

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.83 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. P.L. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 1.3.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.2.2022 1.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1055 of 2021 with O.A. No.64 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.S. Mhaske &amp; Ors. (OA.1055/2021) B.B. Shelake (OA.64/2022) ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicants and their Advocates are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that reply will be filed during the course of the day in OA No.1055 of 2021. She seeks time to file reply in OA No.64 of 2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.2.2022.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.2.2022</td> <td align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.2.2022</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.2.2022	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.2.2022
Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.2.2022	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.2.2022		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.72 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.D. Shevare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files short affidavit and the same is taken on record wherein it is mentioned that applicant has already been considered for promotion and the cadre allotment procedure is also done and only posting orders are to be issued.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.2.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.800 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.V. Birkale &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Aagam Doshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.3.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.2.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.2.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.79 of 2019</u></p> <p>N.S. Kshirsagar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.3.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  1.2.2022  (sgj) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  1.2.2022 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.510 of 2021 in O.A. No.261 of 2020</u></p> <p>B.A. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant challenges summary dismissal of the applicant under Article 311 of the Constitution of India on the ground that his appointing authority is Director General of Police (DGP) and not Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad Police Commissionerate and therefore Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad is not the competent authority to issue his dismissal order. He states that dismissal order should have been issued by the DGP.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO states that Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad is equivalent to Additional DGP and therefore he is competent to issue order of dismissal. She seeks one week's time to produce documents to show equivalence.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8.2.2022.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(Medha Gadgil)</td> <td align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Member (A)</td> <td align="center">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">1.2.2022</td> <td align="center">1.2.2022</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)	Member (A)	Chairperson	1.2.2022	1.2.2022
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)								
Member (A)	Chairperson								
1.2.2022	1.2.2022								

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.54 of 2021 in O.A. No.313 of 2020</u> <u>(Through Video Conferencing)</u></p> <p>N.L. Thade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Prabhunue, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conferencing, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 &amp; 2 and Shri Sumit Kate holding for Shri Uday Warunjikar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for respondent no.3 states that they have filed W.P. St. No.2853 of 2022 in the Hon'ble High Court. Respondent no.3 files Vakalatanama and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.3.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.2.2022 1.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.158 of 2021</u> <u>(Through Video Conferencing)</u></p> <p>M.M. Dawane &amp; 13 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants through Video Conferencing and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant No.1-Shri Mahavir Marappa Dawane and Applicant No.3-Shri Smit Ramesh Chavan are personally present in the Court.</p> <p>3. All the 14 applicants have filed a Praecipe dated 31.1.2022 withdrawing Vakalatnama of Shri Gunratan Sadavarte, Ld. Advocate for the applicants and permission to engage another lawyer. Praecipe dated 31.1.2022 is taken on record and marked Exhibit '1' for identification.</p> <p>4. Shri Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants states through Video Conferencing that he has no objection.</p> <p>5. Vakalatnama of Shri Gunratan Sadavarte, Ld. Advocate is withdrawn from the above matter. Applicants are permitted to engage another lawyer.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 28.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 1.2.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 1.2.2022</p>




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.81 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. S.M. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.2.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  1.2.2022  (sgj) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/-   (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  1.2.2022 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>01.02.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 400/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.B Jadhav &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for the applicants has filed leave note. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 &amp; 2 and Mr U.V Bhosle, learned counsel for Respondents no 3 to 6.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O produces copy of letter dated 31.1.2022 from Mr Bharat Langi, Deputy Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, which is taken on record. Learned C.P.O submits that the Respondents require six months' time to give promotion to the applicants.