

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 1133 of 2019</p> <p>A.J. Dange .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that during the pendency of O.A., disciplinary authority has passed order in the month of July 2019 thereby treating period of suspension as such, and therefore he want to amend O.A. so as to challenge the said order and to modify prayer Clause and pleadings suitably.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to take necessary steps.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.03.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.37 of 2022</b></p> <p>M.P. Nagare .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.L. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Mancheker, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SPEAKING TO MINUTES</p> <p>2. In order dated 28.02.2022 the interim relief granted by order dated 25.02.2022 is not mentioned. Hence, the following sentence to be added to the order dated 28.02.2022 :</p> <p style="text-align: center;">"Interim relief to be continued till next date."</p> <p>Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Member(A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.03.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.919 of 2015</b></p> <p>S.T. Khade .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 07.03.2022 for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="margin-left: 200px;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="margin-left: 150px;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member(A) <span style="margin-left: 150px;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>01.03.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 129/2015 in O.A 142 with 143 &amp; 144/2015</u></b></p> <p>Dr S.S Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.P Nlavade, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that on 18.2.2022, Dr Govind Y. Khati, Director, Ayush Division, Mumbai wrote letter to the Joint Director, Accounts and Treasuries, Aurangabad and Dean, Government Ayurvedic College, Nanded, informing the serious condition of the applicant and requested that the service book of the applicant is to be updated immediately so that the authority can disburse the amount to him at the earliest.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O is directed to contact Mr Uttarmrao Sonkamble, Joint Director, Accounts &amp; Treasuries, Aurangabad and inform the progress in the matter on 3.3.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O to 3.3.2022 first on Board.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="right"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.03.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1133 of 2018 with M.A.No.63 of 2021</b>  <b>With</b>  <b>O.A.No.339 of 2021</b></p> <p>B.A. Kale (O.A.1133/2018 with M.A.63/2021)  A.S. Shah &amp; Ors. (O.A.339/2021) ....Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Registry to take note that name of Mr. L.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel appearing for Respondents No.39 and 251 be shown in the appearance. The learned Counsel for Private Respondent No.8 in O.A.No.339/2021 to file vakalatnama during the course of the day. Copy to be served to all the concerned parties.</p> <p>3. Party in-person Respondent No.4 submits that learned Advocate Mr. Solanki appearing for Respondents No.4 to 38 is from Aurangabad.</p> <p>4. Mr. L.S. Deshmukh is appearing for Respondents No.39 and 251 request for time to file affidavit.</p> <p>5. Time granted till 16.03.2022.</p> <p>6. For filing rejoinder if any, we further grant one week time till 23.03.2022 and we take up the matter in the last week to March. We would like to adhere to the timetable which is now given as there is interim relief running against the Respondents.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 16.03.2022 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span>  Member(A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>01.03.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 561/2021 in O.A 988/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri C.S Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks condonation of delay of four years and four months in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>3. The applicant was working as District Superintendent Agriculture Officer, retired on 31.5.2015. He was granted only provisional pension as Departmental Enquiry was pending against him since 2017. The charge sheet was issued on 10.8.2017. However, according to the learned counsel for the applicant, the charge sheet was not served on the applicant till 1.9.2021.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits that since the applicant has changed his address they were unable to serve copy of the charge sheet to the applicant.</p> <p>5. On perusal of the record, we find that the charge sheet could not be served as the applicant has changed his address.</p> <p>6. In view of the above, we condone the delay of four years and four months in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>7. Misc Application is allowed. Respondents are directed to file reply to the Original Application on the point as to whether the D.E fit under Rule 27 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982. In the meanwhile, the Respondents are directed not to proceed with the enquiry.</p> <p>8. O.A No. 988/2021 to be placed on Board on 23.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha/Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20


