

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.03.2024</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.1457 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>A.D. Nikose, .....Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors., .....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO files 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Charity Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' in which it is mentioned that Application submitted by Shri Umesh Shaligram Shingade 'Inspector' in 'Public Trust Registration Office, Nagpur' for transfer to 'Public Trust Registration Office in Amravati, Yavatmal, Washim District' will be put up in due course before 'CSB'. However in respect of Applicant, there is no specific averment as to whether 'Charity Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai,' intends to re-consider the request of Applicant submitted on 05.04.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant reiterates that Applicant had directly submitted her request to 'Charity Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' on 05.04.2023 but it was not considered at the time of 'General Transfer: 2023'. Subsequently, she has submitted another request to 'Charity Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'. Maharashtra State, Mumbai' on 25.01.2024.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that in view of the averment made in 'Para 5' of the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed on 28.02.2024 by 'Charity Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' that</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**


they have taken decision not to proceed with any request applications received in the year 2024, because request applications of the year 2023 are still pending which has also been so communicated to all 'Head of Offices' of 'Public Trust Registration Officer' by letter of 'Charity Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' dated 25.01.2024 and because the request by Applicant was submitted in the year 2023 on 05.04.2023 but still pending, The same may therefore be duly considered now at time of 'General Transfer: 2024'.

5. Learned PO to find out about report submitted by 'Joint Charity Commissioner, Nagpur' about complaint made by Shri. Bharat M. Nikose against Applicant.

6. Learned PO nonetheless informed to ascertain whether in view of averment made in 'Para 5' of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed on 28.02.2024 by 'Charity Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'; if request submitted by Applicant on 05.04.2023 would now be duly considered at the time of 'General Transfer: 2024'.

7. S.O. to 15.03.2024.

Sd/-

  
(DebashishChakrabarty)  
Member (A)

AGR

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.268/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>J.P. Chavhan</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.R. Rote, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</li> <li>2. The learned Advocate for Applicant files Affidavit-of-Service.</li> <li>3. The Applicant has appeared for the post of Dietician. The result was declared on 02.02.2024. Applicant has secured 114 Cut-off Marks. Thereafter Applicant received call letter for verification of documents which took place on 14.02.2024. On the same day, Respondents declared Applicant ineligible.</li> <li>4. The learned Advocate for Applicant relies on GR dated 15.05.2023. He points out the condition that if the candidate selected is going to be appointed, then he should possess BSc Home Science Degree of a statutory University.</li> <li>5. The learned Advocate for Applicant points out GR of 7<sup>th</sup> September, 2011 thereby equivalence is decided. He produces 2 orders passed by MAT Bench at Aurangabad i.e. (i) OA St.No.315/2024 and (ii) OA No.213/2024 wherein 'Interim Relief' is granted by the Tribunal in the case of appointment of Dieticians where the issue of equivalence is involved.</li> <li>6. We make it clear that this matter is unfolded before us to certain extent, and therefore, we would like to decide the case finally at interim stage.</li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. The Respondents to file 'Short Affidavit' or seek instructions if they want to. Till then, no appointment order is to be issued for VJ(A) candidate.</p> <p>8. Issue notice returnable on 04.03.2024.</p> <p>9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<b>for Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>13. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>14. S.O. to 04.03.2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Member-A / Chairperson 01.03.2024 / 01.03.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.185/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>S.R. Raul &amp; Ors.</b> ... Applicants <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Speaking to Minutes</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Smt. Madhavi Ayyapan, learned Advocate holding for Shri Talekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.</li><li>2. The learned PO has filed application dated 27.02.2024 for 'Speaking to Minutes' of the Order dated 14.02.2024 passed in OA No.185/2024.</li><li>3. The learned PO submits that in 4<sup>th</sup> line of Para 4 the words, 'Selection Examination' is to be corrected as 'On-line Examination conducted by way of CBT Exam-1 and CBT Exam-2' and in 5<sup>th</sup> line of Para 4 the words, 'Service Provider' is to be corrected as 'Service provided by TCS to conduct online examination on behalf of Respondent-Government as per GR dated 21.11.2022'. Similarly, in 6<sup>th</sup> line of Para 4 the words, 'Out Sourcing Basis' is to be corrected as 'Regular Basis'.</li><li>4. The corrections be carried out accordingly and order be re-loaded afresh.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/</i> <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member-A 01.03.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/</i> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J)</b> Chairperson 01.03.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.03.2024

O.A. No.1534 of 2023

R.B. Bhalerao .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer on behalf of Respondents. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is Not Present.
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant although not present, but Applicant is present 'In-Person'. Applicant presents copy of the Orders by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' dated 27.02.2024 which indicates that several posts of 'A.P.I.s' have now fallen vacant in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik following implementation of directions in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023.
3. Learned PO seeks time of 'One Week' to take instructions in this regard from office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' with respect to implementation of directions given in 'Para 3' of Order dated 16.02.2024.
4. S.O. to 11.03.2024.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