</p> <p>3. We express our disapproval for such a long time because the fire has taken placed 9 years back and in these nine years no efforts were taken by the Respondents to update the files and it is not acceptable.</p> <p>4. Hence, we direct the Joint Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai to remain present and file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>5. S.O to 8.2.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.868 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.R. Wakde &amp; 24 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces copy of order dated 25.1.2022 issued by respondent no.2 granting time bound promotion to the applicants.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate submits that applicants are demanding interest and cost of OA.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO to make statement.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 25.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.2.2022 1.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.569 of 2021 in R.A. No.11 of 2021 in O.A. No.509 of 2020</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus M.A. Damale (Org. Appl.) .....Resps.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</li> <li>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay caused in filing R.A.</li> <li>3. This Tribunal has decided O.A. No.509/2020 by order dated 17.08.2021. Impugned communication dated 03.03.2020 was quashed and direction were given to the Respondents to consider the claim of the Applicant for appointment on compassionate ground on Group 'C' post subject to fulfillment of eligibility criteria in accordance to law. This exercise was to be completed within two months from the date of order.</li> <li>4. However, Review Application is filed on 24.11.2021 along with M.A. for condonation of delay.</li> <li>5. In M.A. for condonation of delay it is stated that delay is 7 days which is apparently incorrect. Learned P.O. was asked how he calculated 7 days delay, he fairly concedes that he counted delay excluding 2 months time granted by the Tribunal for compliance of the order.</li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Two months granted by the Tribunal was for compliance of the order and if review was to be filed it should have been filed within 30 days from the date of order. As such, there is delay of more than 2 months in filing R.A.</p> <p>7. However, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant fairly concedes that instead of making amendment in M.A. for condonation of delay, M.A. be allowed as it is and R.A. be heard on merit.</p> <p>8. In view of statement made by learned Advocate in M.A. No.569/2021 for condonation of delay is allowed with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.No.897/2021**

**Shri D.M. Patil** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> February, 2022.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
**Member-J**  
**01.02.2022**

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.No.260/2021</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri M.M. Mahale</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> February, 2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- " <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>01.02.2022</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>O.A.No.112/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri R.G. Galande                      ... Applicant</b> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.                      ... Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 31.01.2022 whereby he is transferred from the post of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Khadki Division, Pune to Assistant Commissioner of Police, Establishment (Headquarter), Commissioner Office, Pune and Respondent No.2 is posted in his place.</p> <p>3. Perusal of record reveals that Applicant was posted as Assistant Commissioner of Police, Khadki Division, Pune by order dated 10.10.2020. As such, he has not completed normal tenure. There is no reference in impugned order dated 31.01.2022 about recommendation of PEB.</p> <p>4. It is on the above background, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought interim relief or protection till filing of reply.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. opposed interim relief stating that there is internal shifting within Commissionerate and it does not amount to transfer in the eye of law.</p> <p>6. <i>Prima-facie</i>, the Applicant has been displaced from the post of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Khadki Division which was given to him by order dated 10.10.2020. There is no reference of PEB in order dated 31.01.2022. As such, <i>prima-facie</i>, the Applicant seems to have been transferred in contravention of provisions of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005.</p> <p>7. In view of above, the execution of order dated 31.01.2022 is stayed till filing of reply.</p> <p>8. Issue notices to Respondents returnable on 15.02.2022.</p> <p>9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>11. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>12. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>13. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>14. S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> February, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 01.02.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.No.773/2021</u></p> <p><b>Dr. S.G. Kadam</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3<sup>rd</sup> March, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member-J 01.02.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.No.662/2021</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri M.B. Patil</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> February, 2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>01.02.2022</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.02.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.194 of 2021 with O.A. No.287 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. A.S. Bendre Dr. P.N. Kakade .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.Y.Ukey, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant time is granted till 18.02.2022 for filing of Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. This O.A. be kept along with O.A. No.287/2020 for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.02.