he wants to amend the O.A. suitably and also to produce the copy of the decision on record. 4. In this O.A., notices are yet to be issued. 5. In view of above, leave is granted to the learned Counsel for the Applicant to amend the O.A. within a week. 6. After amendment, O.A. be listed for admission. 7. S.O. to 08.07.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.186 of 2021 in O.A.No. 745 of 2020</p> <p>G. S. Bhonevale & Ors. ...Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicants , Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Original Applicant.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Original Applicant has filed reply to M.A. opposing intervention application. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing of M.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.345 of 2021</p> <p>D. S. ModheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., three weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.257 of 2021</p> <p>I. H. NadafApplicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Present O.A. is filed against the order treating the period from 01.06.2016 to 01.12.2018 as suspension period against which the appeal is subjudice before the Respondent No.3. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant as well as learned P.O. fairly submit that O.A. may be disposed of with direction to Respondent No.3 to decide the appeal filed by the Applicant within stipulated period. 4. Since the Appeal is subjudice before the Respondent No.3, the submission advanced by learned Counsel for the Applicant is fair and deserves to be accepted. 5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.3 to decide the appeal filed by the Applicant within two months from today in accordance to rules and the decision thereon shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. 6. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 341 of 2021</p> <p>S. B. RathodApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is under typing and the same will be filed tomorrow.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>