AGR

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date: 01.03.2024**

**O.A. No.298 of 2023 to O.A. No.301 of 2024**

**S.B. Mane**

**M.N. Jagtap**

**S.D. Jadhav**

**D.B. Chudappa**

**.....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**.....Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule & Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicants who are 'Police Inspectors' have been transferred by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' Order dated 26.02.2024 from establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pune City' to establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar'.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that these 'Police Inspectors' had recently been posted to 'Functional Department' and had not been transferred as they were not to be responsible for 'Security Arrangement' or Deployment of Police Forces' as per 'Para 5.3' of the 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2024. Further he emphasized that similar view as taken by 'M.A.T. Aurangabad Bench' in 'O.A. No.219 of 2024' and the Applicants therein have consequently been granted 'Interim Relief' on 27.02.2024.

4. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states the Applicants are not able to join on their new places of posting in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar' because of 'Interim Relief' granted by 'M.A.T. Aurangabad Bench' in 'O.A. No.219 of 2024' on 27.02.2024.

5. The implementation of guidelines in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023 read with clarifications dated 19.01.2024 & 24.01.2024 has now been completed in all respects following the 'Comprehensive Review' undertaken by 'Additional Chief Secretary' & 'Chief Election Officer, Maharashtra State' on

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
--	--------------------------



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

20.02.2024 and appropriate instructions issued thereupon to 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' by 'Additional Chief Secretary' & 'Chief Election Officer, Maharashtra State' on 22.02.2024.

6. The Transfer of Applicants have been done after completion of 'Comprehensive Review' on 20.02.2024 and brought into effect by revised Order of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' dated 26.02.2024. Therefore against this backdrop the request of Advocate for the Applicant for grant of 'Interim Relief' not accepted. The 4 O.A's may be placed for further hearing along with O.A. No.114/2024 & Others.

7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.03.2024.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

13. O.A. No.298/2024 to O.A. No.301/2024 is tagged along with Group of O.A. No.114/2024 & Others on 05.03.2024.

14. S.O. to 05.03.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
--	--------------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>01.03.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1111/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.M Rasal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant in person absent. Heard Ms Archana. B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Original Application stands dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Circulation,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders,  
Directions and Registrar's orders

**O.A.261/2024**

**R.M. Kale & Ors.**

**... Applicants**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri Yogesh Morbale, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for Applicants submits that 10 Inspectors working in State Reserve Police Force challenges the communication in DPC Memo dated 12.02.2024 and seek directions to Respondent Nos.1 & 2 not to promote candidates from the cadre of 'District Reserve Police Force' to the cadre of 'State Reserve Police Force' when there are no such 'Rules' in existence for the 'District Reserve Police Force'.

3. The learned Advocate for Applicants relies on 'Recruitment Rules' for the post of Assistant Commandant/Adjutant, dated 28<sup>th</sup> April, 1967 by promotion of a suitable Batalian Commander or Adjutant. He points out the Annexure which is the list of candidates from the zone of consideration to the post of Batalian Commandant/Adjutant.

4. The learned CPO on instructions submits that DPC is not yet scheduled as the Department is involved in collection of Data. The Respondents are directed to take into consideration and decide the objections raised by the Applicant.

5. Issue notice returnable on 07.03.2024.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<b>for Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>10. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 07<sup>th</sup> March, 2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd/-</i></p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 01.03.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd/-</i></p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 01.03.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

original Application No

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notice, Office Memorandum or Order,  
Appearances, Tribunal's orders,  
directions and Registrar's orders

**M.A.149/2024 in O.A.303/2024**

**S.M. Wagh & Ors.**

**... Applicants**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, leaned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

*skw*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member-A**  
**01.03.2024**

*skw*  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J)**  
**Chairperson**  
**01.03.2024**

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**PSRAB CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda, Orders,  
Appellate Tribunal's orders,  
Directions and Registrar's orders

**O.A.303/2024**

**S.M. Wagh & Ors.**

**... Applicants**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. The issue raised in this matter is if there is different stream for appointment to the promotional post of 'Matron', why Applicants are pushed down and not considered at all.
4. The learned Advocate for Applicants relies on 'Recruitment Rules' in respect of Non-Gazetted post of Assistant Matrons and Matrons dated 17.04.1973.
5. In this matter, even for passing 'Interim Order', we take time.
6. We make it clear that if at all appointment orders are issued to the post of 'Matrons' will be subject to outcome of this OA.
7. S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> March, 2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

*skw*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
01.03.2024

*sd/-*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
01.03.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda or Current  
Appearance, Tribunal's Orders, S.  
Directions and Registrar's orders

**M.A.135/2024 in O.A.261/2024**

**R.M. Kale & Ors. ... Applicants**  
**Vs. ... Respondents**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

1. Heard Shri Yogesh Morbale, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

*SKW*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member-A**  
**01.03.2024**

*SJK*  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J)**  
**Chairperson**  
**01.03.2024**

(skw)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Circumstances,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directives and Registrar's orders

\_\_\_\_\_

**O.A.166/2024**

**V.R. More** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO holding for Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.

