

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>01.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 595/2021 in O.A 1054 /2021</u></p> <p>Shri Sunil N. Rotellu ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.P Inamdar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission Issue notice made returnable on 29.4.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 29.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>01.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 298/2022</u></p> <p>Shri M.M Mhetre ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant seeks the relief that he is entitled to be sent for Armourer Basic Training and the Respondents be directed to send the applicant for training commencing from 4th April, 2022 at Mulgami Armour Training School Pune.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant states that he has been held ineligible to be sent for training on the ground that he is serving as Assistant Sub Inspector and only Constables and Havaldars are being sent for the said Training.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O is directed to ascertain the facts about the norms of selection, relevant G.Rs and number of persons who have applied and the criterion adopted for sending the candidates for training. Also learned P.O to ascertain whether A.S.I and Police Naik have been sent for training in the past.</p> <p>5. S.O to 4.4.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>01.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 144/2022 in O.A 223/2021</u></p> <p>Shri A.V Dudhal & Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We have gone through the Schedule of the amendment. It runs from page Nos 22 to 41. There are various amendments in the paragraphs and grounds.</p> <p>3. We direct the learned counsel for the applicants to take out the present Original Application and substitute the Original Application within three weeks and serve copy of the same on the office of the learned C.P.O.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O is granted permission to file affidavit in reply to the substituted Original Application within three weeks thereafter.</p> <p>5. S.O to 10.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>01.04.2022</u></p> <p><u>M.A 178/2022 in M.A 91/2022 in O.A 1355/2009</u></p> <p>Shri Prakash Agavne ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the second report of the Fourth Member of the Committee has been submitted to the Addl. Chief Secretary on 25.3.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply and to inform about the progress in the matter.</p> <p>4. S.O to 13.4.2022</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>01.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 52/2021 in O.A 488/2020</u></p> <p>Shri V.N Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O for the Respondents files affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.4.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>01.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 297/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.R Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.B Dhage, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaiwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission to add Addl. D.G (Training), State of Maharashtra, Mumbai as Party-Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>3. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission Issue notice made returnable on 29.4.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 6.4.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>01.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 393, 394 & 395 /2013</u></p> <p>K.R Shelke & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S Kamble with Ms Reena Sharma learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that in view of the order dated 27th October, 2021, passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P 7626/2015, the Respondent, M.P.S.C wants to file a short affidavit in reply on the point of bringing factual data about the 8 candidates who were called for interview for the post of District Health Officer.</p> <p>3. Time sought for by the learned C.P.O is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O to 6.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>01.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 129/2015 in O.A 142/2015</u></p> <p>Dr S.S Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the Respondents-Department has paid the revised Gratuity amount to the applicant and the revised Pension is also fixed and calculated and the applicant will receive the regular pension from the month of April, 2022. Learned P.O, therefore, submits that the entire order of the Tribunal is complied with and nothing remains in this Contempt Application.</p> <p>3. Today, learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>4. Matter is kept on 4.4.2022 for the say of the learned counsel of the applicant at 2.30 pm.