

01.03.021

O.A 708/2020

Smt Sadhana Gulhane ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Shriya Gune, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned, for the Respondents.

2. Today during hearing learned Presenting Officer files affidavit in reply by Urmila Ashok Galande, Deputy Superintendent of Land Records, Maval, dated 22.2.2021 and copy of the same has been taken on record and given to learned advocate for the applicant.

3. Learned Presenting Officer, on instructions from Urmila Ashok Galande, Deputy Superintendent of Land Records, Maval, submits that the Respondents are considering in allowing the applicant to join her duty.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks two weeks' time to file rejoinder. Meanwhile, the Respondents are at liberty to take action as deem fit as per provisions of law and inform about the same on the next date.

5. S.O to 15.3.2021.

Sd/-
(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 01.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.375 of 2020</p> <p>A.B. AnandkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 25.03.2021 for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.03.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.792 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. D.B. BamaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. 792/2019 was disposed of by order dated 22.12.2020 whereby in terms of Clause 'D' of operative order directions were given to the Principal Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai to cause enquiry into the matter and to fix the responsibility upon person responsible for delay in issuance of necessary order and shall recover the amount now payable to the Applicant from them in accordance to law.</p> <p>3. Time was granted for filing compliance report upto 22.02.2021. However, on 22.02.2021 no compliance was submitted and therefore Show Cause Notice was issued as to why Suo-Motu contempt proceeding should not be initiated against him.</p> <p>4. Today, Dr. M. Neelima Kerketta, Principal Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai has filed Affidavit along with order passed by the Government dated 22.02.2021. It is taken on record.</p> <p>5. It appears that in terms of the directions given by the Tribunal, Government by order dated 22.02.2021 asked Director Medical Services, Mumbai to make enquiry and to submit proposal to the Government after identifying the person responsible for negligence in the matter. However, no time limit is given so as to complete the direction in later and spirit.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. In view of above, Principal Secretary Public health Department is directed to monitor the enquiry and to ensure completion of enquiry and to submit final report in the Tribunal within a month from today.

7. Adjourned to 01.04.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.272 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. Kalyan DeshpandeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted as a last chance for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.03.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.Nos.512 to 517 of 2020 with O.A. No.533 of 2020 with O.A.No.535 of 2020</p> <p>D. M. Ghodake & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder in each case. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Respondent Nos.1 and 3 have not filed reply till date. Hence, the matters to proceed without reply.</p> <p>4. The matters are adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 23.03.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.Nos.689, 690, 691, 692 & 693 of 2020</p> <p>A.M. Kharade & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Sur-Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.03.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No. _____

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 01.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.05 of 2021</p> <p>A. D. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.03.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.636 of 2020</p> <p>S. S. PathanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record. 3. Learned P.O. has also filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. 4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 23.03.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.635 of 2020</p> <p>V.V. KhuleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt.Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, the matter is fixed for filing Rejoinder. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that she does not want to file Rejoinder. 4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 23.03.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 01.03.2021