2. Mr. R. Gote, Under Secretary, Tribal Development Department is present.

3. The learned CPO files Affidavit-in-Reply. It is taken on record.

4. S.O. to 06.03.2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

*skw*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
01.03.2024

*skw*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
01.03.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda or Orders,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**O.A.105/2024**

**Dr. V.V. Ingle** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, leaned Advocate for Applicant sent Leave Note. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. The learned CPO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.
3. S.O. to 18.03.2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

*sd/-*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
Member-A  
01.03.2024

*sd/-*  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J)**  
Chairperson  
01.03.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

MA/RA/C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Council,  
Appearances, Tribunal's orders &  
directions and Registrar's orders

**O.A.57/2024**

**B.G. Kadam** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant sent Leave Note. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. The learned CPO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.
3. S.O. to 11.03.2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

*Sd/-*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
Member-A  
01.03.2024

*Sd/-*  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J)**  
Chairperson  
01.03.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.171/2024 with O.A.204/2024**

**Dr. C.D. Bodhe & Anr.**

**Dr. P.N. Patil**

**... Applicants**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri A.J. Kandarkar, learned Advocate and Shri A.S. Reddy, learned Advocates for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for Applicants produces copy of 'Interim Order' of MAT, Aurangabad Bench in OA St.No.367/2024 (Dr. Truptin H. Mande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) directing that the Respondents shall not issue the order of appointment of the post on which the Applicant is working.

3. The learned CPO opposes to pass 'Interim Order' because the 'Interim Order' passed by MAT, Aurangabad is contrary to the order of Hon'ble Bombay High Court in PIL No.01/2024. She submits that Hon'ble Bombay High Court is monitoring the process of recruitment of 'Assistant Professors' in State of Maharashtra.

4. It is to be noted that in normal course, the order passed by other Benches of Tribunals is binding on us and even 'Interim Orders' also, judicial propriety requires to pass the similar orders, unless we decide the matter finally. However, in the present matter, the learned CPO has requested not to pass an order in view of specific orders passed by Hon'ble Bombay High Court in PIL No.01/2024.

5. We call upon learned CPO to furnish the material and make statement in Reply accordingly.

6. S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> March, 2024. We grant liberty to Applicants, if they are transferred in-between.

*sd/-*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
01.03.2024

*sd/-*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
01.03.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.185/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>S.R. Raul &amp; Ors. ... Applicants</b> <b>Vs. ... Respondents</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Madhuri Ayyapan, leaned Advocate holding for Shri Talekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. We call upon learned Advocate for Applicants to make written statement on Oath that whether Applicants appeared for Examination of Craft Instructors and whether they have passed or qualified. However, they are not considered and case of other Applicants in OA No.481/2023 and 1391/2023 are one and the same.</p> <p>3. It is made clear that no aation will be taken against these Applicants.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> March, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <span style="display: inline-block; text-align: center;"> <i>sd/-</i>  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member-A</b>  <b>01.03.2024</b> </span> <span style="display: inline-block; text-align: center; margin-left: 100px;"> <i>sd/-</i>  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b>  <b>01.03.2024</b> </span> </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

MA/R.A./C.A No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda, Circulars,  
Appeals, Orders, Directives, and  
directions and Registrar's orders

**O.A.186/2024**

**S.S. Deshmukh**

**... Applicant**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The Applicant is retiring on 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2024.
3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that documents were furnished to Applicant on 30.09.2022 as demanded. He submits that alleged misconduct is of 2016. He further submits that till today, no Enquiry Officer is appointed. On 21.08.2023, the Applicant gave reply.
4. The learned Advocate for Applicant therefore prays that DE Charge-sheet dated 10.06.2021 to be stayed on the ground of delay.
5. As Enquiry Officer is not yet appointed, the Principal Secretary of Medical Education & Drugs Department to take note of this fact.
6. Keep this matter on 11<sup>th</sup> March, 2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

*Sd/-*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
Member-A  
01.03.2024

*Sd/-*  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J)**  
Chairperson  
01.03.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 01.03.2024**

**O.A.No.1519/2023**

D.S. Kamtekar & Ors .....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle holding for Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to ~~05.04.2024~~ <sup>05.04.2024</sup> for filing reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 01.03.2024
	<p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1552 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>A. D. Mahakal</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh , learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. In present O.A., learned Counsel for Applicant challenges the suspension order dated 25.07.2023. However, today he produces the order dated 05.02.2024 wherein Applicant has been reinstated. Learned Counsel states that revocation of suspension was done after 90 days of suspension and hence he prays that applicant's suspension after 90 days till reinstatement needs to be set aside and it may be considered as regular duty period.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that applicant had not made any such prayer in O.A. She seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.03.2024.</p> <p align="right">sd/- ( Medha Gadgil ) Member (A)</p>

vsm

[P.T.O.]