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.738 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>C.V. Patil .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2015. He filed this O.A. on 10.08.2018 for direction to release gratuity.</p> <p>3. Apparently, O.A. is not filed within limitation. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought to <sup>prove</sup> <del>contain</del> that the Applicant made representation on 01.05.2016, and therefore he was waiting for decision.</p> <p>4. Even if, representation is not decided, the Applicant was required to file O.A. within a period of limitation and if there is delay it should have been filed along with M.A. for condonation of delay.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought two weeks time to file M.A. for Condonation of delay.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 15.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-    <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.02.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.762 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>K.J. Patki</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. sought permission to tender Additional Affidavit so as to place on record one document (notification dated 24.09.2013) which was remaining to be Annexed to the Affidavit-in-Reply though referred in Index.</p> <p>3. Allowed to filed documents which was remaining to Annex to the Affidavit-in-Reply. She is also allowed to record notification dated 23.06.2014 which is rendered during the course of arguments.</p> <p>4. Arguments heard.</p> <p align="right">A Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 01.02.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.84 of 2022 (FOR SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES)</b></p> <p><b>S.A. Mulla</b> .....Applicant Versus <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is taken on board by circulation for speaking to the minutes so as to correct typographical mistake in para 2 of order dated 31.01.2022.</p> <p>3. In last sentence of para 2 it is stated he "Applicant is due to retire in March 2022". Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant is due to retire in March 2024 and not March 2022. He therefore requested to correct Typographical mistake.</p> <p>4. Last sentence in para 2 should be corrected as "He is due to retire in March 2024".</p> <p>5. Necessary correction be made in order dated 31.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.02.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O. A. No.42 of 2022 with O. A. No.58 of 2022 with O. A. No.59 of 2022 with O.A. No.77 of 2022</b></p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is taken on today's board for speaking to the minutes to correct para 3 of order dated 31.01.2022, which is as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">"3. As per the Affidavit filed by the Director General of Police, minutes of P.E.B.-2 was sent for approval by circulation to prominent Members of P.E.B.-2. but the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai and Secretary, Home Department (Appeal and Security) did not sign it."</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has pointed out that in Affidavit filed by the Director General of Police there is no such mention that the minutes of P.E.B. were sent to Secretary, Home Department (Appeal &amp; Security), and therefore facts to that effect in para 3 needs to be corrected.</p> <p>4. As per the Affidavit it appears that minutes of P.E.B. were sent to the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai for approval, he did not sign it. There is no mention in Affidavit that minutes of P.E.B. was sent to Secretary, Home Department (Appeal &amp; Security). If it is so, then really it is very surprising that minutes were not sent to one of the prominent Member namely to Secretary, Home Department (Appeal &amp; Security). These aspects will be considered at the appropriate stage in detail.</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Insofar as speaking to the minutes is concerned, para 3 be corrected and be read as under:-</p> <p>“As per the Affidavit filed by the Director General of Police, minutes of P.E.B.-2 was sent for approval by circulation to prominent Members of P.E.B.-2 but the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai did not sign it.”</p> <p>6. Necessary correction in order dated 31.12.2021 be made.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 01.02.2022
	<p align="center"><b>M.A. No.594 of 2021 in O.A. No.1050 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.M. Padwal</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Enough time was granted to amend O.A. or to file fresh O.A. but no steps is taken.</li> <li>3. Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for learned Advocate, Shri S.S. Dere states that she wants to withdraw M.A. with liberty to file fresh, detail and correct M.A.</li> <li>4. Allowed to withdraw M.A. No.594/2021 as prayed for.</li> <li>5. Accordingly M.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</li> <li>6. Applicant is at liberty to file fresh and proper M.A. and it should be filed within a week. If the same is filed, it be listed before Tribunal along with O.A. No.1050/2021 for admission. If no such M.A. is filed within 7 days O.A. No.1050/2021 shall stands disposed of without reference to the Tribunal.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- _____  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.No.904/2021**

**Shri K.N. Shinganathe** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This O.A. has filed for direction to Respondent – Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to accept her request for transfer from Mumbai to Amravati in terms of regulations dated 26.10.2017 which inter-alia provides for transfer after completion of 8 years' service. The Applicant has completed 9 years in Mumbai and seeking transfer to Amravati on the ground that her husband is staying at Amravati. She made various representations, but C.P, Mumbai did not respond it. Ultimately, she has filed the present O.A.
3. When notices were issued, directions were issued to Respondent – C.P, Mumbai to take decision and to appraise the Tribunal on next date i.e. on 16.12.2021. thereafter also, the matter was adjourned from time to time to know the decision taken by PEB on the representations of the Applicant.