M.A. No.87 of 2021 in O.A. No.161 of 2021

S.R. KoliApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In this M.A. Applicants are seeking leave to Sue Jointly. Indeed they are legal heirs of the deceased employee and have filed the present O.A. for retrial benefits and ex-gratia issued in terms of G.R. dated 29.05.2020.
3. Hence allowed to Sue Jointly.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.161 of 2021 with M.A. No.87 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. KoliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed claiming benefits in terms of G.R. dated 29.05.2020 which <i>inter-alia</i> provides for grant of insurance cover/ ex-gratia to the Government servant in case of death on account of COVID-19 Pandemic situation during the course of COVID-19 related duties.</p> <p>3. Perusal of O.A. reveals that the deceased Applicant Smt. Shantilal R. Koli was A.S.I. attached to N.R.I. Police Station and died on 21.09.2020 due to COVID-19. After his death, his legal representative made representation for grant of benefits in terms of G.R. dated 29.05.2020.</p> <p>4. This matter was taken up in the morning session and it was noticed that the benefits of G.R. are not extended to legal heirs of the deceased Government servant. Learned P.O. was asked to take instructions as to why the benefits are not released.</p> <p>5. In afternoon session, learned P.O. on instructions states that the proposal received from Respondent No.1 - Commissioner of Police in this behalf has been rejected by Respondent No.2 - Director General of Police on the ground that it does not fulfill requisite criteria of G.R. dated 29.05.2020.</p> <p>6. It appears that there is no such communication of rejection of proposal to the Applicant.</p> <p>7. In view of above, Reply of the Respondents is necessary.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.03.2021.</p> <p>9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>12. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>13. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>14. S.O. to 16.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.03.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.347 of 2020 in M.A. No.348 of 2020 in O.A. No.61 of 2020</p> <p>N.D. BhosaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. 61/2020 was filed challenging punishment. By order dated 25.02.2020 notice was issued before admission and returnable date was 24.03.2020 and in terms of order dated 25.02.2020 Applicant was required to collect notice within three days from the date of issuance of order and to file Affidavit-in-Service before three days of returnable date.</p> <p>3. In present case Applicant collected notice and served upon Respondent but couldn't file Affidavit and therefore O.A. was dismissed in default.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that in view of COVID-19 Pandemic situation lockdown was declared on 14.03.2020 and therefore Applicant couldn't take steps of filing Affidavit. He has further pointed out that the order of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo-Moto Writ Petition (Civil) No.3 of 2020, whereby directions were given that that period of lockdown should be excluded from the period of limitation and limitation will start running 15 days after lockdown is lifted in respective states. He further submits that due to COVID-19 Pandemic situation delay is to be condoned and O.A needs to be restored.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Learned P.O. opposed the delay on the ground that delay is not properly explained.</p> <p>6. There is no denying that the lockdown in Maharashtra was declared on 14.03.2020. Thereafter, from June un-locking started in phase wise manner. However, till date lockdown is not completely lifted.</p> <p>7. In view of above, I am satisfied that there is sufficient cause to condone the delay and O.A. is to be restored.</p> <p>8. M.A. 347/2020 & M.A.348/2020 are allowed and disposed with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 01.03.2021

O.A. No.61 of 2020

N.D. BhosaleApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This O.A. was dismissed in default and is today restored in view of order passed in M.A. No.347/2020 and M.A. No.348/2020.
3. On request of learned P.O. time is granted for filing Reply.
4. S.O. to 15.03.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date: 01.03.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.534 of 2020</p> <p>M.V. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. In the morning session on request of learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K., matter was kept in afternoon session, since learned C.P.O. was busy in other court.</p> <p>3. In afternoon session when the matter was called learned P.O. asked to keep the matter at 03.30 for argument of learned C.P.O. However, when matter was again taken at 03.45 p.m. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad submits that C.P.O. is still busy in another court and requested to adjourn the matter for tomorrow.</p> <p>4. Matter is in-fact heard much earlier but it was adjourned for filing Sur-Rejoinder which was accordingly filed late on.</p> <p>5. As the^{o-} last chance matter is adjourned for tomorrow with specific direction with no further time will be granted.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 02.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.223 of 2002

Smt. Draupadi D. Jagtap ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.

2. This Tribunal has taken up a special drive of clearing backlog and old pending cases in the Tribunal. Registry has placed this matter on board. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Ld. CPO are allowed to take photocopy of the OA to construct the brief.

3. In this OA the applicant a Group D employee working in B.J. Medical College, Pune has prayed that her half of the ad hoc services for the period from 1984 to 1991 is to be counted for the purpose of qualifying service for pension and the respondents be directed to pay the pensionary benefits accordingly. Her prayer is based on the judgment and order dated 30.4.1992 passed by the Industrial Court, Pune in Complaint (ULP) No.579 of 1991 filed by Sarva Mazdoor Sangh under MRTU & PULP Act, 1971. The said judgment and order was challenged before the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.3416 of 1992 and thereafter the writ petition was pending before the Hon'ble High Court. The Division Bench of this Tribunal by order dated 6.2.2006 adjourned the matter sine die with liberty to mention. However, nobody has mentioned this matter till now.

4. This OA was presented by Ld. Advocate Ms. Neelima Gohad, who is now Ld. Presenting Officer in this Tribunal.

5. It is to be noted that pursuant to the judgment of the Industrial Court the applicant was made permanent in 1993 and she retired after attaining the age of 60 years in 2002.