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.140 of 2024</p> <p>K. V. Sane <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- ( Medha Gadgil ) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.03.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.80 of 2023 with O.A. No.81 of 2021 with O.A.632 of 2021 with O.A.No.713 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>Dr. J. K. Pagare &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri J. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for Applicants submits that these Original Applications be detagged from the group of O.A.Nos.424/2020 &amp; Other.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.03.2024.</p> <p align="right">sd/- <b>( Medha Gadgil ) Member (A)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 01.03.2024 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.170 of 2024
---	---

D. N. Tupekar ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. J. Kandarkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.03.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 18.03.2024.

sd/-

( Medha Gadgil )  
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 01.03.2024

O.A.No.262 of 2024

R. S. Zende

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.03.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 20.03.2024.

sd/-

( Medha Gadgil )  
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.03.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1424 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S. S. Ombase &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel has sent leave note.</li> <li>2. Smt. Archana B. K., learned PO holding for Ms. S. P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply. It is taken on record.</li> <li>4. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 12.03.2024.</li> </ol> <p align="right">sd/- <b>( Medha Gadgil ) Member (A)</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2024.</p> <p>M. A. No. 639 of 2023 In O.A.No.1110 of 2023</p> <p>S. D. Ulalkar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Darshana Kadkot holding for Shri V. B. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.03.2024.</p> <p>sd/- ( Medha Gadgil ) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 01.03.2024.**

**M. A. No.22 of 2024 In O.A.No.1610 of 2024**

**S. R. Mungekar** .....Applicant

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri R. L. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.03.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 15.03.2024.

sd/-

**( Medha Gadgil )  
Member (A)**



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2024.</p> <p align="center"><b>M. A. No. 52 of 2024 in M.A. No.53 of 2024 In O.A.No.1085 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>U.G. Joshi</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel is directed to serve the copy of Original Application in the office of LD. CPO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.03.2024.</p> <p align="right">sd/- <b>( Medha Gadgil ) Member (A)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2024.</p> <p>O.A.No.1565 of 2023</p> <p>P. W. Mechkar ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel has sent leave note.</li><li>2. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. is present and seeks time to file reply.</li><li>3. S.O. to 12.03.2024. Interim Relief to continue till next date.</li></ol> <p>sd/- ( Medha Gadgil ) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.03.2024.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.978 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.S. Pardule</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 20.03.2024.</p> <p>sd/- <b>( Medha Gadgil )</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 01.03.2024.

O.A.No.137 of 2022

V. S. Chougule

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel has sent leave note.
2. Smt. Archana B. K., learned P.O. is present.
3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.
4. S.O. to 12.04.2024.

sd/-

( Medha Gadgil )  
Member (A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1124 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>A. H. Ghadage</b> .....<b>Applicant</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri K. Upasani, learned Counsel for Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</li><li>2. Today, learned Counsel for Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</li><li>3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</li><li>4. S.O. to 28.03.2024.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- <b>( Medha Gadgil )</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1176 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>N. R. Bariya</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard M. B. Kadam holding for Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.03.2024.</p> <p>sd/- <b>( Medha Gadgil )</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1344 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>A. H. Ghadage</b> ....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Vaishali Jagdale holding for Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- ( Medha Gadgil ) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1448 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S. A. Walke ....Applicant</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri J. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</li><li>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</li><li>3. S.O. to 21.03.2024.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>sd/- ( Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.914, 921, 922, 947, 956 &amp; 1347 of 2023</p> <p>A. E. Kerure &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On request of learned Counsel for Applicants, the matters are adjourned to 15.03.2024.</li> <li>3. The responsible officers from the concerned department are directed to remain present on next date.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 15.03.2024.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">sd/- ( Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p>