I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1017 of 2021</u></p> <p>C.N. Gawand ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.3.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.3.2022 1.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>01.03.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 186/2022</u></b></p> <p>Ms Aparna A. Khedekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O on instructions from Shri Manoj S. Koshti, Office Superintendent, Desk-IX (Bharti Branch), has drawn attention to clause 16.2.1 of the advertisement dated 3.9.2021, for appointment to the post of Constable. As per the said clause the candidate is required to produce Non Creamy Layer Certificate of the preceding years. Thus in view of the advertisement dated 3.9.2019, the Certificate of Income for the period 1.4.2018 to 31.3.2019 is required to be produced by the candidate, as it is the preceding year.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the Certificate which was issued on 25.10.2016 for the three years till 31.3.2019 and it is to be treated as valid upto 31.3.2019. Learned counsel for the applicant pointed out to the impugned order dated 28.1.2022 issued by Shri Shyam Gughe, Member, Mumbai Police Constable Recruitment 2019. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that as per the requirement in the advertisement the applicant has produced a valid Certificate of Non Creamy Layer till 31.3.2019 and that being Certificate of Income of the preceding year, the same is to be accepted.</p> <p>4. We have gone through the order dated 28.1.2022. In view of the submissions of the learned P.O, we understand that the Certificate of the preceding year of Income is 1.4.2018 till 31.3.2019. In the order, the last paragraph of the order dated 28.1.2022 is not happily and properly worded. We understand the reason for rejection of the case of the applicant on the basis of clause 16.2.1 of the advertisement.</p> <p>5. It is necessary for the candidates to produce the Non Creamy Layer Certificate, considering the actual income of the preceding year. It is difficult for us to accept that in the year 2016, the issuing authority had knowledge of what is going to be the actual income of the candidate for the period 1.4.2018 to 31.3.2019.</p> <p>6. No prima facie case is made out for grant of interim relief. Hence interim relief is rejected.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>8. S.O to 22.3.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/- </span></p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.71 of 2022 in O.A. No.120 of 2022</u></p> <p>N.B. Dhaygude ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 28.3.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.3.2022 1.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.54 of 2021 in O.A. No.313 of 2020</u></p> <p>N.L. Thade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Prabhunue, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 &amp; 2 and Shri Aditya Kharkar holding for Shri Uday Warunjikar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for respondent no.3 submits that in the Hon'ble High Court their writ petition is scheduled on 9.3.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.3.2022</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.416 of 2021</u></p> <p>J.W. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.3.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.3.2022 1.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.417 &amp; 418 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.V. Walimbe P.M. Jakhadi Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p align="right">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.4.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.3.2022</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.523 of 2021</u>
	<p>A.C. Gosavi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.G. to 12.4.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p>
	<p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Gadhgil) Member (A) 1.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>
	<p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.3.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.845 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.T. Bandri ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces order of the Hon'ble High Court. Bench at Aurangabad dated 7.12.2021 in W.P. No.1485 of 2020 where similar issue was involved.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO is directed to take instructions on the basis of order passed by the Hon'ble High Court and verify whether the issue is same and applicable to the present case.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.3.2022</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.647 of 2021</u></p> <p>J.U. Shenoy ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Admit.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 19.4.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Meha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.3.2022 1.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.497/2020</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri H.P. Waghela</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Yajuvendra Singh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant stands retired on 30.06.2018 from the post of Senior Clerk. After retirement, recovery of Rs.5,55,765/- was sought on account of wrong release of increments from 2006. It is only after retirement, Pay Verification Unit raised objection. The Applicant did not pass Marathi Language Examinations in terms of Marathi Language Examination Rules, 1987 (hereinafter referred to as 'Examination Rules of 1987'). The Applicant being aggrieved by the order of recovery of Rs.5,55,765/- by order dated 27.11.2018 lodged complaint with the Office of Lokayukta, Maharashtra State, Mumbai. Thereon, the Government by order dated 28.06.2019 cancelled the order dated 27.11.2018 for recovery of Rs.5,55,765/-.</li> <li>3. In view of incorrect release of increments, the Department revised Applicant's pay by withdrawing increments released earlier wrongly and passed fresh order dated 30.10.2018, which is challenged in this O.A.</li> <li>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant during hearing pointed out that Respondent No.3 - Director, Medical Education and Research has <del>only</del> sent proposal to Respondent No.2 - Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department on 11.01.2019 for exemption from passing Higher Standard Marathi Language Examination as a special case in terms of 'Examination Rules of 1987'. However, no decision is taken till date.</li> <li>5. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that file is with Finance Department and appropriate orders on the proposal will be passed within reasonable time.</li> <li>6. In terms of 'Examination Rules of 1987', the Government is empowered to relax the conditions of Rules under special circumstances in such manner as just and reasonable.</li> <li>7. In view of learned Advocate for the Applicant stated that O.A. be disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.2 to pass appropriate order on proposal dated 11.01.2019 forwarded by Respondent No.3 within reasonable time with liberty to challenge the decision, if he feels aggrieved for the same.</li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

8. Learned P.O. submits that reasonable time be granted for taking appropriate decision.

9. For the aforesaid reasons, O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.2 to decide the proposal dated 11.01.2019 forwarded by Respondent No.2 within a month from today and in accordance to Rules and the decision, as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant.