6. Time is granted to the Ld. PO to report as to what is the status of the writ petition wherein the order of the Industrial Court is challenged.

7. S.O. to 4.3.2021. High on board.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
1.3.2021

(sgj)



Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
1.3.2021

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

01.03.021

O.A 464/2020 with M.A 214/2020 in O.A 99/2020

K. Suryakrishnamurthy
B.G Phatangare ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Akilesh Dubey for the applicants in O.A 464/2020, Shri Vagish Mishra and Shri S. Rajput, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 99/2020, Shri R.S Apte, learned Special Counsel with Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents, Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for Respondents no. 4 to 10 in O.A 464/2020 and Shri B.S Shinde, learned advocate for Respondent no. 4 in O.A 99/2020.

2. During today's hearing, learned Special Counsel for the Respondents produced a communication dated 31.12.2020 by which the final seniority list of Deputy Collectors from 1.1.1999 to 31.12.2003 as on 1.1.2004 has been published. Copy of the same is taken on record and marked as Exh.1. The copy of the said final seniority list is served upon the learned advocate for the applicants.

3. Learned Special counsel for the Respondents also furnished copy of order dated 10.2.2021 in W.P 2612/2021, passed by Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Aurangabad Bench. The order mentions status as on today to be maintained. Learned Special counsel further submits that he may be granted adjournment for making a statement of the impact of the final seniority list on the earlier orders dated 2.9.2020 and 14.8.2019, whereby ad hoc promotions were granted.

4. By consent, adjourned to 5.4.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

R.A. No.20 of 2018 in O.A. No.99 of 2011

Jaydev Y. Gangawane ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant is absent.

2. This Tribunal has taken up a special drive of clearing backlog and old pending cases in the Tribunal. Registry has placed this matter on board. Applicant and Ld. PO are allowed to take photocopy of the documents to construct the brief.

3. The applicant a Police Constable has moved this Tribunal challenging the order dated 21.4.1998 and prayed to quash and set aside the same and also the seniority of the applicant as considered since 22.5.1986 be considered for the post of PSI. The said matter was decided by judgment and order dated 5.10.2018 by this Tribunal and it was dismissed.

4. In the above RA following order was passed on 15.1.2019:

"2. Applicant states that he wants to approach Hon'ble High Court challenging the order passed by this Tribunal in MA No.641/2018 and he would argue the RA thereafter.


3. In view of request of party in person hearing of RA is adjourned sine die."

However, nobody has mentioned this matter till now.

5. Applicant is not present. Keep the matter for dismissal.

6. S.O. to 8.3.2021 for dismissal.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
1.3.2021

Sd/- 
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
1.3.2021

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.846 of 2004

Balasaheb B. Patil & 4 Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This Tribunal has taken up a special drive of clearing backlog and old pending cases in the Tribunal. Registry has placed this matter on board. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Ld. CPO are allowed to take photocopy of the OA to construct the brief.

3. The applicants in this OA have prayed that Section 5 of the Maharashtra State Public Services (Reservation for Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, De-notified Tribes (Vimukta Jatis), Nomadic Tribes, Special Backward Category and Other Backward Classes) Act, 2001 be declared as illegal, unconstitutional, null and void.

4. The Division Bench of this Tribunal by order dated 20.10.2005 adjourned this OA sine die with liberty to mention. However, nobody has mentioned this matter till now.

5. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks time.

6. S.O. to 4.3.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
1.3.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
1.3.2021

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.169 of 2004 in O.A. No.846 of 2003

Smt. Vijaya A. Pawar ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This Tribunal has taken up a special drive of clearing backlog and old pending cases in the Tribunal. Registry has placed this matter on board. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Ld. CPO are allowed to take photocopy of the OA to construct the brief.

3. This CA is filed by the applicant for non implementation of the judgment and order dated 22.7.2004 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.846 of 2003 (OA No.406/2001-Aurangabad). The applicant has sought direction against the Social Welfare Department to prepare and publish fresh seniority list of the cadre of Social Welfare Officer, Class-I as per Recruitment Rules of 1979 and prayed for consequential benefits accordingly. By order dated 22.7.2004 the Tribunal has quashed and set aside the final seniority list dated 2.2.2002 and respondents were directed to prepare and publish final seniority list within three months from the date of order. However, it was not done by that time. Therefore, by order dated 3.12.2004 the Tribunal extended the time by one month. However, it was not complied with and therefore this CA was filed. The order in the OA was challenged before the Hon'ble High Court and it was pending and therefore the Division Bench of this Tribunal by order dated 21.10.2005 adjourned this CA sine die with liberty to mention. However, nobody has mentioned this matter till now.