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.107 of 2022</p> <p> R.Y. PatelApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents. </p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ms. Snehal Vaidya, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note. <li>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. <li>3. Learned P.O. requested for grant of time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. However, perusal of record reveals that the Applicant's husband was subjected to Departmental proceeding by issuance of charge-sheet dated 16.12.1993. Thereafter, enquiry officer was appointed and he submitted positive report. The Applicant was suspended by order dated 17.12.1990. Thereafter he was reinstated in service on 19.01.1999. Later he died on 04.09.2020 while in service. Collector Sholapur, therefore by order dated 03.06.2021 closed D.E. invoking Rule 13 (4) of M.C.S. (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979. However, while passing the said order of closure of D.E. be passed another order treating period of suspension from 17.12.1990 to 19.01.1999 as the suspension period except pension, which is under challenge. <li>4. In view of above, question comes when D.E. is closed on account of death of delinquent how the period of suspension can be treated as a period of suspension as done by impugned order dated 03.06.2021. <li>5. Learned P.O. is therefore directed to take instruction and appraise the Tribunal how impugned order is legal and valid and why family pension is not granted, so that O.A. can be disposed of expeditiously. <li>6. S.O. to 05.04.2022. <li>7. Steno Copy is granted. <p style="text-align: right;"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.285 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. KaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant is seeking inter district transfer from the establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to the establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Nashik and made Application for inter district transfer on 05.10.2012.</p> <p>3. On the last date when the matter was taken up for admission hearing, learned P.O. had appraised that the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai will relieve the Applicant if there is vacancy on the establishment of Commissioner of Police, Nashik on his establishment and willing to absorb the Applicant. Therefore, matter was adjourned to know whether there is vacancy on the establishment of Commissioner of Police, Nashik.</p> <p>4. Today, learned P.O. on instruction from Shri G.R. Sonawane, ACP, Admin Nashik City stated that there is vacancy on the establishment of Commissioner of Police, Nashik and he is ready to absorb him on his establishment.</p> <p>5. As per order dated 25.03.2022, Tribunal has already recorded the statement made by learned P.O. that the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai is ready to relieve if there is vacancy at Nashik.</p> <p>6. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to relieve the Applicant in view of willingness of the Commissioner of Police, Nashik to absorb the Applicant on his establishment and necessary orders be passed within two weeks.</p> <p>7. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.166 of 2020</p> <p>U.S. JagtapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Prerana Janvekar, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed to treat temporary service from 02.03.2001 till appointment on compassionate ground as regular Government services for the purpose of pension and other service benefits.</p> <p>3. During the course of hearing it is transpired that the Applicant's husband was was sweeper (Class 'IV' employee) on the establishment of Respondent No.4 – The Dean, Sasoon General Hospital, Agarkar Nagar, Pune – 411 001. However, he died in harness on 21.09.2000. The Applicant contend that on her application, Respondent No.4 issued temporary posting orders from time to time from 02.03.2001 with technical break and later the Collector by order dated 31.12.2003 issued order of appointment on compassionate ground on the establishment of Respondent No.3 – The Collector, Pune.</p> <p>4. Thus, it appears that the Applicant had already made application for appointment on compassionate ground but instead of appointing her on compassionate ground she was given temporary appointment from time to time. As such, if application was made of compassionate ground and there was vacancy, in that event instead of issuing temporary appointment order there should have been regular posting order. It is therefore necessary to know when the name of the [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Applicant ^{was} taken in waiting list and what was the date of application made by the Applicant for appointment on compassionate ground.