vsm

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>01.03.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 840/2 017 with O.A 914, 915, 928, 768, 759, 799, 1067/2017</u></b></p> <p>P.V Patil &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant in O.A 840/2017. Heard Shri G.D Kurane, holding for Shri V.V Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A 915/2017 &amp; Ors and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that reply in these O.A are already filed and serve copy of the same on the learned counsel for the applicants. Learned P.O on instructions submit that the promotion orders dated 20.7.2023 have been issued by promoting the applicant from the post of A.S.I to P.S.I, except in case of applicants who have retired.</p> <p>4. We are informed that Mr V.V Joshi, learned counsel for the applicants is not keeping well and is not coming to the Tribunal since 2020 as he is not able to travel.</p> <p>5. Shri V.V Joshi, learned counsel for the applicants is hereby directed to make statement as to whether he is discharging from the matter or he is going to attend and argue the matter.</p> <p>6. Mr Kurane, learned counsel submits that in all these matters the office of the D.G.P has conducted the Departmental Examination for the year 2011 without preparing the select list and when the result was out the office of D.G.P has prepared the list as per the merit which cannot be done when it is a departmental examination and it was not a competitive examination. Learned counsel submitted that it was binding on the office of the D.G.P to maintain the seniority as per the seniority rules and date of appointment and then consider whether the candidates have cleared the examination they should have been given promotion to the post of P.S.I. Though the applicants have been granted promotion subsequently to the post of P.S.I and then to the post of P.I injustice is caused to them because they were entitled to those two promotions much earlier and they also seek deemed date of promotions</p> <p>7. S.O to 7.3.2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 5px;">Akkn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>OA Nos.205 to 207/2023</u></p> <p><b>V. Landge</b>  <b>V.M. Rajput</b>  <b>T.D. Barde</b>   ... Applicants  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b>   ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter involves the issue of 'Suspension' of 3 Government Servants. Therefore, matter was placed before Single Bench, as the subject of 'Suspension' was assigned to Single Bench. This matter was heard by Single Bench on 15.02.2024.</p> <p>3. Thereafter, 'Pursis' was made by learned Advocate for Applicant saying that the issue involves complicated question of law and therefore subject which is assigned before Single Bench can be entertained and decided by Division Bench of this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. We asked Registry to place the matter before DB without going much into the details about facts and law. Yesterday, this matter was placed before us i.e. Division Bench. However, learned CPO opposed the assignment of subject of 'Suspension' and only this matter before Division Bench.</p> <p>5. The learned Advocate for Applicants submits that since matter is of urgent nature as the code of conduct is likely to be declared and before that, the matter is to be heard.</p> <p>6. Yesterday evening, we have gone through the entire order and matter, we are of the view that it is not a case which is required to be taken by DB but the issue of 'Suspension' involving whatever question of law and facts can be dealt with by Member (J).</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

7. In view of this, we assigned this matter to Hon'ble Justice V.K. Jadhav, Member (J), MAT, Aurangabad. Hon'ble Member (J) will attend both the parties on Video Conferencing at 2.30 p.m. on 6<sup>th</sup> March, 2024.

8. "Interim Orders" to continue till then.

9. S.O. to 6<sup>th</sup> March, 2024.

*S/H*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
01.03.2024

*S/H*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
01.03.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date: 01.03.2024**

**M.A. No.142 of 2024 in O.A. No.288 of 2024 with  
M.A. No.143 of 2024 in O.A. No.289 of 2024 with  
M.A. No.144 of 2024 in O.A. No.284 of 2024 with  
M.A. No.145 of 2024 in O.A. No.285 of 2024 with  
M.A. No.146 of 2024 in O.A. No.287 of 2024**

**S.A. Sawale & Ors.,  
A.B. Dhame & Ors.,  
V.D. Kadbane & Anrs.,  
S.A. Jagtap & Ors.,  
S.S. Chavhan & Ors.,**

**.....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**.....Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule & Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicants by M.A. No.142 of 2024 in O.A. No.288 of 2024 with M.A. No.143 of 2024 in O.A. No.289 of 2024 with M.A. No.144 of 2024 in O.A. No.284 of 2024 with M.A. No.145 of 2024 in O.A. No.285 of 2024 with M.A. No.146 of 2024 in O.A. No.287 of 2024 are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in this M.A. No.142 of 2024 in O.A. No.288 of 2024 with M.A. No.143 of 2024 in O.A. No.289 of 2024 with M.A. No.144 of 2024 in O.A. No.284 of 2024 with M.A. No.145 of 2024 in O.A. No.285 of 2024 with M.A. No.146 of 2024 in O.A. No.287 of 2024, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. M.A. No.142 of 2024 in O.A. No.288 of 2024 with M.A. No.143 of 2024 in O.A. No.289 of 2024 with M.A. No.144 of 2024 in O.A. No.284 of 2024 with M.A. No.145 of 2024 in O.A. No.285 of 2024 with M.A. No.146 of 2024 in O.A. No.287 of 2024 are accordingly disposed off.

Sd/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.03.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.283 of 2023 to O.A. No.292 of 2024</p> <p>P.S. Gaikwad V.D. Kadbane &amp; Anrs., S.A. Jagtap &amp; Ors., R.U. Gumane S.S. Chavhan &amp; Ors., S.A. Sawale &amp; Ors., A.B. Dhame &amp; Ors., S.T. Sakore D.D. Dabhade D.S. Jamdade .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad &amp; Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that all Applicants are working on the post of 'P.S.I.' and 'A.P.I.' in the establishments of (i) 'Commissioner of Police, Pune' and (ii) 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that Applicants have been transferred to other 'Police Establishments' only on ground of 'Pune District' being their 'Home District' as per directions in 'Para 3.1' of 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon contends that several other 'P.S.I.' and 'A.P.I.' have been retained in establishments of (i) 'Commissioner of Police, Pune' and (ii) 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad' although 'Pune District' is their 'Home District'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Learned P.O. seeks time to reconfirm about this contention made by learned Advocate for Applicants that Order dated 26.02.2024 of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' does not exclude any 'P.S.I.'s' though serving in 'Pune District' who have not been transferred on grounds of 'Home District' as per directions in 'Para 3.1.' read with 'Para 5.3(i)' of 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023.</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that as it is bound to take time for learned P.O. to obtain information from (i) 'Commissioner of Police, Pune' and (ii) 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad' therefore Applicants who have been transferred by Orders dated 26.02.2024 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' should not be directed to report immediately to their places of postings in new 'Police Establishments'.</p> <p>7. The only exemption made for Police Officers in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023 is in respect of 'P.S.I.'s'. The 'P.S.I.'s' who have completed 3 years out of last 4 years are to be posted out of 'Police Sub-Division' which does not fall in the same 'AC' but are not required to be posted out of 'Revenue District'. However 'Para 5.3 (i)' makes no exception for 'Sub Police Inspectors' who are posted in their 'Home District'.</p> <p>8. The (i) 'Commissioner of Police, Pune' and (ii) 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad' therefore to forthwith furnish information about contentions recorded in 'Para 4' above by 'Next Date'. Till such time (i) 'Commissioner of Police, Pune' and (ii) 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad' are informed not to relieve the Applicants if they have not been relieved and if they are already relieved; then not to insist that Applicants immediately join in new 'Police Establishments'.</p> <p>9. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.03.2024.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>13. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>14. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>15. S.O. to 04.03.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 01.03.2024

O.A. No.1035 of 2023

J.P. Dhole .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.
2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer is present on behalf of Respondents.
3. Learned PO seeks adjournment to 21.03.2024.
4. S.O. to 21.03.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 01.03.2024

O.A. No.1562 of 2023

D.B. Tamhane & Ors., .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.
2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad learned Presenting Officer is present on behalf of Respondent.
3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
4. S.O. to 14.03.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 01.03.2024

O.A. No.870 of 2023

S.S. Umap .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.
2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer is present on behalf of Respondent.
3. S.O. to 12.03.2024.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.03.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1350 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>T.B. Jadhav</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Tambe, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri R.A. Agashe, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.03.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">AGR</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.03.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.367 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>N.B. Patil</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.M. Misal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO accordingly seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of both Respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Time as prayed for is granted to the learned PO.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states if required he will file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' after 'Affidavit-in-Reply' is filed on behalf of both Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.03.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-    <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.03.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1083 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. J.B. Mokal .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer is present on behalf of Respondens.</p> <p>3. Learned PO files 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No. 2 attaching 'File Notings' and copies of the modified 'CSB'-'Minutes of Meeting' held on 17.05.2023. She further states that the copy would be forwarded to the learned Advocate for Applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.03.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.03 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>S.D. Lande</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. presents 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed by 'Director General of Police, Maharashtra State'. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that he requires 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.03.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>01.03.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 136/2024 in O.A 928/2023</u></b></p> <p>Y.V Bhise &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C with Shri Kaustub Gidh, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the selection process of the Talathis in all the District is scrutinized and done by the TCS, the organization who conducted the examination. She therefore seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 5.3.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>01.03.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1592/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.M Birambole ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel prays for interim relief that the Respondents be directed to provide copy of roster for Physically Handicapped Persons for the post of Craft Instructors and keep one post of Craft Instructor vacant. Learned counsel submits that he is pressing for relief clause 10(a) &amp; (c) of the interim relief.</p> <p>“10(a) Provide a copy of the Roster for physically Handicapped Persons for the post of Craft Instructors.</p> <p>(c) Appointment to the post of Craft Instructor to be subject to the outcome of this case.</p> <p>3. As the hearing of this Original Application will take longer time, hence we grant interim relief as per clause 10(a) &amp; (c) and make it clear that appointment if any made will be subject to the outcome of this Original Application.</p> <p>4. S.O to 15.3.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>01.03.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 612/2023</u></b></p> <p>A.S Khade &amp; Orss ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere with Shri R.V Shinde, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants were appointed as Multi-Purpose Health Workers on 24.2.2022 and 3.3.2022. Apprehending the issuance of the termination order the applicants have approached this Tribunal and this Tribunal by order dated 25.5.2023 has protected their services and therefore the applicants have continued in service on the basis of the said order.</p> <p>3. As we have considered the issue finally and the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the applicants and the Respondents and the Respondents have expressed their inclination to verify the documents of all the Multi-Purpose Workers in the State of Maharashtra as per second Circular dated 12.1.2022.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O on instructions from Ms Valzade, Under Secretary, Public Health Department has submitted letter dated 29.2.2024, wherein it is stated that for verification of documents of Multi-Purpose Health Workers in all 36 Districts in Maharashtra, as per Circular dated 12.1.2022, the Department will require minimum 8 weeks' time.</p> <p>5. The submissions of learned P.O is accepted. We direct the Respondents to reverify the documents of the applicants as per Circular dated 12.1.2022 and then decide the issue. The Respondents are granted eight weeks' time to take final decision in the matter.</p> <p>6. In view of the above the Original Applications stand disposed of with directions that as by way interim protections the services of the applicants are continued, they are not to be disturbed till their documents are verified as per second Circular dated 12.1.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>01.03.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 483, 894 &amp; 896/2023</u></b></p> <p>M.S Jaybhaye &amp; Ors B.P Survase D.M Pawar ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere with Shri R.V Shinde, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In these matters the applicants are not appointed as Multi-Purpose Health Workers.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O on instructions from Ms Valzade, Under Secretary, Public Health Department has submitted letter dated 29.2.2024, wherein it is stated that for verification of documents of Multi-Purpose Health Workers in all 36 Districts in Maharashtra, as per Circular dated 12.1.2022, the Department will require minimum 8 weeks' time.</p> <p>4. The submissions of learned P.O is accepted. We direct the Respondents to reverfy the documents of the applicants as per Circular dated 12.1.2022 and then decide the issue. The Respondents are granted eight weeks' time to take final decision in the matter.</p> <p>5. With the above directions, the Original Applications stand disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>kn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>01.03.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1239/2023</u></b></p> <p>B.D Khobgrade &amp; Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter was already fixed on 15.3.2024. However, on the request of learned counsel for the applicant, matter is taken on Board today.</p> <p>3. Shri Pradip B. Pol, Dy Commissioner and Shri Pradeep B. Desai, Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Development Department are present.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits on instructions that they have prepared provisional select list for promotion from the post of Primary Teacher to Secondary Teacher has been prepared and objections are being called. Learned P.O further seek two weeks' time to consider the objections and constitute the meeting of the D.P.C.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, matter adjourned to 19.3.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>01.03.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 967/2023</u></b></p> <p>M.B Magar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the Respondent, Settlement Commissioner has requested time.</p> <p>3. We give last chance to the Respondents to take final decision in the matter.</p> <p>4. S.O to 15.3.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>01.03.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 159/2023</u></b></p> <p>Dr A.K Jagtap ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter was already adjourned to 10.4.2024. However, on request of learned counsel for the applicant, matter is taken on Board today.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the matter is regarding pay scale and the issue is covered by the judgment of this Tribunal dated 4.1.2002 in O.A 469/2012 and subsequently the Respondent-State has issued G.R on 3.1.2024.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits that the case of the applicant is somewhat different to the case of the applicant in O.A 469/2012. The applicant has faced departmental enquiry and also the punishment. Therefore, his pay scale was fixed in a different manner.</p> <p>5. However, it appears that the issue basically is about the pay scale. If the applicant is similarly situated like the applicant in O.A 469/2012, then he is required to be placed from Group-B to Group-A and thereafter his pay should be fixed accordingly.</p> <p>6. We direct the Respondents to take necessary steps so that the matter can be disposed of on the next date.</p> <p>7. S.O to 21.3.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>01.03.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 877/2017</u></b></p> <p>S.P Singh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We have gone through the affidavit in reply of Dr Pratima P. Gedam, Assistant Resident Commissioner in the office of Resident Commissioner. She has stated that letter regarding roster point to be applied for promotion and appointment is sent to G.A.D on 26.9.2003.</p> <p>3. We would like to have clarification as to whether G.A.D has given approval to the roster point for promotion to the posts in question.</p> <p>4. S.O to 6.3.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 01.03.2024**

**M.A.No.134/2024 in O.A.No.410/2023**

S.B. Kumavat & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Talekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. M.A. is filed seeking interim relief in O.A.

3. Learned Counsel has submitted that Respondents have issued advertisement dated 10.05.2023 for 873 posts of Laboratory Assistant Technicians and 83 posts of Blood Bank Technicians. In the present case, 83 applicants have already crossed the upper age limit hence, they could not appear for the examination as they all are age barred. These Applicants have been working since last 17 to 18 years on adhoc basis. Respondents have declared Select list of 873 + 83 candidates on 20.02.2024. Learned Counsel has submitted that now the candidates who are selected are going to be appointed in the place of the Applicants and the Applicants will be removed from the job so they seek protection of their job.

4. Learned Counsel has placed reliance on the order dated 16.01.2024 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Aurangabad Bench wherein the Hon'ble High Court has directed the contractual engagement of the Petitioner shall not be continued till returnable date or to be replaced by contractual employees.

5. Learned P.O. has pointed out that by order dated 15.09.2023 this Tribunal has already granted Interim protection to the Applicants. In view of this order Applicants shall not be removed until they are given notice of seven days. Learned P.O. further seeks time to file reply. He states that within couple of weeks Respondents are not going to issue show cause notice to the Applicants.

6. Adjourned to 15.03.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 01.03.2024**

**O.A.No.1578/2023**

J.S. Bansode .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.