4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant and Ld. PO to communicate the decision of the Hon'ble High Court within two days.

5. S.O. to 4.3.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
1.3.2021

(sgj)



Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhakar, J.)
Chairperson
1.3.2021

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.41 of 2016 in O.A. No.1225 of 2010

Dr. Suresh C. Gupta ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.

2. This Tribunal has taken up a special drive of clearing backlog and old pending cases in the Tribunal. Registry has placed this matter on board. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Ld. CPO are allowed to take photocopy of the documents to construct the brief.

3. The applicant a Joint Director of Health Services is seeking deemed date of promotion as Additional Director of Health Services. The OA was partly allowed by order dated 6.2.2015 by the Division Bench. For implementation of the said order, CA was filed.

4. By order dated 12.8.2016 in CA No.41 of 2016 this Tribunal has directed the Principal Secretary, Public Health and Family Welfare Department to pay a fine of Rs.25,000/- from personal account. The Principal Secretary has challenged the said order in W.P. No.14135 of 2016 in Hon'ble High Court.

5. This Tribunal by order dated 7.9.2017 has adjourned this matter sine die. However, nobody has mentioned this matter till now.

6. S.O. to 4.3.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
1.3.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
1.3.2021

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.132 of 2005

Shri Vithal B. Halijwale @ Hajam ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.

2. This Tribunal has taken up a special drive of clearing backlog and old pending cases in the Tribunal. Registry has placed this matter on board. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant, Ld. CPO & Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.3 are allowed to take photocopy of the OA to construct the brief.

3. The applicant who is working in Revenue & Forest Department prays that the letter issued by respondent no.2 dated 7.12.2004 be quashed and set aside and the applicant be appointed as Kotwal of Village Bugadikatte, Taluka Gadhinglaj, District Kolhapur. Ld. CPO points out that respondents have filed reply on 11.4.2005. Private Respondent No.3 has filed reply on 12.7.2005.

4. Ld. CPO points out that the name of the applicant was not considered since he has scored less mark than the selected candidate and he faced accusations of misbehavior with young girls of Village Bugadikatte, Taluka Gadhinglaj, District Kolhapur. His father who was Kotwal earlier has to leave village because of this incident.

5. On perusal of the earlier orders it transpires that Writ Petitions No.231/2005 and 469/2005 were filed in the Hon'ble High Court on similar issue and therefore by order dated 17.11.2005 OA was adjourned sine die with liberty to mention. However, nobody has mentioned this matter till now.

6. Ld. CPO after going through the record submits that respondent no.3 the selected candidate in 2005 was 21 years old and therefore he must be in service.

7. Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.3 and Ld. CPO to inform the development in the writ petition and also Ld. CPO to verify whether respondent no.3 is working as of today.

8. S.O. to 4.3.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
1.3.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
1.3.2021

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

01.03.021

O.A 754/2020

Shri B.S Pawar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, holding for Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned, for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant presses for directions as per prayer clause 9(b) and seeks directions to complete the enquiry within a period of 3 months as the same has been initiated in the year 2015 and the applicant has retired on 31.5.2019.

3. Learned P.O seeks two weeks' time for filing reply. Meanwhile, the Respondents are directed to clarify the legal impediment, if any, in considering the prayer at 9(b) and completing the D.E expeditiously.

4. S.O to 15.3.2021.

Sd/-

**(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)**

Akn

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.148 of 2006</u></p> <p>Lahanu N. Kangane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Tribunal has taken up a special drive of clearing backlog and old pending cases in the Tribunal. Registry has placed this matter on board. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Ld. CPO are allowed to take photocopy of the OA to construct the brief.</p> <p>3. The applicant challenges the seniority list dated 14.12.2005 and prays that respondent no.1 to refix the seniority of Assistant taking into consideration the PRTE and SSE Rules, 1977. The respondents have filed reply on 27.6.2006. Rejoinder is filed on 30.11.2006 and sur-rejoinder on 21.3.2007.</p> <p>4. This matter was admitted and is pending for final hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 5.3.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 1.3.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.3.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.354 of 2009 in O.A. No.55 of 2009

Damodar V. Vispute ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This Tribunal has taken up a special drive of clearing backlog and old pending cases in the Tribunal. Registry has placed this matter on board. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Ld. CPO are allowed to take photocopy of the OA to construct the brief.