10. If Applicant feels aggrieved by the order, he may avail further legal remedy, as may be permissible in law.

11. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
01.03.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>M. A. No.42 of 2022 in O.A.No.75 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>S. K. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to condone the delay of 5 months and 19 days caused in filing O.A. which is filed for appointment on compassionate ground.</p> <p>3. Though enough time is granted, no reply is filed to M.A.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that due to Covid-10 Pandemic Situation, the Applicant could not file O.A. within the period of limitation of one year. He, therefore, prayed to condone the delay so as to decide the O.A. on merit.</p> <p>5. In O.A., the Applicant has challenged the order dated 05.08.2020 whereby the claim for appointment on compassionate ground is rejected. The limitation of one year expired in Covid-19 Pandemic Situation. Therefore, it appears that the Applicant was prevented from filing O.A. due to Covid-19 Pandemic Situation.</p> <p>6. Hence, I am inclined to condone the delay of 5 months and 19 days so as to decide the O.A. on merit.</p> <p>7. In view of above, M.A. is allowed with no order as to cost.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> [P.T.O. Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 01.03.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.648 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>M.M. Thakur</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  vvv  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.03.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.75 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>S. K. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This O.A. has been filed along with M.A.No.42/2022 for condonation of delay.</li> <li>3. Today, the Tribunal allowed M.A.No.42/2022 and condone the delay.</li> <li>4. Since the Respondents are already appeared through learned P.O. in M.A.No.42/2022, the notices of O.A. are dispensed with.</li> <li>5. Learned P.O. shall file Affidavit in Reply to O.A. within four weeks.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 16.03.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.562/2021</u></p> <p><b>Shri J.N. Shaikh</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule holding for Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Since time is over, the matter is adjourned and it be kept on day after tomorrow i.e. on 03.03.2022 as Part Heard.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 01.03.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 01.03.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O. A. No. 1059 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>M.G. Pathan</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing learned P.O. requested to adjourn the matter stating that she wants to confirm from the Department as to whether Department wants to file Sur-Rejoinder to the Affidavit-in-Rejoinder filed by the Applicant on 16.09.2021. She further stated that she has written letter twice to the Department on 23.09.2021 and 26.10.2021 for filing Sur-Rejoinder, if any.</li> <li>3. Thus, it is explicit that despite correspondence by learned P.O. to the Department, there is no response to her letters meaning thereby Department does not want to file Sur-Rejoinder.</li> <li>4. Indeed, more than 5 months time is over from the date of filing Additional Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. Therefore, now question of adjournment for filing Sur-Rejoinder does not survives. O.A. is of 2009 and deserves to be heard expeditiously.</li> <li>5. During the course of hearing it is transpired that the Applicant is challenging order dated 05.08.2019 thereby imposing punishment of withholding of one increment without cumulative effect and treating the period from 27.12.2014 to 26.02.2017 as Extra Ordinary Leave without filing appeal against the said order before appellate authority. Admittedly, the impugned order is appealable in terms of M.C.S. (Discipline &amp; Appeal) Rules, 1979.</li> </ol> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. When Tribunal has raised specific query to learned Advocate for the Applicant as to how O.A. is maintainable without filing appeal, He sought permission to withdraw O.A. with liberty to file appeal before appellate authority.</p> <p>7. In view of above, the Applicant is allowed to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file appeal before appellate authority.</p> <p>8. Since, period of limitation for challenging the impugned order is over the Applicant is required to file appeal with Application for condonation of delay. The appellate authority should consider the aspect of delay since the Applicant was pursuing the proceeding of this O.A. bonafide. Therefore, appellate authority may consider to condone the delay, and thereafter to decide the appeal on its own merit.</p> <p>9. The Applicant shall file appeal along with application for condonation of delay before appellate authority within 4 weeks from today, and if such proceedings are filed it be decided on its own merit within 3 months from the date of receipt of appeal.</p> <p>10. If no appeal is filed within 4 weeks time as stipulated above, the challenge to the impugned order of punishment would come to an end.</p> <p>11. O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>12. Steno Copy granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>M. A. No.115 of 2022 in O.A.No.269 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>P. G. Sali ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri Balraj Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</li> <li>2. This M.A. is filed to recall the order dated 01.02.2022 whereby O.A. was ordered to proceed without reply.</li> <li>3. In O.A.No.269/2021, enough chances were granted for filing reply but the same was not filed. Therefore, the matter proceeded without reply.</li> <li>4. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit in Reply is ready and he be permitted to file the same by recalling the order dated 01.02.2022.</li> <li>5. In view of above, the order dated 01.02.2022 passed in O.A. No.269/2021 is recalled and Affidavit in Reply filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 3 is taken on record.</li> <li>6. In view of above, M.A.115/2022 is disposed of without any cost.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.72 of 2022 with O.A.No.73 of 2022</p> <p>V. H. Patil R. H. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule &amp; Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. These matters pertain to grant of retiral benefits to Vanmajur.</li> <li>3. Perusal of record reveals that the Respondent No.3- Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial) had already forwarded the proposal dated 23.03.2021 to Respondent No.2- Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Nagpur wherein he recommended for grant of retiral benefits. However, no decision was taken on the said proposal, and therefore, these O.As are filed.</li> <li>4. On last date, notice was issued to Respondents to apprise the Tribunal about the decision on proposal dated 23.03.2021. However, learned P.O. submits that she has not received any instructions.</li> <li>5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that since the proposal is already pending, O.A. be disposed of with suitable directions.</li> <li>6. Learned P.O. also fairly concedes.</li> <li>7. In view of above, these O.As are disposed of with direction to Respondents to ensure that the decision on proposal dated 23.03.2021 is taken within two months from today and the decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicants.</li> <li>8. If the Applicants felt aggrieved by the decision, they may avail further legal remedy in accordance to law.</li> <li>9. No order as to costs. Sd/-</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.269 of 2021</p> <p>P. G. Sali <span style="float:right">.... Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">.....Respondents</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Balraj Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer, learned for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, in view of order passed in M.A.No.115/2022, learned P.O. is allowed to file reply. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 23.03.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.566 of 2021 in O.A.No.994 of 2021</p> <p>V. G. Kolekar <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. T. Suryawanshi holding for Smt. Prachi H. Hendre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two week time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.602 of 2021 in O.A.No.1061 of 2021</p> <p>B G. Karde <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.165 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>A. U. Pawar</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 13.08.2020 passed by the Government whereby the period of suspension from 11.01.2008 to 16.02.2011 has been treated 'suspension period' as such with further clarification that the decision will be reviewed after the conclusion of departmental proceeding initiated against him.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.03.2022.</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 15.03.2022. <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 974 of 2021</p> <p>S. B. Zaware <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shantanu Raktate, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.990 of 2021</p> <p>C. V. Potdar <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of most last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1022 of 2021</p> <p>U. K. Anvekar &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Ghule, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1063 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>B. V. Chaugule</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yogesh Murbale holding for Shri Sangvikar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.20 of 2022</b></p> <p>J. R. Chhapriya <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 01.03.2022

O.A.No.40 of 2022

N. L. Paithankar .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 28.12.2020 thereby rejected the claim for grant of deemed date of promotion.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.03.2022.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 29.03.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 01.03.2022

O.A.No.50 of 2022

M. A. Kamble .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This O.A. is filed by widow of the deceased Government servant namely Arjun Kamble who died in harness on 11.06.2019.
3. In O.A., the Applicant has challenged the communication dated 13.12.2021 whereby directions were given to recover sum of Rs.5,93,853/- from gratuity of the deceased
4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the amount is already recovered.
5. ~~In the meantime~~, Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.03.2022
6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
11. S.O. to 29.03.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.121 of 2022</p> <p>S. C. Kharade <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghatge holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- vsm (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.719 of 2021</p> <p>U. S. Sorate <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit of Shri Nitin Kareer, Addl. Chief Secretary (Revenue), Mantralaya, Mumbai as well as Affidavit of Shri Prakash Indalkar, Under Secretary (Revenue), Revenue &amp; Forest Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai in terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 24.02.2022. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is already Part-Heard, however, on request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, it is adjourned to 07.03.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2022</p> <p align="center">R. A. No.01 of 2022 in O.A.No.328 of 2021</p> <p>P. A. Bodekar <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply to R.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>ysm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 01.03.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M. A. No.534 of 2021 in O.A.No.949 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>K. V. Koli</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A.. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of most last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.03.2022 with specific direction that no further time will be given to file reply.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.132 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. K. K. Patankar <span style="float: right;">...Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has filed present O.A. to count his service period from 11.11.2003 to 28.09.2007 for all service benefits and the claim is placed on the decisions rendered by this Tribunal in O.A.No.43/2018 , decided on 06.03.2020 as well as O.A.No.367/2020 disposed of on 16.03.2021.</li> <li>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the orders passed in these O.As have been implemented and Applicant being exactly similarly situated person is entitled to the relief claimed in O.A. The Applicant had also made representation dated 28.01.2022 to the Respondents which is not responded.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.03.2022.</li> <li>5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p>	<p>8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p>
<p>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p>	<p>10. S.O. to 29.03.2022.</p>
<p>11. In the meantime, the Respondents are directed to consider the representation dated 28.01.2022 made by the Applicant and to pass appropriate orders in the light of earlier decisions rendered by this Tribunal.</p>	<p>12. Learned P.O. should apprise the Tribunal about the decision of Government by next date.</p>
vsm	<p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>