3 Applicant states that even on last occasion time was granted to P.O. to file reply.

4. Time granted to learned P.O. by way of last chance to file reply.

5. Adjourned to 11.03.2024.

Sd/-  
**(Medha Gadgil)**  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
Chairperson

prk

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 01.03.2024**

**O.A.No.1375/2023**

S.P. Pujari .....Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 08.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 01.03.2024**

**O.A.No.1371/2023**

C.N. Pisal .....Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Applicant in person is absent.
3. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 15.03.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 01.03.2024**

**O.A.No.1345/2023**

S.J. Pawar & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. C.K. Bhangoji, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned Counsel Mr. Bhangoji has submitted that pursuant to order dated 02.02.2024 regularization orders are issued.

3. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 11.03.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 01.03.2024**

**O.A.No.1286/2023**

J.N. Gosavi .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 15.03.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 01.03.2024**

**O.A.No.1202/2023**

S.M. Chavan .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.
3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 05.04.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 01.03.2024**

**O.A.No.990/2023**

A.H. Nautiyal .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Shyamsundar Solanke holding for M/S. P.N.P. & Assoc., learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. In view that on previous date last chance was granted to learned C.P.O. to file reply and the same is not filed till date, no further time will be granted for filing reply. Matter to proceed without reply.

3. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.

4. Adjourned to 15.03.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 01.03.2024**

**O.A.No.1539/2023**

Dr. A.S. Birhade & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. J.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned Counsel Mr. Deshmukh has submitted that the issue challenged in the present O.A. is already decided by the M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad in O.A.No.1/2015 by order dated 01.03.2023, Dr. Balaji Gyanoba Phalke Versus State of Maharashtra & Ors..
3. Learned P.O. is directed to consider the order dated 01.03.2023 and accordingly file affidavit.
4. Adjourned to 21.03.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.795/2023</b></p> <p>Dr. V.V. Waghmode &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. J.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Mr. Deshmukh has submitted that the issue challenged in the present O.A. is already decided by the M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad in O.A.No.1/2015 by order dated 01.03.2023, Dr. Balaji Gyanoba Phalke Versus State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors..</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to consider the order dated 01.03.2023 and accordingly file affidavit.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 21.03.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 01.03.2024**

**O.A.No.772/2023**

K.R. Kamble .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief  
Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Counsel for the  
Applicant is absent.

3. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to  
28.03.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 01.03.2024**

**O.A.No.350/2023**

N.K. Patil ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Mr. S.K. Hande, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.

3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 05.04.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 01.03.2024**

**M.A.No.597/2023 in O.A.No.1141/2023**

S.C. Chougule .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. A. Misal, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.

2. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed leave note.

3. Reply is filed by learned C.P.O. and Private Respondent No.4.

4. Adjourned to 12.03.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 01.03.2024**

**C.A.No.29/2024 in O.A.No.985/2023**

A.R. Shinde & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.
3. Adjourned to 05.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 01.03.2024**

**O.A.No.1443/2023**

V.K. Aher

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant present in person.
2. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has leave note.
3. O.A. though adjourned to 11.06.2024 taken up at the request of Applicant.
4. In view that Applicant is due to retire on 31.03.2024. O.A. is preponed and adjourned to 07.03.2024. H.O.B.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 01.03.2024**

**O.A.No.820/2017**

G.H. Dharade .....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Mr. D.W. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.
3. Learned P.O. produces photo copy of death certificate of the Applicant. It be taken on record and quote Exhibit-A. She has submitted that Applicant expired on 12.06.2020.
4. Learned Counsel is absent today and also on previous dates. No Legal Heirs have approached the Tribunal to sue the matter further.
5. In view of this we dispose off this O.A. Nothing remains in this matter. It abates. O.A. stands disposed off.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 01.03.2024**

**C.A.No.110/2023 in O.A.No.553/2022**

Dr. R.R. Patil .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. J.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that on 08.11.2023 the cabinet has taken decision to give the benefit to the Applicant. Learned P.O. seeks time as the file of the Applicant is now pending with the Hon'ble Minister (Health) since 23.02.2024.

3. Adjourned to 21.03.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 01.03.2024**

**C.A.No.17/2023 in O.A.No.50/2019**

V.T. Jadhav

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Sunil Chavan holding for Mr. S.N. Rathod, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 15.03.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 01.03.2024**

**C.A.No.45/2022 in O.A.No.65/2020**

S.P. Kapdne

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Mr. S.B. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.
3. In view that learned Counsel did not appear even on earlier dates, matter to be shown under the caption 'For Dismissal' on the next date.
4. Adjourned to 05.04.2024. To be shown under the caption 'For Dismissal'.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 01.03.2024**

**O.A.No.625/2021**

K.D. Binner & Ors. ...Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. O.A. though adjourned to 19.03.2024 taken up at the request of learned Counsel Mr. Jagdale.

3. Learned Counsel has produced Chart. It be taken on record and mark as Exhibit-A for identification. Copy be served to the concern.

4. Adjourned to 11.03.2024 P.H.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.957/2017</b></p> <p>A.M. Khan .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 11.03.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>