3. This MA is for condoning the delay in filing OA and still pending since 8.12.2009. The applicant a PSI has sought directions that the applicant be given deemed date of seniority w.e.f. 30.4.2001 like others. The Division Bench on 8.12.2009 has passed the following order:

"OA No.399/2007 was decided by this Tribunal on the same issue. A Writ Petition is pending before the Hon'ble High Court against that order. Hence, this OA be kept sine die, with permission to move the Court at the appropriate time."

However, nobody has mentioned this matter till now.

4. Ld. CPO relying on the affidavit in reply dated 20.2.2009 filed by Shri Chandrakant T. Kotre, Desk Officer in the office of DGP on behalf of respondent no.2 submits that another OA No.563 of 2008 is of similar nature and therefore the State has adopted the affidavit in reply filed this OA. Hence, Ld. CPO is given time to find out the status of OA No.563 of 2008.

5. S.O. to 5.3.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
1.3.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
1.3.2021

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>01.03.2021</u></p> <p><u>M.A 96/2021 in O.A 177/2021</u></p> <p>J.M Mulani & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Datta Mane, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

01.03.2021

O.A 177/2021

J.M Mulani & Others ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Datta Mane, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. The applicants working as Assistant Police Inspectors, prays that the Respondents be directed to implement the G.R dated 18.2.2021 and also to disclose the total number of vacant posts category wise.
3. Learned C.P.O to answer whether the order dated 23.2.2021 of promotion is in consonance with the G.R dated 18.2.2021.
4. Learned counsel for the applicants seeks to amend the prayer (a) during the course of the day. Permission granted.
5. Issue notice returnable on 8.3.2021.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O to 8.3.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 01.03.2021

O.A.No.97 of 2018

P.Y. Shirke ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned Counsel submits that the Applicant was earlier convicted under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 by order dated 31.01.2017 by the Session Judge in A.C.B. Special Case No.1/2014. The Applicant was dismissed and that dismissal order dated 19.01.2018 is challenged by the Applicant in this O.A.

3. The learned Counsel submits that the applicant was dismissed pursuant to the order of conviction. However, now during the pendency of this O.A., the Hon'ble Bombay High Court by its order dated 09.02.2021 has set aside the conviction and the applicant is acquitted. The Applicant has made representation dated 15.02.2021 requesting for his reinstatement in service which is yet to be decided. The learned Counsel submits that Applicant is due to retired on 31.05.2021. Copy of the representation dated 15.02.2021 is taken on record and marked as Exhibit-A.