5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant stated that she do not have record of application made for appointment on compassionate ground.

6. However, it must be with Respondent No.3 – The Collector, Pune since it is the Collector, Pune who appointed the Applicant on compassionate ground as seen from order dated 31.12.2003.

7. Learned P.O. is therefore directed to take instructions from the Collector, Pune about details of waiting list and the date of application made by the Applicant for appointment on compassionate ground.

8. S.O. to 05.04.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 755 of 2020</p> <p>A. P. Sonawane & Ors. ...Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicants and their Advocate are absent. Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. This matter was initially before the Division Bench and by order dated 21.03.2022 it is assigned to Single Bench and today for the first time, it is listed for final hearing before this Bench. However, Applicants and their Counsel absent though waited up to 3.40. P.M.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned to give one opportunity to the Applicants and their Advocate.</p> <p>4. The matter is adjourned for final hearing since the pleading is already complete.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 29.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No. 262 of 2020 With O.A.No.263 of 2020</p> <p>L. J. Thakur K. J. MhatreApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In these Original Applications, the Applicants have challenged recovery of excess money paid to them in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>Civil Appeal No.11527/2014 (State of Punjab and others Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer), decided on 18th December, 2014</i>. The Applicants are still in service.</p> <p>3. When specific query was raised to learned Counsel for the Applicant as to whether he is challenging recovery part only or there is challenge to the re-fixation of pay also, He submits that he needs to take instructions from the clients and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 01.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.652 of 2020</p> <p>M.B. BorkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is fixed for hearing at the stage of admission ^{without reply since} Since, Respondents fail to file Affidavit-in-Reply despite enough chances granted by the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Today when the matter is taken up for hearing learned C.P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and sought permission to file the same. She submits that Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed during the course of the day without fail.</p> <p>4. In the interest of justice, allowed to file Affidavit-in-Reply so as to decide the matter on merit. Affidavit-in-Reply be taken on record and copy be served on other side.</p> <p>5. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 13.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.173 of 2022 in O.A. No.290 of 2022</p> <p>S.K. Sankapale & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Shri R. Musale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for Sue Jointly and O.A. is filed for declaration that G.R. dated 12.05.2021 is not applicable to the Applicants and for transfer to other District places.</p> <p>3. Applicants are Police Personnel on the establishment of State Reserve Police Force (SRPF) from 2010. From 2015 they are deputed in Special Protection Unit (SPU). Now, they want transfer to District places. As per the G.R. dated 12.05.2021, police constables deputed in SRPF have to complete minimum five years tenure and thereafter only they can be considered to transfer at District places. The Applicants were therefore required to apply for repatriation to SRPF but they have filed this O.A. directly without making any such application or representation.</p> <p>4. As per the provision of Administrative Tribunal Act, Section 20 of Administrative Tribunal Act, a Tribunal should not ordinarily admit an application unless it is satisfied that the Applicant had availed all of the remedies available to him under the relevant service rules as to redressal of grievances.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Learned Advocate for the Applicants when asked by the Tribunal, admits that the Applicants have not made any such representation. He therefore requested to dispose of M.A. and O.A. with liberty to make representation.</p> <p>6. Allowed to withdraw M.A. and O.A. with liberty to the Applicants to make representation for redressal of their grievance first and if grievance is not redressed within the stipulated period they can avail further legal remedy.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.116 of 2022 in O.A. No.191 of 2022</p> <p>M.P. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant fairly submits that grievance of the Applicant is redressed and prayed to withdraw this proceeding with liberty to redress the grievance about interest. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered revised pension order dated 17.03.2022 whereby his grievance is redressed. 4. In view of above, allowed to withdraw M.A. and O.A. with liberty to redress grievance of interest independently as permissible in law. 5. No order as to costs. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.138 of 2022</p> <p>D.B. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.04.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.136 of 2022</p> <p>D.M. KhutaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance, no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.135 of 2022</p> <p>A.D. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.75 of 2022</p> <p>S.K. Patil & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.04.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.857 of 2021</p> <p>L.P. Gaikwad & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Applicant No.2 in person is present, his Advocate is absent.2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.4. S.O. to 18.04.2022. <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.04.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.580 of 2021 in O.A. No.500 of 2021</p> <p>H.G. Kulkarni & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.S. Jadhav, leaned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 & 4 in M.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. This matter be kept on 18.04.2022, since connected matters are already fixed on that date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 245 OF 2022**

DISTRICT : SOLAPUR

1. Janakraj Mohan Gund,)
2. Ajay Bapu Mankar)...**Applicants**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors)...**Respondents**

Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the Applicants.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)
Mrs Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)**

DATE : 01.04.2022

PER : Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)

OPERATIVE ORDER

1. The Original Application is partly allowed.
2. M.P.S.C is directed to appoint team of two Experts from the panel other than the earlier Reviewing Experts of the first answer key to review the objections raised at the time of first Answer Key to the 6 questions, i.e. 11, 17, 76, 138, 142 & 144 and the objections raised by the applicants to these questions in the first answer key.

✓

3. The majority of the view of the Experts, which includes the view of the earlier Reviewing Experts deciding the second answer key, to be upheld.
4. This exercise is to be completed by 11.4.2022. Till then MPSC is directed to hold their hands and thereafter proceed in the matter.

Sd/-

(~~Medha Gadgil~~)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai
Date : 01.04.2022
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.