4. This matter is now being heard at 4.15 p.m., the learned P.O. raised grievance against C.P, Mumbai Office stating that the Office of C.P, Mumbai is not responding to her calls though she has made frequent calls to Law Officer. According to her, she made 8 calls to Law Officer namely Shilpa Bazi. However, she is not taking the phone. The learned P.O, therefore, submits that she is helpless on account of indifferent attitude of Law Officer.
5. It is really disgusting to note that Office of C.P, Mumbai is not responding to the phone calls of P.O. nor filing Affidavit-in-reply though enough time is granted.
6. The learned P.O. further submits that she will talk to C.P, Mumbai directly on phone and will appraise the Tribunal within 10 minutes. She is also directed to instruct C.P, Mumbai to depute some responsible Officer of the Office to remain present in the Tribunal on tomorrow.
6. This O.A. along with connected O.A.Nos.26/2022, 68/2022 and 939/2021 be listed on tomorrow.
7. The copy of this order be communicated to C.P, Mumbai by e-mail forthwith.
8. S.O. to 02.02.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
01.02.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.892 of 2017</p> <p>D.G. Basutkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that W.P. pending before Hon'ble High Court as referred in earlier order dated 23.12.2021 passed by this Tribunal is still subjudice.</p> <p>4. O.A. is adjourned to 03.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.02.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.784 of 2019 with O.A. No.100 of 2021 with O.A. No.101 of 2021 with O.A. No.113 of 2021 with O.A. No.111 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>J.R. Kumbhar</b> <b>Y.K. Potekar</b> <b>A.Y. Sakpal</b> <b>V.R. Raskar</b> <b>V.V. Sarwankar</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In these O.As. reliance is placed on the decision rendered by this Tribunal which is under challenge before Hon'ble High Court and in one of the matter Hon'ble High Court has granted stay.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that matter is still pending before Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>4. In view of above, it would be appropriate to wait for the decision of Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 03.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.02.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.51 of 2022 in O.A. No.75 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>S.K. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">   <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.02.2022</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.42 of 2022 in O.A. No.75 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>S.K. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay caused in filing O.A.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.03.2022.</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 01.03.2022. <span style="float: right;">^ Sd/-</span></li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.02.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.21 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>M.V. Bhure</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.02.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.10 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>S.N. Kakade .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.02.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.940 of 2021</p> <p>S.D. Savane .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Abhijit Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 01.02.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.858 of 2021</p> <p>V.T. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.N. Vaidya, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for Final Hearing with liberty to file Sur-Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.02.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.808 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.P. Gharate</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today O.A. is for filing Rejoinder by the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Pleading is complete, admitted for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.02.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.731 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.I. Abbas .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>Enough time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. However, on the request of learned P.O. 1 day time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</li> <li>Matter be kept tomorrow for filing Reply as well as for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>S.O. 02.02.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.02.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.726 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.P. Nalavde</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.P. Yadav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.02.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.712 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.S. Paithankar .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.02.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.644 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>A.J. Sayyad .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It be taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 3.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.02.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.269 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>P.G. Sali</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. On previous date two weeks time was granted as last chance for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</li> <li>4. O.A. be kept for hearing without Reply.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 01.03.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-    <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.02.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.276 of 2021 in O.A. No.489 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>Dr. R.R. Asawa</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. R.R. Asawa, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Reply to O.A. so that M.A. and O.A. will be heard together since, issue of continuous cause of action is raised in the matter.</p> <p>3. This matter be kept along with connected M.A. No.402/2021 in O.A. No.494/2021.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-    (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.02.2022</b></p> <p><b>R.A. No.01 of 2022 in O.A. No.328 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>P.A. Bodekar .....Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.03.2022.</li><li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li><li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li><li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li><li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li><li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li><li>8. S.O. to 01.03.2022.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.02.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.56 of 2022 in O.A. No.91 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>N.S. Pingle &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">   Sd/-    <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 01.02.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.89 of 2022 with O.A. No.91 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>S.N. Bhoplat</b>  <b>N.S. Pingle &amp; Ors.</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent in O.A. No.89/2022 and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A. No.91/2022.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.02.2022.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. This O.A. be kept along with other connected O.As. on 22.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.52 of 2022 in O.A. No.86 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.S. Tambekar &amp; Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 1.2.2022 1.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>