4. Adjourned to 30.03.2021 for seeking instructions.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>01.03.2021</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1073/2019</u></p> <p>Shri M.G Kale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant has filed affidavit in rejoinder on 20.1.2020.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. Place for final hearing on 29.4.2021.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.180 of 2020</p> <p>R. B. Dhaktode & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short affidavit in reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2 and 3 along with latest decision dated 18.02.2021. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.03.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.349 of 2020</p> <p>V. A. LalbigeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. 3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 22.03.2021. <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">Sd/- v* (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.34 of 2021 in O.A.No.55 of 2021</p> <p>S. B. Savlekar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. R. Johsi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Shri Akshay Giri, learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.3 and 4.</p> <p>2. Two weeks time is granted for filing reply to Mis. Application.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.03.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.17 of 2021</p> <p>S. D. SawneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Pawar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 27.09.2019 whereby he is suspended invoking Section 9(d) of Maharashtra Village Police Act, 1967 which <i>inter alia</i> provides punishment of one year suspension in the matter of misconduct on the part of Police Patil. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that the suspension should not exceed more than one year in terms of aforesaid provisions of Maharashtra Police Act, and therefore, the Applicant is liable to be continued on the post of Police Patil. He prayed for interim relief to stay the implementation of order dated 27.09.2019. 4. Since the period of more than one year is over and order is already implemented, the question of stay now does not arise. 5. The issue is how the Applicant's suspension can be continued for more than one year and whether he can be reposted on the post of Police Patil once he is subjected to penalty in the form of suspension. 6. The issue of limitation is also involved. Hence, I am not inclined to grant any interim relief. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.03.2021.</p> <p>8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 30.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2021</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.173 of 2020 in O.A.No.308 of 2020</p> <p>S. M. AgawaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This O.A. is filed for grant of pay and allowances as well as DCPS amount to which the Applicant is entitled on his resignation. During the pendency of this matter, pay and allowances are disbursed and payment of DCPS amount only remained. 3. Learned P.O. submits that the bill of DCPS is prepared and submitted to Treasury office and the same will be paid within a week. 4. As such in view of above, M.A. as well as O.A. can be disposed of with certain directions since the DCPS amount is being paid within a week. 5. M.A. and O.A. are disposed of with direction to Respondent to ensure the payment of DCPS to Applicant within two weeks from today. 6. In so far as the claim of interest is concerned, the Applicant is at liberty to make representation and then to avail further legal remedy in that behalf. 7. No order as to costs. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.189 of 2020</p> <p>S. A. WarangApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed additional affidavit of the Applicant to explain certain situation in respect of review pending before the Government. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the issue of grant of pay and allowances for out of service period is already subjudice in R.A. pending before the Government, therefore, requested to adjourn the matter till the decision of R.A. 4. S.O. to 19.04.2021. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 353 of 2020</p> <p>P. D. Kokare ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer with Smt. K. M. Kotkar, Law Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he wants to file certain documents on record along with additional affidavit which according to him are necessary for the appropriate decision of O.A. 3. Learned Counsel is ready to file additional affidavit during the course of the day. 4. The copy of affidavit and documents be supplied to learned P.O. during the course of the day. 5. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 6. S.O. to 02.03.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

01.03.2021

O.A 131/2021


Smt Medha J. Kadam ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O, for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O seeks one weeks' time to inform about the instructions as mentioned in the order dated 22.2.2021.

3. S.O to 15.3.2021.

Sd/-
(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/- 
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

01.03.2021

O.A 277/2020


Dr S.B Chavan ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O, for the Respondents.
2. As the orders regarding appointment are expected to be issued shortly, learned C.P.O, seeks time.
3. Adjourned to 8.3.2021 for intimating the progress in the matter.

Sd/-
(P.N¹ Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/- 
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

01.03.2021

O.A 101/2020

Shri S.B Sabale ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O holding for Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply as instructions have been received.

3. S.O to 22.3.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 01.03.2021

O.A.No.469 of 2012 with O.A.No.682 of 2013 with O.A.No.879 of 2015

Dr. A.R. Tarale & Ors. (O.A.469/2012)
Dr. M.B. Deollikar & Ors. (O.A.682/2013)
Dr. G.P. Wadekar & Ors. (O.A.879/2015)... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.469/2012, Shri Rahul B. Khot, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.879/2015 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None appeared for the Applicants in O.A.NO.682/2013.

2. In O.A.No.469/2012 the Applicants seek relief of his Pay and Allowances in the Grade Pay of Rs.5,400/- in Pay Band of Rs.15600-39100 w.e.f. June 2011. The learned Counsel points out that the Technical Committee was constituted on 17.11.2018 to decide this issue and Technical Committee has recommended that these applicants are to be considered in Group A for Grade Pay. The learned Counsel submits that the said recommendation is yet to be accepted by the Government and decision on it is pending, so the file is to be routed through the General Administration Department and Finance Department.

3. Nobody is representing the Applicants in O.A.No.682/2013 and name of learned Advocate Shri J.S. Deshmukh from Aurangabad is seen on record. However, he is not present.

4. In these matters, reply is already filed and thereafter the technical committee has taken decision. The Grade Pay is the policy decision of the Government and therefore the learned P.O. is called upon to submit the short affidavit in respect of such decision, stay of the policy and policy decision. However, it is to be noted that the matter is pending since, 2012, 2013 and 2015.

5. We are inclined to hear the matters in the third week of April, 2021, so time granted to file short affidavit is till 23.03.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson